Comprehensive District Improvement Plan (CDIP)

Rationale

District improvement efforts are a collaborative process involving multiple stakeholders. Through the improvement planning process, leaders focus on priority needs, district funding, and closing achievement gaps among identified subgroups of students. When implemented with fidelity, the Comprehensive District Improvement Plan (CDIP) cultivates an environment that promotes student growth and achievement.

While the focus of continuous improvement is student performance, the work must be guided by the aspects of teaching and learning that affect performance. An effective improvement process should address the contributing factors creating the learning environment (inputs) and the performance data (outcomes). Through the Needs Assessment for Districts, priorities were identified and processes, practices, and/or conditions were chosen for focus. This goal building template will assist your improvement team to address those priorities and outline your targets and the activities intended to produce the desired changes. Progress monitoring details will ensure that your plan is being reviewed regularly to determine the success of each strategy.

Requirements for Building an Improvement Plan

- There are seven (7) required district goals:
 - o State Assessment Results in reading and mathematics
 - o State Assessment Results in science, social studies and writing
 - o Achievement Gap
 - o English Learner Progress
 - o Quality of School Climate and Safety
 - o Postsecondary Readiness
 - o Graduation Rate

Alignment to Needs:

Results of the Phase Two needs assessment process should inform the development of the comprehensive district improvement plan. List the identified priorities below to be addressed in order to build staff capacity and increase student achievement.

Priorities/Concerns from Needs Assessment for Districts

List two or three of the greatest areas of weakness identified in question #5 of the Needs Assessment for Districts that will be thoroughly addressed in the strategies and activities outlined in this template.

- 1. Students at the middle level had a higher percentage of novices than the State by 5% in mathematics and a lower percentage of proficiency by 6%.
- 2. Students at the middle level had a higher percentage of novices than the State by 10% in social studies and a lower percentage of proficiency by 4%.
- 3. Students at the elementary level scored 8% lower in proficiency than the State in combined writing.

Processes, Practices, or Conditions to be Addressed from Key Elements Template

List two or three of the processes, practices, or conditions identified on the District Key Elements Template that the district will focus its resources and efforts upon and thoroughly address in the strategies and activities outlined in this template.

The district will focus on Design and Deliver Instruction and Review, Analyze and Apply Data key elements from the District Key Elements Template. Processes and practices will include collaboration, review of instructional resources such as pacing guides and curriculum maps, and multiple sources of assessment data.

Indicator

List the overall scores of status and change for each level – elementary school (ES), middle school (MS) and high school (HS) on each indicator.

Explanations/Directions

Indicator	Status – ES/MS/HS	Change – ES/MS/HS
State Assessment Results in reading and mathematics	69.4 / 52.4 / 57.3	3.8 / 3.2 / 15.8
State Assessment Results in science, social studies and writing	64.0 / 46.9 / 61.3	-0.4 / -6.4 / 7.4
English Learner Progress	No data	No data
Quality of School Climate and Safety	79.6 / 61.1 / 64.8	6.7 / 0.7 / 7.6
Postsecondary Readiness (high schools and districts only)	104.7	10.5
Graduation Rate (high schools and districts only)	96.8	1.3

Goal: Districts should determine long-term goals that are three- to five-year targets for each required district level indicator. Long-term targets should be informed by The Needs Assessment for Districts.

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Districts should determine short-term objectives to be attained by the end of the current academic year. Objectives should address state assessment results and/or aligned formative assessments. There can be multiple objectives for each goal.	Describe your approach to systematically address a process, practice, or condition that was identified as a priority during the Needs Assessment for Districts. There can be multiple strategies for each objective. The strategy can be based upon Kentucky's six (6) Key Core Work Processes or another established improvement approach (i.e. Six Sigma, Shipley, Baldridge, etc.).	Describe the actionable steps the district will take to deploy the chosen strategy. There can be multiple activities for each strategy.	List the criteria that will gauge the impact of your work. The measures may be quantitative or qualitative but are observable in some way. Consider measures of input as well as outcomes for both staff and students.	Describe the process used to assess the implementation of the plan, the rate of improvement, and the effectiveness of the plan. Your description should include the artifacts to be reviewed, specific timelines, and responsible individuals.	List the specific federal, state, or local funding source(s) used to support each improvement initiative.

1: State Assessment Results in Reading and Mathematics

Goal 1 (State your reading and math goal.): By May 2027, 70% of all students will be proficient in reading and mathematics.

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective Objective 1 The goal for the 2025 school year for reading proficiency is • 60% proficiency for elementary. • 54% proficiency for middle. • 63% proficiency for high.	KCWP 1: Design and Deploy Standards KCWP 2: Design and Deliver Instruction	Activities Curriculum will be analyzed to ensure that instructional resources meet the criteria for being a high-quality instructional resource. Review of pacing guides and curriculum maps will be conducted quarterly at a minimum to identify instructional gaps and ensure alignment to KAS. Faculty and staff will participate in ongoing professional development in the area of best practice/high yield instructional strategies offered by the regional education cooperative, vendor approved, and LETRS KY Reading Academy.	Measure of Success Classroom formative assessments; District common assessments; KSA; meeting minutes from PLCs. Increased use of research-based instructional strategies as noted through classroom observations.	Progress Monitoring District and School level PLCs are held weekly directed by administration. District common assessments are held in the fall, winter, and spring. Upon completion of testing, data disaggregation will occur at district and school levels by administration and faculty. Formative assessments are conducted daily. Data will be reviewed by faculty to guide instruction. Attendance sheets collected by facilitators. Meeting agendas prepared by facilitators that details the instructional practices being demonstrated. Classroom observation conducted by administration will demonstrate implementation of strategies.	Funding General Fund - \$23,000 for district common assessment K-11. General Fund - \$5,000 dues for membership to education cooperative Title II - \$5,000 for travel expenses, substitute salaries and registration costs for
	KCWP 3: Design and Deliver Assessment Literacy	Utilizing a KAS aligned item bank to create formative assessments that will be used to guide instructional practices to ensure the curriculum is being taught with fidelity.	Classroom formative assessments; District common assessments; KSA; meeting minutes from PLCs. Lesson plans demonstrating KAS aligned formative assessments and instruction.	Lesson plans completed weekly by faculty and reviewed by administrators. District and School level PLCs are held weekly directed by administration. District common assessments are held in the fall, winter, and spring. Upon completion of testing data disaggregation will occur at district and	professional learning. General Fund- \$23,000 for district common assessment

Goal 1 (State your reading and math goal.): By May 2027, 70% of all students will be proficient in reading and mathematics.

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
				school levels by administration and faculty. Formative assessments are conducted daily. Data will be reviewed by faculty to guide instruction.	
	KCWP 4: Review, Analyze, and Apply Data	Use collection of assessment artifacts to inform next steps for individual students and group of students and use classroom assessment data to inform next steps for individual students and groups of students.	Classroom formative assessments; diagnostic assessments; District common assessments; KSA; meeting minutes from PLCs.	District common assessments are held in the fall, winter, and spring. Upon completion of testing data disaggregation will occur at district and school levels by administration and faculty. Formative assessments are conducted daily. Data will be reviewed by faculty to guide instruction.	General Fund - \$23,000 for district common assessment \$20,000 for district diagnostic assessments
Objective 2 The goal for the 2025 school year for mathematics proficiency is • 54% proficiency for elementary. • 46% proficiency for middle. • 56% proficiency for high.	KCWP 1: Design and Deploy Standards	Curriculum will be analyzed to ensure that instructional resources meet the criteria for being a high-quality instructional resource. Review of pacing guides and curriculum maps will be conducted quarterly at a minimum to identify instructional gaps and ensure alignment to KAS.	Classroom formative assessments; District common assessments; KSA; meeting minutes from PLCs.	District and School level PLCs are held weekly directed by administration. District common assessments are held in the fall, winter, and spring. Upon completion of testing, data disaggregation will occur at district and school levels by administration and faculty. Formative assessments are conducted daily. Data will be reviewed by faculty to guide instruction.	General Fund - \$23,000 for district common assessment K-11.
	KCWP 2: Design and Deliver Instruction	Faculty and staff will participate in ongoing professional development in the area of best practice/high yield instructional strategies offered by the regional education cooperative, vendor approved, and LETRS KY Reading Academy.	Increased use of research-based instructional strategies as noted through classroom observations.	Attendance sheets collected by facilitators. Meeting agendas prepared by facilitators that details the instructional practices being demonstrated. Classroom observation conducted by administration will demonstrate implementation of strategies.	General Fund - \$5,000 dues for membership to education cooperative Title II - \$5,000 for travel expenses, substitute salaries and registration costs for

Goal 1 (State your reading and math goal.): By May 2027, 70% of all students will be proficient in reading and mathematics.

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
					professional
					learning.
	KCWP 3: Design and Deliver	Utilizing a KAS aligned item bank to	Classroom formative	Lesson plans completed weekly by	General Fund-
	Assessment Literacy	create formative assessments that	assessments; District	faculty and reviewed by	\$23,000 for district
		will be used to guide instructional	common assessments;	administrators. District and School	common assessment
		practices to ensure the curriculum is	, ,	level PLCs are held weekly directed by	
		being taught with fidelity.	from PLCs. Lesson	administration.	
			plans demonstrating	District common assessments are held	
			KAS aligned formative	in the fall, winter, and spring. Upon	
			assessments and	completion of testing data	
			instruction.	disaggregation will occur at district and	
				school levels by administration and	
				faculty. Formative assessments are	
				conducted daily. Data will be reviewed	
				by faculty to guide instruction.	
	KCWP 4: Review, Analyze,	Use collection of assessment	Classroom formative	District common assessments are held	General Fund -
	and Apply Data	artifacts to inform next steps for	assessments;	in the fall, winter, and spring. Upon	\$23,000 for district
		individual students and group of	diagnostic	completion of testing data	common assessment
		students and use classroom	assessments; District	disaggregation will occur at district and	\$20,000 for district
		assessment data to inform next	common assessments;	school levels by administration and	diagnostic
		steps for individual students and	KSA; meeting minutes	faculty. Formative assessments are	assessments
		groups of students.	from PLCs.	conducted daily. Data will be reviewed	
				by faculty to guide instruction.	

2: State Assessment Results in Science, Social Studies and Writing

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective Objective 1 The goal for the 2025 school year for science proficiency is • 51% proficiency for elementary. • 33% proficiency for middle. • 33% proficiency for high.	Strategy KCWP 1: Design and Deploy Standards KCWP 2: Design and Deliver Instruction	Activities Curriculum will be analyzed to ensure that instructional resources meet the criteria for being a high-quality instructional resource. Review of pacing guides and curriculum maps will be conducted quarterly at a minimum to identify instructional gaps and ensure alignment to KAS. Faculty and staff will participate in ongoing professional development in the area of best practice/high yield instructional strategies offered by the regional education cooperative, vendor approved, and LETRS KY Reading Academy.	Measure of Success Classroom formative assessments; District common assessments; KSA; meeting minutes from PLCs. Increased use of research-based instructional strategies as noted through classroom observations.	Progress Monitoring District and School level PLCs are held weekly directed by administration. District common assessments are held in the fall, winter, and spring. Upon completion of testing, data disaggregation will occur at district and school levels by administration and faculty. Formative assessments are conducted daily. Data will be reviewed by faculty to guide instruction. Attendance sheets collected by facilitators. Meeting agendas prepared by facilitators that details the instructional practices being demonstrated. Classroom observation conducted by administration will demonstrate implementation of strategies.	Funding General Fund - \$23,000 for district common assessment K-11. General Fund - \$5,000 dues for membership to education cooperative Title II - \$5,000 for travel expenses, substitute salaries and registration
	KCWP 3: Design and Deliver Assessment Literacy	Utilizing a KAS aligned item bank to create formative assessments that will be used to guide instructional practices to ensure the curriculum is being taught with fidelity.	Classroom formative assessments; District common assessments; KSA; meeting minutes from PLCs. Lesson plans demonstrating KAS aligned formative assessments and instruction.	Lesson plans completed weekly by faculty and reviewed by administrators. District and School level PLCs are held weekly directed by administration. District common assessments are held in the fall, winter, and spring. Upon completion of testing data disaggregation will occur at district and	costs for professional learning. General Fund- \$23,000 for district common assessment

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
				school levels by administration and	
				faculty. Formative assessments are	
				conducted daily. Data will be reviewed	
				by faculty to guide instruction.	
	KCWP 4: Review, Analyze,	Use collection of assessment	Classroom formative	District common assessments are held	General Fund -
	and Apply Data	artifacts to inform next steps for	assessments;	in the fall, winter, and spring. Upon	\$23,000 for district
		individual students and group of	diagnostic	completion of testing data	common assessment
		students and use classroom	assessments; District	disaggregation will occur at district and	\$20,000 for district
		assessment data to inform next	common assessments;	school levels by administration and	diagnostic
		steps for individual students and	KSA; meeting minutes	faculty. Formative assessments are	assessments
		groups of students.	from PLCs.	conducted daily. Data will be reviewed	
				by faculty to guide instruction.	
Objective 2	KCWP 1: Design and Deploy	Curriculum will be analyzed to	Classroom formative	District and School level PLCs are held	General Fund -
The goal for the 2025 school	Standards	ensure that instructional resources	assessments; District	weekly directed by administration.	\$23,000 for district
year for social studies		meet the criteria for being a high-	common assessments;	District common assessments are held	common assessment
proficiency is		quality instructional resource.	KSA; meeting minutes	in the fall, winter, and spring. Upon	K-11.
• 49% proficiency for		Review of pacing guides and	from PLCs.	completion of testing, data	
elementary.		curriculum maps will be conducted		disaggregation will occur at district and	
• 58% proficiency for		quarterly at a minimum to identify		school levels by administration and	
middle.		instructional gaps and ensure		faculty. Formative assessments are	
• 58% proficiency for		alignment to KAS.		conducted daily. Data will be reviewed	
high.				by faculty to guide instruction.	
	KCWP 2: Design and Deliver	Faculty and staff will participate in	Increased use of	Attendance sheets collected by	General Fund -
	Instruction	ongoing professional development	research-based	facilitators. Meeting agendas prepared	\$5,000 dues for
		in the area of best practice/high	instructional strategies	by facilitators that details the	membership to
		yield instructional strategies offered	as noted through	instructional practices being	education
		by the regional education	classroom	demonstrated. Classroom observation	cooperative
		cooperative, vendor approved, and	observations.	conducted by administration will	T:1 11 65 000 f
		LETRS KY Reading Academy.		demonstrate implementation of	Title II - \$5,000 for
				strategies.	travel expenses,
					substitute salaries
					and registration
					costs for

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
					professional
					learning.
	KCWP 3: Design and Deliver	Utilizing a KAS aligned item bank to	Classroom formative	Lesson plans completed weekly by	General Fund-
	Assessment Literacy	create formative assessments that	assessments; District	faculty and reviewed by	\$23,000 for district
		will be used to guide instructional	common assessments;	administrators. District and School	common assessment
		practices to ensure the curriculum is	KSA; meeting minutes	level PLCs are held weekly directed by	
		being taught with fidelity.	from PLCs. Lesson	administration.	
			plans demonstrating	District common assessments are held	
			KAS aligned formative	in the fall, winter, and spring. Upon	
			assessments and	completion of testing data	
			instruction.	disaggregation will occur at district and	
				school levels by administration and	
				faculty. Formative assessments are	
				conducted daily. Data will be reviewed	
				by faculty to guide instruction.	
	KCWP 4: Review, Analyze,	Use collection of assessment	Classroom formative	District common assessments are held	General Fund -
	and Apply Data	artifacts to inform next steps for	assessments;	in the fall, winter, and spring. Upon	\$23,000 for district
		individual students and group of	diagnostic	completion of testing data	common assessment
		students and use classroom	assessments; District	disaggregation will occur at district and	\$20,000 for district
		assessment data to inform next	common assessments;	school levels by administration and	diagnostic
		steps for individual students and	KSA; meeting minutes	faculty. Formative assessments are	assessments
		groups of students.	from PLCs.	conducted daily. Data will be reviewed	
				by faculty to guide instruction.	
Objective 3	KCWP 1: Design and Deploy	Curriculum will be analyzed to	Classroom formative	District and School level PLCs are held	General Fund -
The goal for the 2025 school	Standards	ensure that instructional resources	assessments; District	weekly directed by administration.	\$23,000 for district
year for combined writing		meet the criteria for being a high-	common assessments;	District common assessments are held	common assessment
proficiency is		quality instructional resource.	KSA; meeting minutes	in the fall, winter, and spring. Upon	K-11.
 47% proficiency for 		Review of pacing guides and	from PLCs.	completion of testing, data	
elementary.		curriculum maps will be conducted		disaggregation will occur at district and	
 49% proficiency for 		quarterly at a minimum to identify		school levels by administration and	
middle.		instructional gaps and ensure		faculty. Formative assessments are	
		alignment to KAS.		conducted daily. Data will be reviewed	
				by faculty to guide instruction.	

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
60% proficiency for	KCWP 2: Design and Deliver	Faculty and staff will participate in	Increased use of	Attendance sheets collected by	General Fund -
high.	Instruction	ongoing professional development	research-based	facilitators. Meeting agendas prepared	\$5,000 dues for
		in the area of best practice/high	instructional strategies	by facilitators that details the	membership to
		yield instructional strategies offered	as noted through	instructional practices being	education
		by the regional education	classroom	demonstrated. Classroom observation	cooperative
		cooperative, vendor approved, and	observations.	conducted by administration will	
		LETRS KY Reading Academy.		demonstrate implementation of	Title II - \$5,000 for
				strategies.	travel expenses,
					substitute salaries
					and registration
					costs for
					professional
					learning.
	KCWP 3: Design and Deliver	Utilizing a KAS aligned item bank to	Classroom formative	Lesson plans completed weekly by	General Fund-
	Assessment Literacy	create formative assessments that	assessments; District	faculty and reviewed by	\$23,000 for district
		will be used to guide instructional	common assessments;	administrators. District and School	common assessment
		practices to ensure the curriculum is	KSA; meeting minutes	level PLCs are held weekly directed by	
		being taught with fidelity.	from PLCs. Lesson	administration.	
			plans demonstrating	District common assessments are held	
			KAS aligned formative	in the fall, winter, and spring. Upon	
			assessments and	completion of testing data	
			instruction.	disaggregation will occur at district and	
				school levels by administration and	
				faculty. Formative assessments are conducted daily. Data will be reviewed	
				by faculty to guide instruction.	
	KCWP 4: Review, Analyze,	Use collection of assessment	Classroom formative	District common assessments are held	General Fund -
	and Apply Data	artifacts to inform next steps for	assessments;	in the fall, winter, and spring. Upon	\$23,000 for district
	and Apply Data	individual students and group of	diagnostic	completion of testing data	common assessment
		students and use classroom	assessments; District	disaggregation will occur at district and	\$20,000 for district
		assessment data to inform next	common assessments;	school levels by administration and	diagnostic
		steps for individual students and	KSA; meeting minutes	faculty. Formative assessments are	assessments
		groups of students.	from PLCs.	conducted daily. Data will be reviewed	d55c55inciit5
		Broaps of students.		by faculty to guide instruction.	

3: Achievement Gap

Districts are not required to establish long term achievement gap goals; however, districts must establish yearly targets (objectives).

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1	KCWP 1: Design and Deploy	Curriculum will be analyzed to	Classroom formative	District and School level PLCs are held	General Fund -
Economically Disadvantaged	Standards	ensure that instructional resources	assessments; District	weekly directed by administration.	\$23,000 for district
Science, Social Studies, and		meet the criteria for being a high-	common assessments;	District common assessments are held	common assessment
Combined Writing		quality instructional resource.	KSA; meeting minutes	in the fall, winter, and spring. Upon	K-11.
 Increase proficiency in 		Review of pacing guides and	from PLCs.	completion of testing, data	
science for economically		curriculum maps will be conducted		disaggregation will occur at district and	
disadvantaged students		quarterly at a minimum to identify		school levels by administration and	
at the elementary level		instructional gaps and ensure		faculty. Formative assessments are	
by 15 percentage		alignment to KAS.		conducted daily. Data will be reviewed	
points.				by faculty to guide instruction.	
 Increase proficiency in 	KCWP 2: Design and Deliver	Faculty and staff will participate in	Increased use of	Attendance sheets collected by	General Fund -
combined writing for	Instruction	ongoing professional development	research-based	facilitators. Meeting agendas prepared	\$5,000 dues for
economically		in the area of best practice/high	instructional strategies	by facilitators that details the	membership to
disadvantaged students		yield instructional strategies offered	as noted through	instructional practices being	education
at the middles school		by the regional education	classroom	demonstrated. Classroom observation	cooperative
level by 9 percentage		cooperative, vendor approved, and	observations.	conducted by administration will	
points.		LETRS KY Reading Academy.		demonstrate implementation of	Title II - \$5,000 for
Increase proficiency in				strategies.	travel expenses,
combined writing for					substitute salaries
economically					and registration
disadvantaged students					costs for
at the high school level					professional
by 8 percentage points.			-1 .		learning.
	KCWP 3: Design and Deliver	Utilizing a KAS aligned item bank to	Classroom formative	Lesson plans completed weekly by	General Fund-
	Assessment Literacy	create formative assessments that	assessments; District	faculty and reviewed by	\$23,000 for district
		will be used to guide instructional	common assessments;	administrators. District and School	common assessment
		practices to ensure the curriculum is	KSA; meeting minutes	level PLCs are held weekly directed by	
		being taught with fidelity.	from PLCs. Lesson	administration.	
			plans demonstrating	District common assessments are held	
			KAS aligned formative	in the fall, winter, and spring. Upon	
			assessments and	completion of testing data	
			instruction.	disaggregation will occur at district and	
				school levels by administration and	

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
				faculty. Formative assessments are	
				conducted daily. Data will be reviewed	
				by faculty to guide instruction.	
	KCWP 4: Review, Analyze,	Use collection of assessment	Classroom formative	District common assessments are held	General Fund -
	and Apply Data	artifacts to inform next steps for	assessments;	in the fall, winter, and spring. Upon	\$23,000 for district
		individual students and group of	diagnostic	completion of testing data	common assessment
		students and use classroom	assessments; District	disaggregation will occur at district and	\$20,000 for district
		assessment data to inform next	common assessments;	school levels by administration and	diagnostic
		steps for individual students and	KSA; meeting minutes	faculty. Formative assessments are	assessments
		groups of students.	from PLCs.	conducted daily. Data will be reviewed	
				by faculty to guide instruction.	
Objective 2	KCWP 1: Design and Deploy	Curriculum will be analyzed to	Classroom formative	District and School level PLCs are held	General Fund -
Economically disadvantaged	Standards	ensure that instructional resources	assessments; District	weekly directed by administration.	\$23,000 for district
students in reading and		meet the criteria for being a high-	common assessments;	District common assessments are held	common assessment
mathematics:		quality instructional resource.	KSA; meeting minutes	in the fall, winter, and spring. Upon	K-11.
 Increase proficiency in 		Review of pacing guides and	from PLCs.	completion of testing, data	
reading and		curriculum maps will be conducted		disaggregation will occur at district and	
mathematics for		quarterly at a minimum to identify		school levels by administration and	
economically		instructional gaps and ensure		faculty. Formative assessments are	
disadvantaged students		alignment to KAS.		conducted daily. Data will be reviewed	
at the high school level				by faculty to guide instruction.	
by 10 percentage	KCWP 2: Design and Deliver	Faculty and staff will participate in	Increased use of	Attendance sheets collected by	General Fund -
points.	Instruction	ongoing professional development	research-based	facilitators. Meeting agendas prepared	\$5,000 dues for
		in the area of best practice/high	instructional strategies	by facilitators that details the	membership to
		yield instructional strategies offered	as noted through	instructional practices being	education
		by the regional education	classroom	demonstrated. Classroom observation	cooperative
		cooperative, vendor approved, and	observations.	conducted by administration will	
		LETRS KY Reading Academy.		demonstrate implementation of	Title II - \$5,000 for
				strategies.	travel expenses,
					substitute salaries
					and registration
					costs for
					professional
					learning.

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
KCWP 3: [Design and Deliver	Utilizing a KAS aligned item bank to	Classroom formative	Lesson plans completed weekly by	General Fund-
Assessme	ent Literacy	create formative assessments that	assessments; District	faculty and reviewed by	\$23,000 for district
		will be used to guide instructional	common assessments;	administrators. District and School	common assessment
		practices to ensure the curriculum is	KSA; meeting minutes	level PLCs are held weekly directed by	
		being taught with fidelity.	from PLCs. Lesson	administration.	
			plans demonstrating	District common assessments are held	
			KAS aligned formative	in the fall, winter, and spring. Upon	
			assessments and	completion of testing data	
			instruction.	disaggregation will occur at district and	
				school levels by administration and	
				faculty. Formative assessments are	
				conducted daily. Data will be reviewed	
				by faculty to guide instruction.	
KCWP 4:	Review, Analyze,	Use collection of assessment	Classroom formative	District common assessments are held	General Fund -
and Apply	/ Data	artifacts to inform next steps for	assessments;	in the fall, winter, and spring. Upon	\$23,000 for district
		individual students and group of	diagnostic	completion of testing data	common assessment
		students and use classroom	assessments; District	disaggregation will occur at district and	\$20,000 for district
		assessment data to inform next	common assessments;	school levels by administration and	diagnostic
		steps for individual students and	KSA; meeting minutes	faculty. Formative assessments are	assessments
		groups of students.	from PLCs.	conducted daily. Data will be reviewed	
				by faculty to guide instruction.	

4: English Learner Progress

Goal 4 (State your English learner goal.): By May 2027, each EL student will progress at least 2 levels on the ACCESS assessment.

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1	KWCP 4: Review, Analyze and	Use collection of assessment	Classroom formative	District and School level PLCs are held	General Fund -
By 2026, each EL student will	Apply Data	artifacts to inform next steps for	assessments; District	weekly directed by administration.	\$23,000 for district
progress at least one level on		individual students and group of	common assessments;	District common assessments are held	common assessment
the Access assessment.	Increase collaboration in data	students and use classroom	KSA; meeting minutes	in the fall, winter, and spring. Upon	General Fund -
	analysis and student progress	assessment data to inform next	from PLCs.	completion of testing data	\$20,000 for
	towards standards mastery,	steps for individual students and		disaggregation will occur at district and	intervention
	including identification of	groups of students.		school levels by administration and	program assessment
	students in need of			faculty. Formative assessments are	
	intervention supports.			conducted daily. Data will be reviewed	
	Establishing learning culture			by faculty to guide instruction.	
	and environment.	Ensure that classrooms plan for and	Classroom formative	District and School level PLCs are held	General Fund -
		incorporate culturally responsive	assessments; District	weekly directed by administration.	\$20,000 for
		practices, embedding such practices	common assessments;	District common assessments are held	intervention
		in lesson plans, activities,	KSA; meeting minutes	in the fall, winter, and spring. Upon	program assessment
		assessments, and within classroom	from PLCs.	completion of testing data	
		daily operations.		disaggregation will occur at district and	
				school levels by administration and	
				faculty. Formative assessments are	
				conducted daily. Data will be reviewed	
				by faculty to guide instruction.	
	KWCP 3: Design and Deliver	Utilizing a KAS aligned item bank to	Classroom formative	Lesson plans completed weekly by	General Fund -
	Assessment Literacy	create formative assessments that	assessments; District	faculty and reviewed by	\$23,000 for district
		will be used to guide instructional	common assessments;	administrators. District and School	common assessment
		practices.	KSA; meeting minutes	level PLCs are held weekly directed by	General Fund -
			from PLCs. Lesson	administration.	\$20,000 for
			plans demonstrating	District common assessments are held	intervention
			KAS aligned formative	in the fall, winter, and spring. Upon	program assessment
			assessments and	completion of testing data	Title IV - \$500 for EL
			instruction.	disaggregation will occur at district and	specific resources
				school levels by administration and	
				faculty. Formative assessments are	

Goal 4 (State your English learner goal.): By May 2027, each EL student will progress at least 2 levels on the ACCESS assessment.

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
				conducted daily. Data will be reviewed	
				by faculty to guide instruction.	

5: Quality of School Climate and Safety

Goal 5 (State your climate and safety goal.): By May 2027, all schools will have a "high" indicator score as determined by the Accountability Indicator and Overall Cut Scores table.

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1 For May 2025, the following status levels will be obtained or maintained at each level:	KCWP 6: Establishing Learning Culture and Environment Ensure that all available resources are deployed to assist students in need. Establishing learning culture and environment.	Utilizing Family First and Cardinal Connection to remove non-cognitive barriers.	Data collected and presented by FRYSC	Bi-monthly as presented by the FRYSC coordinator at Advisory Council meetings.	GEER Grant
Elementary Level: HighMiddle Level: MediumHigh Level: High		Ensure that classroom policies and procedures align with the school's Code of Conduct.	Classroom observations and behavior referrals indicate that policies and procedures align.	School administration will conduct walkthroughs and review behavior referrals on a weekly basis.	No funding needed.
		Ensure the effective implementation of anti-bullying policies and procedures, including how violations are address, how reporting and documentation should occur, and how communication measures should be conducted.	Classroom observations and behavior referrals indicate that policies and procedures align.	School administration will conduct walkthroughs and review behavior referrals on a weekly basis.	No funding needed.

6: Postsecondary Readiness

Goal 6 (State your postsecondary goal.): By May 2027, 100% of all graduating students will demonstrate postsecondary readiness.

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1	KCWP 1: Design and	Maintain at least five career	CTE Attainment Hours	Master schedule and CTE enrollment	Perkins Funding and
By May 2025, 93% of all	Deploy Standards	pathways for students	Report.		LAVEC grant funding
graduating students will	KCWP 3: Design and	Test preparation programs and	Increase number of	District and School level PLCs are held	Four Rivers
demonstrate postsecondary	Deliver Assessment	response to intervention - Identify	students meeting	weekly directed by administration.	Foundation and
readiness.	Literacy	curricular modification needs using	benchmark scores on a	Practice assessments are given in the	SBDM will share the
		pre-assessment strategies, and use	college readiness exam or	fall, winter, and spring to monitor	cost of \$5000 for an
		data results to "frontload" concepts	industry certification	student progress. Upon completion of	ACT Bootcamp.
		where high levels of below proficient	exam.	testing data disaggregation will occur	
		prerequisite skills are identified.		at district and school levels by	
				administration and faculty.	
	KCWP 5: Design, Align,	Mentors for struggling students	Increase number of	District and School level PLCs are held	No funding needed.
	and Deliver Support		students enrolled in a	weekly directed by administration.	
			career pathway. Increase	Practice assessments are given in the	
			number of students	fall, winter, and spring to monitor	
			completing a Career	student progress. Upon completion of	
			Pathway. Increase in	testing data disaggregation will occur	
			number of students	at district and school levels by	
			meeting College Readiness	administration and faculty.	
			benchmarks.		
		Real-world experiences planned for	Increase number of	District and School level PLCs are held	SBDM and CTSO
		students related to career pathway.	students enrolled in a	weekly directed by administration.	funds.
			career pathway. Increase	Practice assessments are given in the	
			number of students	fall, winter, and spring to monitor	
			completing a Career	student progress. Upon completion of	
			Pathway. Increase in	testing data disaggregation will occur	
			number of students	at district and school levels by	
			meeting College Readiness	administration and faculty.	
			benchmarks.		

7: Graduation Rate

Goal 7 (State your graduation rate goal.): By May 2027, the high school will maintain an average 4-year graduation rate of 96%.

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1	KCWP 5: Design, Align and	Credit Recovery Program	Students are earning	Administration and supervising faculty	District Funds
For the school year 2024-	Deliver Support		credits to assist with	will monitor goals being met by	
2025 the goal is to maintain			on time graduation.	students weekly.	
an average 4-year graduation	Design, align, and deliver	Open Campus	Classroom	Administration and supervising faculty	District funds for
rate of 96%.	necessary supports to identify		observations, number	will monitor goals being met by	staffing
	and assist all students.		of on time graduates,	students weekly.	
			and number of		
			students earning		
			credits toward		
			graduation.		
	KCWP 6: Establishing Learning	Utilize the Persistence to	The reduction of	District and school administration will	No funding needed.
	Culture and Environment	Graduation Tool/Early Warning Tool	number of students	monitor student attendance and	
		to assist in identifying students at	not graduating on	course grades to determine the	
	Assure consideration and	risk for remediation, failure, and/or	time.	student's progress towards on-time	
	addressment of non-	untimely graduation.		graduation.	
	academic barriers to learning	Utilizing Family First and Cardinal	Data collected and	Bi-monthly as presented by the FRYSC	GEER Grant
		Connection to remove non-	presented by FRYSC	coordinator at Advisory Council	
		cognitive barriers.		meetings.	

8: Other (Optional)

Special Considerations for Districts with Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI) including Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI) Schools

Districts with a school identified for TSI (including ATSI) must monitor and provide support to the school to ensure the successful implementation of the school improvement plan (703 KAR 5:280(10)). The local board of education must review and approve the revised school improvement plan for TSI (including ATSI) schools (KRS 160.346(4)(a)).

Monitoring and Support
Consider: Describe the district's plan for monitoring and supporting the school improvement plan of any school identified for TSI/ATSI. Include in your response information regarding the
process for local board review and approval.
Response:

If schools identified for TSI do not make adequate performance progress, as defined by the department, the local school district shall take additional action to assist and support the school in reaching performance goals (KRS 160.346 (4)(c)). Also, when a school is identified for ATSI, the district shall take more rigorous district-determined action to assist the school in reaching performance goals (KRS 160.346 (5)).

Additional/More Rigorous Actions

Consider: List any school(s) that failed to exit TSI status this year. What additional actions and supports will be provided? Who will provide the support? List any school(s) identified for ATSI this fall. What more rigorous actions will the district take to assist and support the school(s)? Who will be responsible for those actions?

Response: