REGULAR MEETING OF THE SANTA MARIA JOINT UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD OF EDUCATION

A regular meeting of the Board of Education of the Santa Maria Joint Union High School District was held in the Support Services Center on August 6, 2014, with a closed session at 5:30 p.m. and open session at 6:30 p.m. Members present: Walsh, Tognazzini, Garvin, Karamitsos; Members absent: Perez

Open Session

Dr. Walsh called the meeting to order at 5:30 p.m. The meeting was adjourned to closed session at 5:31 p.m.

Adjourn to Closed Session

The Board adjourned the closed session and reconvened in open session at 6:30 p.m. The flag salute was led by Dr. Walsh.

Announce Closed Session Actions

Superintendent Richardson welcomed everyone and reported that all personnel actions were approved as presented to the board. He introduced Brian Wallace, the new Athletic Director for Santa Maria High School. Brian and his wife, Hannah were in attendance. They are excited to be here and look forward to his new position.

Reports

Board Member Reports

Dr. Garvin wanted to acknowledge that Joseph Domingues, Jr. came in third nationwide in his category at the Junior Olympics. Congratulations!

Items Scheduled for Action

Instruction

Quarterly Report on Williams Uniform Complaints

John Davis presented the Williams Uniform Complaints Report for the months of April – June 2014. There have been no complaints. A PUBLIC HEARING IS REQUIRED. No public comments were made.

A motion was made by Victor Tognazzini, seconded by Carol Karamitsos and carried with a 4-0 vote to approve the Quarterly Report on Williams Uniform Complaints as presented.

Consolidated Application 2014-2015

Mr. Davis presented the Consolidated Application for Funding for the 2014-2015

school year, which includes Title I, Title II, and Title III and is asking for board approval.

These funds provide a wide array of instructional and supportive services to support student success in our district.

A motion was made by Jack Garvin, seconded by Carol Karamitsos and carried with a 4-0 vote to approve the Consolidated Application for 2014-2015 as presented.

General

Board Policies/Administrative Regulations

Dr. Richardson presented a change in the Board Bylaw 9323 which will bring the practice in line with the policy. We want to make sure everyone is able to speak to the board. This will also lift the fifteen minute restriction and change the time limit to two minutes per person.

A motion was made by Carol Karamitsos, seconded by Victor Tognazzini and carried with a 4-0 vote to approve the revision to Board Bylaw 9323 as presented.

2014 Conflict of Interest Code

Tracy Marsh presented the 2014 Conflict of Interest Code. It was updated to reflect the new staff changes.

A motion was made by Victor Tognazzini, seconded by Carol Karamitsos and carried with a 4-0 vote to approve the 2014 Conflict of Interest Code as presented.

Service Agreement with University of California, Santa Barbara for the Early Academic Outreach Program

Tracy Marsh announced that the district is excited to partner with the University of California, Santa Barbara. They will provide one full-time Early Academic Outreach Program (EAOP) College Site Coordinator at Righetti High School at a cost of \$35,000 to be funded out of the CAPP Grant; and one shared Coordinator for Santa Maria High School, and Pioneer Valley High School at a cost of \$35,000 to be funded out of the general fund. Total cost is \$70,000.

Dr. Karamitsos asked if there is the potential to gather data on district graduates who receive college degrees.

Mr. Marsh said it is one of the job duties listed on the agreement. This is a two year agreement and if successful and funds are available, we hope to continue with the partnership.

A motion was made by Victor Tognazzini, seconded by Jack Garvin and carried with a 4-0 vote to approve the Service Agreement with the University of California, Santa Barbara for the Early Academic Outreach Program as presented.

Business

Public Hearing to Receive and Expend Educational Protection Account ("EPA") Funds

Yolanda Ortiz presented information on the Educational Protection Account "EPA" funds. For the 2014-15 year, the CDE has indicated that EPA funds will be disbursed to school districts on a quarterly basis: September, December, March, and June. As of the District's 2014-15 Adopted Budget, EPA funds are estimated to be \$8,152,092.

For Santa Maria Joint Union High School District, it is proposed that the EPA funds be used for employee salaries, wages, and benefits in the functions deemed allowable under the law. In the months that funding is received, the EPA account will be charged for such allowable expenses. At the conclusion of the fiscal year, and as part of the District's year end closing process, a report showing the expenditure of the EPA funds will be posted, as required, on the District's website. It should be noted that these EPA funds are not "new" money; rather they represent a cut that was avoided with the passage of Proposition 30. A PUBLIC HEARING IS REQUIRED.

Dr. Garvin asked for the sunset date. Mrs. Ortiz said the sunset date is 2018.

A motion was made by Mr. Tognazzini, seconded by Jack Garvin and carried with a 4-0 roll call vote to approve the Educational Protection Account Funds.

ROLL CALL:

Dr. Walsh Yes
Mr. Tognazzini Yes
Dr. Garvin Yes
Dr. Karamitsos Yes
Mrs. Perez Absent

SANTA MARIA JOINT UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT RESOLUTION NUMBER 1- 2014-2015

RECEIVE AND EXPEND EDUCATIONAL PROTECTION ACCOUNT ("EPA") FUNDS

WHEREAS, the voters approved Proposition 30 on November 6, 2012;

WHEREAS, Proposition 30 added Article XIII, Section 36 to the California Constitution effective November 7, 2012;

WHEREAS, the provisions of Article XIII, Section 36(e) create in the state General Fund an Education Protection Account to receive and disburse the revenues derived from the incremental increases in taxes imposed by Article XIII, Section 36(f);

WHEREAS, before June 30th of each year, the Director of Finance shall estimate the total amount of additional revenues, less refunds that will be derived from the incremental increases in tax rates made pursuant to Article XIII, Section 36(f) that will be available for transfer into the Education Protection Account during the next fiscal year;

WHEREAS, if the sum determined by the State Controller is positive, the State Controller shall transfer the amount calculated into the Education Protection Account within ten days preceding the end of the fiscal year;

WHEREAS, all monies in the Education Protection Account are hereby continuously appropriated for the support of school districts, county offices of education, charter schools and community college districts;

WHEREAS, monies deposited in the Education Protection Account shall not be used to pay any costs incurred by the Legislature, the Governor or any agency of state government:

WHEREAS, a community college district, county office of education, school district, or charter school shall have the sole authority to determine how the monies received from the Education Protection Account are spent in the school or schools within its jurisdiction;

WHEREAS, the governing board of the district shall make the spending determinations with respect to monies received from the Education Protection Account in open session of a public meeting of the governing board;

WHEREAS, the monies received from the Education Protection Account shall not be used for salaries or benefits for administrators or any other administrative cost;

WHEREAS, each community college district, county office of education, school district and charter school shall annually publish on its Internet website an accounting of how much money was received from the Education Protection Account and how that money was spent;

WHEREAS, the annual independent financial and compliance audit required of community college districts, county offices of education, school districts and charter schools shall ascertain and verify whether the funds provided from the Education Protection Account have been properly disbursed and expended as required by Article XIII, Section 36 of the California Constitution;

WHEREAS, expenses incurred by community college districts, county offices of education, school districts and charter schools to comply with the additional audit requirements of Article XIII, Section 36 may be paid with funding from the Education Protection Act and shall not be considered administrative costs for purposes of Article XIII, Section 36.3.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED:

- 1. The monies received from the Education Protection Account shall be spent as required by Article XIII, Section 36 and the spending determinations on how the money will be spent shall be made in open session of a public meeting of the governing board of the Santa Maria Joint Union High School District.
- 2. In compliance with Article XIII, Section 36(e), with the California Constitution, the governing board of the Santa Maria Joint Union High School District has determined to spend the monies received from the Education Protection Act for the 2014-15 school year, on employee salaries, wages, and benefits in those non-administrative functions as allowed under the law, in the months funding is received for the 2014-15 fiscal year.

PASSED AND ADOPTED this 6th day of August 2014 by the following vote:

AYES: Walsh, Tognazzini, Garvin, Karamitsos

NOES:

ABSENT: Perez

Adoption of District Reconfiguration and Facilities Program

The Board of Education was presented with a summary of the Facilities Assessment & Implementation Plan at its June 16, 2014 special board meeting. Subsequent to receiving Board feedback and input, Caldwell Flores Winters compiled all findings into the District Reconfiguration and Facilities Program document, distributed to Board members in advance of this meeting. Ernesto Flores, President of CFW; Jeremy Cogan, Lead Planner; Scott Burkett, and Tylor Middlestadt represented Caldwell Flores Winters and provided a summary presentation to the Board.

The Plan considers education program needs, enrollment trends, and student capacity, details an assessment of all District facilities, and establishes a capital and financing plan for implementing site-specific and District-wide improvements. The proposed implementation strategy has been developed through a series of workshops with the Board, Superintendent, cabinet level staff, and principals and defines a two phase program to significantly advance the District's LCAP goal of strengthening the quality of career education programs and services.

CFW wants to thank everyone that gave up their time to participate in the meetings and walk-throughs. They distributed the facilities implementation program to the group.

Three key items of the plan include:

- 1. Projects and improvements to increase academic achievement.
- 2. Transforming the functionality of the facilities (21st Century).
- 3. Maintaining the sustainability of the district's general fund.

Mr. Cogan explained that the State Assembly is in the process of passing a state bond measure. They are now looking at a bridge bond measure to 2016 to make those dollars available to those districts that are ready to go (have plans). It will go on the November ballot. A recap of the proposed projects was presented. The plan is available in the District Office.

Dr. Garvin commented that after reading the document (which was excellent) he questions whether the projection enrollment numbers are there. Does the two story building allow us to enroll more students at Righetti? What will capacity be at RHS? CFW treats capacity the same way the state does. They count capacity by number of permanent structures; given that – if you subtract the portables at Righetti – the school is over capacity. The permanent structures raise the capacity. The plan shows that there will be a need for increased capacity.

CFW is excited about working with the folks of the design for the new Performing Arts Center at Pioneer Valley High School. After walking the PVHS facilities, we look at what are some of the things it will need in 10, 15, 20 years. There are also infrastructure needs, such as wiring and other needs which are addressed in the plan.

At Santa Maria High School, with community support and prior board discussion the planned projects including a new entry on Morrison Avenue; new classrooms to replace existing portables; a renovated auditorium; improved athletic fields and courts; and recon-

figure parking. The cost of modernizing the current buildings is half the cost of a new improved building. The bell tower is returning and the campus will have a perimeter next to Ethel Pope Auditorium. All of this will create the spaces which students and teachers can utilize.

The property for the new CTE/Ag Farm is currently under acquisition. CFW is working closely with staff to ensure creation of a multi-use CTE center/ag farm. The Culinary Arts, Ag and Capstone Courses that will be available should provide the appropriate sequencing to occur.

Delta will have better equipped technical lab facilities. This meets the LCAP goal of technology programs for district students with the one to one devices.

CFW and the district staff will continue to refine the plan and how these facilities will benefit the community and how they will utilize the space being acquired.

The presentation included a summary of the budget projection, broken up into two phases. Phase one takes us where we are today, using the funding sources at this time, the remaining C-2004 bond, and other funds. Phase one is over \$49 million dollars. Phase two funding includes a proposed new bond measure along with projected State Aid receipts and Developer Fee revenues. It is over \$145 million dollars. The total for both phases is estimated over \$194 million dollars.

Dr. Garvin commented that the document is done well but with the anticipation that a bond will be on the 2016 ballot, how do we find out if the community will support it? Does CFW do the background on this? Do they do the study to see whether the community will support it? Mr. Cogan said this is a snapshot of where we are today. CFW will bring an update to the plan every six months. If support isn't there for the bond, we will accommodate. Any changes (amendments to the plan) would show that.

Mr. Flores said that the District (and CFW) has passed the last two bonds. CFW is looking at a path down the road (where things are coming at us). Where is the time that we need to qualify for the bond? The district qualifies for millions of dollars from the state (but the state has no money). The state ran out of money and the district continued to grow and invested in projects that the state will have to reimburse. The goal is to keep the lowest rate possible to our community. We will go at it alone (as far as the 49 million will get us). Phase 2 is not all on a bond – we will claim reimbursement. The district doesn't ever spend all you have; in 2016 we will see how much we get in reimbursement. We still don't know if the rules will change with the new state bond in November. This is an active document to guide the District where you are going.

Mr. Tognazzini expressed that no one does it better than CFW (bonds). He appreciates your explanation. His concerns are that the community has information on what our district has done with the bond money. C2004 bond was going to be used to build another comprehensive high school along with other things we have done. The CTE/Ag center is something we needed but he is concerned on how it is presented to the community. Economy has taken a turn and there are some things there, but main concern is bond tolerance. Mr. Flores responded that the plan includes the money and projects that were in the last

bond measure. There are multiple things in the plan that were completed from the last bond. There was a horrific recession during this time. Projected enrollment did not happen. The economy didn't perform in 2004 and 2006. Enrollment dropped (even in elementary). District enrollment has not been growing – even had a decrease in enrollment. If the school would have been built— we didn't need it. Dr. Karamitsos explained that there was not a site for the other comprehensive school at that time.

Mr. Flores also explained that the district would build a different school now. We wouldn't build it the way it was foresaw at the time. We should be able to do more with less. One to one technology changes it. We would have to go back to the community and tell them what we did with the money. The way CFW has always approached the Santa Maria area is this is what we want to do, this is what we have done and this is what we still have to do.

Dr. Garvin would appreciate a summary of money spent from the C2004 Bond. Are there dollars left over? Dr. Karamitsos acknowledges that we have been a good steward of the money but we need to publish the information. Mr. Tognazzini commented that the oversight committee has ratified everything we have done. Board members need a list so they have those answers when people ask them. They are going to make the decisions and need to make them intelligently.

Dr. Karamitsos found the report highly informational. One of the things spoken about at the last meeting was the efficiency of the buildings. Mr. Cogan said they expect that of their architects (to meet the energy efficient roles) and creativity without increasing costs. Mr. Flores commented that they are building everything east/west now. They build two story buildings. It is a common building practice. Resources are no longer there. You will see new specifications (these are targets, this is what you have to have) and then evaluate it.

Mr. Tognazzini wanted to let CFW know that he appreciates the scholarship program that CFW has. It has benefited our students and students around the state. He thanked Mr. Flores for his generosity. Mr. Flores commented that every child deserves a good paying job. He is supportive of the Aq/CTE students.

A motion was made by Victor Tognazzini, seconded by Jack Garvin and carried with a 4-0 vote to approve the Adoption of District Reconfiguration and Facilities Program.

Retention of Commercial Warrant Documentation

Mrs. Ortiz explained that the County Office of Education has agreed to allow the district's eligible for a discretionary audit of certain commercial warrants rather than an audit of one hundred percent (100%) of commercial warrants produced weekly. This option will save in excess of one hundred (100) hours of staff time annually producing copies as well as the cost of copies.

The terms of the agreement between the district and the County Office of Education are contained in a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) on file at the District Support Services Center Business Office. This MOU is a renewal of an agreement originally entered into in March 2006.

Mr. Tognazzini asked if we can still look at warrants. Mrs. Ortiz explained that they are available at the District Office.

A motion was made by Carol Karamitsos, seconded by Jack Garvin and carried with a 4-0 vote to approve the Retention of Commercial Warrant Documentation as presented.

2014-2015 Budget Revisions

Mrs. Ortiz asked that the Governing Board take action for approval on the 2014-2015 Budget Revision. Items in the final budget included a slight increase in LCFF funding, increases in the employer and employee STRS rates, one time funds to pay prior year mandate claims, and a "Rainy Day Fund" proposal which imposes limitations on districts' fund balance reserves.

Some of the funds have been used for the Read 180 program, new buses, staffing, computers, furniture, resource officers and increase in construction costs.

Dr. Karamitsos asked if furniture was reviewed. Mrs. Ortiz assured the Board that it has been reviewed.

A motion was made by Mr. Tognazzini, seconded by Jack Garvin and carried with a 4-0 vote to approve the 2014 – 2015 Budget Revisions as presented.

Prequalification Process for Construction Contractors

As of January 1, 2014, the new law PCC 20111.6 per AB 1565 states that districts **SHALL** require each prospective bidder to submit a standardized questionnaire and financial statement which must be verified under oath, and shall not be considered a public record. This includes Public Projects (using State Funds) that involve a projected expenditure of one million dollars (\$1,000,000), and for Districts with ADA of 2500 or more.

The process will include a notice to contractors to complete and submit the Standardized Prequalification forms. District staff will then review the submitted forms to verify and score the contractors, and a list of the qualified applications will then be generated. Only those contractors who qualify will be allowed to bid on State Funded Projects in excess of one million dollars (\$1,000,000). It is recommended that this process be completed on a per project basis to keep the list current. Updates of the Prequalified Contractors list will be shared with the Board at each new update and new qualification cycle.

Mr. Wuitschick asked for board approval of the prequalification process for construction contractors. The documents can go quarterly or by project. This will assist the district to have a good pool of contractors who have experience within the performing arts center like rigging, lighting, etc. – that is why the documents are set up like they are. It eliminates contractors early if they don't meet qualifications. Language with yes/no questions that will immediately disqualify them so we don't waste our time or theirs.

Dr. Garvin asked who is going to check to make sure they are telling the truth. Mr. Wuitschick said there is a part where the district will do that. The district will actually call

and do background checks. As you read through this – they have to have 75% to get to the interview stage. Even at that point they could get kicked out (point structure). We hope in the end, we have a nice pool of contractors to choose from. The K-8 schools have gone through it and we have discussed issues with them and worked it into ours.

Dr. Karamitsos asked if there was a prequalification process before. Mr. Wuitschick explained that only after the fact if they did not fill out paperwork properly. Mr. Tognazzini said we did have issues before and he doubts the prequalification would weed them out.

Mr. Wuitschick explained that this is just another tool to use. Each contractor is only as good as the last project they have done.

Dr. Karamitsos asked if there is a formal matrix so there is objectivity. Mr. Wuitschick said it is garnered from the interviewee; references that we call -- not the contractor. Someone else is providing us with these numbers. This portion came from our legal counsel. Yolanda – it would be nice if there was a uniform document.

The steps that must be taken by the Board to allow staff to engage in the process under PCC 20111.6 include:

- Approval of Resolution No. 2 2014-2015
- Approval of the Prequalification Questionnaire
- Approval of the Evaluation Form
- Approval of the Scoring Method
- Delegation of Authority of staff to manage and conclude the process

A motion was made by Mr. Tognazzini, seconded by Jack Garvin and carried with a 4-0 roll call vote to approve the Prequalification Process for Construction Contractors and Resolution No. 2-2014-2015.

ROLL CALL:

Dr. Walsh Yes
Mr. Tognazzini Yes
Dr. Garvin Yes
Dr. Karamitsos Yes
Mrs. Perez Absent

SANTA MARIA JOINT UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT RESOLUTION NO. 2 - 2014-2015

ADOPTING A BIDDER PREQUALIFICATION PROCESS

WHEREAS, Public Contract Code section 20111.5 authorizes public school districts to require bidders for public works contracts to submit to a prequalification process; and

WHEREAS, Public Contract Code section 20111.6 requires that bidders for construction contracts awarded after January 1, 2014 on certain public projects for which the Board of Education uses funds received pursuant to the Leroy F. Greene School Facilities Act of 1998, or any funds from any future state school bond for a public project that involves a projected expenditure of one million dollars (\$1,000,000) or more, submit to a prequalification process; and

WHEREAS, to comply with Public Contract Code section 20111.6, the Board of Education must adopt procedures, a standardized questionnaire, and a uniform system of rating bidders on the basis of the completed questionnaires and financial statement.

NOW, THEREFORE, the Board of Education of the Santa Maria Joint Union High School District does hereby resolve as follows:

Section 1. Recitals. The foregoing recitals are true and correct.

<u>Section 2.</u> <u>Prequalification Required.</u> For all public projects subject to Public Contract Code section 20111.6 no bids will be accepted, and pursuant to Public Contract Code section 20111 no contracts shall be awarded to bidders who have not been prequalified.

<u>Section 3.</u> <u>Prequalification Procedure, Standardized Forms, and Uniform Rating Procedure Adopted.</u> Bidders shall be prequalified in accordance with the requirements set forth in the Prequalification Procedures ("Prequalification Procedures") and Prequalification Forms for Contractors Seeking to Contract for Public Works Projects for the Santa Maria Joint Union High School District ("Prequalification Forms"), attached hereto, and adopted by this resolution.

<u>Section 4.</u> Additional Authorization. The District Superintendent or his designee is hereby further authorized and directed to prepare, on behalf of the District, any other documentation necessary to effectuate the Prequalification Procedures in accordance with Public Contract Code section 20111.6 or to revise the Prequalification Forms as necessary consistent with the terms and conditions of this resolution. Any actions heretofore taken by the District Superintendent or his designee, on behalf of the District, that is in conformity with the purposes and intent of this resolution and with the provisions of Public Contract Code section 20111.6 with respect to prequalification of contractors are hereby approved and confirmed.

Section 5. Effective Date. This resolution shall take effect immediately upon its adoption.

APPROVED, PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Education of the Santa Maria Joint Union High School District this 6th day of August 2014, by the following votes:

AYES: Walsh, Tognazzini, Garvin, Karamitsos

NOES:

ABSENT: Perez

Consent Items

A motion was made by Dr. Garvin, seconded by Dr. Karamitsos and carried with a 4-0 vote to approve the following consent items as presented.

Approval of Minutes

June 11, 2014- Regular Meeting June 16, 2014 – Special Meeting June 26, 2014 – Special Meeting July 22, 2014 – Special Meeting

Approval of Warrants for the Month of June 2014

Payroll	6,511,767.44
Warrants	2,230,816.29

Attendance Report

Mrs. Yolanda Ortiz, Assistant Superintendent of Business Services, will be available to answer questions regarding the attendance report from the tenth and eleventh months of the 2013-14 school year presented on pages 16 and 17.

Facility Report - Appendix B

Acceptance of Gifts

Pioneer Valley High School		
Donor	Recipient	Amount
PG & E	Link Crew	\$160.00
PG & E	Watkins	\$80.00
PG & E	Athletics	\$280.00

Total Pioneer Valley High School \$520.00

Santa Maria High School

DonorRecipientAmountBrad BowenMen's Golf Equipment\$249.99

Total Santa Maria High School \$249.99

Textbook Approval (second reading and approval)

The following textbook was presented to the Board of Education for preview at the June 11, 2014 Board meeting. It is presented for second reading and approval.

Pioneer Valley High School

American Pageant (AP edition) (Basic); Author: Kennedy, Cohen; ISBN: 978-1-11.83106-6; Copyright: 2013

Authorization for Sale of Obsolete Equipment

Education Code §17545 allows the district to sell personal property that is no longer necessary or suitable for school use. The district administration is requesting authorization to conduct a public auction through the internet at interschola.com to sell equipment that is obsolete, surplus or damaged beyond repair to the highest responsible bidder.

The obsolete equipment is listed in Appendix C. Each school and program will have an opportunity to request surplus property from the list. Notices of items for sale are posted at all district sites, on the internet at www.interschola.com or www.publicsurplus.com and e-mailed to all staff.

Notice of Completion

The following project(s) have/has been completed and in order to file the necessary Notice of Completion forms with the County of Santa Barbara, the Acceptance of Completion needs to be formally accepted by the Board of Education.

Santa Maria High School – Slurry Seal – Areas J, K Support Services Center – Slurry Seal – Areas B, Portion of C Project #12-128.3; Rockwood General Contractors, Inc. – General Contractor

Fieldwork Agreement with the University of LaVerne

The Board of Education is requested to approve a new fieldwork agreement between the University of LaVerne and the Santa Maria Joint Union High School District. The purpose is to allow University of LaVerne students to be placed into the district for fieldwork and supervised teaching experiences. The agreement will be in effect until terminated upon mutual consent of both parties.

Employee Organizations' Report

Tami Contreras, representing CSEA, reported that Krista Ballard, Stacia Malm, Stacy Newby and Hector Contreras are attending the CSEA State Conference in Sacramento this week. CSEA is also working on new job descriptions for the guidance technicians to present to the district.

There was no report from the Faculty Association.

Open Session Public Comments

Rich Boyle asked for a response from the Board regarding his previous complaint.

Items not on the Agenda

There were no items discussed that were not on the agenda.

Next Meeting Date

Unless otherwise announced, the next regular meeting of the Board of Education will be held on September 10, 2014. Closed session begins at 5:30 p.m. Open session begins at 6:30 p.m. The meeting will be held at the Santa Maria High School Cafeteria, 901 South Broadway, Santa Maria, California 93454.

Future Regular Board Meetings for 2014

October 8 November 12 December 10

Adjourn

The meeting was adjourned at 7:46 p.m.