GADSDEN COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Florida School Leader Assessment (FSLA) **Rubrics**



Elijah Key, Jr. Superintendent

http://www.gadsdenschools.org/

Florida School Leader Assessment (FSLA)

For the purpose of <u>increasing student learning growth</u> by improving the quality of instructional, administrative, and supervisory services in the public schools of the state, the district school superintendent shall establish procedures for evaluating the performance of duties and responsibilities of all instructional, administrative, and supervisory personnel employed by the school district (Florida Statutes Section 1012.34 (1) (a)).

What does this mean?

To accomplish the purpose defined in law, a district evaluation system for school administrator's must:

- 1. Be focused on school leadership actions that impact student learning, and;
- 2. Support professional learning on performance of duties and responsibilities that matter most for student learning, faculty and leadership development.

The evaluation system adopted by the district is:

- ✓ Based on contemporary research that reveals educational leadership behaviors that, when done correctly and in appropriate circumstances, have a positive impact on student learning and faculty development.
- ✓ Fully aligned with the Florida Principal Leadership Standards a State Board of Education rule that sets expectations for principal performance (SBE Rule 6A-5.080).

<u>A New Approach to Evaluation</u>: The FSLA evaluation system is designed to support three processes:

- Self-reflection by the leader on current proficiencies and growth needs (What am I good at? What can I do better?)
- **Feedback** from the evaluator and others on what needs improvement.
- An annual summative evaluation that assigns one of the four performance levels required by law (i.e., Highly Effective, Effective, Needs Improvement, or Unsatisfactory.

What is evaluated?

Evaluation of school leaders is based on observation and evidence about certain leadership behaviors AND the impact of a leader's behavior on others.

The portion of evaluation that involves "impact on others" comes in two components:

- 1. Student Growth Measures: At least 50% of a school leader's annual evaluation is based on the performance of students in the school on specific state or district assessments (e.g. FCAT, EOC exams).
- The Leadership Practice: This component contributes the remaining percentage of the school leader's evaluation. Leadership Practice combines results of the Florida School Leader Assessment (FSLA) and an additional Metric – Deliberate Practice. The FSLA contribution to evaluation is based on observation of the leader's actions and the leader's impact on the actions and behaviors of others

The Florida School Leader Assessment (FSLA) Rubrics

The Florida School Leader Assessment (FSLA) rubrics provides:

- The text of all Proficiency Areas and FSLA indicators
- Rubrics to distinguish among proficiency levels
 - A generic rubric that applies to each indicator and
 - An indicator specific rubric that applies to the individual indicator
- Narratives to assist in understanding the focus and priorities embedded in the FSLA
- Illustrative examples of Leadership Actions and Impacts on Others of Leadership Action that assist in understanding how the issue(s) in an indicator are observed "on the job".
- Reflection questions to guide personal growth

Domain 1 - Student Achievement

Narrative: Student achievement results in the student growth measures (SGM) segment of evaluation represent student results on specific statewide or district assessments or end-of-course exams. The leadership practice segment of the evaluation, through the proficiency areas and indicators in this domain, focuses on leadership behaviors that influence the desired student results.

Proficiency Area 1. Student Learning Results: Effective school leaders achieve results on the school's student learning goals and direct energy, influence, and resources toward data analysis for instructional improvement, development and implementation of quality standards-based curricula.

Narrative: This proficiency area focuses on the leader's knowledge and actions regarding academic standards, use of performance data, planning and goal setting related to targeted student results, and capacities to understand what results are being obtained. This proficiency area is aligned with Florida Principal Leadership Standard #1.

Indicator 1.1 - Academic Standards: The leader demonstrates understanding of student requirements and academic standards (Common Core Standards and Next Generation Sunshine State Standards).

Narrative: Standards-based instruction is an essential element in the state's plan of action for preparing Florida's students for success in a 21st century global economy. This indicator is focused on the leader's understanding of what students are to know and be able to do. School leaders need to know the academic standards teachers are to teach and students are to master.

Note: Every credit course has specific academic standards assigned to it. Common Core Standards and Next Generation Sunshine State Standards (NGSSS) assigned to each course are found at www.floridastandards.org.

Rating Rubric					
Highly Effective:	Effective: Leader's actions	Needs Improvement:	Unsatisfactory:		
Leader's actions or impact of	or impact of leader's actions	Leader's actions or impact of	Leader's actions or impa		
leader's actions relevant to	relevant to this indicator are	leader's actions relevant to this	leader's actions relevant		
this indicator exceed effective	sufficient and appropriate	indicator are evident but are	indicator are minimal o		
levels and constitute models of	reflections of quality work	inconsistent or of insufficient	not occurring, or are hav		
proficiency for other leaders.	with only normal variations.	scope or proficiency.	adverse impact.		

Highly Effective:	Effective: Leader's actions	Needs Improvement:	Unsatisfactory:
Leader's actions or impact of	or impact of leader's actions	Leader's actions or impact of	Leader's actions or impact of
leader's actions relevant to	relevant to this indicator are	leader's actions relevant to this	leader's actions relevant to this
this indicator exceed effective	sufficient and appropriate	indicator are evident but are	indicator are minimal or are
levels and constitute models of	reflections of quality work	inconsistent or of insufficient	not occurring, or are having an
proficiency for other leaders.	with only normal variations.	scope or proficiency.	adverse impact.
Every faculty meeting and	The link between	Common Core Standards	Classroom learning goals
staff development forum is	standards and student	and NGSSS are accessible to	and curriculum are not
focused on student	performance is in evidence	faculty and students.	monitored for alignment to
achievement on the	from the alignment in	Required training on	standards or are
Common Core Standards	lesson plans of learning	standards-based	considered a matter of
and NGSSS, including	goals, activities and	instruction has been	individual discretion
periodic reviews of student	assignments to course	conducted, but the link	regardless of course
work.	standards.	between standards and	description requirements.
The leader can articulate	The leader is able to	student performance is not	The leader is hesitant to
which Common Core	recognize whether or not	readily evident to many	intrude or is indifferent to
Standards are designated	learning goals and student	faculty or students.	decisions in the classroom
for implementation in	activities are related to		that are at variance from
multiple courses.	standards in the course	Assignments and activities	the requirements of
	descriptions.	in most, but not all courses	academic standards in the
	_	relate to the standards in	course descriptions.
		the course descriptions.	Training for the faculty on
		•	standards-based
			instruction does not occur
			and the leader does not
			demonstrate knowledge of
			how to access standards.
	1	1	

 Leadership Evidence of proficiency on this indicator may be seen in the leader's behaviors or actions. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following: School leader extracts data on standards associated with courses in the master schedule from the course descriptions and monitor for actual implementation. Lesson plans are monitored for alignment with correct standards. Agendas, memoranda, etc. reflect leader's communications to faculty on the role of state standards in curriculum, lesson planning, and tracking student progress. Standards shared by multiple courses are identified and teachers with shared Common Core Standards are organized by the leader into collegial teams to coordinate instruction on those shared standards. Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator. 		is of the faculty, staff, <u>lustrative examples</u> but are not limited to tions of activities to ords verify recurring tandards. they are expected to rceptions align with ription. urse descriptions to ction with standards. ficiency on this
<pre>indicator, assign a proficiency level by checking or rated at this time, leave blank: [] Highly Effective [] Effective Evidence Log (Specifically, what has been obser indicator? The examples above are illustrative ar expected):</pre>	[] Needs [Improvement ved that reflects current prof] Unsatisfactory iciency on this

Reflection Questions for Indicator 1.1

Highly Effective:	Effective:	Needs Improvement:	Unsatisfactory:
Do you routinely share examples of specific leadership, teaching, and curriculum strategies that are associated with improved student achievement on the Common Core Standards or NGSSS?	How do you support teachers' conversations about how they recognize student growth toward mastery of the standards assigned to their courses?	How do you monitor what happens in classrooms to insure that instruction and curriculum are aligned to academic standards?	Where do you find the standards that are required for the courses in your master schedule?

Indicator 1.2 – Performance Data: The leader demonstrates the use of student and adult performance data to make instructional leadership decisions.

Narrative: This indicator addresses the leader's proficiency in use of student and adult performance data to make instructional leadership decisions. What does test data and other sources of student performance data related to targeted academic goals say about what is needed? What does data about teacher proficiency or professional learning needs indicate needs to be done? The focus is what the leader does with data about student and adult performance to make instructional decisions that impact student achievement.

Rating Rubric			
Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders. The leader can specifically	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations. The leader uses multiple	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency. The leader is aware of state	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact. The leader is unaware of or
document examples of decisions in teaching, assignment, curriculum, assessment, and intervention that have been made on the basis of data analysis. The leader has coached school administrators in other schools to improve their data analysis skills and to inform instructional decision making.	data sources, including state, district, school, and classroom assessments, and systematically examines data at the subscale level to find strengths and challenges. The leader empowers teaching and administrative staff to determine priorities using data on student and adult performance. Data insights are regularly the subject of faculty meetings and professional development sessions.	and district results and has discussed those results with staff, but has not linked specific decisions to the data. Data about adult performance (e.g. evaluation feedback data, professional learning needs assessments) are seldom used to inform instructional leadership decisions.	indifferent to the data about student and adult performance, or fails to use such data as a basis for making decisions.
Leadership Evidence of p		Impact Evidence of leade	
indicator may be seen in the actions. <u>Illustrative examp</u>		seen in the behaviors or actions of the faculty, staff, students and/or community. <u>Illustrative examples</u>	
include, but are not limite	d to the following:	of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following:	
 Data files and analyses on a wide range of student performance assessments are in routine use by the leader. Analyses of trends and patterns in student performance over time are reflected in presentations to faculty on instructional improvement needs. Analyses of trends and patterns in evaluation feedback on faculty proficiencies and professional learning needs are reflected in presentations to faculty on instructional improvement needs. Leader's agendas, memoranda, etc. reflect recurring attention to performance data and data analyses. Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator. 		 Teachers use performan decisions. Department and team m attention to student perf Teacher leaders identify their teams or departme data analyses. Teacher leaders make pruses of performance data practices. 	formance data. changes in practice within nts based on performance resentations to colleagues on a to modify instructional

[] Highly Effective	[] Effective	[] Needs	[] Unsatisfactory
		Improvement	

Evidence Log (Specifically, what has been observed that reflects current proficiency on this indicator? The examples above are illustrative and do not reflect an exclusive list of what is expected):

Reflection Questions for Indicator 1.2

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
How do you aggregate data about teacher proficiencies on instructional practices to stimulate dialogue about what changes in instruction are needed in order to improve student performance?	How do you verify that all faculty have sufficient grasp of the significance of student performance data to formulate rational improvement plans?	By what methods do you enable faculty to participate in useful discussions about the relationship between student performance data and the instructional actions under the teachers' control?	How much of the discussions with district staff about student performance data are confusing to you and how do you correct that?

Indicator 1.3 – Planning and Goal Setting: The leader demonstrates planning and goal setting to improve student achievement.

Narrative: Knowing the standards and making use of performance data is expected to play a significant role in planning and goal setting. This indicator is focused on the leader's alignment of planning and goal setting with improvement of student achievement.

Rating Rubric	1	Γ	
Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders.	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations.	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency.	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact.
The leader routinely shares examples of specific leadership, teaching, and curriculum strategies that are associated with improved student achievement. Other leaders credit this leader with sharing ideas, coaching, and providing technical assistance to implement successful new initiatives supported by quality planning and goal setting.	Goals and strategies reflect a clear relationship between the actions of teachers and leaders and the impact on student achievement. Results show steady improvements based on these leadership initiatives. Priorities for student growth are established, understood by staff and students, and plans to achieve those priorities are aligned with the actual actions of the staff and students.	Specific and measurable goals related to student achievement are established, but these efforts have yet to result in improved student achievement or planning for methods of monitoring improvements. Priorities for student growth are established in some areas, understood by some staff and students, and plans to achieve those priorities are aligned with the actual actions of some of the staff.	Planning for improvement in student achievement is not evident and goals are neither measurable nor specific. The leader focuses more on student characteristics as an explanation for student results than on the actions of the teachers and leaders in the system.
Leadership Evidence of proficiency on this indicator may be seen in the leader's behaviors or actions. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may include, but		Impact Evidence of leadership proficiency may be seen in the behaviors or actions of the faculty, staff, students and/or community. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may include but are not limited to the following:	
 students. Agendas, memoranda, a comprehensive plannin formulation of the adop Leader's presentations i updates on the status of progress toward goals. Leader's presentations i school goals for student 	accessible to faculty and nd other documents reflect a g process that resulted in ted goals. to faculty provide recurring f plan implementation and to parents focus on the	 participation in planning and goal setting processes. Goals relevant to students and teachers' actions are evident and accessible. Students are able to articulate the goals for their achievement which emerged from faculty and school leader planning. Teachers and students track their progress toward accomplishment of the stated goals. Other impact evidence of proficiency on this 	

[] Highly Effective	[] Effective	[] Needs	[] Unsatisfactory
		Improvement	

Evidence Log (Specifically, what has been observed that reflects current proficiency on this indicator? The examples above are illustrative and do not reflect an exclusive list of what is expected):

Reflection Questions for Indicator 1.3

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory	
What methods of sharing successful planning	How will you monitor progress toward the	How do you engage more faculty in the planning	How are other school leaders implementing planning and	
processes with other school leaders are most	goals so that adjustments needed are evident in	process so that there is a uniform faculty	goal setting?	
likely to generate district- wide improvements?	time to make "course corrections?"	understanding of the goals set?		

Indicator 1.4 - Student Achievement Results: The leader demonstrates evidence of student improvement through student achievement results.

Narrative: Engagement with the standards, using data, making plans and setting goals are important. This indicator shifts focus to the leader's use of evidence of actual improvement to build support for continued effort and further improvement.

Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations. The leader reaches the required numbers, meeting performance goals for student achievement. Results on accomplished goals are used to maintain gains and stimulate future goal setting. The average of the student population improves, as does the achievement of each group of students who have previously been identified as needing improvement.	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency. Accumulation and exhibition of student improvement results are inconsistent or untimely. Some evidence of improvement exists, but there is insufficient evidence of using such improvements to initiate changes in leadership, teaching, and curriculum that will create the improvements necessary to achieve student performance goals. The leader has taken some decisive actions to make some changes in time, teacher assignment, curriculum, leadership practices, or other variables in order to improve student achievement, but additional actions are needed to generate	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact. Evidence of student improvement is not routinely gathered and used to promote further growth. Indifferent to the data about learning needs, the leader blames students, families, and external characteristics for insufficient progress. The leader does not believe that student achievement can improve. The leader has not taken decisive action to change time, teacher assignment, curriculum, leadership practices, or other variables in order to improve student achievement.
proficiency on this	students. Impact Evidence of leade	rship proficiency may be
 indicator may be seen in the leader's behaviors or actions. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following: The leader generates data that describes what improvements have occurred. Agendas, memoranda, and other documents for faculty and students communicate the progress made and relate that progress to teacher and student capacity to make further gains. Evidence on student improvement is routinely shared with parents. 		ty. <u>Illustrative examples</u> ide, but are not limited to m students and parents on ructional goals. national signage informing of ire distributed in the school meetings' minutes reflect student improvements.
	or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations. The leader reaches the required numbers, meeting performance goals for student achievement. Results on accomplished goals are used to maintain gains and stimulate future goal setting. The average of the student population improves, as does the achievement of each group of students who have previously been identified as needing improvement.	or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations.Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evidented that are encounted to maintain gains and stimulate future goals are used to maintain gains and stimulate future goals setting. The average of the student population improves, as does the achievement of each group of students who have previously been improvement.Leader's actions or impact of leader's action and exhibition of student improvement results are inconsistent or untimely.The average of the student population improves, as does the achievement of each group of students who have previously been improvement.Some evidence of improvements to initiate changes in leadership, teaching, and curriculum that will create the improvements necessary to achieve student performance goals.The leader 's behaviors or leader's behaviors or leader's behaviors or leader's behaviors or achieve student achievement, but additional actions are needed to generate improvements for all students and/or communit of such evidence may d to the following:The leader's behaviors or leg of such evidence may d to the following:Impact Evidence of leader seen in the behaviors or an student progress on inst or municate the progress gress to teacher and student 'gains.The or import is routinely or or leg of such evidence may to other inport evidence of improvement is routinelyThe attent describes what urred.The attent describes what rgains.The attent is routinelyThe attent is routinely

[] Highly Effective	[] Effective	[] Needs	[] Unsatisfactory
		Improvement	

Evidence Log (Specifically, what has been observed that reflects current proficiency on this indicator? The examples above are illustrative and do not reflect an exclusive list of what is expected):

Reflection Questions for Indicator 1.4

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
How do you share with other school leaders how to use student improvement results to raise expectations and improve future results?	How do you engage students in sharing examples of their growth with other students?	How do you engage faculty in routinely sharing examples of student improvement?	What processes should you employ to gather data on student improvements?

Proficiency Area 2. <u>Student Learning as a Priority</u>: Effective school leaders demonstrate that student learning is their top priority through effective leadership actions that build and support a learning organization focused on student success.

Narrative: This proficiency area is aligned with Florida Principal Leadership Standard #2. A learning organization has essential elements regarding the behavior of people in the organization. When all elements are present and interacting, productive systemic change is possible. This proficiency area is focused on the degree to which learning organization elements exist in the school and reflect the following priorities on student learning:

- Supports for personal mastery of each person's job focus on job aspects related to student learning
- Team learning among faculty is focused on student learning
- Processes for exploring and challenging mental models that hamper understanding and progress on student learning are in use
- A shared vision has student learning as a priority
- Systems thinking is employed to align various aspects of school life in ways that promote learning

Indicator 2.1 – Learning Organization: The leader enables faculty and staff to work as a system focused on student learning and engages faculty and staff in efforts to close learning performance gaps among student subgroups within the school.

Narrative: Are the elements of a learning organization present among the adults in the school? Are the learning organization elements focused on student learning? Is the system in operation at the school engaging faculty in improving results for under-achieving subgroups? This indicator addresses the systemic processes that make gap reduction possible. Is the leader proficient in building capacity for change?

Note: Indicator 5.4 from Florida Principal Leadership Standard #5 addresses actual success in reducing achievement gaps.

Rating Rubric

Highly Effective:	Effective: Leader's actions	Needs Improvement:	Unsatisfactory:
Leader's actions or impact of	or impact of leader's actions	Leader's actions or impact of	Leader's actions or impact of
leader's actions relevant to	relevant to this indicator are	leader's actions relevant to	leader's actions relevant to this
this indicator exceed effective	sufficient and appropriate	this indicator are evident but	indicator are minimal or are
levels and constitute models of	reflections of quality work	are inconsistent or of	not occurring, or are having an
proficiency for other leaders.	with only normal variations.	insufficient scope or	adverse impact.
	ml 1 1 4 1	proficiency.	
The essential elements of a	The leader's actions and	The leader's actions reflect	There is no or minimal
learning organization (i.e.	supported processes	attention to building an	evidence of proactive
personal mastery of	enable the instructional	organization where the	leadership that supports
competencies, team	and administrative	essential elements of a	emergence of a learning
learning, examination of	workforce of the school to	learning organization (i.e.	organization focused on
mental models, shared	function as a learning	personal mastery of	student learning as the
vision, and systemic	organization with all	competencies, team	priority function of the
thinking) are focused on	faculty having recurring	learning, examination of	organization.
improving student learning	opportunities to	mental models, shared	Any works in progress on
results. Positive trends are	participate in deepening	vision, and systemic	personal mastery of
evident in closing learning	personal mastery of	thinking) are emerging, but	instructional competencies,
performance gaps among	competencies, team	processes that support	team learning processes,
all student subgroups	learning, examination of	each of the essential	examinations of mental
within the school.	mental models, a shared	elements are not fully	models, a shared vision of
There is evidence that the	vision, and systemic	implemented, or are not	outcomes sought, or
interaction among the	thinking. These fully	yet consistently focused on	systemic thinking about
elements of the learning	operational capacities are	student learning as the	instructional practices are
organization deepen the	focused on improving all	priority, or are not focused	not aligned or are not
impact on student learning.	students' learning and	on closing learning	organized in ways that
The leader routinely shares	closing learning	performance gaps among	impact student
with colleagues throughout	performance gaps among		achievement gaps.

the district the effective studer leadership practices the scl	nt subgroups within hool	student subgroups wit the school.	thin
learned from proficient			
implementation of the			
essential elements of a			
learning organization.			
Leadership Evidence of proficie	ency on this	Impact Evidence of	f leadership proficiency may be
indicator may be seen in the lead	er's behaviors or	seen in the behavior	rs or actions of the faculty, staff,
actions. Illustrative examples of s		students and/or con	nmunity. <u>Illustrative examples</u>
include, but are not limited to the			y include, but are not limited to
 Principal's support for team leam focused on student learning is eithe school year. Principal's team learning process student learning. Principal's meeting agendas refl topics routinely taking preceder as reflected by place on the ager committed to the issues. School Improvement Plan reflect analysis of the actionable causes performance and contains goals systemic improvement. The principal supports through professional learning by self and of mental models, team learning systems thinking practices focus student learning. Dialogues with faculty and staff learning goes beyond learning weeting basic expectations and learning that enhances the colle create improved outcomes for a Other leadership evidence of preindicator. 	vident throughout sses are focused on lect student learning nce over other issues nda and time sts a systemic s of gaps in student that support personal action, d faculty, exploration g, shared vision, and sed on improving on professional vhat is needed for is focused on ctive capacity to ll students. oficiency on this	 Team learning prafaculty and focuse student subgroup Professional learr performance gaps the school. Performance gaps the school show if Faculty, departme meetings focus or Data Teams, Profa and/or Lesson Sturecurring meeting issues. Faculty and staff t larger than thems generative of som lives. There is systemic success with an ensuccess happened. Teacher or studer learning organiza Other impact evidence to radius and the subsence of the success. 	nt questionnaire results address tion's essential elements. lence of proficiency on this tte current proficiency on this
indicator, assign a proficiency	level by checking o	ne of the four profic	iency levels below. If not being
rated at this time, leave blank:	l Effective	[] Needs	[] Unsatisfactory

[] Highly Effective	[] Effective	[] Needs	[] Unsatisfactory
		Improvement	
Evidence Log (Specifica	lly, what has been	observed that reflects of	current proficiency on this
indicator? The examples	above are illustrat	ive and do not reflect a	an exclusive list of what is

Reflection Questions for Indicator 2.1

expected):

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory	
Has your leadership	Where the essential	What essential elements of a	What happens in schools that	
resulted in people	elements of a learning	learning organization have	are effective learning	
continually expanding	organization are in place	supports in place and which	organizations that does not	
their capacity to create	and interacting, how do	need development?	happen in this school?	
the results they truly	you monitor what you			
desire? Is there evidence	are creating collectively	Understanding that	How can you initiate work	
that new and expansive	is focused on student	systemic change does not	toward a learning	
patterns of thinking are	learning needs and	occur unless all of the	organization by developing	
nurtured? Are the people		essential elements of the	effective collaborative work	

who make up your school community continually learning to see the "big picture" (i.e. the systemic connections between practices and processes)?	making a difference for all students?	learning organization are in operation, interacting, and focused on student learning as their priority function, what gaps do you need to fill in your supporting processes and what leadership actions will enable all faculty and staff to get involved?	systems (e.g., Data Teams, Professional Learning Communities, Lesson Studies)?
---	---------------------------------------	---	---

Indicator 2.2 – School Climate: The leader maintains a school climate that supports student engagement in learning.

Narrative: "Climate" at a school is determined by how people treat one another and what is respected and what is not. School leaders who promote a school climate where learning is respected, effort is valued, improvement is recognized, and it is safe to acknowledge learning needs have provided students support for sustained engagement in learning.

Rating Rubric

Highly Effective:	Effective: Leader's actions	Needs Improvement:	Unsatisfactory:
Leader's actions or impact of	or impact of leader's actions	Leader's actions or impact of	Leader's actions or impact of
leader's actions relevant to	relevant to this indicator are	leader's actions relevant to this	leader's actions relevant to this
this indicator exceed effective	sufficient and appropriate	indicator are evident but are	indicator are minimal or are
levels and constitute models	reflections of quality work	inconsistent or of insufficient	not occurring, or are having an
of proficiency for other	with only normal variations.	scope or proficiency.	adverse impact.
leaders.	with only normal variations.	scope of proficiency.	auverse impact.
The leader ensures that the	The leader systematically (e.g.,	Some practices promote	Student and/or faculty apathy
school's identity and climate	has a plan, with goals,	respect for student learning	in regard to student
(e.g., vision, mission, values,	measurable strategies, and	needs and cultural, linguistic	achievement and the
beliefs, and goals) actually	recurring monitoring)	and family background, but	importance of learning is easily
drives decisions and informs	establishes and maintains a	there are discernable	discernable across the school
the climate of the school.	school climate of	subgroups who do not	population and there are no or
Respect for students' cultural,	collaboration, distributed	perceive the school climate as	minimal leadership actions to
linguistic and family	leadership, and continuous	supportive of their needs.	change school climate.
background is evident in the	improvement, which guides	supportive of their needs.	Student subgroups are evident
leader's conduct and	the disciplined thoughts and	The school climate does not	that do not perceive the school
expectations for the faculty.	actions of all staff and	generate a level of school-wide	as focused on or respectful of
The leader is proactive in	students.	student engagement that leads	their learning needs or
guiding faculty in adapting the	Policies and the	to improvement trends in all	cultural, linguistic and family
learning environment to	implementation of those	student subgroups.	background or there is no to
accommodate the differing	policies result in a climate of	student subgroups.	minimal support for managing
needs and diversity of	respect for student learning	The leader provides school	individual and class behaviors
students.	needs and cultural, linguistic	rules and class management	through a well-planned
School-wide values, beliefs,	and family background.	practices that promote student	management system.
and goals are supported by	Classroom practices on	engagement and are fairly	management system.
individual and class behaviors	adapting the learning	implemented across all	
through a well-planned	environment to accommodate	subgroups. Classroom	
management system.	the differing needs and	practices on adapting the	
management system.	diversity of students are	learning environment to	
	consistently applied	accommodate the differing	
	throughout the school.	needs and diversity of students	
	throughout the school.	are inconsistently applied.	
Leadership Evidence of	proficiency on this	Impact Evidence of leader	rshin proficiency may be
		seen in the behaviors or ac	
indicator may be seen in t			
actions. <u>Illustrative examp</u>	-	students and/or communi	
include, but are not limite	d to the following:	of such evidence may inclu	ide, but are not limited to
	-	the following:	
L		0	

The leader organizes, allocates, and manages the resources	
of time, space, and attention so that the needs of all student	expectations and not just "do nots."
subgroups are recognized and addressed.	 All student subgroups participate in school events and
There are recurring examples of the leader's presentations,	activities.
documents, and actions that reflect respect for students'	• A multi-tiered system of supports that accommodates the
cultural, linguistic and family background.	differing needs and diversity of students is evident across
The leader maintains a climate of openness and inquiry and	classes.
supports student and faculty access to leadership.	• Students in all subgroups express a belief that the school
The school's vision, mission, values, beliefs, and goals	responds to their needs and is a positive influence on their
reflect an expectation that student learning needs and	future well-being.
cultural, linguistic and family backgrounds are respected	Walkthroughs provide recurring trends of high student
and school rules consistent with those beliefs are routinely	
implemented.	Student services staff/counselors' anecdotal evidence shore
Professional learning is provided to sustain faculty	trends in student attitudes toward the school and
understanding of student needs.	engagement in learning.
Procedures are in place and monitored to ensure students	• Teacher/student/parent survey or questionnaire results
have effective means to express concerns over any aspect	reflect a school climate that supports student engagement
of school climate.	learning.
Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator.	• The availability of and student participation in academic
,	supports outside the classroom that assist student
	engagement in learning.
	 Other impact evidence of proficiency on this indicator.

[] Highly Effective	[] Effective	[] Needs	[] Unsatisfactory
		Improvement	
Evidence Log (Specifical	ly, what has been ol	bserved that reflects	current proficiency on this
indicator? The examples	above are illustrative	e and do not reflect	an exclusive list of what is
expected):			

Reflection Questions for Indicator 2.2

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
In what ways might you	What strategies have you	How might you structure a	What might be the
further extend your reach	considered that would	plan that establishes and	importance of developing a
within the district to help	ensure that the school's	maintains a school climate	shared vision, mission,
others benefit from your	identity and climate (e.g.,	of collaboration,	values, beliefs, and goals to
knowledge and skill in	vision, mission, values,	distributed leadership, and	establish and maintain a
establishing and	beliefs, and goals) actually	continuous improvement,	school climate that
maintaining a school	drives decisions and	which guides the	supports student
climate that supports	informs the climate of the	disciplined thought and	engagement in learning?
student engagement in	school?	action of all staff and	
learning?		students?	
	How could you share with		
	your colleagues across the		
	district the successes (or		
	failures) of your efforts?		

Indicator 2.3 – High Expectations: The leader generates high expectations for learning growth by all students.

Narrative: The leader who expects little from students and faculty will get less than they are capable of accomplishing. "Every child can learn" takes on new meaning when supported by faculty and school leader expectations that students can and will learn a lot...not just a minimum to get by. Expecting quality is a measure of respect.

ating Rubric			
Rating Rubric Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders. The leader incorporates community members and other stakeholder groups into the establishment and support of high academic expectations. The leader benchmarks expectations to the performance of the state's, nation's, and world's highest performing schools. The leader creates systems and approaches to monitor the level of academic expectations. The leader encourages a culture in which students are able to clearly articulate their diverse personal academic goals.	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations. The leader systematically (e.g., has a plan, with goals, measurable strategies, and a frequent monitoring schedule) creates and supports high academic expectations by empowering teachers and staff to set high and demanding academic expectations for every student. The leader ensures that students are consistently learning, respectful, and on task. The leader sets clear expectations for student academics and establishing consistent practices across classrooms. The leader ensures the use of instructional practices with proven effectiveness in creating success for all students, including those with	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency. The leader creates and supports high academic expectations by setting clear expectations for student academics, but is inconsistent or occasionally fails to hold all students to these expectations. The leader sets expectations, but fails to empower teachers to set high expectations for student academic performance.	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact. The leader does not create or support high academic expectations by accepting poor academic performance. The leader fails to set high expectations or sets unrealistic or unattainable goals. Perceptions among students, faculty, or community that academic shortcomings of student subgroups are explained by inadequacy of parent involvement, community conditions, or student apathy are not challenged by the school leader.
Leadership Evidence of p	diverse characteristics and needs.	Impact Evidence of leade	ershin proficiency may be
 indicator may be seen in the leader's behaviors or actions. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following: School Improvement Plan targets meaningful growth beyond what normal variation might provide. Test specification documents and state standards are used to identify levels of student performance and performance at the higher levels of implementation is stressed. Samples of written feedback provided to teachers regarding student goal setting practices are focused on high expectations. Agendas/Minutes from collaborative work systems (e.g., Data Teams, Professional Learning Communities) address 		 more difficult rather than ea Learning goals routinely ide the targeted implementatio 	of such evidence may d to the following: ure aligned with efforts for the asier outcomes. entify performance levels above n level. eader's support for setting high eacher's high academic
processes for "raising the bOther leadership evidence	ar." of proficiency on this indicator.	Other impact evidence of pr	oficiency on this indicator.

[] Highly Effective	[] Effective	[] Needs	[] Unsatisfactory
		Improvement	

Evidence Log (Specifically, what has been observed that reflects current proficiency on this indicator? The examples above are illustrative and do not reflect an exclusive list of what is expected):

Reflection Questions for Indicator 2.3

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
What strategies have you considered using that would increase the professional knowledge opportunities for colleagues across the school district in the area of setting high academic expectations for students?	How might you incorporate community members and other stakeholder groups into the establishment and support of high academic expectations?	What are 2-3 key strategies you have thought about using that would increase your consistency in creating and supporting high academic expectations for every student?	What might be some strategies you could use to create or support high academic expectations of students?

Indicator 2.4 – Student Performance Focus: The leader demonstrates understanding of present levels of student performance based on routine assessment processes that reflect the current reality of student proficiency on academic standards.

Narrative: Lots of talk about high expectations, goal setting, working hard, rigor, and getting results is important, but leaders need to know where students' actual performance levels are to be able to track real progress. Knowing annual test results is useful, but it is not enough. What does the leader do to know whether progress is being made or not and whether "mid-course" corrections are required?

Highly Effective:	Effective: Leader's actions	Needs Improvement:	Unsatisfactory:
Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders.	or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations.	Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency.	Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to thi indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having ar adverse impact.
Assessment data generated at the school level provides an on-going perspective of the current reality of student proficiency on academic standards. There is evidence of decisive changes in teacher assignments and curriculum based on student and adult performance data. Case studies of effective decisions based on performance data are shared widely with other leaders and throughout the district.	Each academic standard has been analyzed and translated into student-accessible language and processes for tracking student progress are in operation. Power (high priority) standards are widely shared by faculty members and are visible throughout the building. Assessments on student progress on them are a routine event. The link between standards and student performance is in evidence from the posting of proficient student work throughout the building.	Standards have been analyzed, but are not translated into student-accessible language. School level assessments are inconsistent in their alignment with the course standards. Power (high priority) standards are developed, but not widely known or used by faculty, and/or are not aligned with assessment data on student progress. Student work is posted, but does not reflect proficient work throughout the building.	There is no or minimal coordination of assessment practices to provide on-going data about student progress toward academic standards. School level assessments are not monitored for alignment with the implementation level of the standards. No processes in use to analyze standards and identify assessment priorities. No high priority standards are identified and aligned with assessment practices.
Leadership Evidence of		Impact Evidence of leade	
indicator may be seen in t		seen in the behaviors or ac	
actions. <u>Illustrative exam</u> i include, but are not limite	<u>oles</u> of such evidence may d to the following:	students and/or communi of such evidence may inclu the following:	
growth on learning prioritiTeacher schedule changesCurriculum materials chan	students' current levels of used by the leader to lities." , tables, and other forms of nd lines over time on student ies.	 Faculty track student progr Students track their own pr Current examples of studen 	ogress on learning goals. t work are posted with teacher ne work aligns with priority
		t evidence to rate current pr	oficiency on this indicator
	by checking one of the four [proficiency levels below. If n	ot being rated at this time
leave blank:	[] Fffe etters	[] Noodo	[]]]]
[] Highly Effective	[] Effective	[] Needs	[] Unsatisfactory

Evidence Log (Specifically, what has been observed that reflects current proficiency on this indicator? The examples above are illustrative and do not reflect an exclusive list of what is expected):

Kellection Questions I	of inuicator 2.4		
Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
What data other than end	What data other than end	What data other than end of	What data other than end of
of year state assessments	of year state assessments	year state assessments	year state assessments would
would be helpful in	would be helpful in	would be helpful in	be helpful in understanding
understanding student	understanding student	understanding student	student progress?
progress at least every 3-	progress on at least a	progress on at least a semi-	
4 weeks?	quarterly basis?	annual basis?	

Reflection Questions for Indicator 2.4

Domain 2 - Instructional Leadership

Narrative: School leaders do many things. Domain 2 of the FSLA addresses a core of leader behaviors that impact the quality of essential elements for student learning growth. The skill sets and knowledge bases employed for this domain generate 40% of the FSLA Score. The success of the school leader in providing a quality instructional framework, appropriately focused faculty development, and a student oriented learning environment are essential to student achievement.

<u>Proficiency Area 3</u>. <u>Instructional Plan Implementation</u>: Effective school leaders work collaboratively to develop and implement an instructional framework that aligns curriculum with state standards, effective instructional practices, student learning needs, and assessments.

Narrative: Proficiency Area 3 is focused on Florida Principal Leadership Standard #3 (FPLS). Aligning the key issues identified in the indicators into an efficient system is the leader's responsibility. This area stresses the leader's proficiency at understanding the current reality of what faculty and students know and can do regarding priority practices and goals.

Indicator 3.1 – FEAPs: The leader aligns the school's instructional programs and practices with the Florida Educator Accomplished Practices (Rule 6A-5.065, F.A.C.) and models use of the Florida common language of instruction to guide faculty and staff implementation of the foundational principles and practices.

Narrative: Indicator 3.1 is focused on the school leader's understanding of the Florida Educator Accomplished Practices (FEAPs) and ability to use Florida's common language of instruction. To be effective participants in school, district and statewide communities of practice working collegially for high quality implementation of the FEAPs, educators at the school level must be able to communicate and organize their efforts using the terms and concepts in the FEAPs and the Florida common language of instruction. This indicator is about the school leader's proficiency in making that happen by using a core set of expectations (the FEAPs) and terminology (the common language) to guide and focus teacher discussions on instructional improvements. Florida's common language of instruction is used so that educators in Florida use the core terms in the same way and with a common understanding.

Note: The FEAPs, a FEAPs brochure, and Florida's common language may be explored at http://www.floridaschoolleaders.org.

Rating Rubric

Highly Effective:	Effective: Leader's actions	Needs Improvement:	Unsatisfactory:
Leader's actions or impact of	or impact of leader's actions	Leader's actions or impact of	Leader's actions or impact of
leader's actions relevant to	relevant to this indicator are	leader's actions relevant to this	leader's actions relevant to this
this indicator exceed effective	sufficient and appropriate	indicator are evident but are	indicator are minimal or are
levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders.	reflections of quality work with only normal variations.	inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency.	not occurring, or are having an adverse impact.
The instructional program and	The leader's use of FEAPs	The leader demonstrates some	There is no or minimal
practices are fully aligned with	content and terms from the	use of the FEAPs and common	evidence that the principles
the FEAPs. Faculty and staff	common language is a routine	language to focus faculty on	and practices of the FEAPs are
implementation of the FEAPs	event and most instructional	instructional improvement,	presented to the faculty as
is consistently proficient and	activities align with the FEAPs.	but is inconsistent in	priority expectations.
professional conversations	Coordinated processes are	addressing the FEAPs.	The leader does not give
among school leadership and	underway that link progress		evidence of being conversant
faculty about instruction use	on student learning growth	The leader's use of FEAPs and	with the FEAPs or the common
the Florida common language	with proficient FEAPs	common language resources	language.
of instruction and the	implementation.	results in some faculty at the	The leader's use of FEAPs and
terminology of the FEAPs.	The leader's use of FEAPs and	school site having access to	common language resources
The leader's use of FEAPs and	common language resources	and making use of the FEAPs	results in few faculty at the
common language resources	results in most faculty at the	and common language.	school site having access to

results in all educators at the school site having access to and making use of the FEAPs and common language. Teacher-leaders at the school use the FEAPs and common language.	school site having access to and making use of the FEAPs and common language. The leader uses the common language to enable faculty to recognize connections between the FEAPs, the district's evaluation indicators, and contemporary research on effective instructional practice.	There are gaps in alignment of ongoing instructional practices at the school site with the FEAPs. There is some correct use of terms in the common language but errors or omissions are evident.	and making use of the FEAPs and common language.
Leadership Evidence of p may be seen in the leader's be <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such	ehaviors or actions. evidence may include, but	Impact Evidence of leader in the behaviors or actions of and/or community. <u>Illustrativ</u>	the faculty, staff, students, <u>ve examples</u> of such evidence
 reference to the content of to of the common language. School improvement docum FEAPs and common language. The leader can articulate th forth in the FEAPs. Faculty meetings focus on is The leader's monitoring prafeedback to faculty on quali practice with the FEAPs. The leader's communication stakeholders reflect use of Freferences. Other leadership evidence of the statement of the s	endas, memorandum, etc. make the FEAPs and make correct use nents reflect concepts from the ge. e instructional practices set ssues related to the FEAPs. actices result in written ty of alignment of instructional ns to parents and other FEAPs and common language of proficiency on this indicator.	 using the terms and concep Teachers use the common lato the leader providing acce School level support progratraining on the FEAPs. FEAPs brochures and excerare readily accessible to fac Faculty members are able to district's instructional evalu Sub-ordinate leaders (e.g. teprincipals) use FEAPs and caccurately in their commun Other impact evidence of pr 	th the content of the FEAPs. primary instructional practices ts in the FEAPs. anguage and attribute their use ss to the online resources. ms for new hires include pts from the common language ulty. o connect indicators in the tation system with the FEAPs. eacher leaders, assistant ommon language terms ications. poficiency on this indicator.
	ne of the four proficiency levels	idence to rate current proficien s below. If not being rated at this] Needs Improvement	
		that reflects current proficie	

Evidence Log (Specifically, what has been observed that reflects current proficiency on this indicator? The examples above are illustrative and do not reflect an exclusive list of what is expected):

Reflection Questions for Indicator 3.1

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
How are you able to provide specific feedback to teachers on improving proficiency in the FEAPs and/or common language?	How do you recognize practices reflected in the FEAPs and/or common language as you conduct teacher observations?	Do you review the FEAPs and/or common language resources frequently enough to be able to recall the main practices and principles contained in them?	Do you know where to find the text of the FEAPs and common language?

Indicator 3.2 – Standards-Based Instruction: The leader delivers an instructional program that implements the state's adopted academic standards (Common Core and NGSSS) in a manner that is rigorous and culturally relevant to the students by:

- aligning academic standards, effective instruction and leadership, and student performance practices with system objectives, improvement planning, faculty proficiency needs, and appropriate instructional goals, and
- communicating to faculty the cause and effect relationship between effective instruction on academic standards and student performance.

Narrative: Florida's plan of action for educating our children for the 21st century is based on standards-based instruction. Course descriptions specify the standards that are to be learned in each course. All of the course content in courses for which students receive credit toward promotion/graduation is expected to be focused on the standards in the course description. This indicator addresses the leader's proficiency at making sure all students receive rigorous, culturally relevant standards-based instruction by aligning key practices with the state's academic standards (Common Core, NGSSS, Access Points). The leader does what is necessary to make sure faculty recognize and act on the cause and effect relationship between good instruction (i.e., research-based strategies, rigorous, culturally relevant,) on the "right stuff" (the state standards adapted based on data about student needs).

Note: Course descriptions and the standards for each course may be explored at <u>www.floridastandards.org</u>.

Rating Rubric

leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders.relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations.leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency.leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are indicator a	Indening Indollie			
leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders.relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate indicator are evident but are indicator are minimal or to courses to ensure that what students are learning is aligned with state standards for the course. Instruction is aligned with the standards in a rigorous and culturally relevant for an argorous and cultural perventes in a timely monitor progress in some couress. The leader provides quality				
this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders.sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations.indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency.indicator are minimal or not occurring, or are havi adverse impact.Processes exist for all courses to ensure that what students are learning is aligned with state standards for the course.Processes exist for most courses to ensure that what students are learning is aligned with state standards for the course.There is limited or no evidence that what students are learning is aligned with state standards for the course.There is limited or no evidence that what students are learning is aligned with state standards for the course.There is limited or no evidence that what students are learning is aligned with state standards for the course.There is limited or no evidence that what students are learning is aligned with the standards is, in most courses, delivered in a relevant manner for all students.The leader noutinely monitors instruction to ensure quality is maintained and intervenes as necessary to improve alignment, rigor, and/or promote integration of the standards into useful skills.Instruction is culturally relevant for some students. The leader provides quality is evident in coordinating isstruction on Common leaders in effective ways to core standards that are communicate the causeCore standards that are addressed in more thanIndicator are evident but are indicator are visit and courses.Indicator are visit are intervented in a instruction is culturally relevant for some students. The				Leader's actions or impact of
levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders.reflections of quality work with only normal variations.inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency.not occurring, or are havi adverse impact.Processes exist for all courses to ensure that what students are learning is aligned with state standards for the course. The leader has ensure that instruction is aligned with the standards and is consistently delivered in a rigorous and culturally relevant manner for all students.Processes exist for some courses to ensure that what students are learning is aligned with state standards is in most courses, delivered in a rigorous and culturally relevant manner for all students.instruction is aligned with the standards rigorous and culturally relevant manner for all students.not occurring, or are havi adverse impact.The leader has and is consistently delivered in a rigorous and culturally relevant manner for all students.relevant for some students. ensure quality is maintained and intervenes as necessary to improve alignment, rigor, and/or cultural relevance for most courses, but does not kr improvements in a timely manner.not occurring, or are havi adverse impact.The leader provides quality assistance to other school leaders in effective ways to communicate the causereflection so of ultural relevance for most is evident in coordinating addressed in more thanintervene to make improvements in a timely manner.not occurring, or are havi adverse insumationImport the standards to promote integration of the courses, to the standards to promote integration of the standards in to useful skills.Processes and sub				leader's actions relevant to this
proficiency for other leaders.with only normal variations.scope or proficiency.adverse impact.Processes exist for all courses to ensure that what students are learning is aligned with state standards for the course.Processes exist for most courses to ensure that what students are learning is aligned with state standards for the course.Processes exist for some courses to ensure that what students are learning is aligned with state standards for the course.There is limited or no evidence that the leaded monitors the alignment instruction with state standards is, in most courses, delivered in a rigorous and culturally relevant manner for all students.Processes exist for some courses, delivered in a rigorous and culturally relevant manner for all students.The leader limits opportunities for all students.Teacher teams coordinate work on student mastery of the standards in to useful skills.The leader routinely maintained and intervenes as necessary to improve alignment, rigor, and/or collegial faculty teamwork is evident in coordinating instruction on Common leaders in effective ways to communicate the causemoneradverse impact.The leader provides quality assistance to other school leaders in effective ways towith state students that are courses.students are courses.The leader oble school instruction on Common instruction on Commonmonitor structional standards that are courses.monitor school instruction is aligned with the standards that are courses.monitor school instruction is aligned with the standards into useful skills.monitors instruction is aligned with the standards that are cou				indicator are minimal or are
Processes exist for all courses to ensure that what students are learning is aligned with state standards for the course.Processes exist for some courses to ensure that what students are learning is aligned with state standards for the course.There is limited or no evidence that the leade monitors the alignment instruction aligned with the standards is, in most courses, delivered in a rigorous and culturally relevant manner for all students.Processes exist for some courses, delivered in a rigorous and culturally relevant manner for all students.There is limited or no evidence that the leade monitors the alignment instruction is aligned with the standards is, in most courses, delivered in a rigorous and culturally relevant manner for all students.Processes exist for some courses.There is limited or no evidence that the leade monitors the alignment instruction is aligned with the standards in some courses.Te clear in a rigorous and culturally relevant manner for all students.The leader routinely monitors instruction to ensure quality is maintained and intervenes as necessary to improve alignment, rigor, and/or cultural relevance for most courses.Instruction is culturally relevant for some students. The leader provides quality is evident in coordinating instruction on Common leaders in effective ways to comestandards that are addressed in more thanThe leader does not kar and/or chooses not to interact with staff abou teaching using researc based instructional strategies to obtain hig levels of achievement that				not occurring, or are having an
courses to ensure that what students are learning is aligned with state standards for the course. The leader has ensure that instruction is aligned with the standards is control monitoring to ensure that instruction is aligned with the standards and is consistently delivered in a rigorous and culturally relevant manner for all students.courses to ensure that what students is, in most rigorous and culturally relevant manner for all students.courses, delivered in a rigorous and culturally relevant manner for all students.evidence that the leade monitors instruction is as necessary to improve of the standards to promote integration of the standards into useful skills.evidence that the leade monitors instruction aligned with the standards into courses, delivered in a rigorous and culturally relevant manner for all students.evidence that the leade monitors instruction is aligned with the standards into useful skills.The leader provides quality assistance to other school leaders in effective ways to communicate the causecourses to ensure that what students are learning is aligned with state standards that are communicate the causecourses to ensure that what students are learning is aligned with state standards in omet thatevidence that the leade monitors the alignment, instruction is aligned with state standards in omet the leader routinely manner.evidence that the leade monitors the alignment instruction is aligned with the standards in omet the leader notining instruction is culturally relevant for some students courses, but does not intervene to make is evident in coordinating is sevident in coordinating is sevident in coordinating is sevident in coordinating is sev				
what students are learning is aligned with state standards for the course. The leader has institutionalized quality control monitoring to ensure that instruction is aligned with the standards and is consistently delivered in a rigorous and culturally relevant manner for all students.what students are learning is aligned with state standards is in most courses, delivered in a rigorous and culturally relevant manner for all students.students are learning is aligned with state standards is in most courses, delivered in a rigorous and culturally relevant manner for all students.monitors instruction is courses, lelivered in a rigorous and culturally relevant manner for all students.monitors instruction to ensure quality is maintained and intervenes as necessary to improve alignment, rigor, and/or cultural relevance for most courses.students are learning is aligned with state standards in some courses.monitors the alignment instruction is aligned with standards in some courses.The leader provides quality assistance to other school leaders in effective ways to communicate the causewhat students are learning is aligned with state standards in more thanstudents are learning is aligned with standards in to useful skills.monitors instruction is aligned with the standards in to useful skills.The leader provides quality assistance to other school leaders in effective ways to communicate the causemonitor of common core standards that are addressed in more thanstudents are learning is aligned with state standards in to useful skills.monitors in aligned with the standards in to useful skills.The leader provides quality assistance to				
is aligned with state standards for the course. The leader has institutionalized quality control monitoring to ensure that instruction is aligned with the standards and is consistently delivered in a rigorous and culturally relevant manner for all students.is aligned with state standards is, in most courses, delivered in a rigorous and culturally relevant manner for all students.aligned with state standards is, in most courses, delivered in a rigorous and culturally relevant manner for all students.aligned with state standards is, in most courses, delivered in a rigorous and culturally relevant manner for all students.aligned with state standards is, or the rigor Instruction is aligned with the standards relevant manner for all students.instruction aligned with the standards relevant manner for all students.instruction aligned with the standards to promote integration of the standards into useful skills.is evident in coordinating instruction on Common instruction on Common Core standards that are addressed in more thanaligned with state standards in some courses.instruction with state standards for the course. Instruction is aligned with the standards is nome courses.instruction with state standards in some courses.The leader has instruction of the standards in to useful skills.instruction is culturally relevance for most instruction on Common instruction on Common instruction on Common <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				
standards for the course. The leader has institutionalized quality control monitoring to ensure that instruction is aligned with the standards and is consistently delivered in a rigorous and culturally relevant manner for all students.standards for the course. Instruction is delivered in a rigorous manner in some courses.standards for the rigor culturally rigorous manner in some courses.standards, or the rigor cultural relevance of instruction across the grades and subjects.delivered in a rigorous and culturally relevant manner for all students.relevant manner for all monitors instruction to ensure quality isInstruction is culturally relevant for some students.The leader routinely monitors instruction to ensure quality isInstruction is culturally relevant for some students.The leader limits opportunities for all students.Teacher teams coordinate work on student mastery of the standards to standards into useful skills.maintained and intervenes alignment, rigor, and/or cultural relevance for most cultural relevance for most cultural relevance for most is evident in coordinating assistance to other school leaders in effective ways to communicate the causestandards for the course. relevant for some students addressed in more thanThe leader fourty is monitor progress in some courses, but does not intervene to make improvements in a timely manner.or ethnically insensitiv and/or inappropriate. The leader fourty staff about teaching using researc based instruction al strategies to obtain hig levels of achievement to addressed in more than	0			monitors the alignment of
The leader has institutionalized quality control monitoring to ensure that instruction is aligned with the standards and is consistently delivered in a rigorous and culturally relevant manner for all students.Instruction is aligned with the standards rigorous and culturally students.Instruction is aligned with the standards in some courses.cultural relevance of instruction is aligned with the standards in some courses.The leader number for all students.The leader routinely monitors instruction to ensure quality is maintained and intervenes as necessary to improve alignment, rigor, and/or promote integration of the standards into useful skills.Instruction is aligned with the standards to alignment, rigor, and/or courses.Instruction is aligned with the standards in some courses.Cultural relevance of instruction is delivered in a rigorous manner in some courses.Cultural relevance of instruction is delivered in a rigorous manner in some courses.Cultural relevance of instruction is culturally relevant for some students.The leader limits opportunities for all students.Teacher teams coordinate work on student mastery of the standards to standards into useful skills.The leader now and/or chooses not to instruction on Common Core standards that are addressed in more thanInstruction is aligned with the standards in some courses.Cultural relevance of instruction is culturally relevant for some students. monitor progress in some intervene to make improvements in a timely manner.Cultural relevance of interact with staff about teaching using researce based instructional strategies to obtain hig levels of achievement to				
institutionalized quality control monitoring to ensure that instruction is aligned with the standards and is consistently delivered in a rigorous and culturally relevant manner for all students.the standards is, in most courses, delivered in a rigorous and culturally relevant manner for all students.the standards in some courses.instruction across the grades and subjects.delivered in a rigorous and culturally relevant manner for all students.The leader routinely monitors instruction to ensure quality is maintained and intervenes alignment, rigor, and/or courses.Instruction is culturally relevant for some students.The leader imits opportunities for all students.Teacher teams coordinate work on student mastery of the standards to promote integration of the standards into useful skills.maintained and intervenes alignment, rigor, and/or courses.monitor progress in some courses, but does not improvements in a timely manner.or ethnically insensitiv and/or chooses not to intervene to make is evident in coordinating is evident in coordinating is evident in coordinating is evident in coordinating is evident in coordinating assistance to other school leaders in effective ways to communicate the causeCore standards that are addressed in more thanCore standards that are addressed in more thanEvene that are courses.	standards for the course.			standards, or the rigor and
control monitoring to ensure that instruction is aligned with the standards and is consistently delivered in a rigorous and culturally relevant manner for all students.courses, delivered in a rigorous and culturally relevant manner for all students.grades and subjects.Teacher teams coordinate work on student mastery of the standards to promote integration of the standards into useful skills.The leader routinely maintained and intervenes alignment, rigor, and/or cultural relevance for most is evident in coordinating assistance to other school leaders in effective ways to communicate the causeThe leader addressed in more thancourses.Instruction is delivered in a rigorous manner in some courses.The leader limits opportunities for all students.The leader is effective ways to communicate the causestudents and intervenes addressed in more thanInstruction is culturally relevant for some students. The leader has implemented processes to intervene to make improvements in a timely manner.The leader culturally, raci and/or inappropriate.The leader provides quality assistance to other school leaders in effective ways tocourses, out on the courses in more thanintervene to make improvements in a timely manner.The leader does not kr and/or chooses not to interact with staff about teaching using research based instructional strategies to obtain hig levels of achievement	The leader has	Instruction aligned with	Instruction is aligned with	cultural relevance of
ensure that instruction is aligned with the standards and is consistently delivered in a rigorous and culturally relevant manner for all students.rigorous and culturally relevant manner for all students.Instruction is delivered in a rigorous manner in some courses.The leader limits opportunities for all students to meet high expectations by allowi ignoring practices in curriculum and instructTeacher teams coordinate work on student mastery of the standards to promote integration of the standards into useful skills.The leader noutinely maintained and intervenes alignment, rigor, and/or courses.Instruction is culturally relevant for some students. The leader has implemented processes to intervene to make improvements in a timely manner.The leader limits opportunities for all students. The leader noutinely maintained and intervenes as necessary to improve alignment, rigor, and/or courses.Instruction is delivered in a rigorous manner in some courses.The leader limits opportunities for all students. The leader has implemented processes to intervene to make improvements in a timely manner.The leader limits opportunities for all students. The leader provides quality is evident in coordinating assistance to other school leaders in effective ways to communicate the causeThe leader limits opportunities for all rigorous manner in some courses.The leader limits opportunities for all students. The leader opeons of the students in opportunities in a timely addressed in more thanThe leader limits opportunities for all rigorous manner in some courses.The leader limits opportunities for all students.The leader prov	institutionalized quality	the standards is, in most	the standards in some	instruction across the
aligned with the standards and is consistently delivered in a rigorous and culturally relevant manner for all students.relevant manner for all students.rigorous manner in some courses.opportunities for all students.Teacher teams coordinate work on student mastery of the standards to promote integration of the standards into useful skills.The leader routinely maintained and intervenes alignment, rigor, and/or cultural relevance for most courses.Instruction is culturally relevant for some students. The leader has implemented processes to alignment, rigor, and/or cultural relevance for most courses.opportunities for all students. the leader has implemented processes to intervene to make improvements in a timely manner.opportunities for all students. The leader nos implemented processes to intervene to make improvements in a timely manner.opportunities for all students. that are culturally racio or ethnically insensitiv and/or inappropriate. The leader provides quality assistance to other school leaders in effective ways to communicate the causerelevant for some student in coordinating instruction on Common Core standards that are addressed in more thanrelevant for some relevant for some students. The leader has improvements in a timely manner.opportunities for all students. that are culturally relevant for some students. that are culturally, racio or ethnically insensitiv and/or chooses not to interact with staff about teaching using research based instructional strategies to obtain hig levels of achievement for	control monitoring to	courses, delivered in a	courses.	grades and subjects.
and is consistently delivered in a rigorous and culturally relevant manner for all students.students.students.Teacher teams coordinate work on student mastery of the standards to promote integration of the standards into useful skills.The leader routinely maintained and intervenes alignment, rigor, and/or cultural relevance for most courses.Instruction is culturally relevant for some students. The leader has implemented processes to intervene to make improvements in a timely collegial faculty teamwork is evident in coordinating instruction on Common Core standards that are addressed in more thanstudents.students to meet high expectations by allowi ignoring practices in curriculum and instruct implemented processes to intervene to make improvements in a timely manner.The leader provides quality assistance to other school leaders in effective ways to communicate the causestudents.students.The leader for some student is sevident in more thancourses.students to meet high instruction is culturally relevant for some students. The leader has implemented processes to intervene to make improvements in a timely manner.students to meet high expectations by allowi ignoring practices in curriculum and instruct or ethnically insensitiv and/or chooses not to interact with staff about teaching using researce based instructional strategies to obtain hig levels of achievement to	ensure that instruction is	rigorous and culturally	Instruction is delivered in a	The leader limits
delivered in a rigorous and culturally relevant manner for all students.The leader routinely monitors instruction to ensure quality isInstruction is culturally relevant for some students.expectations by allowi ignoring practices in curriculum and instruct monitor progress in some or ethnically insensitiv and/or inappropriate.delivered in a rigorous and culturally relevant manner for all students.The leader routinely monitors instruction to ensure quality isInstruction is culturally relevant for some students.expectations by allowi ignoring practices in curriculum and instruct monitor progress in some courses, but does not intervene to make improvements in a timely manner.or ethnically insensitiv and/or inappropriate.The leader provides quality assistance to other school leaders in effective ways to communicate the causeis evident in coordinating addressed in more thanmanner.	aligned with the standards	relevant manner for all	rigorous manner in some	opportunities for all
culturally relevant manner for all students.monitors instruction to ensure quality is maintained and intervenes as necessary to improve alignment, rigor, and/or promote integration of the standards into useful skills.monitors instruction to ensure quality is maintained and intervenes alignment, rigor, and/or cultural relevance for most standards into useful skills.relevant for some students. The leader has implemented processes to monitor progress in some courses, but does not intervene to make improvements in a timely manner.ignoring practices in curriculum and instruct that are culturally, ract or ethnically insensitiv and/or inappropriate.The leader provides quality assistance to other school leaders in effective ways to communicate the causeis evident in coordinating instruction on Common Core standards that are addressed in more thanrelevant for some students. The leader has implemented processes to monitor progress in some courses, but does not intervene to make improvements in a timely manner.ignoring practices in curriculum and instruct or ethnically insensitiv and/or inappropriate. The leader does not kr and/or chooses not to interact with staff about teaching using researce based instructional strategies to obtain hig levels of achievement in	and is consistently	students.	courses.	students to meet high
for all students.ensure quality is maintained and intervenes as necessary to improve alignment, rigor, and/or promote integration of the standards into useful skills.The leader has implemented processes to monitor progress in some courses, but does not intervene to make improvements in a timely manner.curriculum and instruct that are culturally, rack or ethnically insensitiv and/or inappropriate. The leader provides quality assistance to other school leaders in effective ways to communicate the causeensure quality is maintained and intervenes as necessary to improve alignment, rigor, and/or cultural relevance for most is evident in coordinating instruction on Common Core standards that are addressed in more thanThe leader has implemented processes to monitor progress in some courses, but does not intervene to make improvements in a timely manner.curriculum and instruct that are culturally, rack or ethnically insensitiv and/or inappropriate. The leader does not kr and/or chooses not to interact with staff about teaching using research based instructional strategies to obtain hig levels of achievement in	delivered in a rigorous and	The leader routinely	Instruction is culturally	expectations by allowing or
Teacher teams coordinate work on student mastery of the standards to promote integration of the standards into useful skills.maintained and intervenes as necessary to improve alignment, rigor, and/or cultural relevance for most courses.implemented processes to monitor progress in some courses, but does not intervene to make improvements in a timely manner.that are culturally, raci or ethnically insensitiv and/or inappropriate.The leader provides quality assistance to other school leaders in effective ways to communicate the causemaintained and intervenes as necessary to improve and/or courses, but does not intervene to make improvements in a timely manner.that are culturally, raci or ethnically insensitiv and/or inappropriate. The leader does not kr and/or chooses not to interact with staff about teaching using research based instructional strategies to obtain hig levels of achievement	culturally relevant manner	monitors instruction to	relevant for some students.	ignoring practices in
work on student mastery of the standards to promote integration of the standards into useful skills.as necessary to improve alignment, rigor, and/or cultural relevance for most courses.monitor progress in some courses, but does not intervene to make improvements in a timely manner.or ethnically insensitiv and/or inappropriate.The leader provides quality assistance to other school leaders in effective ways to communicate the causeas necessary to improve alignment, rigor, and/or cultural relevance for most courses.monitor progress in some courses, but does not intervene to make improvements in a timely manner.or ethnically insensitiv and/or inappropriate. The leader does not to interact with staff about teaching using research based instructional strategies to obtain hig levels of achievement	for all students.	ensure quality is	The leader has	curriculum and instruction
work on student mastery of the standards to promote integration of the standards into useful skills.as necessary to improve alignment, rigor, and/or cultural relevance for most courses.monitor progress in some courses, but does not intervene to make improvements in a timely manner.or ethnically insensitiv and/or inappropriate.The leader provides quality assistance to other school leaders in effective ways to communicate the causeas necessary to improve alignment, rigor, and/or cultural relevance for most courses.monitor progress in some courses, but does not intervene to make improvements in a timely manner.or ethnically insensitiv and/or inappropriate. The leader does not to interact with staff about teaching using research based instructional strategies to obtain hig levels of achievement	Teacher teams coordinate	maintained and intervenes	implemented processes to	that are culturally, racially,
of the standards to promote integration of the standards into useful skills.alignment, rigor, and/or cultural relevance for most cultural relevance for most courses.courses, but does not intervene to make improvements in a timely manner.and/or inappropriate. The leader does not kr and/or chooses not to interact with staff about teaching using research based instructional strategies to obtain hig levels of achievement	work on student mastery		monitor progress in some	or ethnically insensitive
promote integration of the standards into useful skills.cultural relevance for most courses.intervene to make improvements in a timely manner.The leader does not kr and/or chooses not to interact with staff about teaching using research based instructional strategies to obtain hig levels of achievement	of the standards to	alignment, rigor, and/or		and/or inappropriate.
standards into useful skills.courses.improvements in a timelyand/or chooses not toThe leader provides qualityis evident in coordinatingmanner.interact with staff aboutThe leader provides qualityis evident in coordinatingassistance to other schoolinstruction on Commonleaders in effective ways toCore standards that areaddressed in more thanstrategies to obtain hig			*	The leader does not know
Collegial faculty teamwork is evident in coordinating assistance to other school leaders in effective ways to communicate the causeCollegial faculty teamwork is evident in coordinating instruction on Common Core standards that are addressed in more thanmanner.interact with staff about teaching using research based instructional strategies to obtain hig levels of achievement				
The leader provides qualityis evident in coordinatingteaching using researchassistance to other schoolinstruction on Commonbased instructionalleaders in effective ways toCore standards that arestrategies to obtain higcommunicate the causeaddressed in more thanlevels of achievement			1	,
assistance to other schoolinstruction on Commonbased instructionalleaders in effective ways to communicate the causeCore standards that are addressed in more thanstrategies to obtain high	The leader provides quality		mumor	
leaders in effective ways to communicate the causeCore standards that are addressed in more thanstrategies to obtain hig levels of achievement		0		
communicate the cause addressed in more than levels of achievement				
between effective		one course.		
standards-based				
instruction and student				
growth.	growui.	1		

Leadership Evidence of proficiency on this	Impact Evidence of leadership proficiency may be
indicator may be seen in the leader's behaviors or	seen in the behaviors or actions of the faculty, staff,
actions. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may	students and/or community. <u>Illustrative examples</u>
include, but are not limited to the following:	of such evidence may include, but are not limited to
	the following:
 The leader's faculty, department, grade-level meeting agendas, minutes, and other documents focus on the alignment of curriculum and instruction with state standards. School Improvement Plan goals and actions are linked to targeted academic standards. The leader's presentations to faculty on proficiency expectations include illustrations of what "rigor" and "culturally relevant" mean. Monitoring documents indicate frequent review of research-based instructional practices regarding alignment, rigor and cultural relevance. Results of monitoring on research-based instruction are used to increase alignment to standards, rigor, and/ or cultural relevance. School's financial documents reflect expenditures supporting standards-based instruction, rigor, and/or cultural relevance. Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator. 	 Faculty members routinely access or provide evidence of using content from <u>www.floridastandards.org</u> Faculty has and makes use of the list of standards associated with their course(s). Activities and assignments are aligned with standards applicable to the course and those connections are conveyed to students. Teachers can describe a school wide "plan of action" that aligns curriculum and standards and provide examples of how they implement that plan in their courses. Teachers attest to the leader's efforts to preserve instructional time for standards-based instruction. Teachers attest to the leader's frequent monitoring of research-based instructional practices and application of those practices in pursuit of student progress on the course standards. Other impact evidence of proficiency on this indicator.
Scale Levels: (choose one) Where there is suffi	cient evidence to rate current proficiency on this
indicator, assign a proficiency level by checking o	ne of the four proficiency levels below. If not being
rated at this time, leave blank:	
[] Highly Effective [] Effective	[] Needs [] Unsatisfactory
Friden es Les (Constitue)	Improvement
Evidence Log (Specifically, what has been obser indicator? The examples above are illustrative at expected):	
Enter data here:	
L	

Reflection Questions for Indicator 3.2

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
What procedures might	In what ways can you	What might be 2-3 key	Where do you go to find out
you establish to increase	offer professional	leadership strategies that	what standards are to be
your ability to help your	learning for individual	would help you to	addressed in each course?
colleagues lead the	and collegial groups	systematically act on the	How might you open up
implementation of the	within the school or	belief that all students can	opportunities for all students
district's curriculum to	district that illustrate	learn at high levels?	to meet high expectations
provide instruction that is	how to provide rigor and	How can your leadership in	through your leadership in
standards-based,	cultural relevance when	curriculum and instruction	curriculum and instruction?
rigorous, and culturally	delivering instruction on	convey respect for the	Do you have processes to
relevant?	the standards?	diversity of students and	monitor how students spend
		staff?	their learning time?
What can you share about	How do you engage	How might you increase the	In what ways are you
your leadership actions to	teachers in deliberate	consistency with which you	monitoring teacher
ensure that staff members	practice focused on	monitor and support staff to	

have adequate time and support, and effective monitoring and feedback on proficiency in use of research-based	mastery of standards- based instruction?	effectively use research- based instruction to meet the learning needs of all students?	implementation of effective, research-based instruction? In what ways are you monitoring teacher instruction in the state's
instruction focused on the standards?		What are ways you can ensure that staff members are aligning their instructional practices with state standards?	academic standards?

Indicator 3.3 – Learning Goals Alignments: The leader implements recurring monitoring and feedback processes to insure that priority learning goals established for students are based on the state's adopted student academic standards as defined in state course descriptions, presented in student accessible forms, and accompanied by scales or rubric to guide tracking progress toward student mastery.

Narrative: "Learning goals" is a high-effect size strategy that uses scales or progressive levels to monitor student growth on the way to mastery of a state academic standard. Learning goals typically take 2-9 weeks of student time to master so are more comprehensive than daily objectives. The essential issue is that the teacher creates "scales" or levels of progress toward mastery of the learning goal. Teacher and students use those scales to track progress toward mastery of the goal(s). This indicator addresses the leader's proficiency at monitoring and providing feedback on teacher and student use of priority learning goals with scales. The leader is expected to go beyond low levels of monitoring that address whether the teacher provides such goals and attends to the levels of student understanding and engagement with the learning goals. Do the students pursue those goals? Do they track their own progress? Is celebrations of success on learning goals focused on how success was achieved more than that is was obtained?

Note: Beginning in the 2012-13 school year, professional learning about learning goals and sample learning goals may be explored at <u>www.floridastandards.org</u>, <u>www.floridaschoolleaders.org</u>, and <u>www.startwithsuccess.org</u>.

Dating Pubric

Rating Rubric			
Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders. Recurring leadership	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations. Clearly stated learning goals	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency. Specific and measurable	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact.
involvement in the improvement in quality of daily classroom practice is evident and is focused on student progress on priority learning goals. Routine and recurring practices are evident that support celebration of student success in accomplishing priority learning goals and such celebrations focus on how the success was obtained. The leader routinely shares examples of effective learning goals that are associated with improved student achievement. Other leaders credit this leader with sharing ideas,	accompanied by a scale or rubric that describes measurable levels of performance, aligned to the state's adopted student academic standards, is an instructional strategy in routine use in courses school wide. Standards-based instruction is an evident priority in the school and student results on incremental measures of success, like progress on learning goals, are routinely monitored and acknowledged. The formats or templates used to express learning goals and scales are adapted to support the complexity of the	learning goals with progress scales, aligned to the state's adopted student academic standards in the course description, are in use in some but not most of the courses. Learning goals are posted/provided in some classes are not current, do not relate to the students current assignments and/or activities, or are not recognized by the students as priorities for their own effort. Learning goals tend to be expressed at levels of text complexity not accessible by the targeted students and/or at levels of complexity too simplified to promote mastery	goals accompanied by a scale or rubric that describes levels of performance relative to the learning goal are <u>not</u> systematically provided across the curriculum to guide student learning, <u>or</u> learning goals, where provided, are <u>not</u> aligned to state standards in the course description. The leader engages in minimal to non-existent monitoring and feedback practices on the quality and timeliness of information provided to students on what they are expected to know and be able to do (i.e. no alignment of learning goals with state standards for the course).
coaching, and providing technical assistance to implement successful use of leaning goals in standards- based instruction.	expectations and the learning needs of the students. Clearly stated learning goals aligned to state or district initiatives in support of student reading skills are in use school wide.	of the associated standards. Processes that enable students and teachers to track progress toward mastery of priority learning goals are not widely implemented throughout the school.	There are minimal or no leadership practices to monitor faculty practices on tracking student progress on priority learning goals.
Leadership Evidence of		Impact Evidence of leade	
indicator may be seen in t		seen in the behaviors or ac	
actions. <u>Illustrative examp</u>		students and/or communi	-
include, but are not limite	d to the following:	of such evidence may inclu	ide, but are not limited to

the following:

 Procedures are in place to monitor and promote faculty collegial discussion on the implementation levels of learning goals to promote alignment with the implementation level of the associated state standards. Leader's communications to students provide evidence of support of students making progress on learning goals. Progress monitoring of adult and student performance on targeted priority learning goals is documented, charted, and posted in high traffic areas of the school. Evidence of the leader's intervention(s) with teachers who do not provide learning goals that increase students' opportunities for success. Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator. 	 planned activities and assignments to learning goals. Teacher documents prepared for parent information make clear the targeted learning goals for the students. Students are able to express their learning goals during walkthroughs or classroom observations. Students are able to explain the relationship between current activities and assignments and priory learning goal Lesson study groups and other collegial learning teams routinely discuss learning goals and scales for progression Methods of both teachers and students tracking student progress toward learning goals are evident. Celebrations of student success include reflections by teachers and students on the reasons for the success Teachers can identify the learning goals that result in the high levels of student learning. Other impact evidence of proficiency on this indicator
--	--

[] Highly Effective	[] Effective	[] Needs	[] Unsatisfactory
		Improvement	
Evidence Log (Specif	ically, what has been	observed that reflects	current proficiency on this

indicator? The examples above are illustrative and do not reflect an exclusive list of what is expected):

Enter data here:

Reflection Questions for Indicator 3.3

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
What specific strategies have you employed to measure improvements in teaching and innovations in use of learning goals and how can you use such measures as predictors of improved student achievement?	What system supports are in place to ensure that the best ideas and thinking on learning goals are shared with colleagues and are a priority of collegial professional learning?	To what extent do learning goals presented to the students reflect a clear relationship between the course standards and the assignments and activities students are given?	What have I done to deepen my understanding of the connection between the instructional strategies of learning goals and tracking student progress?

Indicator 3.4 – Curriculum Alignments: Systemic processes are implemented to ensure alignment of curriculum resources with state standards for the courses taught.

Narrative: Academic standards are determined at the state level and the curriculum used to enable students to master those standards is determined at the district and school level. Curriculum must be aligned with the standards if it is to support standards-based instruction. Curriculum resources may or may not be fully aligned with the standards assigned to a specific course. The learning needs of students in specific classes may require additional or adapted curriculum materials to address issues of rigor, cultural relevance, or support for needed learning goals. School leaders maintain processes to monitor the appropriateness and alignment of curriculum to standards and intervene to make adjustments as needed to enable students to access curriculum that supports the standards.

Note: Where gaps or misalignments are noted by the processes addressed in this indicator, the leader's actions relevant to Indicator 8.2 (Strategic Instructional Resourcing) should be addressed.

Rating Rubric				
Highly Effective:	Effective: Leader's actions	Needs Improvement:	Unsatisfactory:	
Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders.	or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations.	Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency.	Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact.	
The leader routinely engages faculty in processes to improve the quality of curriculum resources in regard to their alignment with standards and impact on student achievement and supports replacing resources as more effective ones are available. The leader is proactive in engaging other school leaders in sharing feedback on identification and effective use of curriculum resources that are associated with improved student achievement. Parents and community members credit this leader with sharing ideas or curriculum supports that enable home and community to support student mastery of priority standards.	Specific and recurring procedures are in place to monitor the quality of alignment between curriculum resources and standards. Procedures under the control of the leader for acquiring new curriculum resources include assessment of alignment with standards. Curriculum resources aligned to state standards by resource publishers/developers are used school wide to focus instruction on state standards, and state, district, or school supplementary materials are routinely used that identify and fill gaps, and align instruction with the implementation level of the standards.	Processes to monitor alignment of curriculum resources with standards in the course descriptions are untimely or not comprehensive across the curriculum. Efforts to align curriculum with standards are emerging but have not yet resulted in improved student achievement. Curriculum resources aligned to state standards by text publishers/developers are used school wide to focus instruction on state standards, but there is no to minimal use of state, district, or school supplementary materials that identify and fill gaps, and align instruction with the implementation level of the standards.	There are no or minimal processes managed by the leader to verify that curriculum resources are aligned with the standards in the course descriptions.	
Leadership Evidence of		Impact Evidence of leader		
indicator may be seen in t		seen in the behaviors or ac		
actions. <u>Illustrative examp</u>		students and/or communi		
include, but are not limite	d to the following:	of such evidence may inclu the following:	ide, but are not limited to	

Rating Rubric

 Curriculum is presented to faculty and students as the content reflected in course descriptions rather than the content in a textbook. School procedures for acquisition of instructional materials include assessment of their usefulness in helping students' master state standards and include processes to address gaps or misalignments. Course descriptions play a larger role in focusing course content than do test item specification documents. Agendas, meeting minutes, and memoranda to the faculty make evident a focus on importance of curriculum being a vehicle for enabling students to master standards in the course description. Media center acquisitions reflect a systematic effort to build curriculum supports that support student mastery of content standards at various levels of implementation. NGSSS and Common Core standards are routinely used to frame discussions on the quality and sufficiency of curriculum support materials. Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator. 	 Teachers can describe the strengths and weaknesses of primary texts in regard to alignment with standards in the state course description. Students are able to characterize text books and other school provided resources tools as aids in student mastery of course standards. Pacing guides focus assignments and activities planned for students on learning goals and state standards rather than coverage of chapters in a text. Documents can be presented that inform of the alignment between curriculum resources and standards for the course. Teachers can identify supplementary material used to deepen student mastery of standards. Parent feedback/questionnaire results indicate recognition that the school is focused on standards-based instruction rather than covering topics or chapters. Student feedback/questionnaire results indicate recognition that the curriculum is focused on what students are to understand and be able to do. Results on student growth measures show steady improvements in student learning. Other impact evidence of proficiency on this indicator. 	
Scale Levels: (choose one) Where there is suffice indicator, assign a proficiency level by checking on rated at this time, leave blank:[] Highly Effective[] Effective	[] Needs [] Unsatisfactory	
Improvement Evidence Log (Specifically, what has been observed that reflects current proficiency on this indicator? The examples above are illustrative and do not reflect an exclusive list of what is expected): Enter data here:		

Reflection Questions for Indicator 3.4

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory		
What system is in place to ensure that your best ideas and thinking on using curriculum to enable students to master standards are shared with colleagues, particularly when there is evidence at your school of improved student achievement?	What specific school improvement strategies have you employed to measure improvements in teaching and innovations in curriculum that serve as predictors of improved student achievement?	How can you monitor whether the activities and assignments student get that involve use of curriculum resources are aligned with learning goals and standards?	Do you know which standards are addressed in your curriculum?		

Indicator 3.5 – Quality Assessments: The leader ensures the appropriate use of high quality formative and interim assessments aligned with the adopted standards and curricula.

Datin a Dularia

Narrative: How do we know what our students already know, what they need to know, and how they are doing as we move forward with instruction? The school leader needs "assessment literacy" to address these questions. Where indicator 1.2 addresses the leader's proficiency in use of student performance data, this indicator focuses on actions taken at the school site to generate interim assessment data and make sure faculty use formative assessment practices to monitor and adjust instruction. Assessment of student progress toward academic standards is an important aspect of tracking student progress. Leaders need to make use of data on interim and formative assessments to guide goal setting and progress monitoring. They need to provide teachers access to quality assessments and promote teacher use of formative assessments as a routine strategy. The leader needs on-going assessment data to inform a variety of decisions regarding such issues as resource allocations, student and teacher schedules, professional learning impacts, and adjustments in plans.

Rating Rubric			
Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of
leader's actions relevant to	relevant to this indicator are	leader's actions relevant to this	leader's actions relevant to this
this indicator exceed effective	sufficient and appropriate	indicator are evident but are	indicator are minimal or are
levels and constitute models	reflections of quality work	inconsistent or of insufficient	not occurring, or are having an
of proficiency for other	with only normal variations.	scope or proficiency.	adverse impact.
leaders.			
The leader uses a variety of	The leader systematically	The leader haphazardly	The leader has little
creative ways to provide	seeks, synthesizes, and	applies rudimentary	knowledge and/or skills of
professional learning for	applies knowledge and	knowledge and skills of	assessment literacy and
individual and collegial	skills of assessment	assessment literacy and is	data analysis.
groups within the district	literacy and data analysis.	unsure of how to build	There is little or no
focused on applying the	The leader routinely	knowledge and develop	evidence of interaction
knowledge and skills of	shares knowledge with	skills of assessment literacy	with staff concerning
assessment literacy, data	staff to increase students'	and data analysis.	assessments.
analysis, and the use of	achievement.	The leader inconsistently	The leader is indifferent to
state, district, school, and	Formative assessment	shares knowledge with	data and does not use data
classroom assessment data	practices are employed	staff to increase student	to change schedules,
to improve student	routinely as part of the	achievement.	instruction, curriculum or
achievement.	instructional program.	There is inconsistency in	leadership.
	The leader uses state,	how assessment data are	Student achievement
Formative assessments are	district, school, and	used to change schedules,	remains unchanged or
part of the school culture	classroom assessment data	instruction, curriculum, or	declines.
and interim assessment	to make specific and	leadership.	The leader does not use
data is routinely used to	observable changes in	There is rudimentary use of	assessment data from state,
review and adapt plans	teaching, curriculum, and	assessment data from state,	district, school, and
and priorities.	leadership decisions. These	district, school, and	classroom.
	specific and observable	classroom.	
	changes result in increased		
	achievement for students.		
Leadership Evidence of		Impact Evidence of leade	
indicator may be seen in t		seen in the behaviors or st	-
actions. <u>Illustrative examp</u>		staff. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may	
include, but are not limite		include, but are not limited to the following:	
	se that set clear expectations	• Teachers can describe interactions with the leader	
for the use of formative			ent practices are promoted.
	stering course standards	Teachers' assessments a	
	back provided to teachers	progress on the standard	
regarding effective asse		Teachers attest to the lease	
Collaborative work system		knowledge and skills of e	effective assessment
professional learning co	mmunities) agendas and	practices.	

minutes reflect recurring engagements with interim	• Teachers can provide assessments that are directly
and formative assessment data.	reachers can provide assessments that are an eetry
	aligned with course standard.
Faculty meeting agendas and minutes reflect	• Teachers attest to the leader's frequent monitoring of
attention to formative and interim assessment	assessment practices.
processes.	• Student folders and progress tracking records reflect
Classroom walkthrough data reveals routine use of	use of formative data.
formative assessment practices in the classrooms.	 Documents are in use that informs teachers of the
 Assessment rubrics are being used by the school. 	alignment between standards and assessments.
Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this	Other impact evidence of proficiency on this
indicator.	indicator.

[] Highly Effective	[] Effective	[] Needs	[] Unsatisfactory
		Improvement	
Evidence Log (Specifical indicator? The examples expected):			1 0
Enter data here:			

Reflection Questions for Indicator 3 5

Reflection Questions for Indicator 3.5					
Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory		
How might you engage other school leaders in sharing quality examples of formative assessment and use of interim assessment data?	How might you engage teacher leaders in sharing quality examples of formative assessment practices with other faculty?	How are you systematically seeking, synthesizing, and applying knowledge and skills of assessment literacy and data analysis? In what ways are you sharing your knowledge with staff to	How are you expanding your knowledge and/or skills of assessment literacy and data analysis? What strategies have you considered that would increase your interaction		
What procedures might you establish to increase your ability to help your colleagues provide professional learning for individual and collegial groups within the district focused on applying the knowledge and skills of assessment literacy, data analysis, and the use of state, district, school, and classroom assessment data to improve student achievement?	How can you provide ongoing professional learning for individual and collegial groups within the district focused on applying the knowledge and skills of assessment literacy, data analysis, and the use of state, district, school, and classroom assessment data to improve student achievement?	increase all students' achievement? In what ways are you using state, district, school, and classroom assessment data to make specific and observable changes in teaching, curriculum, and leadership decisions to increase student achievement?	with staff concerning assessments? How are you using your knowledge and skills of assessment literacy to change schedules, instruction, and curriculum or leadership practices to increase student achievement?		

Indicator 3.6 – Faculty Effectiveness: The leader monitors the effectiveness of classroom teachers and uses contemporary research and the district's instructional evaluation system criteria and procedures to improve student achievement and faculty proficiency on the FEAPs.

Narrative: School leaders are responsible for monitoring the effectiveness of classroom teachers. This indicator addresses the proficiency and focus of the leader's monitoring processes to maintain awareness of faculty effectiveness and the use of monitoring data to improve student and faculty performance. The focus here is on monitoring teacher use of strategies supported by contemporary research, teacher proficiency on issues contained in the district's teacher evaluation system, what teachers do to improve student achievement, and faculty proficiency on the FEAPs.

Note: Indicator 3.1 is focused on the leader's grasp of the FEAPs whereas this indicator focuses on monitoring the faculties' grasp of the FEAPs. Indicator 4.2 is focused on the leader's use of monitoring data to provide timely feedback.

Rubric	lubric			
Rubric Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders. The leader's monitoring process generates a shared vision with the faculty of high expectations for faculty proficiency in the FEAPs, research-based instructional strategies, and the indicators in the teacher evaluation system. The leader shares productive monitoring methods with other school leaders to support district wide improvements.	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations The leader's effectiveness monitoring process provides the leader and leadership team with a realistic overview of the current reality of faculty effectiveness on the FEAPs, the indicators in the teacher evaluation system, and research-based instructional strategies. The leader's monitoring practices are consistently implemented in a supportive and constructive manner.	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency The district teacher evaluation system is being implemented but the process is focused on procedural compliance rather than improving faculty proficiency on instructional strategies that impact student achievement. The manner in which monitoring is conducted is not generally perceived by faculty as supportive of their professional improvement.	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact. Monitoring does not comply with the minimum requirements of the district teacher evaluation system. Monitoring is not focused on teacher proficiency in research-based strategies and the FEAPs.	
 Leadership Evidence of proficiency on this indicator may be seen in the leader's behaviors or actions. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following: Schedules for classroom observation document monitoring of faculty. Records or notes indicate the frequency of formal and informal observations. Data from classroom walkthroughs is focused on high-effect size strategies and other FEAPs implementation. Notes and memorandum from follow-up conferences regarding feedback on formal or informal observations reflect attention to FEAPs issues and research-based practices. 		 Impact Evidence of leade seen in the behaviors or ac students and/or communi of such evidence may inclu the following: The teachers docum professional develo arising from faculty Teacher-leader mee reflect follow-up act leadership monitori evaluation indicator strategies. 	ctions of the faculty, staff, ty <u>Illustrative examples</u> ade, but are not limited to nent that the leader initiated pment focused on issues effectiveness monitoring. eting agendas or memoranda tions based on feedback from ing on FEAPs, teacher rs, or research-based or teacher team work is issues arising from	

 Evidence Log (Specifically, what has been observed that reflects current proficiency on this indicator? The examples above are illustrative and do not reflect an exclusive list of what is expected): Enter data here: 				
	Improvement			
being rated at this time, leave blank: [] Highly Effective [] Effective	[] Needs	[] Unsatisfactory		
Scale Levels: (choose one) Where there is sufficient evidence to rate current proficiency on this indicator, assign a proficiency level by checking one of the four proficiency levels below. If not				
 proficiency issues arising from the monitoring process. The leader meets with teachers to provide feedback on their growth in proficiency on instructional strategies. Leadership team agendas or memoranda focused on issues arising from monitoring. Principal's resource allocation actions are adjusted based on monitoring data. Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator. 	 Teachers can describe the high-effect size instructional strategies employed across the grades and curriculum and how they are adapted in the teacher's classroom to meet student needs. Data and feedback from school leader(s) generated from walkthroughs and observations are used by teachers to revise instructional practices. Other impact evidence of proficiency on this indicator. 			

Reflection Questions for Indicator 3.6

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
How do you convey to highly effective teachers specific feedback that would move them toward even higher levels of proficiency?	How do you improve your conferencing skills so your feedback to teachers is both specific enough to be helpful and perceived as support rather than negative	How do you restructure your use of time so that you spend enough time on monitoring the proficiency of instructional practices and giving feedback to be an effective support for the	How do you improve your own grasp of what the FEAPs require so that your monitoring has a useful focus?
How do you engage highly effective teachers in sharing a vision of high quality teaching with their colleagues so that there is no plateau of "good enough"?	criticism?	faculty?	

Proficiency Area 4: <u>Faculty Development</u>: Effective school leaders recruit, retain, and develop an effective and diverse faculty and staff; focus on evidence, research, and classroom realities faced by teachers; link professional practice with student achievement to demonstrate the cause and effect relationship; facilitate effective professional development; monitor implementation of critical initiatives; and provide timely feedback to teachers so that feedback can be used to increase teacher professional practice.

Narrative: This proficiency area is aligned with FPLS standard 4. It moves the focus from "what is the current reality" of faculty proficiency to continuous progress toward what the faculty can achieve with effort and focus.

Indicator 4.1 – Recruitment and Retention: The leader employs a faculty with the instructional proficiencies needed for the school population served.

Narrative: The focus of this indicator is on the leader's actions to staff the school with the best faculty possible for the needs of the school population. It addresses actions taken to anticipate staffing needs, seek out quality applicants, and efforts to retain quality staff once on the faculty.

Rati	ng	Rubr	ic
	1 1	DCC	

Kating Kubin			1	
Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders. The leader tracks the success of her or his recruitment and hiring strategies, learns from past experience, and revisits the process annually to continually improve the process. The leader engages in a variety of traditional and non-traditional recruitment strategies and then prioritizes based on where they find their most effective teachers. Effective recruiting and hiring practices are frequently shared with other administrators and colleagues throughout the system.	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations. The leader works collaboratively with the staff in the human resources office to define the ideal teacher based upon the school population served. The leader is sensitive to the various legal guidelines about the kind of data that can be sought in interviews. A hiring selection tool that helps interviewers focus on key instructional proficiencies that are aligned with the teacher evaluation criteria is developed and effectively utilized. A hiring process is clearly	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency. The leader relies on the district office to post notices of vacancies and identify potential applicants. Efforts to identify replacements tend to be slow and come after other schools have made selections. Interview processes are disorganized, not focused on the schools needs, and do not improve from year to year.	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact. The leader approaches the recruitment and hiring process from a reactive rather than a proactive standpoint. Consequently, the process may not be well thought out, is disjointed, and not aligned with key success criteria embedded within the teacher evaluation documents essential to organizational success. No coherent plan or process is employed to encourage quality staff to remain on the faculty.	
	communicated including how staff is involved.			
Leadership Evidence of p	proficiency on this	Impact Evidence of leadership proficiency may be		
indicator may be seen in th		seen in the behaviors or status of the faculty and		
	actions. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may		staff. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may	
include, but are not limited	-	include, but are not limited to the following:		
 The leader maintains an updated assessment of the instructional capacities needed to improve faculty effectiveness and uses that assessment in filling vacancies. Samples of hiring documents (e.g., posting notices, interview questions with look/listen fors) that 		 Teachers can describe a hiring process that incorporates a specific focus on essential instructional proficiencies needed for the school population served. 		

 identify highly desirable instructional proficiencies needed in teacher applicants. Documentation that the recruitment and select process is subjected to an in-depth review and evaluation for continuous improvement purposes. The leader has an established record of retaining effective and highly effective teachers on the staff. The leader has a systematic process for selecting new hires and reviews that process for its impact on faculty effectiveneess. Programs for new and transfer teachers that promote adjustment to the school culture and instructional responsibilities is provided. Evidence that the leader has shared successful hiring practices with other administrators and colleagues within the district. Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator. 	 Teachers confirm that a critical part of the hiring process includes an evaluation of the effectiveness of the process. Teacher leaders are involved in monitoring staffing needs and providing input to the leader. Teachers new to the school can describe effective induction processes that had a positive impact on their adjustment to the school. Teacher leaders (e.g. department heads, team leaders) can describe the instructional capacities needed in finding candidates to fill vacancies on the faculty. Other impact evidence of proficiency on this indicator.
--	---

[] Highly Effective	[] Effective	[] Needs	[] Unsatisfactory
		Improvement	
Evidence Log (Specifica	lly, what has been	observed that reflects	current proficiency on this
indicator? The examples expected):	above are illustra	tive and do not reflect	an exclusive list of what is
Enter data here:			

Reflection Questions for Indicator 4.1

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
What can be done to encourage quality teachers to stay with your school and quality applicants to seek to join the faculty?	What connections do you have to reach potential applicants other that the districts personnel office?	Have you gathered data about why teachers choose to leave your faculty? What strategies have you employed to meet the learning needs of your faculty, from novice to veteran to expert?	At what point in the school year do you check on staff retention and estimate future staffing needs? In what ways are professional learning opportunities linked to individual faculty needs?

Indicator 4.2 – Feedback Practices: The leader monitors, evaluates proficiency, and provides timely and actionable feedback to faculty on the effectiveness of instruction on priority instructional goals and the cause and effect relationships between professional practice and student achievement on those goals.

Narrative: Where indicator 3.6 focuses on monitoring to maintain awareness of faculty effectiveness, this indicator focuses on the use of the monitoring process to provide quality and timely feedback to teachers. The feedback processes need to deepen teacher understanding of the impact of their practices on student learning.

Rating Rubric				
Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders.	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations.	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency.	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact.	
The leader uses a variety of creative ways to provide positive and corrective feedback. The entire organization reflects the leader's focus on accurate, timely, and specific recognition of proficiency and improvement in proficiency. The focus and specificity of feedback creates a clear vision of what the priority instructional goals are for the school and the cause and effective relationship between practice and student achievement on those priority goals. The leader balances individual recognition with team and organization- wide recognition.	The leader provides formal feedback consistent with the district personnel policies, and provides informal feedback to reinforce proficient performance and highlight the strengths of colleagues and staff. The leader has effectively implemented a system for collecting feedback from teachers as to what they know, what they understand, where they make errors, and when they have misconceptions about use of instructional practices. Corrective and positive feedback is linked to organizational goals and both the leader and employees can cite examples of where feedback is used to improve individual and organizational performance.	The leader adheres to the personnel policies in providing formal feedback, although the feedback is just beginning to provide details that improve teaching or organizational performance, or there are faculty to whom feedback Is not timely or not focused on priority improvement needs. The leader tends to view feedback as a linear process; something they provide teachers rather than a collegial exchange of perspectives on proficiency.	There is no or only minimal monitoring that results in feedback on proficiency. Formal feedback, when provided, is nonspecific. Informal feedback is rare, nonspecific, and not constructive.	
Leadership Evidence of proficiency on this indicator may be seen in the leader's behaviors or actions. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may		Impact Evidence of leadership proficiency may be seen in the behaviors or status of the faculty and staff <u>. Illustrative examples of</u> such evidence may include but are not limited to the following:		
 include, but are not limited to the following: Rubrics that distinguish among proficiency levels on evaluation indicators are used by the leader to focus feedback needed improvements in instructional practice. Samples of written feedback provided teachers regarding prioritized instructional practices. Documentation of an instructional monitoring schedule that supports frequent instructional monitoring by the school's administrative staff. 		 include, but are not limited to the following: Teachers can attest to regularly scheduled formal and informal observations. Teachers report recognition as team members and as individuals. Teachers describe feedback from the leader in terms of recognizing instructional strengths and suggestions to take their teaching to a new level. 		

 The leader implements a schedule that results in frequent walkthroughs and observation of teaching and learning School improvement plan reflects monitoring data analyses. Evidence the leader has a system for securing feedback from teachers specific to prioritized instructional practices. The leader's use of time results in at least 2 work days a week spent on monitoring instructional issues (i.e. "watching the game") and providing specific and actionable feedback on instructional practices. The leader provides feedback that describes ways to enhance performance and reach the next level of proficiency. Feedback reflects judgment on proficiency, not just a "yes-no" checklist approach. Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator. 	 Teachers report that leader uses a combination of classroom observation and teacher-self assessment data as part of the feedback. Feedback to teachers, over the course of the year, is based on multiple sources of information (e.g. observations, walkthroughs, videos, self-reflections, lesson studies, PLCs, assessment data,) and from more than one person. Teacher leaders have opportunities to observe colleagues teaching practices and provide feedback. Feedback and evaluation data is used by teachers to formulate growth plans. Other impact evidence of proficiency on this indicator.
Scale Levels: (choose one) Where there is suffic indicator, assign a proficiency level by checking or rated at this time, leave blank:	

rated at this time, leave bl	ank:			
[] Highly Effective	[] Effective	[] Needs	[] Unsatisfactory	
		Improvement		
Evidence Log (Specifica	lly, what has been obs	served that reflects cur	crent proficiency on this	
indicator? The examples above are illustrative and do not reflect an exclusive list of what is				
expected):				
Enter data here:				

Reflection Questions for Indicator 4.2

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
How frequently do teachers recognize that your feedback is directly linked to improving both their personal performance and that of the school? What might you do to ensure that they see this important connection?	What are some examples of focused, constructive, and meaningful feedback that you provide to your staff? How does this support their learning?	In what ways do you currently recognize faculty in providing feedback and affirmation to them? To what extent do you acknowledge the efforts of teams, as well as that of individuals?	How can frequent, focused, and constructive feedback support teachers in improving their instructional practice?

Indicator 4.3 – High effect size strategies: Instructional personnel receive recurring feedback on their proficiency on high effect size strategies.

Narrative: Teaching is a complex process. The "right thing to do" varies with conditions in the classroom. However, teachers need proficiency on a core repertoire of high importance strategies. These are strategies all teachers are expected to be able to use effectively. This indicator is focused on the leader's proficiency in focusing faculty attention on improvement of those "high effect size" strategies – those with higher probabilities of causing student growth when done correctly and in appropriate circumstances.

Note: Department lists of high-effect size strategies are posted at <u>www.fldoe.org</u> and <u>www.floridaschoolleaders.org</u>

Rating Rubi ic					
Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders. The leader uses a variety of creative ways to provide positive and corrective feedback on the implementation of high effect size strategies. As a result, the correct and appropriate implementation of high effect size instructional strategies across the curriculum and grades is a routine part of the learning environment for all students. The entire organization reflects the leader's focus on accurate, timely, and specific recognition of correct and appropriate implementation of high effect size strategies. The leader balances individual recognition on high effect size strategies with team and organization-wide recognition.	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations. In addition to the formal feedback consistent with the district evaluation system indictors, the leader provides recurring informal feedback on high effect size strategies to reinforce proficient performance and highlight the strengths of colleagues and staff. The leader has effectively implemented a system for collecting feedback from teachers as to what they know, what they understand, where they make errors, and when they have misconceptions about use of high effect size strategies. Corrective and positive feedback on high effect size strategies is linked to organizational goals. Both the leader and employees can cite examples of where feedback on high effect size strategies is used to improve individual and organizational performance.	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency. The leader adheres to the district evaluation system requirements for providing formal feedback on high effect size strategies, but the feedback is general rather than providing details that improve teaching or organizational performance related to high effect size strategies. The leader tends to view feedback as a linear process; something they provide teachers rather than two way communications where the leader also learns from the teachers' expertise.	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact. The leader is not aware of the high effect size strategies expected to be used in district schools or fails to communicate them to faculty. Feedback on high effect size strategies is rare, nonspecific, and not constructive.		
Leadership Evidence of p	proficiency on this	Impact Evidence of leade	rship proficiency may be		
indicator may be seen in th		seen in the behaviors or st			
5		staff. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may			
		include, but are not limited to the following:			
 actions. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following: Professional learning supports on the high effective size strategies are readily available to faculty. Samples of written feedback provided teachers high effect size instructional strategies. Walkthrough and observation practices are designed to emphasize feedback on use of high effective size strategies. School improvement plan includes actions to improve proficiency in high effect size strategies. Evidence the leader has a system for securing specific feedback from teachers on their implementation of high effect size strategies correctly and in appropriate 		 Teachers can attest to regul informal observations with strategies. Teachers report recognition individuals for quality work Teachers describe feedback recognizing instructional st their teaching to a new leve Teachers report that leader 	arly scheduled formal and feedback on high effect n as team members and as c on high effect strategies. from the leader in terms of rengths and suggestions to take d. uses a combination of teacher-self assessment data as		

Documentation of an instructional monitoring schedule High effect size strategies provided through v	
that supports frequent (every other week) instructional monitoring of high effect size strategies.and district initiatives are employed by teach the initiatives apply.	ers to whom
 The leader provides feedback that describes ways to enhance performance on high effect size strategies and reach the next level on same. The leader manages schedules that enable teachers to make observational rounds or view video examples of other teachers using the high effect size strategies. Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator. Departments routinely discuss their capacity the high effect strategies applicable to their s Teachers are afforded opportunities to obser teachers using the high effect size strategies. Departments routinely discuss their capacity the high effect strategies applicable to their s Teachers are afforded opportunities to obser teachers using the high effect size strategies. Lesson study teams use the process to improv of high effect strategies to the content of targ Other impact evidence of proficiency on this indicator. 	ubject area. ve mentor ve application eted lessons.

		Improvement	
[] Highly Effective	[] Effective	[] Needs	[] Unsatisfactory
racea at this time, icave bi	unni		

Evidence Log (Specifically, what has been observed that reflects current proficiency on this indicator? The examples above are illustrative and do not reflect an exclusive list of what is expected):

Enter data here:

Reflection Questions for Indicator 4.3

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
How frequently do teachers recognize that your feedback is directly linked to improving both their personal performance on high effect size strategies and as well as the organizational performance?	What are some examples of focused, constructive, and meaningful feedback on high effect size strategies that you provide to your staff? How does this support their learning?	In what ways do you currently recognize faculty in providing feedback and affirmation to them on high effect size strategies? To what extent do you acknowledge the efforts of teams, as well as that of individuals?	How can frequent, focused, and constructive feedback support teachers in improving their instructional practice?
What might you do to ensure that they see this important connection?			

Indicator 4.4 - <u>Instructional Initiatives</u>: District supported state initiatives focused on student growth are supported by the leader with specific and observable actions including monitoring of implementation and measurement of progress toward initiative goals and professional learning to improve faculty capacity to implement the initiatives. Initiatives include:

- **Monitoring Text Complexity**: The school leader monitors teacher implementation of instructional processes involving complex text with embedding of close reading and rereading of complex text as a routine event incorporating these two processes:
 - writing in response to text
 - text-based discussions with students
- **Interventions:** The school leader routinely uses teacher-collected student response data to determine effectiveness of instruction and interventions school-wide, grade-wide, class-wide, and specific to student sub-groups. (MTSS)
- **Instructional Adaptations:** The school leader routinely engages teachers collaboratively in a structured data-based planning and problem-solving process in order to modify instruction and interventions for accelerated student progress and to monitor and evaluate the effect of those modifications. (MTSS)
- **ESOL Strategies:** The school leader monitors the school and classrooms for comprehensible instruction delivered to ESOL students and the utilization of ESOL teaching strategies appropriate to the students in the class. (ESOL)]
- **Other District Supported Initiatives**: The school leader monitors the school and classrooms for comprehensive implementation of all other instructional initiatives supported by the district as relevant to this school.

Narrative: The Department of Education and/or district-supported initiatives focused on improving student performance require school leader support to be successful at the school site. This indicator addresses the leader's proficiency in supporting such initiatives. Indicator 4.4 also focuses on professional learning needed to implement priority initiatives.

Note: District and FLDOE websites provide support and information about priority initiatives.

Highly Effective:	Effective: Leader's actions	Needs Improvement:	Unsatisfactory:
Leader's actions or impact of	or impact of leader's actions	Leader's actions or impact of	Leader's actions or impact of
leader's actions relevant to	relevant to this indicator are	leader's actions relevant to this	leader's actions relevant to this
this indicator exceed effective	sufficient and appropriate	indicator are evident but are	indicator are minimal or are
levels and constitute models of	reflections of quality work	inconsistent or of insufficient	not occurring, or are having an
proficiency for other leaders.	with only normal variations.	scope or proficiency.	adverse impact.
All initiatives are implemented	Most of the district and state	Some initiatives are	District and state supported
across the grades and subjects	initiatives are implemented	implemented across the some	initiatives are not supported
as appropriate with full	across the grades and subjects	of the grades and subjects as	by the leader with any specific
fidelity to the components of	as appropriate with full	appropriate with work in	plans, actions, feedback or
each initiative.	fidelity to the components of	progress to implement the	monitoring.
The leader monitors teachers'	each initiative.	components of each initiative.	
implementation of the	Reading Complexity and MTSS		The leader is unaware of what
initiative, tracks the impact of	are routine instructional	The leader relies on teachers	state and district initiatives are
the initiative on student	processes in all classes and at	to implement the initiatives	expected to be implemented at
growth, and shares effective	all levels of instruction. ESOL	and is seldom involved in	the school.
practices and impacts with	strategies are routinely	monitoring or providing	
other school leaders.	employed with all ELL	feedback on the impact of the	
	students.	initiative's implementation on	
	The leader is conversant with	student growth.	
	the impact the initiative is		
	expected to have and monitors		
	teacher and student		
	implementation of the		
	elements of the initiative.		

Leadership Evidence of proficiency on this indicator may be seen in the leader's behaviors or actions. Illustrative examples of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following:	Impact Evidence of leadership proficiency may be seen in the behaviors or actions of the faculty, staff, students and/or community. Illustrative examples of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following:
 The initiatives being pursued are explicitly identified and access to supporting resources is provided. Leader's agendas, memoranda, etc. reflect presentations to faculty on the targeted initiatives. A Multi-tiered System of Supports (MTSS) and Response to Intervention (RtI) is fully implemented and the leader monitors regularly to sustain implementation. The leader monitors practices in areas where subject specific strategies are expected and provides feedback on the effective sue of such strategies (e.g. ESOL strategies) Reading Strategies from Just Read, Florida! are implemented. The leader can identify all of the initiatives in use and describe how progress is monitored for each. Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator. 	
rated at this time, leave blank: [] Highly Effective [] Effective	[] Needs [] Unsatisfactory
	Improvement
Evidence Log (Specifically, what has been obserrindicator? The examples above are illustrative are expected): Enter data here:	· ·

Reflection Questions for Indicator 4.4

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
How do you engage your faculty in communities of practice where practices related to the initiatives are shared with faculty in other schools or districts?	How do you use monitoring of these initiatives to identify faculty professional development needs that, if addressed, would improve the quality of implementation?	How do you communicate with district and state resources to learn more about what these initiatives can contribute to my school?	How do you find out what initiatives should be implemented?

Indicator 4.5 – Facilitating and Leading Professional Learning: The leader manages the organization, operations, and facilities to provide the faculty with quality resources and time for professional learning and promotes, participates in, and engages faculty in effective individual and collaborative learning on priority professional goals throughout the school year.

Narrative: Indicator 4.5 is focused on what the leader does to engage faculty in meaningful professional learning (which includes being involved in what the faculty is learning).Professional learning on-the-job is an essential aspect of effective schools. School leaders who manage the school in ways that support both individual and collegial professional learning get better outcomes than those who do not. The leader's personal participation in professional learning plays a major role in making professional learning efforts pay off. This indicator addresses the leader's role as a leader in professional development.

Rating Rubric			
Rating Rubric Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders. The leader uses a variety of creative ways to provide professional learning for individual and collegial groups focused on deepening subject matter knowledge and proficiency at high effect size strategies. The leader is personally involved in the learning activities of the faculty in way s that both show support and deepen understanding of what to monitor. The entire organization reflects the leader's focus on accurate, timely, and specific professional learning that targets improved instruction and student learning on the standards in the course descriptions. Leadership monitoring of professional learning is focused on the impact of instructional proficiency on	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations. The leader provides recurring opportunities for professional learning for individual and collegial groups focused on issues directly related to faculty proficiency at high effect size strategies and student learning needs. The leader removes barriers to time for professional learning and provides needed resources as a priority. Participation in specific professional learning that target improved instruction and student learning is recognized by the faculty as a school priority. Leadership monitoring of professional learning is focused on the impact of instructional proficiency on student learning.	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency. Less than a majority of the faculty can verify participation in professional learning focused on student needs or faculty proficiency at high effect size strategies. Time for professional learning is provided but is not a consistent priority. Minimal effort expended to assess the impact of professional learning of professional learning is focused primarily participation with minimal attention given to the impact of instructional proficiency on student learning.	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact. Focused professional development on priority learning needs is not operational. Few faculty members have opportunities to engage in collegial professional development processes on the campus. Individual professional learning is not monitored and is not connected to the school improvement plan or student learning needs.
student learning.			
Leadership Evidence of p indicator may be seen in the actions. <u>Illustrative examp</u> include, but are not limited	he leader's behaviors or <u>lles</u> of such evidence may	Impact Evidence of leader seen in the behaviors or st staff. <u>Illustrative examples</u> include, but are not limited	atus of the faculty and of such evidence may
 establish a clear pattern of professional development. Documents generated by or establish a clear pattern of professional development. 	r at the direction of the leader	book study groups, and/or l collegial opportunities are a	earning and can provide rement. ecords of lesson study teams, PLCs provide evidence that these active on the campus. ecdotal records of teams and/or
 Technology is used to provi professional learning. Budget records verify resou prioritized professional learning 		 professional learning. Information on the availabil easily accessible for faculty. Other impact evidence of pr 	

 Documents generated provide 	e evidence that		
administrators are monitoring	g faculty participation in		
professional learning.			
Other leadership evidence of p	proficiency on this indicator.		
Scale Levels: (choose on	e) Where there is suffi	cient evidence to rate o	current proficiency on this
indicator, assign a proficie	ency level by checking o	ne of the four proficiend	cy levels below. If not being
rated at this time, leave bl	ank:		
[] Highly Effective	[] Effective	[] Needs	[] Unsatisfactory
		Improvement	
Evidence Log (Specifical	lly, what has been ob	.	urrent proficiency on this
0 (1		served that reflects cu	1 0
indicator? The examples		served that reflects cu	arrent proficiency on this a exclusive list of what is
0 (1		served that reflects cu	1 0
indicator? The examples expected):		served that reflects cu	1 0
indicator? The examples		served that reflects cu	1 0
indicator? The examples expected):		served that reflects cu	1 0
indicator? The examples expected):		served that reflects cu	1 0
indicator? The examples expected):		served that reflects cu	1 0

Reflection Questions for Indicator 4.5

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
What strategies have you	What might be some	As you think about your	How would you describe
implemented so that you	creative ways to provide	leadership in providing	your efforts to make
spread your learning about	professional learning for	professional learning, what	certain that your
providing professional	individual and collegial	are key strategies for you	professional learning is
learning for individual and	groups focused on	to consider that would help	focused on student needs
collegial groups within	deepening subject matter	you provide recurring	or faculty proficiency at
your school to your	knowledge and proficiency	opportunities for	high effect size strategies?
colleagues across the	at high effect size	professional learning for	
school system?	strategies?	individual and collegial	
		groups focused on issues	
		directly related to faculty	
		proficiency at high effect	
		size strategies and student	
		learning needs?	

Indicator 4.6 – Faculty Development Alignments: The leader implements professional learning processes that enable faculty to deliver culturally relevant and differentiated instruction by:

- generating a focus on student and professional learning in the school that is clearly linked to the system-wide objectives and the school improvement plan,
- identifying faculty instructional proficiency needs (including standards-based content, research-based pedagogy, data analysis for instructional planning and improvement),
- aligning faculty development practices with system objectives, improvement planning, faculty proficiency needs, and appropriate instructional goals,
- and using instructional technology as a learning tool for students and faculty.

Narrative: Faculty development has many aspects. This indicator addresses the leader's proficiency at developing faculty capacity to implement culturally relevant differentiated instruction by <u>aligning</u> the various faculty developments processes and practices with certain key issues (Standards-based content, research-based methods, data for planning, etc. as specified in the text of the standard.)

Kating Kubi K			
Highly Effective:	Effective: Leader's actions	Needs Improvement:	Unsatisfactory:
Leader's actions or impact of	or impact of leader's actions	Leader's actions or impact of	Leader's actions or impact of
leader's actions relevant to	relevant to this indicator are	leader's actions relevant to this	leader's actions relevant to this
this indicator exceed effective	sufficient and appropriate	indicator are evident but are	indicator are minimal or are
levels and constitute models of	reflections of quality work	inconsistent or of insufficient	not occurring, or are having an
proficiency for other leaders.	with only normal variations.	scope or proficiency.	adverse impact.
The leader has demonstrated a record of differentiated professional learning for faculty based on student needs. The leader has developed a system of job-embedded professional learning that differentiates training and implementation of instructional priorities based on teacher needs, which help retain proficient and highly exemplary staff. The leader routinely shares professional learning opportunities with other schools, departments, districts, and organizations.	Professional learning includes a plan for the implementation of the prioritized instructional needs (e.g., research-based instruction, data analysis, instructional technology, culturally relevant) aligned to school improvement plan and some effort has been made to differentiate (coaching, mentoring, collaborative teams, coaching) and embed professional development to meet the needs of all faculty members. The leader is able to use data from evaluation of instructional personnel to assess proficiencies and identify priority needs to support and retain proficient and exemplary faculty	The leader attempts to implement all of the priority instructional needs without a plan for doing so. The leader is aware of the differentiated needs of faculty and staff members, but professional development is only embedded in faculty meetings at this time, rather than incorporating the use of collaboration, study teams, etc. in order to meet the unique needs of staff.	Professional learning is typically "one size fits all," and there is little or no evidence of recognition of individual faculty needs or matching of faculty needs to student achievement needs. Consequently, retaining proficient and exemplary staff is problematic.
Leadership Evidence of p	members.	Impact Evidence of leade	rshin proficiency may be
indicator may be seen in th		seen in the behaviors or status of the faculty and	
actions. <u>Illustrative examp</u>		staff. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may	
include, but are not limited		include, but are not limited to the following:	
 Documentation that professional learning is determined on the basis of student achievement and teacher competency data. Evidence that professional learning includes culturally relevant instructional practices. Faculty meetings focus on professional learning related to the schools instructional priorities. The leader examines data on teacher proficiencies and identifies needs that are subsequently addressed by professional learning. 		 relevant to the population s their unique instructional n Lesson study groups and PI and a focus for their collegia Teachers can articulate a pr individualized learning plan Faculty requests for profes 	Cs have explicitly stated goals al learning. ocess that helps them develop

 Technology resources are provided to maximize faculty access to online learning and sharing video exemplars for quality instructional practices. Individualized professional development plans approved by the principal are clearly aligned with school improvement priorities. Meeting agendas and memorandum to faculty provide evidence of on-going monitoring of the implementation of critical initiatives (e.g., data analysis, text complexity), standards-based instructional program, multi-tiered system of supports, and differentiated instruction. The leader's documents and agendas provide evidence of guiding faculty toward deeper understanding of the cultures of students in the school and how instruction is adapted to improve student engagement in learning. Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator. 	 Teachers can identify their learning needs as they relate to student learning needs. Faculty can demonstrate their use of course descriptions as the source of learning goals and objectives. Faculty can provide evidence of culturally relevant and differentiated instruction. Other impact evidence of proficiency on this indicator.
Scale Levels: (choose one) Where there is suffic indicator, assign a proficiency level by checking on rated at this time, leave blank:	1 5 5

[] Highly Effective	[] Effective	[] Needs	[] Unsatisfactory			
		Improvement				
Evidence Log (Specifica	lly, what has been ob	served that reflects cu	rrent proficiency on this			
indicator? The examples	above are illustrative	and do not reflect an	exclusive list of what is			
expected):						
Enter data here:						

Reflection Questions for Indicator 4.6

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
What procedures have you established to increase professional knowledge opportunities for colleagues across the school system?	What system do you use to prioritize learning needs and empower faculty to create individual learning plans?	What strategies have you employed to meet the learning needs of your faculty, from novice to veteran to expert?	In what ways are professional learning opportunities linked to individual faculty needs?

Indicator 4.7 – Actual Improvement: The leader improves the percentage of effective and highly effective teachers on the faculty.

Narrative: An indicator required by 1012.34 F.S., the focus is on whether the accumulated impact of the leader's actions result in positive trend lines on teacher effectiveness. Evidence gathered from proficiency area #3 provide a base line that, along with teacher rating in the district's teacher evaluation system and student growth measures, enable assessment of whether actual improvement in teacher's proficiency is occurring.

Rating Rubric			
Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders.	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations.	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency.	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact.
The percentage of teachers rated effective or highly effective increases while the percentage rated needs improvement for two consecutive years declines. Student growth measure and instructional practice ratings are in substantial agreement for at least 75 percent of the faculty.	The percentage of teachers rated effective or highly effective increases or remains stable within five percentage points of the prior year, but there is evidence of specific improvements in student growth measures or proficiency in high effect size strategies.	There is no evidence of improvement in student growth measures for the majority of the teachers rated as effective, needs improvement, or unsatisfactory. There is significant variation between teachers' student growth measures and principal's assessment of instructional practices.	The percentage of teachers rated effective or highly effective declines and cannot be explained by changes in staff membership. There is no evidence of improvement in student growth measures for the majority of the teachers rated as needs improvement or unsatisfactory.
 leader establish that the of faculty members on s identifies those making Documents generated b leader establish that the of faculty members on h identifies those making Documents generated b leader establish that the of faculty members rate unsatisfactory and can i improvement. The leader tracks studer assessment data aligned actual improvement in t 	he leader's behaviors or oles of such evidence may d to the following: y or at the direction of the leader tracks the progress tudent growth measures and demonstrable progress. y or at the direction of the leader tracks the progress igh effect size strategies and demonstrable progress. y or at the direction of the leader tracks the progress d as needs improvement or dentify specific areas of at growth data and teacher to learning goals to track eacher performance and e percentage of staff showing	 Impact Evidence of leade seen in the behaviors or st staff. Illustrative examples include, but are not limited The percentage of teach increases. The percentage of teach needing improvement (or decreases. The percentage of teach district average on stude increases. The percentage of teach district average on stude increases. The percentage of teach rating on high effect size increases. Lesson studies produce improved student outco Tracking of learning goa lines showing improvem State and district tests sl performance. VAM scores in teacher as 	rship proficiency may be catus of the faculty and of such evidence may d to the following: ers rated highly effective ers rated effective increases. ers previously rated as developing) or unsatisfactory ers ranking at or above the ent growth measures ers with highly effective instructional strategies revised lessons with mes. ls produces data and trend tent in teacher effectiveness. now improved student ssessment show lines show improvement in sed on VAM scores.

[] Highly Effect	tive	[] Effectiv	ve		[]N	eeds	[] Unsatisf	acto	ry
				In	npro	vement				
Evidence Log (S	pecifically,	what has	been	observed	that	reflects	current	proficiency	on on	this

Evidence Log (Specifically, what has been observed that reflects current proficiency on this indicator? The examples above are illustrative and do not reflect an exclusive list of what is expected):

Enter data here:

Reflection Questions for Indicator 4.7

Reflection Questions to			
Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
How well aligned are your	How would you describe	How would you describe	How are you making a
assessments of	your efforts to improve	your efforts to understand	difference in the quality of
instructional practice with	instruction?	what instructional	teaching in your school?
the results of student		improvements are needed	
growth measures?	In what ways are you	and then communicate that	What are some of the
	providing feedback on	in useful ways?	strategies you are
In what ways are you	instructional practice that		employing that help you be
assisting the better	result in improved student	What information are you	aware of where the
performing teachers to	learning for those teachers	collecting to help you know	greatest problems are in
improve as much as you	most in need of growth?	what is or is not happening	terms of instructional
are assisting the lower		in the classrooms where	proficiency?
performers?		teachers need	
		improvement?	

Proficiency Area 5: <u>Learning Environment</u>: Effective school leaders structure and monitor a school learning environment that improves learning for all of Florida's diverse student population.

Narrative: This proficiency area is aligned with FPLS standard 5. Much of what student's experience in school is a result of decisions and actions by the adults in the school. Learning environments that are success oriented, student centered, treat diversity as an asset, and focus on eliminating achievement gaps support students preparation for fulfilling lives.

Indicator 5.1 – Student Centered: The leader maintains a safe, respectful and inclusive studentcentered learning environment that is focused on equitable opportunities for learning and building a foundation for a fulfilling life in a democratic society and global economy by providing recurring monitoring and feedback on the quality of the learning environment and aligning learning environment practices with system objectives, improvement planning, faculty proficiency needs, and appropriate instructional goals.

Narrative: School leaders who monitor what students experience by being enrolled in the leader's school have better insights on how to make the system work than those who do not monitor impact of policies and practices on students. It is the leader's responsibility to know whether student life is equitable, respectful, and supportive of engagement in learning.

Katilig Kubi it			
Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders. The leader provides clear, convincing, and consistent evidence that they ensure the creation and maintenance of a learning environment conducive to successful teaching and learning for all and shares these practices with others throughout the district. Involves the school and community to collect data on curricular and extra-curricular student involvement to assure	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations. The leader provides clear evidence that they create and maintain a learning environment that is generally conducive to ensuring effective teaching practices and learning, although there may be some exceptions. Collects data on curricular and extra-curricular student involvement to assure equal opportunity for student participation.	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency. The leader provides limited evidence that they create a safe school either in planning or actions. Collects data on curricular and extra-curricular student involvement.	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact. The leader provides little to no evidence that s/he make plans for a safe and respectful environment to ensure successful teaching and learning or addresses safety concerns as they arise. Does not collect data on curricular and extra-curricular student involvement.
 student involvement to assure equal opportunity for student participation. Leadership Evidence of proficiency on this indicator may be seen in the leader's behaviors or actions. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following: Documents that establish safe, respectful, and inclusive school-wide common expectations for students and staff. Agendas, meeting minutes, etc., show recurring attention to student needs. The leader's documents reveal a pattern of examining student opportunities for achieving success Leader has procedures for students to express needs and concerns direct to the leader. The leader provides programs and supports for student not making adequate progress. 		 procedures that result in a s student-centered learning e Student questionnaire result school attention to student Counseling services and saft bullying") are implemented Tutorial processes are provistudents. 	atus of the faculty and of such evidence may d to the following: ecific policies, practices, and safe, respectful, and inclusive environment. Its reflect satisfaction with needs and interests. e school programs (e.g. anti-

• Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator.	•	Parent questionnaire results reflect satisfaction with schools attention to student needs and interests.
	•	Other impact evidence of proficiency on this indicator.

[] Highly Effective	[] Effective	[] Needs	[] Unsatisfactory
		Improvement	

Evidence Log (Specifically, what has been observed that reflects current proficiency on this indicator? The examples above are illustrative and do not reflect an exclusive list of what is expected):

Enter data here:

Reflection Questions for Indicator 5.1

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
What practices have you engaged in to increase professional knowledge opportunities for colleagues across the school system regarding your efforts to ensure the creation and maintenance of a learning environment conducive to successful teaching and learning for	What evidence would you accept you were ensuring the creation and maintenance of a learning environment conducive to successful teaching and learning for all?	How would you describe your efforts to provide clear evidence that you create and maintain a learning environment that is generally conducive to ensure effective teaching and learning, although there may be some exceptions?	What strategies are you intentionally implementing to create and maintain a safe and respectful environment to ensure successful teaching and learning or addresses safety concerns as they arise?
teaching and learning for all?			

Indicator 5.2 - Success Oriented: Initiates and supports continuous improvement processes and a multi-tiered system of supports focused on the students' opportunities for success and well-being.

Narrative: The issues in 5.1 focus on monitoring how school policy and practice affect the quality of student lives. This indicator shifts focus from those broad issues to what happens at the school that creates opportunities for student success and students' perceptions that school life is organized to do something good for them. School should be rigorous and demanding but also implemented in ways that create recurring opportunities for success.

Highly Effective:	Effective: Leader's actions	Needs Improvement:	Unsatisfactory:
Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of	or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work	Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of	Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an
proficiency for other leaders.	with only normal variations.	insufficient scope or proficiency.	adverse impact.
Through all grades and	Problem solves skillfully	Problem solving efforts are	No actions other than use
subjects a multi-tiered	(e.g., conceptualizing,	unskillfully used to provide	of slogans and exhortations
system of supports is	applying, analyzing,	adequate time, resources,	to succeed are taken by the
operational providing	synthesizing, and/or	and support to teachers to	leader to address practices
core universal supports	evaluating information) to	deliver the district's	and process that actually
(research-based, high-	provide adequate time,	curriculum and state's	enable success.
quality, general education	resources, and support to	standards to students.	MTSS not operational.

			ГП
instruction and support;	teachers to deliver the	Colobrations of student	
screening and benchmark	district's curriculum to all	Celebrations of student	
assessments for all	students.	success are provided but	
students, and continuous	Celebrations of student	are inconsistent in focusing	
data collection continues to inform instruction).	success are common events and are focused on	on how/why students succeeded.	
to morm mstructionj.	recognition of the methods	succeeded.	
Where student are not	and effort expended so	MTSS operational in some	
successful on core	students understand what	classes.	
instruction, problem	behaviors led to the	classes.	
solving is employed to	success.		
identify and implement	Most grades and subject		
targeted supplemental	track student learning		
supports (data based	growth on priority		
interventions and	instructional targets.		
progress monitoring).	MTSS operational across		
	the grades and subjects.		
Where targeted			
supplemental supports are			
not successful, intensive			
individual supports are			
employed based on			
individual student needs.			
Skillful problem solving to			
ensure staff have adequate			
time and support, and			
effectively monitoring teacher's effective use of			
research-based instruction.			
Leadership Evidence of	proficiency on this	Impact Evidence of leade	rshin proficiency may be
indicator may be seen in t	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	seen in the behaviors or a	
actions. <u>Illustrative examp</u>		students and/or communi	
include, but are not limited to the following:		of such evidence may inclu	ide, but are not innited to
		the following:	
Agendas, memorandum			data-based interventions
provide direction on imp		and progress monitoring	
 Agendas, memorandum, recurring discussion wit 	, and other documents reflect	 Teacher-directed celebra identify causes of succes 	ations of student success
progress monitoring pro			
 The leader recognizes th 			cribe the leader as one who is
	lent, groups and the whole		student success in school
		and life.	statent success in school
school via newsletters , announcements, websites, social media and face-to-face exchanges)			ents, grade levels or collegial
 Leader solicits student input on processes that 			e worked together on student
• Leader solicits student input on processes that support or hamper their success.		success are recognized.	
 Leader does surveys and other data collections that 			cking of progress results in
	s that impact student well-	data on student success.	
being.		 Other impact evidence o 	
0	es are employed to collect	indicator.	
	keholder perception data on		
the school supports for s			
Other leadership eviden			
indicator.			

[] Highly Effective	[] Effective	[] Needs	[] Unsatisfactory
		Improvement	
0	-		current proficiency on this an exclusive list of what is
Enter data here:			

Reflection Questions for Indicator 5.2

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
What supports do you	How do you enable	How do you monitor	How do you obtain training
need to provide to	teachers proficient at	instructional practice to	on what the MTSS model
deepen the faculty's	MTSS to share the	assess the quality of	requires and how do you
capacity to provide	process with other	implementation of MTSS?	convey the expectations
intensive individual	teachers?		inherent in the model to your
supports?		How do you monitor the	faculty?
	What continuous	impact of targeted	
How do you share	progress practices should	supplemental supports?	
effective continuous	be shared with the entire		
progress practices with	faculty?	What barriers to student	
oth4r school leaders?		success are not being	
		addressed in your school?	

Indicator 5.3 – <u>Diversity</u>: To align diversity practices with system objectives, improvement planning, faculty proficiency needs, and appropriate instructional goals, the leader recognizes and uses diversity as an asset in the development and implementation of procedures and practices that motivate all students and improve student learning, and promotes school and classroom practices that validate and value similarities and differences among students.

Narrative: "Diversity practices" refers to the capacity of teachers and school leaders to recognize the many variations in students that impact learning growth (e.g. learning processes, prior learning experiences, family and cultural backgrounds); implement practices that respect diversity in learning needs (e.g. multi-tiered system of supports) and make adjustments at the classroom level that make use of student strengths and promote growth needs.

Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders.	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations.	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency.	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact.
The leader shares with others throughout the district strategies that help them put into action their belief that all students can learn at high levels by leading curriculum, instruction, and assessment that reflect and respect the diversity of students and staff. The leader provides an instructional program where recurring adaptations in instructional to address variations in student learning needs, styles, and learning strengths are routine events in all classes.	The leader systematically acts on the belief that all students can learn at high levels by leading curriculum, instruction, and assessment that reflect and respect the diversity of students and staff. Classroom practices consistently reflect appropriate adjustments based on cultural, racial, ethnic backgrounds of students. The leader's expectations that teachers adapt instructional strategies to meet individual student needs are an accepted part of the shared vision of the leader and faculty.	The leader inconsistently acts on the belief that all students can learn at high levels by sometimes leading curriculum, instruction, and assessment that reflect and respect the diversity of students and staff. The leader has taken some actions that set expectations for teachers adapting instructional strategies to meet individual student needs and such individualization is evident in some but not most classes.	The leader limits opportunities for all students to meet high expectations by allowing or ignoring practices in curriculum, instruction, and assessment that are culturally, racially, or ethnically insensitive and/or inappropriate. Takes no actions that set expectations for teachers adapting instructional strategies to meet individual student needs.
Leadership Evidence of p	proficiency on this	Impact Evidence of leade	rship proficiency may be
indicator may be seen in t		seen in the behaviors or status of the faculty and	
actions. <u>Illustrative examp</u>		staff. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may	
include, but are not limite		include, but are not limited to the following:	
 asset in the developmen procedures and practice Agendas, memorandum, attention at faculty meet diversity issues and ada Leader's actions in provi 	etc., reflecting recurring cings to capacity to recognize pt instruction accordingly. iding professional learning understanding of a range of lence of monitoring for	 Teachers can describe a specific policies, practices, and procedures that validate and value similarities and differences among students. Professional development opportunities are provide for new teachers regarding ways to adapt instructio to address diversity issues in the student body and community. Student questionnaire results reflect belief that thei individual characteristics are respected by school 	

 School policies, practices, procedures that validate and value similarities and differences among students. The school leader collects and reviews agenda and minutes from departmental or team meetings to monitor attention to diversity issues in pursuit of student learning growth. Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator. 	 Parent questionnaire results reflect belief that their individual characteristics are respected by school leader and faculty. A multi-tiered system of supports (MTSS) is implemented in the classrooms in ways that respect and make adjustments for diversity factors. The school provides an interactive website for students, parents, and the community designed to be "user friendly" and sensitive to diversity issues in the community, providing information of interest to various segments of the school community Other impact evidence of proficiency on this indicator.
--	--

[] Highly Effective	[] Effective	[] Needs	[] Unsatisfactory
		Improvement	
Endence Lea (Cusifier	lles subst has have	ale a surre of the at well a sta	and the second sec

Evidence Log (Specifically, what has been observed that reflects current proficiency on this indicator? The examples above are illustrative and do not reflect an exclusive list of what is expected):

Enter data here:

Reflection Questions for Indicator 5.3

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
What procedures might you establish to increase your ability to help your colleagues develop curriculum, instruction, and assessment that reflect and respect the diversity of students and staff?	What strategies might you employ so that you could share with others throughout the district practices that help them put into action your belief that all students can learn at high levels by leading curriculum, instruction, and assessment that reflect and respect the diversity of	How might you increase the consistency with which you act on the belief that all students can learn at high levels by sometimes leading curriculum, instruction, and assessment that reflect and respect the diversity of students and staff?	How might you expand the opportunities for all students to meet high expectations by leading curriculum, instruction, and assessment that reflect and respect the diversity of students and staff?
	students and staff?		

Indicator 5.4 – Achievement Gaps: The leader engages faculty in recognizing and understanding cultural and developmental issues related to student learning by identifying and addressing strategies to minimize and/or eliminate achievement gaps associated with student subgroups within the school.

Narrative: Where indicator 5.3 is focused on the broad array of diversity factors that impact success of individual students and student sub-groups, indicator 5.4 focuses on <u>academic growth</u> of specific sub-groups whose academic performance lags behind what they are capable of achieving. The leader is expected to prepare the faculty to do what is needed to meet the academic improvement needs of the sub-group(s).

Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders.	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations.	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency.	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact.
The leader has created a self- regulating system based on data that guarantees regular and predictable success of all sub-groups, even if conditions change from one year to another. Achievements gaps have been eliminated or substantially minimized with trend lines consistently moving toward elimination of such gaps.	Processes to minimize achievement gaps within all impacted subs-groups are employed for all sub-groups with positive trend lines showing reduction of gaps for all subgroups. The leader consistently applies the process of inquiry and/or has enabled development of processes that generate greater understanding of the school's current systems and their impact on sub-group academic achievement.	Sub-groups within the school and associated with achievement gaps have been identified and some processes are underway to understand root causes. Some actions to minimize the gaps have been implemented but either do not reach all sub- group students or have inconsistent or minimal results. The leader inconsistently applies the process of inquiry and/or has enabled only limited efforts to develop of processes that generate greater understanding of the school's current systems and their impact on sub-group academic achievement.	The leader does not identify nor implement strategies to understand the causes of sub- group achievement gaps. No changes in practices or processes have been implemented under the leader's direction that is designed to address achievement gaps. The leader does not apply the process of inquiry and/or develop processes that generate greater understanding of the school's current systems and their impact on sub-group academic achievement.
Leadership Evidence of p		Impact Evidence of leade	
indicator may be seen in th		seen in the behaviors or status of the faculty and	
actions. <u>Illustrative examp</u> include, but are not limited		staff. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following:	
 The leader uses statistical analyses identifying academic needs of sub-group members. Written goals are developed and provided to faculty that focus on reducing or eliminating achievement gaps for students in under-performing sub-groups and for students with disabilities. Documents reflecting the leader's work in deepening faculty understanding of cultural and development issues related to improvement of academic learning growth by sub-group students. The leader develops school policies, practices, procedures that validate and value similarities and differences among students. Leader's actions in support of engaging sub-group students in self-help processes and goal setting related to academic achievement. 		 Faculty and staff can descril goals focused on narrowing how that implement those a students. Under-achieving sub-group advanced classes and prese Teachers can describe speci procedures that help them a issues to improve student le Faculty and staff can explain in achievement for students levels. 	be the school-wide achievement achievement gaps and relate goals to impact individual students are enrolled in nted with high expectations. ific policies, practices, and use culture and developmental earning. how goals eliminate differences

 The leader personally engages students in underperforming sub-groups with support, encouragement, and high expectations. Leader's take actions in aligning parent and community resources with efforts to reduce achievement gaps. Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator. 	 Teacher records reflecting tracking sub-group student progress on targeted learning goals related to academic achievement. Student questionnaire results (from sub-group students) reflecting recognition of school efforts to improve their academic performance. Parent questionnaire results from sub-group parents reflecting recognition of school efforts to improve student achievement. Lesson study groups focused on improving lessons to impact achievement gap. Other impact evidence of proficiency on this indicator.

[] Highly Effective	[] Effective	[] Needs	[] Unsatisfactory
		Improvement	
Evidence Log (Specifica	lly, what has been ob	served that reflects	current proficiency on this
indicator? The examples	above are illustrative	and do not reflect	an exclusive list of what is

Enter data here:

expected):

Reflection Questions for Indicator 5.4

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
What strategies might you	What are one or two	How might you	Why do sub-groups
employ to increase your	critical steps you could	systematically apply the	students like those in your
ability to help your	take that would shift your	process of inquiry to	school not perform as well
colleagues understand how	examination of culture to a	develop methods of	as similar groups in other
the elements of culture are	point that they become a	generating greater	schools?
impacted by the current	self-regulating system	understanding of the	
systems (e.g., curriculum,	based on data that	cultures of individuals	In what ways might you
instruction, assessment,	guarantees regular and	within the building and	demonstrate greater
etc.) in order to improve	predictable success even if	how the elements of	understanding of cultures
student achievement?	conditions change?	culture are impacted by the	and their impact on the
		current systems (e.g.,	current systems in your
		curriculum, instruction,	school to improve student
		assessment) to improve	learning?
		student achievement?	

Domain 3: Organizational Leadership

Narrative: This domain addresses proficiencies that impact the quality of a broad array of school operations. The focus is applying these proficiencies to improve student achievement, instructional leadership, and professional conduct.

Proficiency Area 6 – Decision Making: Effective school leaders employ and monitor a decisionmaking process that is based on vision, mission, and improvement priorities using facts and data; manage the decision making process, but not all decisions, using the process to empower others and distribute leadership when appropriate; establish personal deadlines for themselves and the entire organization; and use a transparent process for making decisions and articulating who makes which decisions. Narrative: This proficiency area is aligned to FPLS standard #6. How decisions are made can be as important as what decisions are made. The leader's proficiency at balancing the various aspects of decision-making is the focus of this area.

Indicator 6.1 – Prioritization Practices: The leader gives priority attention to decisions that impact the quality of student learning and teacher proficiency, gathering and analyzing facts and data, and assessing alignment of decisions with school vision, mission, and improvement priorities.

Narrative: Leaders make many decisions. Those that impact student learning and teacher proficiency require priority attention. The focus is the leader's ability to make sure that decisions on student learning and faculty proficiency are not lost among the lower priority issues or given inadequate attention because of all the other things leaders do.

		N N N	
Highly Effective:	Effective: Leader's actions	Needs Improvement:	Unsatisfactory:
Leader's actions or impact of	or impact of leader's actions	Leader's actions or impact of	Leader's actions or impact of
leader's actions relevant to	relevant to this indicator are	leader's actions relevant to this	leader's actions relevant to this
this indicator exceed effective	sufficient and appropriate	indicator are evident but are	indicator are minimal or are
levels and constitute models of	reflections of quality work	inconsistent or of insufficient	not occurring, or are having an
proficiency for other leaders.	with only normal variations.	scope or proficiency.	adverse impact.
The leader produces clear,	The leader's decisions	The leader provides limited	The leader provides little or no
convincing, and consistent	consistently demonstrate an	evidence that demonstrates	evidence that demonstrate
evidence that demonstrates an	understanding of learning,	understanding of learning,	awareness of learning,
understanding of learning,	teaching, and student	teaching, and student	teaching, and student
teaching, and student	development.	development to inform	development to inform
development to inform all	The leader produces clear	decisions or is inconsistent in	decisions.
decisions and continuously	evidence of making most	using this information to	The leader produces little to no
uses this information to	decisions in a way that	enhance decisions about	evidence of making decisions
enhance teaching and	supports the school's vision	teaching and learning.	that are linked to the school's
learning.	and mission regarding student	The leader produces limited	vision and mission.
The leader produces clear,	learning and faculty	evidence that the school's	Decisions adverse to student
convincing, and consistent	proficiency.	vision and mission impacts	growth and/or faculty
evidence that, on an ongoing		decision making.	development are made.
basis, all decisions are made in			
a way that promotes the			
school's vision and mission.			
Effective decision-making			
practices are frequently shared with other			
administrators and colleagues			
throughout the system.			
	unfining an an this in diaston	Impact Evidence of leader	ahin nuaficion au mou ho coon
Leadership Evidence of p		Impact Evidence of leader	
may be seen in the leader's b		in the behaviors or actions of	
Illustrative examples of such		and/or community. <u>Illustrativ</u>	
are not limited to the following		may include, but are not limit	
	mission statement developed	• Teachers can describe a decision-making process that	
under this leader is focu	sed on student growth and	reflects an emphasis on v	vision, mission, student
improving faculty profic		learning, and teacher proficiency requirements.	
 Staff evaluations and pro 		Teachers can recall decis	2
	tudent learning or faculty	resulting in changes to th	
proficiency growth.	cucine rearining of faculty	support student learning	
	dovolon mant or d		
	• Documents showing the development and		eeting minutes reflect
modification of teacher and student schedules are		-	ulty proficiency as priority
based on data about student needs.		issues.	
 Leader's meeting schedules reflect recurring 			e priority attention to issues
attention to student learning and faculty proficiency		impacting student learni	ng and teacher proficiency.
issues.		• Principal's secretary price	
	school improvement and	relation to student learn	
	sion are based on student		ne events to protect leader's
learning needs or assess			
		ume for instructional and	d faculty development issues.
proficiency.			

Other leadership evidence indicator.	e of proficiency on this	Other impact evidence indicator.	e of proficiency on this
Scale Levels: (choose one) Where there is sufficient evidence to rate current proficiency on this indicator, assign a proficiency level by checking one of the four proficiency levels below. If not being rated at this time, leave blank:			
[] Highly Effective	[] Effective	[] Needs	[] Unsatisfactory
		Improvement	
Evidence Log (Specifically, what has been observed that reflects current proficiency on this indicator? The examples above are illustrative and do not reflect an exclusive list of what is expected):			

Reflection Questions for Indicator 6.1

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
What procedures have	What system do you use	What strategies have you	How should your awareness
you established to	to prioritize learning	employed to meet the	of learning, teaching, and
increase professional	needs and empower	learning needs of your	student development inform
knowledge opportunities	faculty to create	faculty, from novice to	decisions?
for colleagues across the	individual learning	veteran to expert?	
school system?	plans?		How might you better align
		Why is it necessary to	your decisions with the
How do you promote and	How might you reinforce	explicitly reference your	vision and mission of your
foster continuous	and establish your efforts	vision and mission, even	school?
improvement with new	so that direct reports and	though they are visibly	
staff? What changes	your entire school	posted in high traffic areas	
might you make to your	community understand	of your school?	
decision-making process	the link between		
for further improvement?	decisions and your		
	priorities?		

Indicator 6.2 – Problem Solving: The leader uses critical thinking and data-based problem solving techniques to define problems and identify solutions.

Narrative: Problem solving is an essential support to decision making. The leader's skill in using thinking skills and data to define problems and identify solutions is the focus here.

Highly Effective:	Effective: Leader's actions	Needs Improvement:	Unsatisfactory:
Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective	or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate	Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but	Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or
levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders.	reflections of quality work with only normal variations.	are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency.	are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact.
The leader demonstrates the ability to construct a clear and insightful problem statement with evidence of relevant contextual factors. The leader identifies multiple approaches for solving a problem and proposes one or more solutions/hypotheses that indicate a deep comprehension of the problem. The solutions are sensitive to contextual factors as well as all of the following: ethical, logical, and cultural dimensions of the problem. The leader's evaluation of solutions is comprehensive and includes all of the following: history of the problem, logic/reasoning, feasibility and impact of the solution. The solution is implemented in a manner that addresses each of the contextual factors of the problem. A thorough review of the results is conducted to determine need for further work.	The leader demonstrates the ability to construct a problem statement with evidence of most relevant contextual factors and the problem statement is adequately detailed. The leader identifies multiple approaches for solving a problem. The leader's solutions are sensitive to contextual factors as well as at least one of the following: ethical, logical, or cultural dimensions of the problem. Evaluation of solutions is adequate and includes: history of the problem, reviews logic and reasoning, examines feasibility of solution, and weighs impact. The solution is implemented and the results reviewed with some consideration for further work.	The leader is beginning to demonstrate the ability to construct a problem statement with evidence of most relevant contextual factors, but the problem statements are superficial or inconsistent in quality. Typically, a single "off the shelf" solution is identified rather than designing a solution to address the contextual factors. The solution is implemented in a manner that addresses the problem statement but ignores relevant factors. Results are reviewed with little, if any, consideration for further work.	The leader demonstrates a limited ability to identify a problem statement or related contextual factors. Solutions are vague or only indirectly address the problem statement. Solutions are implemented in a manner that does not directly address the problem statement and are reviewed superficially with no consideration for further work.
Leadership Evidence of p indicator may be seen in th	5	Impact Evidence of leade seen in the behaviors or a	
actions. <u>Illustrative examp</u>			-
include, but are not limited		may students and/or community. <u>Illustrative ex</u> of such evidence may include, but are not l the following:	
 Samples of problem statements, contextual factors, recommended approaches, proposed solutions, evaluation, and review with consideration for further work are presented. 		 Teachers can personally solving skills of the leade Teachers report a high d 	

[] Highly Effective	[] Effective	[] Needs	[] Unsatisfactory
		Improvement	

Evidence Log (Specifically, what has been observed that reflects current proficiency on this indicator? The examples above are illustrative and do not reflect an exclusive list of what is expected):

Reflection Questions for Indicator 6.2

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
What might be some of the things you learned about problem solving that will influence your leadership practice in the future?	What can you do to enable your sub-ordinate leaders to be more effective in problem solving?	What are some specific recollections (data) that come to mind that define your thinking about effective problem solving?	How would you describe your problem solving process?

Indicator 6.3 – Quality Control: The leader maintains recurring processes for evaluating decisions for effectiveness, equity, intended and actual outcome(s); implements follow-up actions revealed as appropriate by feedback and monitoring; and revises decisions or implementing actions as needed.

Narrative: Decisions are made but there is a follow-up process. What was the impact of the decisions? The focus here is the leader's follow-up on decisions and capacity to make revisions where needed.

Rating Rubric			
Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders.	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations.	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency.	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact.
The leader can provide clear and consistent evidence of decisions that have been changed based on new data. The leader has a regular pattern of decision reviews and "sunsetting" in which previous decisions are reevaluated in light of the most current data. There is a culture of open acknowledgement of undesired outcomes in which the leader and everyone in the organization can discuss what is not working without fear of embarrassment or reprisal.	The leader has a record of evaluating and revising decisions based on new data. Review of decision and follow-up actions are consistently timely.	The leader has some processes for acquiring new information on impact of decisions and appears to be willing to reconsider previous decisions, but does not have a clear or consistent record of making changes where needed or as soon as needed.	There is little or no evidence of reflection and reevaluation of previous decisions. Sub-ordinate leaders are not encouraged to evaluate prior decisions.
Leadership Evidence of proficiency on this indicator may be seen in the leader's behaviors or actions. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following:		Impact Evidence of leade seen in the behaviors or a students and/or communi of such evidence may inclu the following:	ctions of the faculty, staff, ty. <u>Illustrative examples</u>
 Examples of documents related to previous decisions that indicate re-evaluation in light of emerging data or trends. Evidence that re-evaluations in light of emerging data or trends resulted in changes or adjustments in actions. A well-articulated problem-solving process can be produced. Principal's work schedule reflects time for monitoring the implementation of priority decisions. Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator. 		 Teachers can attest to have evaluation of a decision and data. Teachers report confide made by the leader. Sub-ordinate leaders' reto gathering data and fol implementation of leade Sub-ordinate leaders' reto gathering data and fol implementation of leade 	cords reveal time committed llowing up on impact and ub-ordinate leaders'

[] Highly Effective	[] Effective	[] Needs	[] Unsatisfactory
		Improvement	

Evidence Log (Specifically, what has been observed that reflects current proficiency on this indicator? The examples above are illustrative and do not reflect an exclusive list of what is expected):

Reflection Questions for Indicator 6.3

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
How do you continue to clarify the decision-making process in a dynamic, changing environment?	Why is it necessary for you as a school leader to reevaluate prior decisions and programs in light of emerging research, personal experience, and changing situations?	What will you do from now on to ensure previous decisions and programs are revisited and evaluated on a routine basis?	When do you take time with your leadership team to reflect on decisions that have been made? In what ways do you evaluate decisions on the basis of student achievement?

Indicator 6.4 – Distributive Leadership: The leader empowers others and distributes leadership when appropriate.

Narrative: A school is too complex for one person to make all decisions. Some of the functions of leadership must be shared with others. Developing capacity for success in a workforce requires enabling other people to be responsible for meaningful decisions. The leader's capacity to share the "right stuff" and distribute decision making among other appropriate staff is the focus here.

Rating Rubric			
Highly Effective:Leader's actions or impact ofleader's actions relevant tothis indicator exceed effectivelevels and constitute models ofproficiency for other leaders.Innovation andimprovement ininstructional processes,faculty development, orschool operations haveresulted from distributiveleadership.The leader encourages staffmembers to acceptleadership responsibilitiesoutside of the schoolbuilding.The leader incorporatesteacher and support staffinto leadership anddecision-making roles inthe school in ways thatfoster the careerdevelopment ofparticipating teachers.	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations. The leader creates opportunities for staff to demonstrate leadership skills by allowing them to assume leadership and decision-making roles. The leader supports the decisions made as part of the collective decision- making process. Decision-making delegations are clear: Sub- ordinates know what decisions are made by the leader, which by the leader after input from others, and which are delegated to sub-ordinates to decide.	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency. Some well-understood leadership roles other than the school principal are functioning and contributing to effective and timely decisions on some school priorities, but there are recurring delays in reaching decisions on other issues. Decisions are often rushed or made without appropriate input due to lack of planning and implementation of development activities by staff members.	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact. There is no or only minimal evidence that anyone other than the principal has a meaningful role in making timely decisions. The leader rarely seeks input on significant issues from a variety of stakeholder groups (e.g. faculty leaders, teachers, student, parents, community, or business leaders).
Leadership Evidence of proficiency on this indicator may be seen in the leader's behaviors or actions. Illustrative examples of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following:		Impact Evidence of leade seen in the behaviors or ac students and/or communi of such evidence may inclu the following:	ctions of the faculty, staff, ty. <u>Illustrative examples</u>
 Organizational charts or other documents reveal how leadership is distributed and informs who is involved in what. School improvement plan process reflects involvement by a variety of parties. Evidence of shared decision-making and distributed leadership is present in leader's memorandums, e- mails, and other communications. Leader's communication to faculty and stakeholders recognizes the role of those to whom leadership functions were distributed. Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator. 		 by sub-ordinate leaders significant decision mak Teachers are able to iden leadership or decision m Teacher and or parent so with access to sub-ordin 	sion making. ther records of meetings held reflect their involvement in ing. ntify which colleagues have a making role in any given issue. urveys reflect satisfaction ate and teacher leaders cess only to the principal.

[] Highly Effective	[] Effective	[] Needs	[] Unsatisfactory
		Improvement	

Evidence Log (Specifically, what has been observed that reflects current proficiency on this indicator? The examples above are illustrative and do not reflect an exclusive list of what is expected):

Reflection Questions for Indicator 6.4

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
To what extent do you have a systematic process in place for delegating authority to subordinates?	How might you increase the range and scope of tasks and responsibilities you delegate to key individuals or teams?	Under what circumstances would you be willing to release increased decision- making authority to your staff and faculty?	What factors prevent you from releasing responsibilities to staff?
	In what areas do faculty and staff bring expertise that will improve the quality of decisions at your school?	How might you use the function of delegation to empower staff and faculty at your school?	

Indicator 6.5 – Technology Integration: The leader employs effective technology integration to enhance decision making and efficiency throughout the school. The leader processes changes and captures opportunities available through social networking tools, accesses and processes information through a variety of online resources, incorporates data-driven decision making with effective technology integration to analyze school results, and develops strategies for coaching staff as they integrate technology into teaching, learning, and assessment processes.

Narrative: Technology was a separate standard in the 2005 Florida Principal Leadership Standards (FPLS). By 2011 the state had made great strides toward accepting technology into the schools. In the 2011 FPLS, technology moved from a separate general "pro-technology" standard to focused <u>applications</u> of technology embedded in several standards. This indicator focuses on technology integration and the leader's use of technology to improve decision-making processes in several priority areas.

Rating Rubine			
Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders.	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations.	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency.	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact.
The leader mentors other school leaders on effective means of acquiring technology and integrating it into the decision- making process.	Technology support for decision- making processes is provided for all of the staff involved in decision making on school instructional and faculty improvement efforts.	Technology support for decision- making processes is provided for some, but not all of the staff involved in decision making on school instructional and	There is no or only minimal evidence that decision- making prioritization, problem solving, decision evaluation or distributed leadership processes are

The leader provides direct mentoring and coaching supports so that new staff and new sub-ordinate leaders are quickly engaged in effective use of technology supports needed to enhance decision-making quality.	Technology integration supports all of the following processes: decision-making prioritization, problem solving, decision evaluation and distributed leadership. Engages sub-ordinate leaders in developing strategies for coaching staff on integration of technology.	faculty improvement efforts. Technology integration supports some, but not all of the following processes: decision-making prioritization, problem solving, decision evaluation and distributed leadership.	supported by technology integration. Decision making is not supported by a well- understood system of procedures to identify problems and generate solutions. Technology integration does not support data exchanges, project management, and feedback processes.	
indicator may be seen in t actions. Illustrative examp include, but are not limite	Leadership Evidence of proficiency on this indicator may be seen in the leader's behaviors or actions. Illustrative examples of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following:		Impact Evidence of leadership proficiency may be seen in the behaviors or actions of the faculty, staff, students and/or community. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following:	
 School improvement plan reflects technology integration as a support in improvement plans. Leader has a technology integration plan used to provide technology supports to the degree possible with available resources. School website provides stakeholders with information about and access to the leader. Technology tools are used to aid in data collection and analyses and distribution of data findings. Evidence that shared decision -making and distributed leadership is supported by technology. Technology used to enhance coaching and mentoring functions. Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator. 		 work functions and use to process. Data from faculty that su monitoring impact of de technology. PowerPoint presentation faculty members suppor making and dissemination Faculty use social netwo 	ns, e-mails, and web pages of t involvement in decision on of decisions made. rk methods to involve data collection that supports nform stakeholders of	
Scale Levels: (choose one) Where there is sufficient evidence to rate current proficiency on this indicator, assign a proficiency level by checking one of the four proficiency levels below. If not being rated at this time, leave blank: [] Highly Effective [] Effective [] Needs [] Unsatisfactory 				

Improvement Evidence Log (Specifically, what has been observed that reflects current proficiency on this indicator? The examples above are illustrative and do not reflect an exclusive list of what is expected):

Reflection Questions for Indicator 6.5

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
To what extent do you have	How might you increase	Under what circumstances	What factors prevent you
a systematic process in	the range and scope of	would you be willing to	from supporting
place for integrating new	technology integration to	support increased use of	technology integration?
technology so that faculty	support communications	technology to support	
and students are keeping	and information	efficiency in	
pace with the	acquisition processes used	communication and	
communications and	by faculty and staff?	decision-making	
thinking supports used in		processes?	
the emerging global	How might the technology		
economy?	improve the quality of	How might you use the	
	decisions at your school?	function of delegation to	
		empower staff and faculty	
		at your school to make	
		more proficient use of	
		technology integration?	

Proficiency Area 7. <u>Leadership Development</u>: Effective school leaders actively cultivate, support, and develop other leaders within the organization, modeling trust, competency, and integrity in ways that positively impact and inspire growth in other potential leaders.

Narrative: This proficiency area aligns to Standard 7. Leaders are developed by other leaders. This is a process critical to an organization's capacity to improve over time and sustain quality processes. This proficiency area focuses on what leaders do to develop leadership in others.

Indicator 7.1 – Leadership Team: The leader identifies and cultivates potential and emerging leaders, promotes teacher-leadership functions focused on instructional proficiency and student learning, and aligns leadership development practices with system objectives, improvement planning, leadership proficiency needs, and appropriate instructional goals.

Narrative: The FPLS are based on a presumption that the school leader works with and through a team of other people to insure coordination and focus of school operations and improvements. Leadership teams get things done!

Katilig Kubi it			1
Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders.	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations.	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency.	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact.
The participants in the school's leadership team function independently with clear and efficient implementation of their role(s) and work in a collegial partnership with other leadership team participants to coordinate operations on student growth and faculty development. Leadership development processes employed by the school leader are shared with other school leaders as a model for developing quality leadership teams. The leader has specifically identified at least two emerging leaders in the past year, and has entered them into the ranks of leadership training or provided personal mentoring on site. Other school leaders cite this leader as a mentor in identifying and cultivating emergent leaders.	Those who are assigned or have accepted leadership functions have consistent support from the school leader in focusing their efforts on instructional improvement and faculty development. The leader has specifically identified and cultivated potential and emerging leaders for the major functions of the school. The leader has personally mentored at least one emerging leader to assume leadership responsibility in instructional leadership or at an administrative level, with positive results.	The leader has identified staff for leadership functions, follows district personnel guidelines for accepting applications for new leaders, but has not implemented any systemic process for identifying emergent leaders, or is inconsistent in application of such a process. The leader provides some training to some of the people assigned leadership functions, but does not involve staff other than those in the designated roles.	The leader does not recognize the need for leadership by other people. Staff with leadership titles (e.g., department heads, team leaders, deans, assistant principals) has little or no involvement in processes that build leadership capacities. Persons under the leader's direction are unable or unwilling to assume added responsibilities. There is no or only minimal evidence of effort to develop leadership potential in others.
Leadership Evidence of proficiency on this indicator may be seen in the leader's behaviors or actions. Illustrative examples of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following:		Impact Evidence of leadership proficiency may be seen in the behaviors or actions of the faculty, staff, students and/or community. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following:	
Organizational charts identify the leadership roles and team members.		• Teachers at the school can of opportunities to demonstration competencies.	lescribe informal and formal te and develop leadership

•	The leader has a system for identifying and mentoring potential leaders.	•	Teachers at the school report that leadership development is supported and encouraged.
•	The leader can cite examples in which s/he coached several emerging leaders to assume greater levels of responsibility within the organization.	•	Current leadership team members can describe training or mentoring they receive from the school leader regarding leadership.
•	Minutes, e-mails, and memorandums reflecting exchanges among leadership team members are focused on school improvement goals, student growth, and faculty	•	Teachers can describe processes that encourage them to be involved in school improvement and prepare for leadership roles.
•	development. The leader's communications to faculty and stakeholders reflect recognition of the leadership team.	•	Other impact evidence of proficiency on this indicator.
•	Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator.		
Ca	la Landla (abaana ana) Milana thana is suff		t and damage to make an and and find an an this

[] Highly Effective	[] Effective	[] Needs	[] Unsatisfactory
		Improvement	

Evidence Log (Specifically, what has been observed that reflects current proficiency on this indicator? The examples above are illustrative and do not reflect an exclusive list of what is expected):

Reflection Questions for Indicator 7.1

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
How do you provide guidance and mentorship to emerging leaders outside of your personal job description and leadership responsibilities? How would you describe the system you use to ensure that emerging leaders pursue job opportunities when they are available? How might you embed this preparation into their job duties, and what changes will you need to make to help build such leadership capacity at your school?	How have you designed the school improvement process to develop leadership capacity from existing faculty? What strategies and lessons might you impart to your direct reports to better prepare them for expanded leadership opportunities?	What process do you employ to encourage participation in leadership development? When do you release responsibility to your assistants to own key decisions? How do you leverage school improvement activities to build leadership capacity for assistants and emerging teacher leaders?	What process is available to you that help you screen and develop potential leaders? How might you spend time explicitly preparing your assistants to assume your role as principal? What steps would you take to spend more time in preparing your assistants to assume your role as principal?

Indicator 7.2 – Delegation: The leader establishes delegated areas of responsibility for sub-ordinate leaders and manages delegation and trust processes that enable such leaders to initiate projects or tasks, plan, implement, monitor, provide quality control, and bring projects and tasks to closure.

Narrative: Leadership teams engage other skilled people in the business of the school. However, involvement does not insure effective organizations. This indicator focuses on the distribution of responsibility and whether sub-ordinate leaders have been delegated all that is needed to succeed.

Rating Rubric			
Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders. Staff throughout the organization is empowered in formal and informal ways. Faculty members participate in the facilitation of meetings and exercise leadership in committees and task forces; other employees, including noncertified staff, exercise appropriate authority and assume leadership roles where appropriate. The climate of trust and delegation in this organization contributes directly to the identification and empowerment of the next	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations. There is a clear pattern of delegated decisions, with authority to match responsibility at every level in the organization. The relationship of authority and responsibility and delegation of authority is clear in personnel documents, such as evaluations, and also in the daily conduct of meetings and organizational business.	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency. The leader sometimes delegates, but also maintains decision-making authority that could be delegated to others. Clarity of the scope of delegated authority is inconsistent from one delegation to another. Actions taken by those to who tasks are delegated are sometimes overruled without explanation.	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact. The leader does not afford subordinates the opportunity or support to develop or to exercise independent judgment. If delegation has occurred there is a lack of clarify on what was to be accomplished or what resources were available to carry out delegated tasks.
 provides evidence that the school by identifying responsibilities are deleg members on his or her st The leader's processes keredundant activities. The leader has crafted "je ordinate leaders' roles the do and have the delegate Communications to deleg predetermined decision- Documents initiating pro 	he leader's behaviors or les of such evidence may l to the following: or chart of "who does what" he leader trust others within how leadership gated to other faculty aff. he people from performing bb descriptions" for sub- hat clarify what they are to d authority to do. gated leaders provide making responsibility. jects and tasks identify or success at the beginning	 staff. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following: Teachers report that areas of delegated responsibility include authority to make decisions and take action within defined parameters. Faculty and staff can cite examples of delegation where the leader supported the staff member's decision. Faculty report that building leaders express high levels of confidence in their capacity to fulfill obligations relevant to the shared task of educating children. Staff to whom responsibility has been delegated in turn delegates appropriate aspects of their tasks to other staff thus expanding engagement. 	

•	Delegation and trust are evident in the school
	improvement plan as a variety of school staff are
	identified as being directly responsible for various
	components of the planning effort.
•	Meeting minutes provide evidence of delegation and
	trust being extended to select members of the
	faculty.
•	Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this
	indicator.

[] Highly Effective	[] Effective	[] Needs	[] Unsatisfactory
		Improvement	

Evidence Log (Specifically, what has been observed that reflects current proficiency on this indicator? The examples above are illustrative and do not reflect an exclusive list of what is expected):

Reflection Questions for Indicator 7.2

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
To what extent do you have a systematic process in place for delegating authority to subordinates?	How might you increase the range and scope of tasks and responsibilities you delegate to key individuals or teams? In what areas do faculty and staff bring expertise that will improve the quality of decisions at your school?	Under what circumstances would you be willing to release increased decision- making authority to your staff and faculty? How might you use the function of delegation to empower staff and faculty at your school?	What factors prevent you from releasing responsibilities to staff?

Indicator 7.3 – Succession Planning: The leader plans for and implements succession management in key positions.

Narrative: When the leader is off campus – who is in charge? When the leader changes jobs or retires, who is prepared to take over? What about the school's subs-ordinate leaders? Who takes over for them? Succession planning is building relationships and preparation processes for involving others in ways that prepare them to move into key positions as they become vacant.

Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations.	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency.	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact.
The leader proficiently implements a plan for succession management in key positions that includes identification of key and hard- to-fill positions for which critical competencies have been identified. In conjunction with central office staff, the leader identifies and evaluates applicant pools, collects information on competency levels of employees in identified applicant pools and identifies competency gaps. Based on an analysis of these gaps, the leader develops and uses programs and strategies for smooth succession including temporary strategies for getting work done during vacancy periods.	Inasmuch as the leader understands the need to establish a plan for succession management, the plan remains simply that - a plan - as thoughts about the plan and its component parts have yet to be implemented. The leader primarily relies on central office staff to identify and evaluate applicant pools, the competency levels of employees in identified applicant pools, and the competency gaps. Little to no effort on the part of the leader is made to increase the competency level of the potential successor leaders within the faculty or such efforts are limited in scope.	The leader takes little or no actions to establish a plan for succession management. Staff are hired to fill vacancies in key positions that do not possess the critical instructional capabilities required of the school, which compromises the school's efforts to increase student academic achievement, and no processes to remedy the trend are taken.
proficiency on this	Imnact Evidence of leade	rshin proficiency may be
	seen in the behaviors or status of the faculty and	
	staff. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may	
	include, but are not limited to the following:	
r at the direction of the leader attention to individual that addresses succession o monitor potential staff applicant pools to review processes permit. rulty routinely explore their vement and future leadership rocesses to inform potential alifications involved in moving plan that identifies succession fill positions for which critical entified, and key contacts within	 Select teachers can attest to having been identified into applicant pools for leadership in key and hard-to-fill positions that may develop in the future. Select teachers report that the principal has identified various competency levels needed for key or hard-to-fill leadership positions. Select teachers describe providing the leader feedback as gaps in their personal competency for which the leader had eveloped professional learning experiences. Teachers can describe transparent processes for being considered for leadership positions within the school. Sub-ordinate leaders engage other faculty in competency building tasks that prepare them for future leadership rol Other impact evidence of proficiency on this indicator. 	
	or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations. The leader proficiently implements a plan for succession management in key positions that includes identification of key and hard- to-fill positions for which critical competencies have been identified. In conjunction with central office staff, the leader identifies and evaluates applicant pools, collects information on competency levels of employees in identified applicant pools and identifies competency gaps. Based on an analysis of these gaps, the leader develops and uses programs and strategies for smooth succession including temporary strategies for getting work done during vacancy periods. Droficiency on this he leader's behaviors or ples of such evidence may d to the following: T at the direction of the leader attention to individual hat addresses succession on monitor potential staff applicant pools to review processes permit. ulty routinely explore their vement and future leadership rocesses to inform potential alifications involved in moving plan that identifies succession fill positions for which critical	or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations.Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions or impact of leader's actions or impact of leader's actions or leader's actions or leader's actions or leader's actions of active work with only normal variations.The leader proficiently implements a plan for succession management in key positions that includes identification of key and hard- to-fill positions for which critical competencies have been identified. In conjunction with central office staff, the leader identifies and evaluates applicant pools, collects information on competency levels of employees in identified applicant pools and identifies competency gaps. Based on an analysis of these gaps, the leader develops and uses programs and strategies for smooth succession including temporary strategies for getting work done during vacancy periods.Impact Evidence of leader seen in the behaviors or statif. Illustrative exampless include, but are not limited applicant pools to review processes permit. ulty routinely explore their vement and future leadership positions for which critical enplicant pools to review processes to inform potential bilifications involved in moving plan that identifies succession fill positions for which critical enplicant pools to review for essens to inform potential bilifications involved in moving plan that identifies succession fill positions for which critical entified, and key contacts withinLeader's actions or impact of leader's actions involved in moving of the succession fill positions for which critical entified, and key contacts withinImpact Evidence considered for leaders positions for w

[] Highly Effective	[] Effective	[] Needs	[] Unsatisfactory
		Improvement	

Evidence Log (Specifically, what has been observed that reflects current proficiency on this indicator? The examples above are illustrative and do not reflect an exclusive list of what is expected):

Reflection Questions for Indicator 7.3

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory	
In what ways might you	In what ways are you	What are the key	In what ways would a plan	
further extend your reach	interacting with central	components of within your	for succession management	
within the district to help	office personal to share	succession management	be helpful to you as you	
others throughout the	highly effective succession	plan?	move to replace key and	
district benefit from your	planning practices with		hard-to-fill positions at	
knowledge and skill in	other leaders throughout	What might be the one or	your school?	
succession management	the district?	two personal leadership		
practices?		practices to which you will		
	What are some of your	pay particular attention as		
What have you prepared to	strategies you have	you implement your		
assist your successor when	employed that help your	succession management		
the time comes?	school get work done	plan?		
	during vacancy periods?			

Indicator 7.4 – Relationships: The leader develops sustainable and supportive relationships between school leaders, parents, community, higher education, and business leaders.

Narrative: This is a fundamentally important skill set. Leaders get quality work done through other people. The skill set of relationship building, including networking and engaging others in a shared vision, are hallmarks of quality leaders.

Rating	Rubric

Rating Rubric			
Highly Effective:	Effective: Leader's actions	Needs Improvement:	Unsatisfactory:
Leader's actions or impact of	or impact of leader's actions	Leader's actions or impact of	Leader's actions or impact of
leader's actions relevant to	relevant to this indicator are	leader's actions relevant to this	leader's actions relevant to this
this indicator exceed effective	sufficient and appropriate	indicator are evident but are	indicator are minimal or are
levels and constitute models of	reflections of quality work	inconsistent or of insufficient	not occurring, or are having an
proficiency for other leaders.	with only normal variations.	scope or proficiency.	adverse impact.
While maintaining on-site	The leader systematically	The leader is inconsistent	The leader makes no
work relationships with	(e.g., has a plan, with goals,	in planning and taking	attempt to or has difficulty
faculty and students as a	measurable strategies, and	action to network with	working with a diverse
priority, the leader finds	a frequent-monthly-	stakeholder groups (e.g.,	group of people.
ways to develop, support,	monitoring schedule)	school leaders, parents,	Consequently, the leader
and sustain key	networks with all key	community members,	does not network with
stakeholder relationships	stakeholder groups (e.g.,	higher education, and	individuals and groups in
with parent organizations,	school leaders, parents,	business leaders) to	other organizations to
community leaders, and	community members,	support leadership	build collaborative
businesses, and mentors	higher education, and	development.	partnerships in support of
other school leaders in	business leaders) in order	-	leadership development.
quality relationship	to cultivate, support, and	Relationship skills are	1 1
building.	develop potential and	employed inconsistently.	
The leader has effective	emerging leaders.		
relationships throughout	Leader has effective		
all stakeholder groups and	collegial relationships with		
models effective	most faculty and		
relationship building for	subordinates.		
other school leaders.	suborumates.		
	aroficioncy on this	Impact Evidence of loade	rship proficioncy may be
Leadership Evidence of proficiency on this		Impact Evidence of leadership proficiency may be	
indicator may be seen in the leader's behaviors or		seen in the behaviors or status of the faculty and	
actions. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may		staff. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may	
include, but are not limite	d to the following:	include, but are not limited	
Documentation can be p	provided describing the	Parents report that the left	eader has developed
leader's plan—with goals, measurable strategies,		sustainable and supportive relations with them in	
and a frequent-monthly	-monitoring schedule—to	support of potential and emerging leaders at the	
develop sustainable and supportive relationships		school.	
with key stakeholder groups in support of potential		• Community members report that the leader has	
and emerging leaders.		developed sustainable and supportive relations with	
 Documentation can be provided as to the 			itial and emerging leaders at
	building leaders the leader	the school.	
has established in support of potential and emerging • Higher education members within the		ers within the area report	
	leaders within the school.		-
 Documentation can be provided as to the 		supportive relations with them in support of	
Documentation can be provided as to the relationships with parents, community members,			
		potential and emerging leaders at the school.	
0		Business leaders within the area report that the	
has established in support of potential and emerging		leader has developed sustainable and supportive	
leaders within the school. relations with them in support of potential and			
Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this		emerging leaders at the	
indicator.		Other impact evidence o	t proticiency on this
		indicator.	

[] Highly Effective	[] Effective	[] Needs	[] Unsatisfactory
		Improvement	

Evidence Log (Specifically, what has been observed that reflects current proficiency on this indicator? The examples above are illustrative and do not reflect an exclusive list of what is expected):

Reflection Questions for Indicator 7.4

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
In what ways might you	What strategies are you	In what ways are you	How might your
further extend your reach	employing so you can	working to establish	relationships with faculty
within the district to help	share your experiences	networks with key	and key stakeholder groups
others throughout the	relative to establishing	stakeholder groups to	help to cultivate and
district benefit from your	relationships with key	cultivate and support	support potential and
knowledge and skill in	stakeholders to support	potential and emerging	emerging leaders in your
establishing relationships	potential and emerging	leaders in your school?	school?
among key stakeholder	leaders?		
groups?			

Proficiency Area 8. <u>School Management</u>: Effective school leaders manage the organization, operations, and facilities in ways that maximize the use of resources to promote a safe, efficient, legal, and effective learning environment; effectively manage and delegate tasks and consistently demonstrate fiscal efficiency; and understand the benefits of going deeper with fewer initiatives as opposed to superficial coverage of everything.

Narrative: This proficiency area aligns with Standard 8. A school is an "organization." School leaders manage implementation of many rules, regulations, and policies. However, the "organization" is the people working together to provide learning to students. What leaders do to manage those people and the environment in which they work is the focus of this area.

Indicator 8.1 – Organizational Skills: The leader organizes time, tasks, and projects effectively with clear objectives, coherent plans, and establishes appropriate deadlines for self, faculty, and staff.

Narrative: Time, tasks, and projects all need organization to have the desired impact. This indicator focuses on the key aspects of organization essential to school success.

Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are proficiency for other leaders.or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are reflections of quality workLeader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are the organization.Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are scope or proficiency.Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are scope or proficiency.The leader uses project management as a teaching device, helping others understand the organization. The leader uses complex project misargement to build system thinking throughout the organization. The leader uses complex project management to build system thinking throughout the organization. Task and project challenges are open for input from a wide variety of sources. Successful project results can be documented.Project management actions plan or deadline is instruction and faculty development.Impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator may be seen in the leader's deadlines are routinely monitored with an emphasis of issues related to instruction and faculty development.Project management monitored with an emphasis of issues related to instruction and faculty development.Impact of leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator may be seen in the leader's deadlines are routinely monitored with an emphasis of issues related to instruction and faculty development.Project management focused project management focused or bases sesen in the				
leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders.relevant to this indicator are evident but are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations.leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are indicator are are are are are indicator are evident but are indicator are are are are are indicator are evident but are indicator are evident but are indicator are evident but are indicator are evident but are <b< td=""><td>Highly Effective:</td><td>Effective: Leader's actions</td><td>Needs Improvement:</td><td>Unsatisfactory:</td></b<>	Highly Effective:	Effective: Leader's actions	Needs Improvement:	Unsatisfactory:
 this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders. this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency. The leader uses project management as a teaching device, helping others understand the interrelationship of complex project milestones throughout the organization. The leader uses complex project management to build system thinking throughout the organization. Project plans are visible in heavily trafficked areas, so input from a wide variety of sources. Leadership Evidence of input from a wide variety of sources. Examples of projects that have been adjusted based on the input from a variety of sources. Examples of projects that have been adjusted based on the input from a variety of sources. Examples of multiple projects and timelines managed by efficiency, effectivenes, or legal compliance. Examples of multiple projects and timelines managed by Examples of multiple projects and timelines managed by 	Leader's actions or impact of	or impact of leader's actions	Leader's actions or impact of	Leader's actions or impact of
levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders.reflections of quality work with only normal variations.inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency.not accurring, or are having an adverse impact.The leader uses project management as a teaching device, helping others understand the interrelationship of complex project management to build system thinking throughout the organization.Project management methodologies are vague or it is unclear how proposed project management to build system thinking throughout the organization.The leader uses complex or action plan or deadline on the entire project, and communicates those changes to the appropriate people in the organization.The is little or no evidence of input from a wide variety of sources.The is project management methodologies are vague or it is unclear how proposed project management to build system thinking throughout the organization.The is unclear how proposed indicates those changes to the appropriate people in the organization.The is unclear how proposed project, and communicates those changes to the appropriate people in the organization.The is unclear how proposed project management toulsThe is unclear how proposed project and communicates those changes to the appropriate people in the organization.The is unclear how proposed project management action project and project challenges are open for instruction and faculty device proficiency of sources.The is unclear how proposed project results can be documented.The is unclear how proposed to the organization.Leadership Evidence of proficiency on this indicator may be seen in the leader's behaviors or actions. Illustr	leader's actions relevant to	relevant to this indicator are	leader's actions relevant to this	leader's actions relevant to this
proficiency for other leaders.with only normal variations.scope or proficiency.adverse impact.The leader uses project management as a teaching device, helping others understand the interrelationship of complex project milestones throughout the organization.Project management documents are revised and achieved or deadlines are changed.Project management methodologies are vague or it is unclear how proposed project management tools will work together in order to help keep tasks and projects on time and within budget.There is little or no evidence or management tools will work together in order to help keep tasks and projects on time and within budget. The leader uses complex project plans are visible in heavily trafficked areas, so that accomplishments are publicly celebrated and project results can be documented.The index of a change in a milestone or deadline on the entire project, and communicates those changes to the appropriate people in input from a wide variety of sources.The index of a change in a maters of focused on a faculty development.The mater subsite to the appropriate people in instruction and faculty development.The mater subsite maters of source or instruction and faculty development.There is little or no evidence or management tools will work together in order to help keep tasks and projects on time and within the organization. Task and project management and tracking of deadlines are routinely monitored with an emphasis of issues related to instruction and faculty development.The mater subsite and tracking of deadlines or include, but are not limited to the following:•Examples of projects that have been adjusted based on th	this indicator exceed effective	sufficient and appropriate	indicator are evident but are	indicator are minimal or are
The leader uses project management as a teaching device, helping others understand the interrelationship of complex project milestones stroughout the organization.Project management methodologies are vague or it is unclear how proposed project management focused on goals resources, timelines, and results.There is little or no evidence of time, task or project management focused on goals resources, timelines, and results.The leader understands the impact of a change in a project management bouild system thinking throughout the organization.The leader understands the impact of a change in a milestone or deadline on the othe appropriate people in the organization.Project fallene is inconsistently documented and tracking of deadlines are routinely monitored with an emphasis of issues related to instruction and faculty development.Project fullescence of leadership proficiency may be seen in the leader's behaviors or actions. Illustrative examples of projects that have been adjusted based on the input from a variety of sources.Impact Evidence of leadership proficiency may be seen in the behaviors or status of the faculty and staff. Illustrative ecamples of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following:•Examples of timely completion of learning environment improvement projects focused on issues like safety. efficiency, efficiency, efficie	levels and constitute models of	reflections of quality work	inconsistent or of insufficient	not occurring, or are having an
 management as a teaching device, helping others understand the interrelationship of complex project milestones throughout the organization. The leader uses complex project management to build system thinking throughout the organization. Project plans are visible in heavily trafficked areas, so that accomplishments are publicly celebrated and project challenges are open for input from a wide variety of sources. Successful project results can be documented. Leadership Evidence of proficiency on this indicator may be seen in the leader's behaviors or actions. Illustrative examples of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following: Examples of frojects that have been adjusted based on thin input from a variety of sources. Examples of timely completion of learning environment improvement projects focused on issues like safety, efficiency, efficiency,	proficiency for other leaders.		scope or proficiency.	adverse impact.
 device, helping others understand the interrelationship of complex project milestones throughout the organization. The leader understands the impact of a change in a milestone of deadline are of the appropriate people in heavily trafficked areas, so that accomplishments are publicly celebrated and project challenges are open for input from a wide variety of sources. Leadership Evidence of proficiency on this indicator may be seen in the leader's behaviors or actions. Illustrative examples of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following: Examples of projects that have been adjusted based on the input from a variety of sources. Examples of imely completion of learning environment imput from a variety of sources. Examples of inely completion of learning environment imput from a variety of sources. Examples of timely completion of learning environment imput from a variety of sources. Examples of inely completion of learning environment imput from a variety of sources. Examples of multiple projects and timelines managed by efficiency, effectiveness, or legal compliance. Examples of multiple projects and timelines managed by 	The leader uses project	Project management	Project management	There is little or no evidence of
understand the interrelationship of complex project management tools will work together in order to help keep tasks and projects on time and within budget. The leader uses complex project management to build system thinking throughout the organization.achieved or deadlines are changed.project management tools will work together in order to help keep tasks and projects on time and within budget. The impact of a change in a milestone or deadline on the entire project, and communicates those changes to the appropriate people in the organization.resources, timelines, and results.Project plans are publicly celebrated and project challenges are publicly celebrated and project results can be documented.Task and project management and tracking of deadlines are routinely monitored with an be documented.Task and project management and tracking of deadlines are routinely monitored with an be documented.Impact Evidence of leadership proficiency may be seen in the behaviors or status of the faculty and stafi. Illustrative examples of sources.•Examples of projects that have been adjusted based on the input from a variety of sources.of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following:•Examples of timely completion of learning environment improvement projects focused on issues like safety, efficiency, effectiveness, or legal compliance.•Reports that require teacher input are submitted on time and in compliance with expectations.•Examples of multiple projects and timelines managed by•Random sampling (informal interviews) with teachers	management as a teaching	documents are revised and	methodologies are vague or it	time, task or project
 interrelationship of complex project milestones throughout the organization. The leader uses complex project management to build system thinking throughout the organization. Project plans are visible in headre uses complex project anagement to that accomplishments are publicly celebrated and project challenges are open for input from a wide variety of sources. Successful project results can be documented. Leadership Evidence of proficiency on this indicator may be seen in the leader's behaviors or actions. Illustrative examples of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following: Examples of projects that have been adjusted based on the input from a variety of sources. Examples of timely completion of learning environment improvement projects focused on issues like safety, efficiency effectiveness, or legal compliance. Examples of multiple projects and timelines managed by Kamples of multiple projects and timelines managed by Kamples of multiple projects and timelines managed by Kamples of multiple projects and timelines managed by 	device, helping others	updated as milestones are	is unclear how proposed	management focused on goals,
project milestones throughout the organization.The leader understands the impact of a change in a milestone or deadline on the entire project, and communicates those changes to the appropriate people in the organization.keep tasks and projects on time and within budget. The impact of changes in a action plan or deadline is inconsistently documented and communicated to people within the organization.Project plans are visible in heavily trafficked areas, so that accomplishments are publicly celebrated and project challenges are open for input from a wide variety of sources.Task and project management the organization.Keep tasks and projects on time and within budget. The impact of changes in a action plan or deadline is inconsistently documented and tracking of deadlines are routinely monitored with an emphasis of issues related to instruction and faculty development.Impact Evidence of leadership proficiency may be seen in the behaviors or status of the faculty and staff. Illustrative examples of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following:•Examples of projects that have been adjusted based on the input from a variety of sources.•Reports that require teacher input are submitted on time and in compliance with expectations.•Examples of multiple projects on studies afery, efficiency, effectiveness, or legal compliance.•Random sampling (informal interviews) with teachers		achieved or deadlines are	project management tools will	resources, timelines, and
the organization.impact of a change in a milestone or deadline on the entire project, and communicates those changes to the appropriate people in the organization.time and within budget. The impact of changes in an action plan or deadline is inconsistently documented and communicated to people within the organization.Neavily trafficked areas, so that accomplishments are publicly celebrated and project challenges are open for input from a wide variety of sources.Task and project management and tracking of deadlines are routinely monitored with an emphasis of issues related to instruction and faculty development.Impact Evidence of leadership proficiency may be seen in the leader's behaviors or actions. Illustrative examples of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following:Impact Evidence of leadership proficiency may be seen in the behaviors or status of the faculty and staff. Illustrative examples of sources.•Examples of projects that have been aljusted based on the input from a variety of sources.•Reports that require teacher input are submitted on time and in compliance with expectations.•Examples of projects focused on issues like safety, efficiency, effectiveness, or legal compliance.•••Examples of multiple projects and timelines managed by efficiency set on such as a maged by•••Examples of multiple projects and timelines managed by•Random sampling (informal interviews) with teachers	interrelationship of complex	changed.	work together in order to help	results.
The leader uses complex project management to build system thinking throughout the organization.milestone or deadline on the entire project, and communicates those changes to the appropriate people in the organization.The impact of changes in an action plan or deadline is inconsistently documented and communicated to people within the organization.Project plans are visible in heavily trafficked areas, so that accomplishments are publicly celebrated and project challenges are open for input from a wide variety of sources.Task and project management and tracking of deadlines are routinely monitored with an emphasis of issues related to instruction and faculty development.The impact of changes in an action plan or deadline is inconsistently documented and communicated to people within the organization.Leadership Evidence of indicator may be seen in the leader's behaviors or actions. Illustrative examples of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following:Impact Evidence of leadership proficiency may be seen in the behaviors or status of the faculty and staff. Illustrative examples of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following:•Examples of projects that have been adjusted based on the input from a variety of sources.••Examples of timely completion of learning environment improvement projects focused on issues like safety, efficiency, effectiveness, or legal compliance.••Examples of multiple projects and timelines managed by tracking the expenses are implemented.••Random sampling (informal interviews) with teachers	project milestones throughout	The leader understands the	keep tasks and projects on	
 project management to build system thinking throughout the organization. Project plans are visible in heavily trafficked areas, so that accomplishments are publicly celebrated and project challenges are open for input from a wide variety of sources. Successful project results can be documented. Leadership Evidence of proficiency on this indicator may be seen in the leader's behaviors or actions. Illustrative examples of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following: Examples of projects that have been adjusted based on the input from a variety of sources. Examples of projects that have been adjusted based on the input from a variety of sources. Examples of timely completion of learning environment improvement projects focused on issues like safety, efficiency, effictiveness, or legal compliance. Examples of multiple projects and timelines managed by 	the organization.	impact of a change in a	time and within budget.	
 system thinking throughout the organization. Project plans are visible in heavily trafficked areas, so that accomplishments are publicly celebrated and project challenges are open for input from a wide variety of sources. Leadership Evidence of proficiency on this indicator may be seen in the leader's behaviors or actions. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following: Examples of projects that have been adjusted based on the input from a variety of sources. Examples of projects that have been adjusted based on the input from a variety of sources. Examples of trojects that have been adjusted based on the input from a variety of sources. Examples of trojects that have been adjusted based on the input from a variety of sources. Examples of trojects that have been adjusted based on the input from a variety of sources. Examples of trojects that have been adjusted based on the input from a variety of sources. Examples of trojects that have been adjusted based on the input from a variety of sources. Examples of trojects that have been adjusted based on the input from a variety of sources. Examples of trojects that have been adjusted based on the input from a variety of sources. Examples of multiple projects and timelines managed by Attacking the expenses are implemented. Random sampling (informal interviews) with teachers 	The leader uses complex	milestone or deadline on the	The impact of changes in an	
the organization.to the appropriate people in the organization.and communicated to people within the organization.Project plans are visible in heavily trafficked areas, so that accomplishments are publicly celebrated and project challenges are open for input from a wide variety of sources.to the appropriate people in the organization.and communicated to people within the organization.Task and project management and tracking of deadlines are routinely monitored with an emphasis of issues related to instruction and faculty development.and communicated to people within the organization.Leadership Evidence of proficiency on this indicator may be seen in the leader's behaviors or actions. Illustrative examples of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following:Impact Evidence of leadership proficiency may be seen in the behaviors or status of the faculty and staff. Illustrative examples of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following:• Examples of projects that have been adjusted based on the input from a variety of sources.• Reports that require teacher input are submitted on time and in compliance with expectations.• Examples of timely completion of learning environment improvement projects focused on issues like safety, efficiency, effectiveness, or legal compliance.• Reports that require teacher input are submitted on time and in compliance with expectations.• Examples of multiple projects and timelines managed by marking the expenses are implemented.• Random sampling (informal interviews) with teachers	project management to build	entire project, and	action plan or deadline is	
Project plans are visible in heavily trafficked areas, so that accomplishments are publicly celebrated and project challenges are open for input from a wide variety of sources. Successful project results can be documented.the organization. Task and project management and tracking of deadlines are routinely monitored with an emphasis of issues related to instruction and faculty development.within the organization.Leadership Evidence of proficiency on this indicator may be seen in the leader's behaviors or actions. Illustrative examples of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following:Impact Evidence of leadership proficiency may be seen in the behaviors or status of the faculty and staff. Illustrative examples of sources.• Examples of projects that have been adjusted based on the input from a variety of sources.• Reports that require teacher input are submitted on time and in compliance with expectations.• Examples of fuely completion of learning environment improvement projects focused on issues like safety, efficiency, effectiveness, or legal compliance.• Renorts that require teacher input are submitted on time and in compliance with expectations.• Examples of multiple projects and timelines managed by• Random sampling (informal interviews) with teachers	system thinking throughout	communicates those changes	inconsistently documented	
 heavily trafficked areas, so that accomplishments are publicly celebrated and project challenges are open for input from a wide variety of sources. Successful project results can be documented. Leadership Evidence of proficiency on this indicator may be seen in the leader's behaviors or actions. Illustrative examples of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following: Examples of projects that have been adjusted based on the input from a variety of sources. Examples of timely completion of learning environment improvement projects focused on issues like safety, efficiency, effectiveness, or legal compliance. Examples of multiple projects and timelines managed by 		to the appropriate people in		
that accomplishments are publicly celebrated and project challenges are open for input from a wide variety of sources.and tracking of deadlines are routinely monitored with an emphasis of issues related to instruction and faculty development.Successful project results can be documented.and tracking of deadlines are routinely monitored with an emphasis of issues related to instruction and faculty development.Impact Evidence of leadership proficiency may be seen in the behaviors or status of the faculty and staff. Illustrative examples of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following:• Examples of projects that have been adjusted based on the input from a variety of sources.• Reports that require teacher input are submitted on time and in compliance with expectations.• Examples of timely completion of learning environment improvement projects focused on issues like safety, efficiency, effectiveness, or legal compliance.• Random sampling (informal interviews) with teachers		the organization.	within the organization.	
publicly celebrated and project challenges are open for input from a wide variety of sources.routinely monitored with an emphasis of issues related to instruction and faculty development.Successful project results can be documented.routinely monitored with an emphasis of issues related to instruction and faculty development.Leadership Evidence of be documented.proficiency on this indicator may be seen in the leader's behaviors or actions. Illustrative examples of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following:Impact Evidence of leadership proficiency may be seen in the behaviors or status of the faculty and staff. Illustrative examples of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following:•Examples of projects that have been adjusted based on the input from a variety of sources.••Examples of timely completion of learning environment improvement projects focused on issues like safety, efficiency, effectiveness, or legal compliance.••Examples of multiple projects and timelines managed by••Random sampling (informal interviews) with teachers				
project challenges are open for input from a wide variety of sources.emphasis of issues related to instruction and faculty development.Successful project results can be documented.emphasis of issues related to instruction and faculty development.Impact Evidence of leadership proficiency may be seen in the leader's behaviors or actions. Illustrative examples of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following:Impact Evidence of leadership proficiency may be seen in the behaviors or status of the faculty and staff. Illustrative examples of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following:• Examples of projects that have been adjusted based on the input from a variety of sources.• Reports that require teacher input are submitted on time and in compliance with expectations.• Examples of timely completion of learning environment improvement projects focused on issues like safety, efficiency, effectiveness, or legal compliance.• Random sampling (informal interviews) with teachers				
input from a wide variety of sources. Successful project results can be documented.instruction and faculty development.Leadership Evidence of proficiency on this indicator may be seen in the leader's behaviors or actions. Illustrative examples of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following:Impact Evidence of leadership proficiency may be seen in the behaviors or status of the faculty and staff. Illustrative examples of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following:• Examples of projects that have been adjusted based on the input from a variety of sources.• Reports that require teacher input are submitted on time and in compliance with expectations.• Examples of timely completion of learning environment improvement projects focused on issues like safety, efficiency, effectiveness, or legal compliance.• Random sampling (informal interviews) with teachers				
sources. Successful project results can be documented.development.Leadership Evidence of proficiency on this indicator may be seen in the leader's behaviors or actions. Illustrative examples of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following:Impact Evidence of leadership proficiency may be seen in the behaviors or status of the faculty and staff. Illustrative examples of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following:• Examples of projects that have been adjusted based on the input from a variety of sources.• Reports that require teacher input are submitted on time and in compliance with expectations.• Examples of timely completion of learning environment improvement projects focused on issues like safety, efficiency, effectiveness, or legal compliance.• Random sampling (informal interviews) with teachers				
Successful project results can be documented. Impact Evidence of leadership proficiency may be seen in the behaviors or status of the faculty and staff. Illustrative examples of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following: • Examples of projects that have been adjusted based on the input from a variety of sources. • Reports that require teacher input are submitted on time and in compliance with expectations. • Examples of timely completion of learning environment improvement projects focused on issues like safety, efficiency, effectiveness, or legal compliance. • Random sampling (informal interviews) with teachers	1			
be documented. Impact Evidence of leadership proficiency may be seen in the leader's behaviors or actions. Illustrative examples of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following: Impact Evidence of leadership proficiency may be seen in the behaviors or status of the faculty and staff. Illustrative examples of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following: • Examples of projects that have been adjusted based on the input from a variety of sources. • Reports that require teacher input are submitted on time and in compliance with expectations. • Examples of multiple projects and timelines managed by • Random sampling (informal interviews) with teachers		development.		
 Leadership Evidence of proficiency on this indicator may be seen in the leader's behaviors or actions. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following: Examples of projects that have been adjusted based on the input from a variety of sources. Examples of timely completion of learning environment improvement projects focused on issues like safety, efficiency, effectiveness, or legal compliance. Examples of multiple projects and timelines managed by Examples of multiple projects and timelines managed by 				
 indicator may be seen in the leader's behaviors or actions. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following: Examples of projects that have been adjusted based on the input from a variety of sources. Examples of timely completion of learning environment improvement projects focused on issues like safety, efficiency, effectiveness, or legal compliance. Examples of multiple projects and timelines managed by Examples of multiple projects and timelines managed by Seen in the behaviors or status of the faculty and staff. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following: Reports that require teacher input are submitted on time and in compliance with expectations. Sub-ordinate leaders' records reveal specific levels of fiscal support to projects delegated to them and processes for tracking the expenses are implemented. Random sampling (informal interviews) with teachers 				
 actions. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following: Examples of projects that have been adjusted based on the input from a variety of sources. Examples of timely completion of learning environment improvement projects focused on issues like safety, efficiency, effectiveness, or legal compliance. Examples of multiple projects and timelines managed by Examples of multiple projects and timelines managed by staff. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following: Reports that require teacher input are submitted on time and in compliance with expectations. Sub-ordinate leaders' records reveal specific levels of fiscal support to projects delegated to them and processes for tracking the expenses are implemented. Random sampling (informal interviews) with teachers 	Leadership Evidence of proficiency on this			
 include, but are not limited to the following: Examples of projects that have been adjusted based on the input from a variety of sources. Examples of timely completion of learning environment improvement projects focused on issues like safety, efficiency, effectiveness, or legal compliance. Examples of multiple projects and timelines managed by include, but are not limited to the following: Reports that require teacher input are submitted on time and in compliance with expectations. Sub-ordinate leaders' records reveal specific levels of fiscal support to projects delegated to them and processes for tracking the expenses are implemented. Random sampling (informal interviews) with teachers 	indicator may be seen in th	ne leader's behaviors or	seen in the behaviors or st	atus of the faculty and
 Examples of projects that have been adjusted based on the input from a variety of sources. Examples of timely completion of learning environment improvement projects focused on issues like safety, efficiency, effectiveness, or legal compliance. Examples of multiple projects and timelines managed by Random sampling (informal interviews) with teachers 				
 input from a variety of sources. Examples of timely completion of learning environment improvement projects focused on issues like safety, efficiency, effectiveness, or legal compliance. Examples of multiple projects and timelines managed by and in compliance with expectations. Sub-ordinate leaders' records reveal specific levels of fiscal support to projects delegated to them and processes for tracking the expenses are implemented. Random sampling (informal interviews) with teachers 				
 Examples of timely completion of learning environment improvement projects focused on issues like safety, efficiency, effectiveness, or legal compliance. Examples of multiple projects and timelines managed by Random sampling (informal interviews) with teachers 			Reports that require teache	r input are submitted on time
 improvement projects focused on issues like safety, efficiency, effectiveness, or legal compliance. Examples of multiple projects and timelines managed by Random sampling (informal interviews) with teachers 			and in compliance with exp	ectations.
 efficiency, effectiveness, or legal compliance. Examples of multiple projects and timelines managed by Random sampling (informal interviews) with teachers 	Examples of timely completion of learning environment		Sub-ordinate leaders' recor	ds reveal specific levels of fiscal
 efficiency, effectiveness, or legal compliance. Examples of multiple projects and timelines managed by Random sampling (informal interviews) with teachers 				
• Random sampling (mormal metricews) with teachers				
			Random sampling (informa	interviews) with teachers
	the leader by strategically delegating time, resources, and			
responsibilities. projects and tasks.	responsibilities.			5 5
School Improvement Plan implementation records reveal Random sampling (informal interviews) with teachers	beneder miprovementer i an imprementation records reveal		. ,	l interviews) with teachers
planning of tasks with clear stages of progress and	planning of tasks with clear stages of progress and			
timelines to measure progress.	timelines to measure progress.			
Leadership responsibility matrix or chart describes how Minutes agendas records and (or anecdetal information				
management of tasks and projects are anocated and from togehors reveal the proponderance of togehor meeting	management of tasks and projects are allocated and			
reflects monitoring tasks.	reflects monitoring tasks.		i oni cedenero revedi die pr	eponactance of teacher meetings

|--|

[] Highly Effective	[] Effective	[] Needs	[] Unsatisfactory
		Improvement	

Evidence Log (Specifically, what has been observed that reflects current proficiency on this indicator? The examples above are illustrative and do not reflect an exclusive list of what is expected):

Reflection Questions for Indicator 8.1

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
How much of your work	To what extent are tasks	How do you ensure	What changes in your
on organization of time	and major tasks	unanticipated changes do	practice are needed to
and projects is reactive to	delineated in your overall	not derail or prevent	ensure necessary projects
establish conformity with	project design? What	completion of key projects	are identified, realistically
deadlines and short term	might you do to	at your school?	designed, carefully
situations and how much	emphasize the most	How do you monitor	implemented, and
is proactive focused on	important components	whether work needed to	supported with sufficient
creating capacity for	over minor tasks?	meet deadlines is	time and resources?
continuous	How do you distinguish	proceeding at a necessary	How to you distribute
improvement.?	between the support	pace?	workloads so the
Are you able to identify	needed for high priority		appropriate people are
and articulate to others	projects and tasks that		involved and with sufficient
the systemic connections	impact student		clarity on goals and
between the various	achievement or faculty		timeframes to get work
projects and tasks you	development and		done?
manage?	compliance with projects		
	that have fixed due dates		
	for parties outside the		
	building?		

Indicator 8.2 - Strategic Instructional Resourcing: The leader maximizes the impact of school personnel, fiscal and facility resources to provide recurring systemic support for instructional priorities and a supportive learning environment.

Narrative: Resources are always limited. How well a leader does at putting resources where they are needed and when they are needed to support instructional goals is the focus here. Do teachers and students get what they need when they need it?

Rating Rubric				
Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders. The leader regularly saves resources of time and money for the organization, and proactively redeploys those resources to help the organization achieve its strategic priorities. Results indicate the positive impact of redeployed resources in achieving strategic priorities. The leader has established processes to leverage existing limited funds and increase capacity through grants, donations, and community resourcefulness.	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations. The leader leverages knowledge of the budgeting process, categories, and funding sources to maximize all available dollars to achieve strategic priorities. The leader has a documented history of managing complex projects, meeting deadlines, and keeping budget commitments. The leader documents a process to direct funds to increase student achievement that is based on best practice and leveraging of antecedents of excellence in resources,	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency. The leader sometimes meets deadlines, but only at the expense of breaking the budget; or, the leader meets budgets, but fails to meet deadlines. The leader lacks proficiency in using the budget to focus resources on school improvement priorities. Resources are not committed or used until late in the year or are carried over to another year due to lack of planning and coordination. The leader makes minimal	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact. The leader has no clear plan for focusing resources on instructional priorities and little or no record of keeping commitments for schedules and budgets.	
Leadership Evidence of p indicator may be seen in t		attempts to secure added resources. Impact Evidence of leade seen in the behaviors or st		
actions. <u>Illustrative examp</u> include, but are not limite	<u>oles of such evidence may</u> d to the following:	staff. <u>Illustrative examples</u> include, but are not limited	of such evidence may d to the following:	
 School financial information shows alignment of spending with instructional needs. Documents are provided to faculty that indicate clear protocols for accessing school resources. School Improvement Plan and spending plans are aligned. Leader's documents reveal recurring involvement in aligning time, facility use, and human resources with priority school needs. Schedules and calendars for use of the facility reflect attention to instructional priorities. Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator. 		 satisfaction with resource and faculty development Staff receipt books, active fundraiser requests reflection instructional needs. Teachers can describe the spending money in supp 	ity agreements, and ect priority attention to ne process for accessing and ort of instructional priorities. amples of resource problems I leadership as a priority	

[] Highly Effective	[] Effective	[] Needs	[] Unsatisfactory
		Improvement	

Evidence Log (Specifically, what has been observed that reflects current proficiency on this indicator? The examples above are illustrative and do not reflect an exclusive list of what is expected):

Reflection Questions for Indicator 8.2

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
How would you describe	To what extent are faculty	Have there been instances	When resources are
the systematic method for	and staff aware of your	in which you failed to meet	limited, what actions do
pursuing grants,	budgeting expectations?	deadlines or where	you take as the school
partnerships, and	How are your budgeting	expenditures resulted in	leader to allocate them
combining community	expectations delineated,	budget overruns? What did	most efficiently?
resources you have	published, and	you learn from that	
implemented to support	communicated?	experience and how did	
increases to student		you apply lessons from it?	
achievement?			

Indicator 8.3 – Collegial Learning Resources: The leader manages schedules, delegates, and allocates resources to provide recurring systemic support for collegial learning processes focused on school improvement and faculty development.

Narrative: Team learning is an essential element in a learning organization. Does the leader provide needed supports to collegial learning? Are barriers to success removed? Everyone working in isolation reduces the probability of improvements. Collegial processes need resource support. This indicator assesses the leader's proficiency at providing that support.

Rating Rubric			
Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders.	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations.	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency.	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact.
The leader leverages knowledge of the budgeting process, categories, and funding sources to maximize the impact of available dollars on collegial processes and faculty development. Results indicate the positive impact of deployed resources in achieving a culture of deliberate practice focused on school improvement needs. The leader has established processes to support collegial processes and faculty development through grants, business or higher education partnerships, and/or community resourcefulness.	The leader has established routines regarding allocation of time and facility resources that result in wide faculty participation in collegial processes and faculty development. School fiscal resources are allocated to support collegial processes and faculty development. Clear delegations of responsibility are evident that involve highly effective faculty in sustaining collegial processes and faculty development.	The leader lacks proficiency in using budget, work schedules, and/ or delegation of involvement to focus time and resources on collegial processes and faculty development. There is a lack of sustained and focused resource allocation on these issues.	The leader has little or no record of making plans or keeping commitments to provide resources or build schedules of events that support collegial processes and faculty development.
Leadership Evidence of p	proficiency on this	Impact Evidence of leade	rship proficiency may be
indicator may be seen in th		seen in the behaviors or status of the faculty and	
actions. <u>Illustrative examp</u>		staff. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may	
include, but are not limited		include, but are not limited to the following:	
 School financial information identifies resources employed in support of collegial learning. Procedures for collegial groups to reserve rooms for meetings are provided to all faculty. Protocol for accessing school resources to support collegial learning needs. School Improvement Plan reflects role(s) of collegial learning teams. Leader's memorandums, e-mails, and other documents reflect support for team learning processes both on-campus and via digital participation on communities of practice. 		 student achievement. Lesson study groups, PL collegial learning teams School-wide teacher que teacher participation in of Teachers' professional le participation in collegial Department, team, or gradient of the study of the stud	C's, and other forms of are operational. stionnaire results reflect collegial learning groups. earning plans incorporate

 Master schedules are modified to promote collegial use through common planning times. Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator. 	Other impact evidence of proficiency on this indicator.		
Scale Levels: (choose one) Where there is sufficient avidence to rate current proficiency on this			

[] Highly Effective	[] Effective	[] Needs	[] Unsatisfactory
		Improvement	

Evidence Log (Specifically, what has been observed that reflects current proficiency on this indicator? The examples above are illustrative and do not reflect an exclusive list of what is expected):

Reflection Questions for Indicator 8.3

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
How would you describe	To what extent are faculty	Have there been instances	When resources are
the systematic method for pursuing grants, partnerships, and combining community resources you have implemented to support increases in the quality of collegial processes?	and staff aware of your focus on collegial processes? How are faculty given opportunities to request or recommend time or resource allocations that support collegial processes and faculty development?	in which you failed to act on opportunities to support collegial processes or faculty development? What did you learn from that experience and how did you apply lessons from it?	limited, what actions do you take as the school leader to reallocate them to the high impact functions like collegial processes and faculty development?

Proficiency Area 9. <u>Communication</u>: Effective school leaders use appropriate oral, written, and electronic communication and collaboration skills to accomplish school and system goals by:

- Practicing two-way communications, seeking to listen and learn from and building and maintaining relationships with students, faculty, parents, and community;
- Managing a process of regular communications to staff and community keeping all stakeholders engaged in the work of the school; and
- Recognizing individuals for good work; and maintaining high visibility at school and in the community.

Narrative: The "voice of the school" represents a core set of communication processes that shape perceptions about the school – the leader's communications central among them. The leader must manage the "voice of the school" so clear, coherent and accurate information flows to faculty, students, and stakeholders. The perceptions of those involved in the success of the school need to be heard, acknowledged, and understood.

Indicator 9.1 – Constructive Conversations: The leader actively listens to and learns from students, staff, parents, and community stakeholders and creates opportunities within the school to engage students, faculty, parents, and community stakeholders in constructive conversations about important issues.

Narrative: Skillful "speaking" is important. So is skillful listening. People can engage in conversation on many things, but some things are more important to school improvement than others. Making sure speaking and listening occurs on the important issues is a leader's task.

Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders.	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations.	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency.	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact.	
In addition to the practices at the effective level, the highly effective leader routinely mentors others within the district to effectively employ key active listening skills (e.g. wait time, paraphrasing, asking clarifying questions) when interacting with diverse stakeholder groups about high achievement for all students. There is evidence of the leader making use of what was learned in constructive conversations with others in the leader's subsequent actions, presentations, and adjustments to actions.	The leader systematically (e.g., has a plan, with goals, measurable strategies, and a frequent-monthly-monitoring schedule) and reciprocally listens to and communicates with students, parents, staff, and community using multiple methods (i.e., oral, written, and electronic) to seek input/ feedback and to inform instructional and leadership practices. The leader systematically communicates with diverse stakeholders about high achievement for all students.	The leader's involvement in regard to listening to and communicating with students, parents, staff, and community is primarily unplanned and/or initiated by others rather than the leader "reaching out." The leader has only a few methods to seek input/feedback with the intent to inform instructional and leadership practices. The leader's communications with stakeholders about high achievement for all students are not carefully planned and implemented.	The leader's visibility within the community is virtually non-existent; conducts little to no interactions with stakeholders regarding the work of the school. The leader is isolated from students, parents, staff, and community and engages in no or minimal listening to and communicating with them to seek input/feedback and inform instructional and leadership practices. The leader avoids engaging faculty and/or stakeholders in conversations on controversial issues that need to be addressed in the interest of school improvement.	
Leadership Evidence of p	Leadership Evidence of proficiency on this		Impact Evidence of leadership proficiency may be	
indicator may be seen in the leader's behaviors or		seen in the behaviors or status of the faculty and		
actions. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following:		staff. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following:		
 Samples of communication methods used by the leader. A School Improvement Plan that demonstrates knowledge of the specific school community and the impact of 		• Students confirm that the leader is a good listener and effectively uses a wide variety of methods of communication to describe expectations and seek input/feedback.		

[] Highly Effective	[] Effective	[] Needs	[] Unsatisfactory
school improvement efforts. • Other leadership evidence of Scale Levels: (choose of indicator, assign a profice rated at this time, leave b	ency level by checking c lank:	one of the four proficien	current proficiency on this cy levels below. If not being
 about students' educational educational educational educational educational educational education education	e families and community in s and participating in school families to provide feedback experiences. on (e.g., number of volunteers, school, telephone by presence at school cool or community at PTSA or community ersations" with faculty, ders to share perceptions	 and effectively uses a w communication to description Parents and community a good listener and effective methods of communicative seek input/feedback. Local newspaper article leader and faculty in sch Letters and e-mails from important issues. 	m that the leader is a good listener ide variety of methods of ribe expectations and seek wembers confirm that the leader is ctively uses a wide variety of tion to describe expectations and es report involvement of school hool improvement actions. In stakeholders reflect exchanges on of proficiency on this indicator.

Evidence Log (Specifically, what has been observed that reflects current proficiency on this indicator? The examples above are illustrative and do not reflect an exclusive list of what is expected):

Reflection Questions for Indicator 9.1

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory	
How might you further expand your influence over your colleagues within the district relative	What support might you provide your colleagues within the school that would help them become	How would you describe your efforts to implement a plan to communicate with various stakeholders within	How might listening with the intent to learn from students, staff, parents, and community stakeholders be beneficial to	
to the implementation of effective listening and communication techniques?	as capable in the area of listening and communicating as you?	your school community? What might be some of the things you are taking away from this experience that will influence your communication practice in the future?	the successful operation of the school?	

Indicator 9.2 – Clear Goals and Expectations: The leader communicates goals and expectations clearly and concisely using Florida's common language of instruction and appropriate written and oral skills, communicates student expectations and performance information to students, parents, and community, and ensures faculty receives timely information about student learning requirements, academic standards, and all other local, state, and federal administrative requirements and decisions.

Narrative: Proficiency in the competencies addressed in this indicator impacts success on many other indicators. The most successful school leaders are able to provide clear goals and expectations on every aspect of school operations and instructional leadership. You need to do the "school leader's two step." Having clear goals and expectations is step one, communicating them so others can act on them is step two.

Highly Effective:Leader's actions or impact ofleader's actions relevant tothis indicator exceed effectivelevels and constitute models ofproficiency for other leaders.Clear evidence communicationon goals and expectations ispresent, including openforums, focus groups, surveys,personal visits, and use ofavailable technology.Ensures that all communitystakeholders and educatorsare aware of the school goalsfor instruction, studentachievement, and strategiesand progress toward meetingthese goals.The leader coaches otherswithin the district toeffectively employ the Floridacommon language ofinstruction in communicatingschool goals and expectations.	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations. The leader conducts frequent interactions with students, faculty, and stakeholders to communicate and enforce clear expectations, structures, and fair rules and procedures. Utilizes a system of open communication that provides for the timely, responsible sharing of information with the school community using a variety of formats in multiple ways through different media in order to ensure communication with all members of the school community. Is proficient in use of the Florida common language of instruction to align school	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency. Expectations and goals are provided and communicated in a timely, comprehensible and actionable form regarding some student and faculty performance issues. Designs a system of open communication that provides for the timely, responsible sharing of information to, from, and with the school community on goals and expectations, but it is inconsistently implemented. Has a limited capacity to employ Florida's common language of instruction in aligning school goals and expectations with district and state initiatives.	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact. Expectations and goals regarding student and faculty performance are not provided or are not communicated in a timely, comprehensible and actionable form. The leader's actions demonstrate a lack of understanding of the importance of establishing clear expectations, structures, rules, and procedures for students and staff. Uses terms in the Florida common language of instruction incorrectly thus misguiding others.
goals with district and state initiatives. Leadership Evidence of proficiency on this indicator may be seen in the leader's behaviors or actions. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following: • Evidence of visibility and accessibility (e.g., agendas of meetings, newsletters, e-mail correspondence, appointment book, etc.) is provided. • Evidence of formal and informal systems of communication that include a variety of formats (e.g., written, oral) in multiple ways through different media (e.g., newsletter, electronic) used to communicate goals and expectations for how to accomplish the goals. • School safety and behavioral expectations are accessible to all. • Dissemination of clear norms and ground rules for standards- based instruction and Multi-tiered System of Supports (MTSS) is provided. • School Improvement Plan is based on clear actionable goals.		 course content with state st Staff survey results reflect a priority goals and expectati Parent survey results reflect academic improvement goa Parents' communications to understanding of the goals a their children. PTSA/Booster club operation support for school academii Student survey results reflect expectations that apply to the support for school statements apply to the support for school school	atus of the faculty and of such evidence may d to the following: <u>ww.floriodastandards.org</u> to align andards. wareness and understanding of ons. t understanding of the priority ds of the school. the school reflect and expectations that apply to ons and participation addresses c goals. ct understanding of goals and he students. orida's common language of

 Leader is able to access Florid instruction via online resourc 	0 0		
• Other leadership evidence of	proficiency on this indicator.		
Scale Levels: (choose on	e) Where there is suffici	ient evidence to rate c	current proficiency on this
indicator, assign a proficie	ency level by checking on	e of the four proficienc	y levels below. If not being
rated at this time, leave bl	ank:		
[] Highly Effective	[] Effective	[] Needs	[] Unsatisfactory
		Improvement	
Evidence Log (Specifica	lly, what has been obs	-	rrent proficiency on this
0.11		erved that reflects cu	rrent proficiency on this exclusive list of what is
0.11		erved that reflects cu	1 0
indicator? The examples		erved that reflects cu	1 0
indicator? The examples		erved that reflects cu	1 0

Reflection Questions for Indicator 9.2

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
What additional strategies	How might you articulate	How might you improve	What are your priority
have you established to	to faculty the benefits that	your consistency of	goals for school
diffuse your practices on	could be gained by the	interactions with	improvement?
goals and expectations	school if parents and	stakeholders regarding the	
among your colleagues	community members	work of the school?	How do you know whether
across the school system?	understood the rationale		others find them clear and
	for most decisions on goals	Knowing that some	comprehensible?
How does feedback from	and expectations?	teachers and parents are	
key stakeholder groups		reluctant to initiate	
inform the work of the		conversations with school	
school?		leaders, what strategies	
		have you employed or	
		considered in which you—	
		as the leader—would	
		initiate communication on	
		priority goals and	
		expectations?	

Indicator 9.3 – Accessibility: Maintains high visibility at school and in the community, regularly engages stakeholders in the work of the school, and utilizes appropriate technologies for communication and collaboration.

Narrative: Leaders need to be seen by those they are to lead...and those who are asked to engage in rigorous effort on the leader's goals need access to the leader. While leaders must manage their time, they must also make sure those who need access can get it in reasonable ways and timeframes. In a 21st century technological society use of social networking and other technologies to promote accessibility is a valuable leadership competency.

Rating Rubric			
Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders. In addition to the practices at the effective level, the leader initiates processes that promote sub-ordinate leaders access to all through a variety of methods stressing the need for engagement with stakeholder groups. The leader serves as the "voice of the school" reaching out to stakeholders and advocating for school needs. The leader mentors other school leaders on quality processes for accessibility, engaging stakeholders, and using technologies to expand impact.	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations. Leader provides timely access to all through a variety of methods using staff and scheduling practices to preserve time on instructional priorities while providing processes to enable access for parents and community. Leader is consistently visible within the school and community focusing attention and involvement on school improvement and recognition of success. Stakeholders have access via technology tools (e.g., e-mails, phone texts, video conferencing, websites) so that access is provided in ways that do not minimize the leader's time for instructional leadership and faculty development.	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency. Leader's actions to be visible and accessible are inconsistent or limited in scope. Limited use of technology to expand access and involvement. Leadership is focused within the school with minimal outreach to stakeholders.	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact. Leader is not accessible to staff, student, or stakeholders and does not engage stakeholders in the work of the school. Leader has low visibility to students, staff, and community.
 Leadership Evidence of proficiency on this indicator may be seen in the leader's behaviors or actions. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following: Leader's work schedule reflects equivalent of two work days a week in classrooms and interacting with students and teachers on instructional issues. Meeting schedules reflect frequency of access by various stakeholders. Executive business partnerships engaging local business leaders in ongoing support of school improvement. 		 direct involvement of the Sub-ordinate leaders' invevents where school issu "User friendly" processe determining needs of vis 	ctions of the faculty, staff, ty. <u>Illustrative examples</u> ade, but are not limited to effective procedures for teholders to appropriate d informing the leader when e leader is necessary. volvement in community use may be addressed. s for greeting and sitors.
 E-mail exchanges with parents and other stakeholders. Websites or weblogs provide school messaging into the community. 		Teacher and student and access	lecting leader's accessibility. ecdotal evidence of ease of elief that access is welcomed.

 Leader's participation in community events. Leader has established policies that inform students, faculty, and parents on how to get access to the leader. Leader monitors office staff implementation of access policies to insure timely and responsive accessibility. Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator. 	 Office staff handles routine requests for access in ways that satisfy stakeholders' needs without disrupting leader's time on instructional issues, but gives school leader timely notice when his/her personal involvement should occur without delay. Other impact evidence of proficiency on this indicator.

[] Highly Effective	[] Effective	[] Needs	[] Unsatisfactory
		Improvement	

Evidence Log (Specifically, what has been observed that reflects current proficiency on this indicator? The examples above are illustrative and do not reflect an exclusive list of what is expected):

Reflection Questions for Indicator 9.3

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
How can you involve sub- ordinate leaders as high	What uses can you make of modern technology to	How can you assess what students, faculty, and	What work habits would you need to change to be more
visibility assets of the school?	deepen community engagement and expand your accessibility to all?	stakeholders think of your level of accessibility?	visible to students, faculty, and stakeholders?

Indicator 9.4 – Recognitions: The leader recognizes individuals, collegial work groups, and supporting organizations for effective performance.

Narrative: Leading is about enabling others to succeed. Recognition of the successes and contributions of others is a key leadership function. Recognition from the leader is motivating and focusing. The recognition needed is more than "good job." It identifies what people did to generate the success being recognized. Recognizing the way in which people succeed encourages them to continue those practices and informs others "by what methods" they may do the same.

Rating Rubric			
groups are recognized as employed shared.Samples of recognition c	ne leader's behaviors or les of such evidence may d to the following: s routinely include and success on goals. gress points of collegial work and the methods they	 individuals and as team Teachers describe feedb acknowledges specific in improvements. Teachers report that the 	atus of the faculty and of such evidence may <u>d to the following:</u> ader's recognition of them as members. ack from the leader that astructional strengths or leader uses a combination of
		 Teachers report that the methods to promote the school. Students report both for acknowledgements of th 	accomplishments of the mal and informal
recognizing student, fact accomplishments.Other leadership eviden indicator.	ilty, and school	student growth.Other impact evidence o indicator.	

[] Highly Effective	[] Effective	[] Needs	[] Unsatisfactory
		Improvement	

Evidence Log (Specifically, what has been observed that reflects current proficiency on this indicator? The examples above are illustrative and do not reflect an exclusive list of what is expected):

Reflection Questions for Indicator 9.4

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
What might be some of the potential benefits that would come from you sharing your talents in this area with your colleagues in the district?	In what ways are you utilizing the recognition of failure as an opportunity to improve? How do you enable those that make progress to share "by what method" they did so?	How might you compare your beliefs about the importance of providing individual and collective praise to your actual practice? What do you want to be most aware of as you make future plans in this area?	As you assess the importance of acknowledging failures and celebrating accomplishments, what assumptions are guiding you?

Domain 4 - Professional and Ethical Behavior

Narrative: This domain is focused on the professional integrity and dedication to excellence of the school leader. The indicators in this domain focus on behaviors essential to success as a school leader.

Proficiency Area 10 – Professional and Ethical Behaviors: Effective school leaders demonstrate personal and professional behaviors consistent with quality practices in education and as a community leader by staying informed on current research in education and demonstrating their understanding of the research, engage in professional development opportunities that improve personal professional practice and align with the needs of the school system, and generate a professional development focus in their school that is clearly linked to system-wide strategic objectives.

Narrative: There are two broad proficiency areas that are the focus of evaluation of behavior and ethics. One is approached as Proficiency Area 10 of the FSLA which is focused on Florida Principal Leadership Standard #10 (FPLS). The indicators in proficiency area 10 address resiliency, professional learning, commitment, and conduct. The other major professional behavior area, Deliberate Practice, is a separate metric, scored separately and, when combined with the overall FLSA score, generates the Leadership Practice Score.

Indicator 10.1 – Resiliency: The leader demonstrates resiliency in pursuit of student learning and faculty development by:

- staying focused on the school vision,
- reacting constructively to adversity and barriers to success,
- acknowledging and learning from errors,
- constructively managing disagreement and dissent with leadership,
- bringing together people and resources with the common belief that the organization can grow stronger when it applies knowledge, skills, and
- productive attitudes in the face of adversity.

Narrative: The lead indicator in this FSLA domain is focused on resiliency. Leadership takes strength of character and a capacity to "weather the storm(s)" to get quality results. It includes learning from mistakes and sticking with it until you get it right.

Highly Effective:	Effective: Leader's actions	Needs Improvement:	Unsatisfactory:
Leader's actions or impact of	or impact of leader's actions	Leader's actions or impact of	Leader's actions or impact of
leader's actions relevant to	relevant to this indicator are	leader's actions relevant to this	leader's actions relevant to this
this indicator exceed effective	sufficient and appropriate	indicator are evident but are	indicator are minimal or are
levels and constitute models of	reflections of quality work	inconsistent or of insufficient	not occurring, or are having an
proficiency for other leaders.	with only normal variations.	scope or proficiency.	adverse impact.
The leader builds resilience in	The leader readily	The leader is able to accept	The leader is unwilling to
colleagues and throughout the	acknowledges personal and	evidence of personal and	acknowledge errors.
organization by habitually	organizational failures and	organizational failures or	When confronted with
highlighting and praising	offers clear suggestions for	mistakes when offered by	evidence of mistakes, the
"good mistakes" where risks	personal learning.	others, but does not initiate or	leader is defensive and
were taken, mistakes were	The leader uses dissent to	support the evidence	resistant to learning from
made, lessons were learned,	inform final decisions,	gathering.	mistakes.
and both the individual and	improve the quality of	Some evidence of learning	The leader ignores or subverts
the organization learned for	decision-making, and broaden	from mistakes is present.	policy decisions or initiatives
the future.	support for his or her final	The leader tolerates dissent,	focused on student learning or
The leader encourages	decision.	but there is very little of it in	faculty development that are
constructive dissent in which	The leader admits failures	public.	unpopular or difficult.
multiple voices are	quickly, honestly, and openly	The leader sometimes	Dissent or dialogue about the
encouraged and heard; the		implements unpopular policies	need for improvements is

rated at this time, leave l		[] Needs	[] Unsatisfactory
 the organization by habitua "good mistakes" where risk made, lessons were learned the organization learned for The leader demonstrates with authority and policy leaders and constructive criticism, h made, fully supports, and prioriganizational policy and le The leader recognizes and r The leader's previous evalue projects, tasks, and prioritie The leader offers evidence of views Improvement plans reflect of (either from one year to the plans based on new insights The leader accepts and implication with fidelity and district and represented by the leader in student data, research base, relevant to these initiatives. Other leadership evidence of 	illingness to question district s appropriately with evidence but once a district decision is rofessionally implements adership decisions. ewards thoughtful dissent. ations are explicitly reflected in es. of learning from dissenting changes in leadership practices. next or amending of current s). lements leadership and policy d state initiatives are n a thorough way citing the and performance goals of proficiency on this indicator. one) Where there is suffici	 they previously challenged resilience, they have changed acting in dysfunctional or he organization. The principal's resilience in has generated a school clim comfortable voicing concern perceive that their concerns deepening understanding. Previously resisted policies by faculty or students as ap implemented with fidelity. Results of staff, student, or or regarding the leader's vision improvement efforts. Changes advocated by the leader is the staff. 	necdotes of practices/policies or resisted but, due to principal's ed ways of working without armful ways to others within the pursuit of school improvements ate where faculty and staff feel ns and disagreements and s are treated as a basis for and practices are now perceived propriate and are being community questionnaire n and impact on school eader and implemented despite we impact on student growth. he school leader as unwavering udent achievement. roficiency on this indicator.
• The leader offers frank ackr and organizational failures system-wide learning result	include, but are not limited to the following:		ide, but are not limited to community members express erns and dissent receive fair ome input from the leader even
Leadership Evidence of p indicator may be seen in th actions. <u>Illustrative examp</u>	ne leader's behaviors or <u>les</u> of such evidence may	Impact Evidence of leade seen in the behaviors or ac students, and/or commun	ctions of the faculty, staff, ity. <u>Illustrative examples</u>
final decision is made better and more broadly supported as a result. The leader is able to bounce back quickly from adversity while remaining focused on the vision of the organization. The leader offers frank acknowledgement of prior personal and organizational failures and clear suggestions for system-wide learning resulting from those lessons. The influence of previous evaluations has a positive impact not only on the leader, but on the entire organization.	with direct supervisor and immediate colleagues. Non-defensive attitude exists in accepting feedback and discussing errors and failures. There is evidence of learning from past errors. Defined structures and processes are in place for eliciting input. Improvement needs noted in the leader's previous evaluations are explicitly reflected in projects, tasks, and priorities.	unenthusiastically or in a perfunctory manner. The leader tolerates dissent, but there are minimal to no systemic processes to enable revision of levels of engagement, mental models, and/or misconceptions. The leader is aware of improvement needs noted in previous evaluations, but has not translated them into an action plan.	absent due to a climate of fear and intimidation and/or apathy. No evidence or reference to previous leadership evaluations is present in the leader's choices of tasks and priorities.

Evidence Log (Specifically, what has been observed that reflects current proficiency on this indicator? The examples above are illustrative and do not reflect an exclusive list of what is expected):

Highly effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
What additional insights are you gaining about the challenges of reconciling points of view disagreements and fully supporting and executing organizational policy and leadership decisions? What additional insights have you gained about the value of supporting processes that enable faculty to reflect on and modify their own mental models based on evidence rather than assumptions?	How might you reconcile your opinions with final decisions in supporting and implementing organizational policy and leadership decisions? How can you help your staff grow to acknowledge and implement systems for gaining multiple perspectives in decision- making?	When or how is it appropriate to challenge policy and leadership decisions, if at all? What leadership practices, structures, and processes could you put in place that would help staff know that dissent is welcomed as part of an informed decision- making process?	How do you deal with decisions with which you are uncomfortable? Do you think about the impact when unpopular or difficult policy decisions are undermined, ignored, or executed with public disagreement or lack of enthusiasm from yourself or your staff? What needs to be done to establish enough trust that faculty and staff feel free to present opposing views with you in an open, sharing way?

Reflection Questions for Indicator 10.1

Indicator 10.2 – Professional Learning: The leader engages in professional learning that improves professional practice in alignment with the needs of the school and system and demonstrates explicit improvement in specific performance areas based on previous evaluations and formative feedback.

Narrative: Professional learning is addressed in several FSLA indicators, each from a different perspective. Indicator 4.5 is focused on what the leader does to engage faculty in meaningful professional learning (which includes being involved in what the faculty is learning). Indicator 4.4 focuses on professional learning needed to implement priority initiatives. Indicator 4.6 addresses alignment of faculty professional learning with improvement of instruction. The Deliberate Practice metric concentrates on a very few issues where the leader drives for deep learning and personal mastery of a few "thin slices." Indicator 10.2 is focused on the impact of the leader's professional learning – does the leader's learning result in improved performance?

		X X X .	
Highly Effective:	Effective: Leader's actions	Needs Improvement:	Unsatisfactory:
Leader's actions or impact of	or impact of leader's actions	Leader's actions or impact of	Leader's actions or impact of
leader's actions relevant to	relevant to this indicator are	leader's actions relevant to	leader's actions relevant to this
this indicator exceed effective	sufficient and appropriate	this indicator are evident but	indicator are minimal or are
levels and constitute models of	reflections of quality work	are inconsistent or of	not occurring, or are having an
proficiency for other leaders.	with only normal variations.	insufficient scope or	adverse impact.
		proficiency.	I · · · I
Performance	The leader routinely shows	The leader demonstrates	There is no or only minimal
improvements linked to	improvement in areas	some growth in some areas	impact of professional
professional learning are	where professional	based on professional	learning on the leader's
shared with other leaders	learning was implemented.	learning.	performance.
thus expanding impact.	The leader engages in	The leader actively	The leader might introduce
The leader approaches	professional learning that	participates in professional	a professional learning
every professional learning	is directly linked to	learning, but it is reflective	program, but does not
opportunity with a view	organizational needs.	of a personal agenda rather	participate in the learning
toward multidimensional	The priority is given to	than addressing the	activities along with the
impact.	building on personal	strategic needs of the	staff.
Knowledge and skills are	leadership strengths.	organization.	The leader is not strategic
shared throughout the	The leader personally	The leader attends	in planning a personal
organization and with	attends and actively	professional learning for	professional learning focus
other departments,	participates in the	colleagues, but does not	aligned with the school or
schools, and districts.	professional learning that	fully engage in it and set an	district goals.
Rather than merely	is required of other leaders	example of active	Even on those rare
adopting the tools of	in the organization.	participation.	occasions when the leader
external professional	The leader personally	The leader has given	engages in professional
learning, this leader	attends and actively	intellectual assent to some	learning, the purpose
creates specific	participates in the	important learning	appears to be merely
adaptations so that	professional learning	experiences, but can give	collecting information
learning tools become part	required of teachers.	only a few specific	rather than reflecting on it
of the culture of the	There is clear evidence of	examples of application to	and applying it to the
organization and are	the actual application of	the organization.	organization. Professional
"home-grown" rather than	personal learning in the	the organization.	learning is an expense, not
			<u> </u>
externally generated.	organization. Where		an investment in
The leader provides	learning has not been		constructive
evidence of leverage,	applied within the		improvements.
applying each learning	organization, this leader		
opportunity throughout	rigorously analyzes the		
the organization. This	cause for this and does not		
leader creates forms,	continue investing time		
checklists, self-	and money in professional		
assessments, and other	learning programs that		
tools so that concepts	lack clear evidence of		
learned in professional	success when applied in		
development are applied in	the organization.		
the daily lives of teachers			
and leaders throughout the			
organization.			
- 0			

Leadership Evidence of proficiency of indicator may be seen in the leader's be actions. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such ev- include, but are not limited to the follow	ehaviors or vidence may wing: by the following: characteristics seen in the behavior students, and/or of such evidence in the following:	of leadership proficiency may be iors or actions of the faculty, staff, community. <u>Illustrative examples</u> may include, but are not limited to
 The leader is an active participant in plearning provided for faculty. The leader's professional growth plan professional learning topics that are dit to the needs of the school or district. Evidence the leader has applied lesson the research to enhance personal leader practices. Case studies of action research shared subordinates and/or colleagues. Forms, checklists, self-assessments, an learning tools the leader has created th leader apply concepts learned in profe development. Membership and participation in profe learning provided by professional orgation. Other leadership evidence of proficien indicator. 	 for and particip for and particip The frequency engaged in proleader. Changes in stude after the leader Teachers can a by the leader a was implement Other impact of indicator. 	dotal evidence of the leader's support pation in professional learning. with which faculty members are fessional learning with the school dent growth data, discipline data, etc., r's professional development. rticulate professional learning shared fter the leader's professional learning ted. evidence of proficiency on this
Scale Levels: (choose one) Where		
indicator, assign a proficiency level i rated at this time, leave blank:	ру спескing one of the four proj	ficiency levels below. If not being
	fective [] Needs Improveme	

Evidence Log (Specifically, what has been observed that reflects current proficiency on this indicator? The examples above are illustrative and do not reflect an exclusive list of what is expected):

Reflection Questions for Indicator 10.2

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
What has been most effective in creating a focus on professional learning? How might you lead this effort across the district? How have you synthesized new professional learning into existing learning for more sophisticated application? How have you applied this learning to support and encourage the growth of other leaders? How will you leverage your professional learning throughout the school, district, and beyond?	To what degree do you explicitly identify the focus areas for professional development in faculty and grade level/department meetings? How will you determine whether application of your own professional learning is impacting student achievement and the school as a whole? How are you adjusting application when clear evidence of success is not apparent?	How are you investing your professional learning and applying it to your school on daily basis? How do you apply this learning in multiple leadership venues?	What steps can you take to participate in professional learning focused on school and district goals with your staff? What steps can you take to begin to apply professional learning to your daily work?

Indicator 10.3 – Commitment: The leader demonstrates a commitment to the success of all students, identifying barriers and their impact on the well-being of the school, families, and local community.

Narrative: Leaders are committed to carrying out the role of school leader in ways that benefit others: Students – faculty – community. Barriers to having that impact are not seen as reasons to give up but as problems to be solved.

Rating Rubric Highly Effective: Effective: Leader's actions **Needs Improvement: Unsatisfactory:** Leader's actions or impact of or impact of leader's actions Leader's actions or impact of Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to relevant to this indicator are leader's actions relevant to this leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are this indicator exceed effective sufficient and appropriate indicator are minimal or are levels and constitute models of reflections of quality work inconsistent or of insufficient not occurring, or are having an proficiency for other leaders. with only normal variations. scope or proficiency. adverse impact. The leader demonstrates The messaging and There are programs and Other than slogans and support systems of the processes within the professional concern for exhortations to do better, school that focus all students and for the there is minimal or no effective principal are expanded to engage students on the development of the evidence of principal student's potential but leadership being employed parents and the importance of success in school and multiple tiers of to implement the FEAPs community at large in implementation of participating in actions support to assist them in processes to identify and FPLS for the benefit of that promote student overcoming barriers to barriers to student success students in the school, and success and mitigate or have limited scope and the leader is not perceived success. eliminate multiple barriers Positive slogans and have resulted in actions to by staff, students, or to success. The principal's exhortations to succeed mitigate those barriers and community as a sincere and actions on behalf of are supported with specific provide supports for effective advocate for the students form a foundation and realistic guidance and success only for some students. of mutual respect between supports on how to students. There are gaps in students, faculty and the succeed and overcome processes that engage all barriers. The schools community. faculty in understanding vision of success for all the student population and students is shared with the the community in which they live. Some student community at large. sub-groups do not perceive the school as focused on their best interests. Leadership Evidence of proficiency on this **Impact Evidence** of leadership proficiency may be indicator may be seen in the leader's behaviors or seen in the behaviors or actions of the faculty, staff, actions. Illustrative examples of such evidence may students and/or community. Illustrative examples include, but are not limited to the following: of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following: • Agenda, memorandum, and other documents show a ٠ Student results show growth in all sub-groups. recurring emphasis on student success with specific Faculty members' anecdotal evidence describes a efforts to remove barriers to success. leader focused on and committed to student success. Agenda, memorandum, and other documents show a • • Parent and community involvement in student recurring emphasis on deepening faculty supports are plentiful and address the needs of a understanding of the students and the community in wide range of students. which they live. • Student work is commonly displayed throughout the The leader can describe the challenges present in the community. students' lives and provide specific examples of News reports in local media draw attention to • efforts undertaken to support student success. positive actions of students and school. Barriers to student achievement or faculty Other impact evidence of proficiency on this . development are identified in the SIP, and strategies indicator. are implemented to address them.

Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this

indicator.

92 | Page

[] Highly Effective	[] Effective	[] Needs Improvement	[] Unsatisfactory

Evidence Log (Specifically, what has been observed that reflects current proficiency on this indicator? The examples above are illustrative and do not reflect an exclusive list of what is expected):

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
What actions are needed to sustain the role of the school in generating a community wide effort to insure students succeed?	What outreach can you initiate to expand the involvement of parents and community leaders in supporting student success and deepening understanding of the barriers and actions that mitigate them?	Have you presented an effective challenge to perceptions that student apathy or lack of parent involvement are acceptable explanations for lack of success by some students or sub-groups?	Do you know enough about the students and the community in which they live to recognize the barriers that prevent success by all of the students?

Reflection Questions for Indicator 10.3

Indicator 10.4 – Professional Conduct. The leader Adheres to the Code of Ethics (Rules 6B-1.001) of the Education Profession in Florida and to the Principles of Professional Conduct for the education profession (Rules 6B-1.006, F.A.C.).

Narrative: State Board Rules define specific expectations for the conduct and ethical behaviors for Florida educators.

Katilig Kubi it	ating Rubric			
Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders. There is clear, convincing, and consistent evidence that the school leader abides by the spirit, as well as the intent, of policies, laws, and regulations that govern the school and the education profession in the state of Florida, and inspires others within the organization to abide by that same behavior. The leader clearly demonstrates the importance of maintaining the respect and confidence of his or her colleagues, of students, of parents, and of other members of the community, as a result the leader achieves and sustains the highest degree of ethical conduct and serves as a model for others within the district.	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations. There is clear evidence that the leader values the worth and dignity of all people, the pursuit of truth, devotion to excellence (i.e., sets high expectations and goals for all learners, then tries in every way possible to help students reach them) acquisition of knowledge, and the nurture of democratic citizenship. The leader's primary professional concern is for the student and for the development of the student's potential. Therefore, the leader acquires the knowledge and skills to exercise the best professional judgment and integrity. The leader demonstrates the importance of maintaining the respect and confidence of his or her colleagues, of students, of parents, and of other members of the community. As a result the leader adheres to the prescribed ethical conduct.	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency. The leader's behaviors enable recurring misunderstanding and misperceptions about the leader's conduct and ethics as expressed in the Code and Principles. There are segments of the school community whose developmental needs are not addressed and leadership efforts to understand and address those needs is not evident. The leader has only a general recollection of issues addressed in the Code and Principles and there is limited evidence that the school leader abides by the spirit, as well as the intent, of policies, laws, and regulations that govern the school and the education profession in the state of Florida.	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact. The leader's patterns of behavior are inconsistent with the Code of Ethics, Rule 6B-1.001, or disciplinary action has been initiated based on violation of the Principles of Professional Conduct, Rule 6B-1.006.	
Leadership Evidence of proficiency on this indicator may be seen in the leader's behaviors or actions. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following:		Impact Evidence of leader seen in the behaviors or ac students and/or communi of such evidence may inclu- the following:	ctions of the faculty, staff, ty. <u>Illustrative examples</u> ide, but are not limited to	
 Samples of written feedback from teachers regarding the leader's judgment and/or integrity on issues related to the learning environment, instructional improvement or school organization. Samples of written feedback provided by parents regarding the leader's judgment and/or integrity on 			e principal's ethics and ity and parent organizations as a role model for student inity.	

 issues related to the learning environment, instructional improvement or school organization. School improvement plan's focus on student success and evidence of actions taken to accomplish such plans. School safety and behavioral expectations promoted by the leader for the benefit of students. Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator. 	Other impact evidence of proficiency on this indicator.
---	---

[] Highly Effective	[] Effective	[] Needs	[] Unsatisfactory
		Improvement	

Evidence Log (Specifically, what has been observed that reflects current proficiency on this indicator? The examples above are illustrative and do not reflect an exclusive list of what is expected):

Reflection Questions for Indicator 10.4

nemeenen questions i			
Highly Effective: Leaders action's or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders.	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations.	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency.	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact.
How might you expand your influence within the district so that others achieve and sustain your high degree of ethical conduct?	What might be some strategies you could pursue that would inspire others within the organization to demonstrate your level of ethical behavior?	How might you be more overt in demonstrating that you abide by the spirit, as well as the intent, of policies, laws, and regulations that govern the school and the education profession in the state of Florida?	In what ways are you demonstrating that you abide by the spirit, as well as the intent, of policies, laws, and regulations that govern the school and the education profession in the state of Florida?