FMMS Strategic Measures of Student Success

| Due to the cancellation of Spring 2020 testing and reduced testing in 2021, there will be no color coding of results for 2021. Use caution when comparing scores from 2017 -2019 with 2021 scores. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Focus Area | Actions | Measure | Grade | Score Attainment |  |  |  |  | Target |
|  |  |  |  | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { X } \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \pm \underline{-1} \end{aligned}$ | 1. Emphasize using MAP Growth data to make informed, real-time instructional decisions based on student need. <br> Continued upward movement in the work of Professional Learning Teams to include backwards design, CFAs, and data analysis to make instructional decisions. <br> 3. Emulate <br> strategies being used in 7th grade PLT to track students across all PLTs in the building. <br> 4. Continue to strengthen Tuesday/Wednesday AO time as Teacher/Student Choice Days where students mix on academic hallways to get remediation or enrichment. <br> 5. Add additional days of Monday, Thursday, and Friday to accomplish additional reading remediation/enrichment opportunities through IXL so as not to take more time from Tier 1 instruction to do so. <br> 6. Use reading data to identify most at-risk students not being served under an IEP for targeted help in Reading Connections class. <br> Expand tutoring opportunities for students before and after school utilizing the district's ability to use funding to compensate teachers outside of the bell-to-bell school day. and give opportunity to assess reading comprehension skills. | National percentile ranking on the MAP Reading Growth assessment$\begin{aligned} & (2020=\text { Winter 2020 }) \\ & (2021=\text { Spring 2021) } \end{aligned}$ | 6 | 72.0 | 64.0 | 70.0 | 75.0 | 74.0 | 74.78 |
|  |  |  | 7 | - | 64.0 | 84.0 | 82.0 | 87.0 | 87.39 |
|  |  |  | 8 | - | 72.0 | 84.0 | 79.0 | 78.0 | 78.66 |
|  |  | School Conditional Growth Percentile on the MAP Reading Growth assessment (2020 = Fall 2019 to Winter 2020) <br> (2021 = Fall 2020 to Spring 2021) | 6 | 31.0 | 1.0 | 29.0 | 73.0 | 84.0 | 84.48 |
|  |  |  | 7 | - | 1.0 | 63.0 | 95.0 | 99.0 | 99.03 |
|  |  |  | 8 | 86.9 | 47.0 | 90.0 | 84.0 | 77.0 | 77.69 |
|  |  | \% of students scoring at Developing Learner or above on the Georgia Milestones English Language Arts EOG | 6 | 83.5 | - | 85.7 | 81.4 | 74.0 | 74.78 |
|  |  |  | 7 | 82.8 | $\stackrel{\square}{\sim}$ | 91.4 | 83.0 | 87.3 | 87.68 |
|  |  |  | 8 | 92.6 | - | 92.4 | 93.5 | 91.3 | 91.56 |
|  |  | \% of students scoring at Proficient Learner or above on the Georgia Milestones English Language Arts EOG | 6 | 57.6 | $\frac{4}{0}$ | 62.3 | 52.2 | 45.7 | 47.33 |
|  |  |  | 7 | 49.3 | 3 | 63.4 | 55.3 | 55.7 | 57.03 |
|  |  |  | 8 | 66.0 |  | 63.2 | 59.7 | 62.8 | 63.92 |
|  |  | \% of students reading on or above grade level on the Georgia Milestones English Language Arts EOG | 6 | 70.3 |  | 75.8 | 67.0 | 65.5 | 66.54 |
|  |  |  | 7 | 84.8 |  | 89.3 | 80.5 | 82.0 | 82.54 |
|  |  |  | 8 | 88.7 |  | 86.1 | 88.6 | 86.6 | 87.00 |
|  |  | \% of students demonstrating reading comprehension at the midpoint of the College \& Career Ready "Stretch" Lexile Band of each grade level on the GMAS | 6 | 62.1 | - | 63.9 | 55.7 | 52.6 | 54.02 |
|  |  |  | 7 | 72.2 | $\bigcirc$ | 83.5 | 71.4 | 72.0 | 72.84 |
|  |  |  | 8 | 83.3 | 4 | 78.5 | 74.7 | 77.6 | 78.27 |
|  |  | \% of students demonstrating typical growth or higher on the Georgia Milestones on the Georgia Milestones English Language Arts EOG | 6 | 72.7 | $\frac{8}{4}$ |  | - | - | - |
|  |  |  | 7 | 72.4 | 3 |  | - | - | - |
|  |  |  | 8 | 88.6 |  |  | - | - | - |
|  |  | \% of students meeting grade-level expectations (L3 \& L4) on the English Language Arts portion of the Georgia Alternate Assessment in grades 6, 7 and 8 combined (must be 15 students or more) | 6,7 \& 8 |  |  | IP | 80.8 | 76.0 | 76.72 |
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| Due to the cancellation of Spring 2020 testing and reduced testing in 2021, there will be no color coding of results for 2021. Use caution when comparing scores from 2017-2019 with 2021 scores. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Focus Area | Actions | Measure | Grade | Score Attainment |  |  |  |  | Target |
|  |  |  |  | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 |
| Math Proficiency | 1. Emphasize using MAP Growth data to make informed, real-time instructional decisions based on student need. <br> Continued upward movement in the work of Professional Learning Teams to include backwards design, CFAs, and data analysis to make instructional decisions. <br> 3. Emulate <br> strategies being used in 7th grade PLT to track students across all PLTs in the building. <br> 4. Continue to strengthen Tuesday/Wednesday AO time as Teacher/Student Choice Days where students mix on academic hallways to get remediation or enrichment. <br> 5. Add additional days of Monday, Thursday, and Friday to accomplish additional mathematics remediation/enrichment opportunities through IXL so as not to take more time from Tier 1 instruction to do so. <br> 6. Use historical math data to identify most at-risk students not being served under an IEP for targeted help in Math Connections class. <br> 7. Expand tutoring opportunities for students before and after school utilizing the district's ability to use funding to compensate teachers outside of the bell-to-bell school day. | National percentile ranking on the MAP Math Growth assessment$\begin{aligned} & (2020=\text { Winter 2020 }) \\ & (2021=\text { Spring 2021) } \end{aligned}$ | 6 | 49.0 | 56.0 | 67.0 | 62.0 | 64.0 | 65.08 |
|  |  |  | 7 | - | 61.0 | 85.0 | 77.0 | 81.0 | 81.57 |
|  |  |  | 8 | - | 72.0 | 77.0 | 78.0 | 78.0 | 78.66 |
|  |  | School Conditional Growth Percentile on the MAP Math Growth assessment (2020 <br> = Fall 2019 to Winter 2020) <br> (2021 = Fall 2020 to Spring 2021) | 6 | 72.0 | 30.0 | 87.0 | 85.0 | 71.0 | 71.87 |
|  |  |  | 7 | - | 14.0 | 99.0 | 97.0 | 95.0 | 95.15 |
|  |  |  | 8 | - | 41.0 | 75.0 | 85.0 | 76.0 | 76.72 |
|  |  | \% of students scoring at Developing Learner or above on the Georgia Milestones Algebra I EOC | 8 | 100.0 | - | 98.6 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.00 |
|  |  | \% of students scoring at Proficient Learner or above on the Georgia Milestones Algebra I EOC | 8 | 100.0 | $\xrightarrow{\sim}$ | 95.9 | 94.3 | 98.0 | 98.06 |
|  |  | \% of students scoring at Developing Learner or above on the Georgia Milestones Math EOG | 6 | 90.2 | 4 | 82.7 | 87.6 | 80.9 | 81.47 |
|  |  |  | 7 | 85.1 | 4 | 93.0 | 84.4 | 88.6 | 88.94 |
|  |  |  | 8 | 91.9 | $\stackrel{\square}{3}$ | 83.3 | 88.3 | 90.3 | 90.59 |
|  |  | \% of students scoring at Proficient Learner or above on the Georgia Milestones Math EOG | 6 | 51.6 | $\checkmark$ | 51.5 | 48.1 | 40.5 | 42.29 |
|  |  |  | 7 | 56.6 |  | 57.2 | 52.5 | 50.2 | 51.69 |
|  |  |  | 8 | 56.2 |  | 50.0 | 55.2 | 58.5 | 59.75 |
|  |  | \% of students demonstrating typical growth or higher on the Georgia Milestones on the Georgia Milestones Math EOG | 6 | 88.8 | $\square$ |  | - | - | - |
|  |  |  | 7 | 87.5 | $\pm$ |  | - | - | - |
|  |  |  | 8 | 110.4 | $\sum_{2}^{10}$ |  | - | - | - |
|  |  | \% of students meeting grade-level expectations ( $L 3$ \& L4) on the Math portion of the Georgia Alternate Assessment in grades 6,7 and 8 combined (must be 15 students or more) | $6,7 \& 8$ |  | $\frac{2}{2}$ | IP | 73.1 | 65.4 | 66.42 |
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Prior year results are color coded to signify whether a target was met (green), performance improved but target was not met (yellow), or performance did not improve and target was not met (red).

