

## Final Evaluation Conference Summary Sheet

Teacher's  
name \_\_\_\_\_

District \_\_\_\_\_ School \_\_\_\_\_

Grade level(s)/Subject area(s) \_\_\_\_\_

Academic year \_\_\_\_\_ Contract level \_\_\_\_\_

Evaluation  
Chair \_\_\_\_\_ Evaluator 2  
(if applicable) \_\_\_\_\_

Evaluator 3  
(if applicable) \_\_\_\_\_

### Observations of Professional Practice

Domain	Overall Domain Score	Overall Performance Level Exemplary, Proficient, Needs Improvement, Unsatisfactory
Instruction		
Planning		
Environment		
Professionalism		
Composite Score (See scoring explanation on reverse)		

### Student Learning Objective

Overall Score (1-4)	Overall Performance Level

### Overall Evaluation Rating

☐ Exemplary      ☐ Proficient      ☐ Needs Improvement      ☐ Unsatisfactory

### Overall Evaluation Status

☐ Met      ☐ Not Met

Teacher Signature \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

*By signing, I verify that I have received the results of this evaluation. My signature does not necessarily imply that I agree with these results.*

Evaluation Chair Signature \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Evaluator 2 (if applicable) Signature \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Evaluator 3 (if applicable) Signature \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

*By signing, I verify that (1) the ADEPT process was properly implemented, (2) I was a full participant in the process, and (3) I am in agreement with the above judgments*

## Overall Evaluation Ratings Worksheet

\*Districts must choose either the consensus or average approach in cases where there are multiple evaluators\*

### Domain Scores

- **Instruction Domain Score** (overall score of Instruction indicators): \_\_\_\_\_
- **Planning Domain Score** (overall score of Planning indicators): \_\_\_\_\_
- **Environment Domain Score** (overall score of Environment indicators): \_\_\_\_\_
- **Professionalism Domain Score** (overall score of Professionalism indicators): \_\_\_\_\_

### Domain Weighted Scoring

*Domain score \* Domain weighting = Domain weighted score*

*Domain weightings: Planning 20%, Instruction 50%, Environment, 20%, Professionalism 10%*

### Domain Weighted Scores

- Planning \_\_\_\_\_
- Instruction \_\_\_\_\_
- Environment \_\_\_\_\_
- Professionalism \_\_\_\_\_

### Composite Scoring

*Sum of domain weighted scores (Planning + Instruction + Environment + Professionalism)*  
*=Composite score*

Overall Composite Score: \_\_\_\_\_

SLO Score: \_\_\_\_\_

*(If SLO score is "4", increase composite score by 0.25; if SLO score is "1", decrease composite score by 0.25.)*

Final Overall Composite Score: \_\_\_\_\_

Overall Evaluation Rating: \_\_\_\_\_

### Overall Evaluation Ratings

The table below can be used to determine the appropriate rating associated with each composite domain score.

Composite Score Range	SCTS Ratings	Overall Effectiveness Rating
1.00 – 1.24 = 1.0	Unsatisfactory	Not Met
1.25 – 1.75 = 1.5	Needs Improvement	Not Met
1.76 – 2.25 = 2.0	Needs Improvement	Not Met
2.26 – 2.75 = 2.5	Proficient	Met
2.76 – 3.25 = 3.0	Proficient	Met
3.26 – 3.75 = 3.5	Proficient	Met
3.76 – 4.00 = 4.0	Exemplary	Met