

Comprehensive Needs Assessment 2025 - 2026 School Report



Atkinson County
Atkinson County Middle School

1. PLANNING AND PREPARATION

1.1 Identification of Team

The comprehensive needs assessment team consists of people who are responsible for working collaboratively throughout the needs assessment process. Ideal team members possess knowledge of programs, the capacity to plan and implement the needs assessment, and the ability to ensure stakeholder involvement. A required team member's name may be duplicated when multiple roles are performed by the same person. Documentation of team member involvement must be maintained by the LEA. Watch the <u>Planning and Preparation webinar</u> for additional information and guidance.

Leadership Team

| | Position/Role | Name |
|-----------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Team Member # 1 | Principal | Calandra Holmes |
| Team Member # 2 | Assistant Principal | Scarlett Wetherington |
| Team Member # 3 | Counselor | Ken James |
| Team Member # 4 | Leadership Team | Jenny Chaney |
| Team Member # 5 | Leadership Team | Molly Register |
| Team Member # 6 | Leadership Team/Connections Teacher | Chris Shelnutt |
| Team Member # 7 | Leadership Team/Sped Teacher | Meagan Williams |

Additional Leadership Team

| | Position/Role | Name |
|------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Team Member # 1 | Leadership Team | Heather Stone |
| Team Member # 2 | Leadership Team | Maniqueka Powell |
| Team Member # 3 | Leadership Team | Caitlyn Carver |
| Team Member # 4 | Leadership Team | Kelly Lee |
| Team Member # 5 | Leadership Team | Valerie Harper |
| Team Member # 6 | Nurse | Dalia Trejo |
| Team Member # 7 | Social Worker | Breanna Wright |
| Team Member # 8 | SRO Officer | John Clayton |
| Team Member # 9 | | |
| Team Member # 10 | | |

1. PLANNING AND PREPARATION

1.2 Identification of Stakeholders

Stakeholders are those individuals with valuable experiences and perspective who will provide the team with important input, feedback, and guidance. Required stakeholders must be engaged in the process to meet the requirements of participating federal programs. Documentation of stakeholder involvement must be maintained by the LEA. Watch the Planning and Preparation webinar for additional information and guidance.

Stakeholders

| | Position/Role | Name |
|-----------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| Stakeholder # 1 | Parent | Parent |
| Stakeholder # 2 | Business Owner & Parent | Matthew & Michelle Gurley |
| Stakeholder # 3 | Parent | Keyshia Johnson |
| Stakeholder # 4 | Parent | Crystal Bell-Coleman |
| Stakeholder # 5 | Parent | Mary Lou Martinez |
| Stakeholder # 6 | | |
| Stakeholder # 7 | | |
| Stakeholder # 8 | | |

| How will the team ensure that | The team will offer the opportunity for stakeholders to attend meetings, |
|---|--|
| stakeholders, and in particular parents | complete surveys, complete the parent input form on the school |
| and/or guardians, were able to provide | website, and respond to emails. |
| meaningful input into the needs | |
| assessment process? | |

2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

2.1 Coherent Instructional System

Analyze the LEA's data (including sections 2.6) and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of instructional needs. Complete a data-informed self-rating for each Georgia School Performance Standard (GSPS). See the Coherent Instructional System webinar for additional information and guidance.

| Curriculum Standard 1 -Uses systematic, collaborative planning processes so that teachers share an understanding of expectations for standards, curriculum, assessment, and instruction | | |
|---|---|----------|
| 1. Exemplary | A systematic, collaborative process is used proactively for curriculum planning. | |
| | Nearly all teachers or groups of teachers, support staff, and leaders within the school have common expectations for standards, curriculum, assessment, and instruction. | |
| 2. Operational | A systematic, collaborative process is used regularly for curriculum planning. Most teachers or groups of teachers within the school have common expectations for standards, curriculum, assessment, and instruction. | √ |
| 3. Emerging | A collaborative process is used occasionally for curriculum planning. Some teachers or groups of teachers within the school have common expectations for standards, curriculum, assessment, and instruction. | |
| 4. Not Evident | A collaborative process is rarely, if ever, used for curriculum planning. Few, if any, teachers or groups of teachers within the school have common expectations for standards, curriculum, assessment, and instruction. | |

| Curriculum Standard 2 -Designs curriculum documents and aligns resources with the intended rigor of the required standards | | |
|--|--|----------|
| 1. Exemplary | Curriculum documents (e.g., lesson plans, unit plans, performance tasks, curriculum maps, scope, and sequence documents, guides) that are aligned with the intended rigor of the required standards are the products of a systematic, collaborative process. These curriculum documents and resources are used and continuously revised by teachers and support staff to ensure an alignment with the intended, taught, and tested standards. | |
| 2. Operational | Curriculum documents (e.g., lesson plans, unit plans, performance tasks, curriculum maps, scope and sequence documents, guides) have been designed, and resources are aligned with the intended rigor of the required standards. These curriculum documents and resources guide the work of teachers and instructional support staff. | √ |
| 3. Emerging | Curriculum documents and resources exist, but they are not complete in all content areas or grade levels or lack the intended rigor of the required standards. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, curriculum documents and resources exist to support the implementation of the intended rigor of the required standards. | |

| Instruction Standard 1 | -Provides a supportive and well -managed environment conducive to learning | |
|------------------------|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary | A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident throughout the school. | |
| | Students consistently stay on-task and take responsibility for their own actions. | |
| 2. Operational | A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in most classrooms. | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging | A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in some classrooms. | |
| 4. Not Evident | A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in few, if any, classrooms. | |

| Instruction Standard 2 -Creates an academically challenging learning environment | | |
|--|--|----------|
| 1. Exemplary | Nearly all teachers create an academically challenging, learning environment (e.g., higher-order thinking skills and processes, active student engagement, relevance, collaboration). Students consistently work independently and in teams to solve real-world problems that require advanced effort, decision-making, and critical and creative thinking. | |
| 2. Operational | Most teachers create an academically challenging, learning environment (e.g., higher-order thinking skills and processes, active student engagement, relevance, collaboration). | √ |
| 3. Emerging | Some teachers create an academically challenging learning environment. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, teachers create an academically challenging learning environment. | |

| Instruction Standard 3 -Establishes and communicates clear learning targets and success criteria align curriculum standards | | ned to |
|---|---|----------|
| 1. Exemplary | Nearly all teachers establish and communicate clear learning targets and success criteria aligned to the required curriculum standards. Learning targets are evident throughout the lesson and in student work. Articulation of the learning targets is consistent and pervasive among like content areas and grade levels. | |
| 2. Operational | Most teachers establish and communicate clear learning targets and success criteria aligned to the required curriculum standards. Learning targets are evident throughout the lesson and in student work. | √ |
| 3. Emerging | Some teachers establish and communicate clear learning targets and success criteria aligned to the required curriculum standards. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any teachers establish clear learning targets and success criteria aligned to the required curriculum standards. | _ |

| Instruction Standard 4 -Uses research based instructional practices that positively impact student learning | | |
|---|---|----------|
| 1. Exemplary | Nearly all teachers pervasively demonstrate a repertoire of highly effective, research-based instructional practices that positively impact student learning (e.g., providing feedback, cooperative learning, advance organizers, questioning techniques, similarities and differences, reinforcing effort, goal setting, summarizers, graphic representations, reciprocal teaching). | |
| 2. Operational | Most teachers demonstrate a repertoire of effective, research-based instructional practices that positively impact student learning (e.g., providing feedback, cooperative learning, advance organizers, questioning techniques, similarities and differences, reinforcing effort, goal setting, summarizers, graphic representations, reciprocal teaching). | √ |
| 3. Emerging | Some teachers demonstrate a repertoire of effective, research-based instructional practices that positively impact student learning. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, teachers demonstrate a repertoire of effective, research-based instructional practices that positively impact student learning. | |

| Instruction Standard 5 -Differentiates instruction to meet specific learning needs of students | | |
|--|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary | Nearly all teachers differentiate instruction (e.g., using flexible grouping, making adjustments, providing choices based upon readiness levels, interests, or needs) to meet the specific learning needs of students. Nearly all teachers plan and implement multiple means of representation, engagement, action, and expression to meet the learning needs of students (UDL). Remediation, enrichment, and acceleration are pervasive practices. | |
| 2. Operational | Most teachers differentiate instruction (e.g., using flexible grouping, making adjustments, providing choices based upon readiness levels, interests, or needs) to meet the specific learning needs of students. Most teachers plan and implement multiple means of representation, engagement, action, and expression to meet the learning needs of students (UDL). | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging | Some teachers differentiate instruction to meet the specific learning needs of students. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, teachers differentiate instruction to meet the specific learning needs of students. | |

| Instruction Standard 6 -Uses appropriate, current technology to enhance learning | | |
|--|---|----------|
| 1. Exemplary | The use by staff members and students of appropriate, current technology to enhance learning is an institutional practice (e.g., facilitate communication, collaboration, research, design, creativity, problem-solving). | |
| 2. Operational | Most staff members and students use appropriate, current technology to enhance learning (e.g., facilitate communication, collaboration, research, design, creativity, problem-solving). | √ |
| 3. Emerging | Some staff members, students, or both use appropriate, current technology to enhance learning. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, teachers demonstrate a repertoire of effective, research-based instructional practices that positively impact student learning. | |

| Instruction Standard 7 -Provides feedback to students on their performance on the standards or learning targets | | ng targets |
|---|--|------------|
| 1. Exemplary | Nearly all teachers use the language of the standards or learning targets to provide students with specific, timely, descriptive feedback on their performance. | |
| | Nearly all teachers systematically elicit diagnostic information from individual students regarding their understanding of the standards or learning targets. | |
| 2. Operational | Most teachers use the language of the standards or learning targets to provide students with specific, timely, descriptive feedback on their performance. | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging | Some teachers use the language of the standards or learning targets to provide students with specific, descriptive feedback on their performance. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, teachers use the language of the standards or learning targets to provide students with feedback on their performance, or the feedback that is provided is not specific, timely, or understandable. | |

| Instruction Standard 8 -Establishes a learning environment that empowers students to actively monitor progress | | their own |
|--|---|-----------|
| 1. Exemplary | Nearly all students use tools (e.g., rubrics, checklists, exemplars) to actively monitor their own progress. Nearly all students develop a sense of personal responsibility and accountability by engaging in record keeping, self-monitoring, sharing, exhibiting, and self-reflection. | |
| 2. Operational | Most students use tools (e.g., rubrics, checklists, exemplars) to actively monitor their own progress. | |
| 3. Emerging | Some students use tools to actively monitor their own progress. | ✓ |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, students use tools to actively monitor their own progress. | |

| Instruction Standard 9 -Provides timely, systematic, data -driven interventions | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary | Nearly all students are provided timely, systematic, data-driven interventions to support their learning needs. Interventions are designed to meet the needs of each student. The effectiveness of those interventions is consistently monitored and | |
| | adjustments are made. | |
| 2. Operational | Most students are provided timely, systematic, data-driven interventions to support their learning needs. | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging | Some students are provided extra assistance or needed support in a timely manner. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, students are provided extra assistance or effective support in a timely manner. | |

| Assessment Standard 1 -Aligns assessments with the required curriculum standards | | |
|--|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary | Nearly all assessments are aligned with the required curriculum standards. | |
| | Assessments are reviewed during the school year to ensure alignment. | |
| 2. Operational | Most assessments are aligned with the required curriculum standards. | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging | Some assessments are aligned with the required curriculum standards. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, assessments are aligned with the required curriculum standards. | |

| Assessment Standard 3 -Uses common assessments aligned with the required standards to monitor sturprogress, inform instruction, and improve teacher practices | | tudent |
|---|---|----------|
| 1. Exemplary | Teachers consistently use common assessments aligned with the required standards in nearly all content areas, grade levels, or both for diagnostic, summative, and formative purposes. | |
| | The data from the common assessments are analyzed down to the item level, and the results are used to inform instruction and improve teacher practices. | |
| 2. Operational | Teachers use common assessments aligned with the required standards in most content areas to monitor student progress, inform instruction, and improve teacher practices. | √ |
| 3. Emerging | Teachers use some common assessments aligned with the required standards in a few content areas with a limited amount of data analysis to monitor student progress, inform instruction, or improve teacher practices. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Teachers use few, if any, common assessments to monitor student progress, inform instruction, or improve teacher practices. | |

| Assessment Standard | Assessment Standard 4 -Implements a process to collaboratively analyze assessment results to adjust instruction | |
|---------------------|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary | Teachers extensively use a systematic, collaborative process to analyze assessment results. Instruction is consistently adjusted based on the analysis of assessment results across all content areas, grade levels, or both. | |
| 2. Operational | Teachers regularly use a collaborative process to analyze assessment results. Instruction is routinely adjusted based on the analysis of assessment results. | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging | Teachers occasionally use a collaborative process to analyze assessment results. Instruction is sometimes adjusted based on the analysis of assessment results. | |
| 4. Not Evident | A collaborative process to analyze assessment results does not exist. Instruction is rarely, if ever, adjusted based on the analysis of assessment results. | |

| Assessment Standard 5 -Implements grading practices that provide an accurate indication of student progress on the required standards | | |
|---|---|----------|
| 1. Exemplary | The grading practices used by teachers across nearly all content areas, grade levels, or both, consistently provide an accurate indication of student progress on the required standards. | |
| 2. Operational | The grading practices used by teachers in most content areas, grade levels, or both provide an accurate indication of student progress on the required standards. | √ |
| 3. Emerging | The grading practices used by teachers in some content areas, grade levels, or both provide an accurate indication of student progress on the required standards. | |
| 4. Not Evident | The grading practices used by teachers rarely, if ever, provide an accurate indication of student progress on the required standards. | |

2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

2.2 Effective Leadership

Analyze the LEA's data (including sections 2.6) and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of leadership needs. Complete a data-informed self-rating for each Georgia School Performance Standard (GSPS). See the <u>Effective Leadership webinar</u> for additional information and guidance.

| Leadership Standard 1 | -Builds and sustains relationships to foster the success of students and staff | |
|-----------------------|---|----------|
| 1. Exemplary | Administrators consistently build and sustain relationships to foster the success of students and staff. The school staff is fully engaged in relationship building through collaboration, | |
| | internal and external communication, and building trust with staff, students, families, and community stakeholders. | |
| 2. Operational | Administrators regularly build and sustain relationships to foster the success of students and staff. | √ |
| 3. Emerging | Administrators sometimes build relationships to foster the success of students and staff. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Administrators seldom, if ever, build relationships to foster the success of students and staff. | |

| Leadership Standard 2 | -Initiates and manages change to improve staff performance and student learni | ing |
|-----------------------|---|-----|
| 1. Exemplary | Administrators, the school leadership team, and other teacher leaders initiate and sustain change to improve staff performance and student learning. Administrators, the school leadership team, and other teacher leaders create a | ✓ |
| | sense of urgency for change and effectively communicate a common vision. | |
| 2. Operational | Administrators and the school leadership team initiate and sustain change to improve staff performance and student learning. The principal provides an appropriate balance of pressure and support to manage the change process for desired results. | |
| 3. Emerging | Administrators initiate change to improve staff performance and student learning but do not sustain the change, remove barriers, or both. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Administrators initiate few, if any, changes that impact staff performance and student learning. | |

| Leadership Standard 3 -Uses systems to ensure effective implementation of curriculum, assessment, instruction and professional learning practices | | |
|---|---|----------|
| 1. Exemplary | The principal and other school leaders continually use systems to ensure effective implementation of curriculum, assessment, instruction, and professional learning practices. | |
| | The principal and other school leaders have a comprehensive knowledge and understanding of the best practices for curriculum, assessment, instruction, and professional learning. | |
| 2. Operational | The principal and other school leaders often use systems to ensure effective implementation of curriculum, assessment, instruction, and professional learning practices. | √ |
| 3. Emerging | The principal and other school leaders occasionally use systems to ensure effective implementation of curriculum, assessment, instruction, and professional learning practices. | |
| 4. Not Evident | The principal and other school leaders rarely, if ever, use systems to ensure effective implementation of curriculum, assessment, instruction, and professional learning practices. | |

| Leadership Standard 4 -Uses processes to systematically analyze data to improve student achievement | | |
|---|--|----------|
| 1. Exemplary | Extensive, comprehensive processes, including root cause analysis, are used consistently to analyze data (e.g., multiple sources of data: classroom, grade level, departmental, and subgroup, perception data) to improve student achievement. | |
| 2. Operational | Numerous processes are used frequently to analyze data (e.g., multiple sources of data: classroom, grade level, departmental, and subgroup, perception data) to improve student achievement. | √ |
| 3. Emerging | Some processes are in place and used occasionally to analyze data to improve student achievement. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, processes are in place to analyze data to improve student achievement. | |

| Leadership Standard 5 -Builds leadership capacity through shared decision-making and problem-solvin | | ng |
|---|---|----------|
| 1. Exemplary | Extensive structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making and problem-solving and to build their leadership capacities. Administrators collaborate consistently with staff members to gather input. | √ |
| 2. Operational | Numerous structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making and problem-solving and to build their leadership capacities. | |
| 3. Emerging | Some structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making, problem-solving, or both. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making or problem-solving. | |

| Leadership Standard 6 learning | -Establishes and supports a data-driven school leadership team that is focused | l on student |
|--------------------------------|--|--------------|
| 1. Exemplary | A highly effective, proactive, and data-driven school leadership team is focused on student learning. The leadership team addresses nearly all areas of student and staff learning and school leadership, including the development, implementation, and regular monitoring of the school improvement plan. | √ |
| 2. Operational | A data-driven school leadership team is established with stakeholder representation (e.g., core and non-core teachers, certified support staff) and is focused on student learning. The school leadership team meets regularly and uses norms and protocols to work effectively and efficiently. | |
| 3. Emerging | The school leadership team is established and has some stakeholder representation but is focused chiefly on school operations rather than student learning. | |
| 4. Not Evident | A school leadership team does not exist or does not have adequate stakeholder representation. | |

| Leadership Standard 7 -Monitors and evaluates the performance of teachers and other staff using multiple da sources | | |
|---|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary | Monitoring the performance of teachers and other staff through observations, surveys, data, and documentation is consistent and comprehensive, resulting in highly accurate performance evaluations. | |
| | A comprehensive system is in place to provide teachers and staff with ongoing, accurate, timely, detailed, descriptive feedback related to their performance. | |
| | Administrators use the evaluation process to identify role models, teacher leaders, or both. | |
| 2. Operational | Monitoring the performance of teachers and other staff regularly occurs using data or documentation, generally resulting in accurate performance evaluations. | ✓ |
| | Teachers and staff receive accurate, timely, descriptive feedback related to their performance. | |
| 3. Emerging | Monitoring the performance of teachers and other staff is inconsistent, incomplete, or lacks data or documentation, sometimes resulting in inaccurate performance evaluations. | |
| | Teachers and staff receive some descriptive feedback related to their performance. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Monitoring the performance of teachers and other staff rarely occurs or often results in inaccurate performance evaluations. | |
| | Teachers and staff receive little or no descriptive feedback related to their performance. | |

| Leadership Standard 8 -Provides ongoing support to teachers and other staff | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary | A comprehensive support system that is timely and targeted to individual | |
| | needs is provided to teachers and other staff. | |
| 2. Operational | Most support provided to teachers and other staff is targeted to individual | ✓ |
| | needs. | |
| 3. Emerging | Some support provided to teachers and staff is targeted to individual needs. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Support to teachers and staff does not exist or is not targeted to individual | |
| | needs. | |

| Planning and Organization Standard 1 -Shares a common vision and mission that define the school cult guide the continuous improvement process | | |
|---|---|----------|
| 1. Exemplary | A common vision and mission have been collaboratively developed and communicated to nearly all stakeholders. | |
| | The culture of the school has been deeply defined over time by the vision and mission, which are updated as needed. | |
| | The daily work and practices of staff consistently demonstrate a sustained commitment to continuous improvement. | |
| 2. Operational | A common vision and mission have been developed through a collaborative process and communicated to most stakeholders. | √ |
| | The vision and mission define the culture of the school and guide the continuous improvement process. | |
| 3. Emerging | A common vision and mission have been developed by some staff members but have not been effectively communicated so that they guide the continuous improvement process. | |
| 4. Not Evident | A common vision and mission have not been developed or updated or have been developed by a few staff members. | |

| Planning and Organization Standard 2 -Uses a data-driven and consensus-oriented process to develop and implement a school improvement plan that is focused on student performance | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary | A school improvement plan has been developed using a data-driven and consensus-oriented process with input from nearly all stakeholders. | |
| | The plan includes appropriate goals and strategies with a strong focus on increasing student performance. | |
| | This process and plan consistently guide the work of the school staff. | |
| 2. Operational | A school improvement plan has been developed using a data-driven and consensus-oriented process with input from most plan stakeholders. The plan includes appropriate goals and strategies with a focus on increasing student performance. | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging | A school improvement plan has been developed with input from some stakeholders. The school improvement plan is based on incomplete data analysis with limited focus on student performance. | |
| 4. Not Evident | An up-to-date, data-driven school improvement plan focused on student performance is not in place. | |

| Planning and Organization Standard 3 -Monitors implementation of the school improvement plan and make adjustments as needed | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary | The goals and strategies of the school improvement plan are continually monitored by administrators, the school leadership team, and teacher leaders to evaluate the impact on student performance. | |
| | Ongoing adjustments are made based on various performance, process, and perception data. | |
| 2. Operational | he goals and strategies of the school improvement plan are regularly monitored by administrators and the school leadership team to evaluate the impact on student performance. | ✓ |
| 2.5 | Adjustments are made to the plan, as needed, based on the analysis of data. | |
| 3. Emerging | The goals and strategies of the school improvement plan are occasionally monitored by administrators. | |
| 4. Not Evident | The goals and strategies of the school improvement plan are rarely, if ever, monitored. | |

| Planning and Organization Standard 4 - Monitors the use of available resources to support continuous improvement | | |
|--|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary | The use of available resources (e.g., personnel, time, facilities, equipment, materials) to support continuous improvement is consistently monitored. School schedules and processes are designed to make effective use of personnel, time, materials, and equipment. | |
| 2. Operational | The use of available resources (e.g., personnel, time, facilities, equipment, materials) to support continuous improvement is frequently monitored. | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging | The use of available resources to support continuous improvement is inconsistently monitored. | |
| 4. Not Evident | The use of available resources to support continuous improvement is rarely, if ever, monitored. | |

| Planning and Organization Standard 5 -Develops, communicates, and implements rules, policies, schedules, procedures to maximize student learning and staff effectiveness | | |
|--|--|----------|
| 1. Exemplary | Rules, policies, schedules, and procedures are developed with stakeholder input, effectively communicated, and consistently implemented throughout the school to maximize student learning and staff effectiveness. These rules, policies, schedules, and procedures are consistently reviewed and revised as needed. | |
| 2. Operational | Rules, policies, schedules, and procedures are developed, communicated, and implemented throughout the school to maximize student learning and staff effectiveness. These rules, policies, schedules, and procedures are periodically reviewed and systematically revised as needed. | √ |
| 3. Emerging | Rules, policies, schedules, and procedures are developed but are not effectively communicated or are implemented inconsistently across the school. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Rules, policies, or procedures are not developed, are poorly communicated, or are ineffectively implemented. In some cases, rules, policies, schedules, or procedures are out of date or have become barriers to student learning or staff effectiveness. | |

| Planning and Organization Standard 6 -Uses protocols to maintain the school campus and equipment providing | | |
|--|--|----------|
| safe, clean, and inviting learning environment | | |
| 1. Exemplary | Protocols (e.g., safety drills, tornado drills, inclement weather plans, current crisis plan, school-wide safety plan, maintenance protocols, facility-use protocols, functional custodial schedules) are used extensively to maintain the school campus and equipment providing a safe, clean, and inviting learning environment. A proactive maintenance process is in place, and repairs are completed in a satisfactory and timely manner, when needed. | |
| 2. Operational | Protocols (e.g., safety drills, tornado drills, inclement weather plans, current crisis plan, school-wide safety plan, maintenance protocols, facility-use protocols, functional custodial schedules) are used to maintain the school campus and equipment providing a safe, clean, and inviting learning environment. The school and campus are clean, well-maintained, inviting, and safe. | √ |
| 3. Emerging | Protocols are sometimes used to maintain the school campus and equipment. The school and campus are partially clean, maintained, and inviting, but some safety issues exist. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Protocols do not exist or are rarely, if ever, used to maintain the school campus and equipment. The school and campus are not clean, maintained, or inviting, and safety issues exist. | |

2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

2.3 Professional Capacity

Analyze the LEA's data (including sections 2.6) and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of professional capacity needs. Complete a data-informed self-rating for each Georgia School Performance Standard (GSPS). See the Professional Capacity webinar for additional information and guidance.

| Leadership Standard 5 -Builds leadership capacity through shared decision-making and problem-solving | | |
|--|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary | Extensive structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making and problem-solving and to build their leadership capacities. | |
| | Administrators collaborate consistently with staff members to gather input. | |
| 2. Operational | Numerous structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making and problem-solving and to build their leadership capacities. | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging | Some structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making, problem-solving, or both. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making or problem-solving. | |

| Professional Learning Standard 1 -Aligns professional learning with needs identified through analysis of data | | |
|---|---|----------|
| 1. Exemplary | Professional learning needs are identified and differentiated through a collaborative analysis process using a variety of data (e.g., student achievement data, examination of student work, process data, teacher and leader effectiveness data, action research data, perception data from students, staff, and families). Ongoing support is provided through differentiated professional learning. | |
| 2. Operational | Professional learning needs are identified through a collaborative analysis process using a variety of data (e.g., student achievement data, examination of student work, process data, teacher and leader effectiveness data, action research data, perception data from students, staff, and families). | √ |
| 3. Emerging | Professional learning needs are identified using limited sources of data. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Professional learning needs are identified using little or no data. | |

| Professional Learning Standard 2 -Establishes a culture of collaboration among administrators and staff enhance individual and collective performance | | |
|---|--|----------|
| 1. Exemplary | Administrators and staff, as a foundational practice, consistently collaborate to support leadership and personal accountability and to enhance individual and collective performance (e.g., construct knowledge, acquire skills, refine practice, provide feedback). Teachers conduct action research and assume ownership of professional learning processes. | |
| 2. Operational | Administrators and staff routinely collaborate to improve individual and collective performance (e.g., construct knowledge, acquire skills, refine practice, provide feedback). | √ |
| 3. Emerging | Administrators and staff sometimes collaborate to improve individual and collective performance. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Administrators and staff rarely collaborate to improve individual and collective performance. | |

| Professional Learning Standard 3 -Defines expectations for implementing professional learning | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary | Administrators, teacher leaders, or both consistently define expectations for the implementation of professional learning, including details regarding the stages of implementation and how monitoring will occur as implementation progresses. | |
| 2. Operational | Administrators, teacher leaders, or both regularly define expectations for the implementation of professional learning. | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging | Administrators, teacher leaders, or both occasionally define expectations for the implementation of professional learning. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Administrators, teacher leaders, or both rarely, if ever, define expectations for the implementation of professional learning. | |

| Professional Learning Standard 4 -Uses multiple professional learning designs to support the various learn needs of the staff | | |
|---|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary | Staff members actively participate in job-embedded professional learning that engages collaborative teams in a variety of appropriate learning designs (e.g., collaborative lesson study, analysis of student work, problem solving sessions, curriculum development, coursework, action research, classroom observations, online networks). Professional learning includes extensive follow-up with descriptive feedback and coaching. | |
| 2. Operational | Staff members actively participate in professional learning, most of which is job-embedded, which includes multiple designs (e.g., collaborative lesson study, analysis of student work, problem-solving sessions, curriculum development, coursework, action research, classroom observations, online networks) to support their various learning needs. Professional learning includes follow-up with feedback and coaching. | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging | Some staff members are engaged in professional learning that makes use of more than one learning design to address their identified needs. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Staff members receive single, stand-alone professional learning events that are informational and mostly large-group presentation designs. | |

| Professional Learning Standard 5 -Allocates resources and establishes systems to support and sustain effective professional learning | | |
|--|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary | Extensive resources (e.g., substitute teachers, materials, handouts, tools, stipends, facilitators, technology) and systems (e.g., conducive schedules, adequate collaborative time, model classrooms) are allocated to support and sustain effective professional learning. Opportunities to practice skills, receive follow-up, feedback, and coaching are provided to support the effectiveness of professional learning. | |
| 2. Operational | Adequate resources (e.g., substitute teachers, materials, handouts, tools, stipends, facilitators, technology) and systems (e.g., conducive schedules, adequate collaborative time, model classrooms) are in place to support and sustain professional learning. | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging | Some resources and systems are allocated to support and sustain professional learning. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, resources and systems are provided to support and sustain professional learning. | |

| Professional Learning Standard 6 -Monitors and evaluates the impact of professional learning on staff pand student learning | | practices |
|---|--|-----------|
| 1. Exemplary | Monitoring and evaluating the impact of professional learning on staff practices and increases in student learning occurs extensively. | |
| | Evaluation results are used to identify and implement processes to extend student learning. | |
| 2. Operational | Monitoring and evaluating the impact of professional learning on staff practices and student learning occurs routinely. | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging | Monitoring and evaluating the impact of professional learning on staff practices occurs sporadically. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Monitoring and evaluating the impact of professional learning on staff practices occurs rarely, if ever. | |

2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

2.4 Family and Community Engagement

Analyze the LEA's data (including sections 2.6) and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of needs related to family and community engagement. Complete a data-informed self-rating for each Georgia School Performance Standard (GSPS). See the Family and Community Engagement webinar for additional information and guidance. Visit Georgia's Family Connection Partnership's KIDS COUNT for additional data.

| Family and Community Engagement Standard 1 -Creates an environment that welcomes, encourages, and connects family and community members to the school | | |
|---|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary | The school has a well-established, inviting learning environment that welcomes, encourages, and connects family and community members to the school. | ✓ |
| | Numerous opportunities are given to family members to become actively engaged in school-related events and improvement efforts as participants, event managers, and workers. | |
| 2. Operational | The school has created an environment that welcomes, encourages, and connects family and community members to the school. | |
| 3. Emerging | The school has made some progress toward creating an environment that welcomes, encourages, and connects family and community members to the school. | |
| 4. Not Evident | The school has not created an environment that welcomes, encourages, or connects family and community members to the school. | |

| Family and Community Engagement Standard 2 -Establishes structures that promote clear and open communication between the school and stakeholders | | |
|--|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary | Extensive structures that promote clear and open communication between the school and stakeholders have been effectively established and implemented. Structures are continuously monitored for reliable and interactive communication. | |
| 2. Operational | Most structures that promote clear and open communication between the school and stakeholders have been effectively established and implemented. | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging | Some structures that promote clear and open communication between the school and stakeholders exist. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, structures that promote clear and open communication between the school and stakeholders exist. | |

| Family and Community Engagement Standard 3 -Establishes relationships and decision-making processes that build capacity for family and community engagement in the success of students | | |
|--|--|----------|
| 1. Exemplary | A wide variety of relationships and collaborative decision-making processes (e.g., business partnerships, school councils, parent or family organizations, academic and extra-curricular booster clubs, civic organizations, tutoring services, post-secondary partnerships) are pervasive in promoting student success and well being. Expectations for family and community engagement are embedded in the culture and result in stakeholders being actively involved in decision-making. | |
| 2. Operational | Numerous relationships and decision-making processes (e.g., business partnerships, school councils, parent or family organizations, academic and extra-curricular booster clubs, civic organizations, tutoring services) effectively build capacity for family and community engagement in the success of students. | √ |
| 3. Emerging | Limited relationships and decision-making processes have been initiated by the school to build capacity for family and community engagement. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Relationships and decision-making processes for families and the community are non-existent, or those that do exist contribute minimally to student success. | |

| Family and Community Engagement Standard 4 -Communicates academic expectations and current student | | |
|--|--|----------|
| achievement status to families | | |
| 1. Exemplary | The school staff provides families with ongoing, detailed academic expectations and/or graduation status (e.g., four-year graduation plans, syllabi, academic advisement protocols). | |
| | Extensive communication related to the current achievement level of individual students is provided (e.g., progress reports, student-led parent conferences, report cards, reading level reports, state test reports, school-based assessment reports, online reporting system). | |
| 2. Operational | The school staff communicates academic expectations and/or graduation status (e.g., four-year graduation plans, syllabi, academic advisement protocols) throughout the year. | √ |
| | Regular communication related to the current achievement level of individual students is provided (e.g., progress reports, parent conferences, report cards, reading level reports, state test reports, school-based assessment reports, online reporting system). | |
| 3. Emerging | The school staff communicates some academic expectations at the start of the year. | |
| | Some communication related to the current achievement level of individual students is provided. | |
| 4. Not Evident | The school staff does little to inform families of academic expectations. | |
| | Little, if any, communication related to the current achievement level of individual students is provided. | |

| Family and Community Engagement Standard 5 -Develops the capacity of families to use support strategies at home that will enhance academic achievement | | |
|--|--|----------|
| 1. Exemplary | The school continually develops the capacity (e.g., parent training, lunch and learn, make-it and take-it) of families to use support strategies at home that will enhance academic achievement. | |
| 2. Operational | The school frequently develops the capacity (e.g., parent training, lunch and learn, make-it and take-it) of families to use support strategies at home that will enhance academic achievement. | √ |
| 3. Emerging | The school occasionally develops the capacity of families to use support strategies at home that will enhance academic achievement. | |
| 4. Not Evident | The school seldom, if ever, develops the capacity of families to use support strategies at home that will enhance academic achievement. | |

| Family and Community Engagement Standard 6 -Connects families with agencies and resources in the community to meet the needs of students | | |
|--|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary | The school has a systematic process in place to connect families with an array of agencies and resources (e.g., Y-Clubs, after-school programs, health and counseling services, community service agencies, civic organizations, tutoring services) to meet the needs of students. | |
| 2. Operational | The school regularly connects families to agencies and resources in the community (e.g., Y-Clubs, after-school programs, health and counseling services, community service agencies, civic organizations, tutoring services) to meet the needs of students. | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging | The school sometimes connects families to agencies and resources in the community to meet the needs of students. | |
| 4. Not Evident | The school does little to connect families with agencies and resources in the community to meet the needs of students. | |

2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

2.5 Supportive Learning Environment

Analyze the LEA's data (including sections 2.6) and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of needs related to a supportive learning environment. Complete a data-informed self-rating for each Georgia School Performance Standard (GSPS). Student subgroups with a count of less than 15 are denoted by "TFS" (too few students). See the Supportive Learning Environment webinar for additional information and guidance.

| Instruction Standard 1 | -Provides a supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning | |
|------------------------|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary | A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident throughout the school. | |
| | Students consistently stay on-task and take responsibility for their own actions. | |
| 2. Operational | A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in most classrooms. | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging | A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in some classrooms. | |
| 4. Not Evident | A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in few, if any, classrooms. | |

| Instruction Standard 2 | -Creates an academically challenging learning environment | |
|------------------------|--|----------|
| 1. Exemplary | Nearly all teachers create an academically challenging, learning environment (e.g., higher-order thinking skills and processes, active student engagement, relevance, collaboration). Students consistently work independently and in teams to solve real-world problems that require advanced effort, decision-making, and critical and creative thinking. | |
| 2. Operational | Most teachers create an academically challenging, learning environment (e.g., higher-order thinking skills and processes, active student engagement, relevance, collaboration). | √ |
| 3. Emerging | Some teachers create an academically challenging learning environment. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, teachers create an academically challenging learning environment. | |

| Instruction Standard 8 -Establishes a learning environment that empowers students to actively monitor their own progress | | | | |
|--|---|----------|--|--|
| 1. Exemplary | Nearly all students use tools (e.g., rubrics, checklists, exemplars) to actively monitor their own progress. Nearly all students develop a sense of personal responsibility and accountability by engaging in record keeping, self-monitoring, sharing, exhibiting, and self-reflection. | | | |
| 2. Operational | Most students use tools (e.g., rubrics, checklists, exemplars) to actively monitor their own progress. | √ | | |
| 3. Emerging | Some students use tools to actively monitor their own progress. | | | |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, students use tools to actively monitor their own progress. | | | |

| School Culture Standard 1 -Develops, communicates, and implements rules, practices, and procedures to maintain a safe, orderly learning environment | | | |
|---|---|---|--|
| 1. Exemplary | Rules, practices, and procedures that maintain a safe, orderly learning environment are proactively developed, communicated, and consistently implemented across the school. These rules, practices, and procedures are continually monitored and revised as needed. | ✓ | |
| 2. Operational | Rules, practices, and procedures that maintain a safe, orderly learning environment are developed, communicated, and implemented. | | |
| 3. Emerging | Rules, practices, and procedures are developed and communicated but are ineffective or inconsistently implemented across the school. | | |
| 4. Not Evident | Rules, practices, and procedures that maintain a safe, orderly, learning environment are not developed nor updated or are poorly communicated. | | |

| School Culture Standard 2 -Establishes a culture of trust and respect that promotes positive interactions sense of community | | | | |
|--|---|----------|--|--|
| 1. Exemplary | Extensive evidence (e.g., positive and respectful interactions, appreciation of diversity, tolerance, understanding) exists that a culture of trust and respect has been established. A pervasive commitment to promoting positive interactions and a sense of community is evident. | | | |
| 2. Operational | Evidence (e.g., positive and respectful interactions, appreciation of diversity, tolerance, understanding) exists that a culture of trust and respect has been established. A sustained commitment to promoting positive interactions and a sense of community is evident. | √ | | |
| 3. Emerging | Some evidence exists that a culture of trust and respect has been established. A limited commitment to promoting positive interactions and a sense of community is evident. | | | |
| 4. Not Evident | Little or no evidence exists that a culture of trust and respect has been established. Unresolved conflicts interfere with a sense of community. | | | |

| School Culture Standard 3 -Establishes a culture that supports the college and career readiness of students | | | | | | | |
|---|---|----------|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1. Exemplary | Extensive evidence (e.g., advisement, career counseling, transition coaching, high expectations) exists that the beliefs and practices of the school support the college and career readiness of students. The school culture supports addressing individual achievement needs and | | | | | | |
| | strengths to prepare students for success. | | | | | | |
| 2. Operational | Evidence (e.g., advisement, career counseling, transition coaching, high expectations) exists that the beliefs and practices of the school support the college and career readiness of students. | √ | | | | | |
| 3. Emerging | Some evidence exists that the school supports the college and career readiness of students. | | | | | | |
| 4. Not Evident | Little or no evidence exists that the school supports the college and career readiness of students. | | | | | | |

| School Culture Standard 4 -Supports the personal growth and development of students | | | |
|---|--|---|--|
| 1. Exemplary | The school staff consistently provides a comprehensive system of support (e.g., counseling, mentoring, advisement, coaching, goal setting, time management, problem solving) to maximize the personal growth and development of nearly all students. | | |
| 2. Operational | The school staff regularly provides support (e.g., counseling, mentoring, advisement, coaching, goal setting, time management, problem solving) to enhance the personal growth and development of students. | ✓ | |
| 3. Emerging | The school staff sporadically supports the personal growth and development of students. | | |
| 4. Not Evident | The school staff does little to support the personal growth and development of students. | | |

| School Culture Standard 5 -Recognizes and celebrates achievements and accomplishments of students and staff | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1. Exemplary | The school community consistently recognizes and celebrates the achievements and accomplishments of students and staff. | | | | | | |
| | The celebrations are publicized within the school and to the community and support the culture of the school. | | | | | | |
| 2. Operational | The school community regularly recognizes and celebrates the achievements and accomplishments of students and staff. | | | | | | |
| 3. Emerging | The school community periodically recognizes or celebrates the achievements or accomplishments of students and/or staff. | | | | | | |
| 4. Not Evident | The school community rarely, if ever, recognizes or celebrates the achievements or accomplishments of students or staff. | | | | | | |

| Planning and Organization Standard 1 -Shares a common vision and mission that define the school culture and guide the continuous improvement process | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|----------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1. Exemplary | A common vision and mission have been collaboratively developed and communicated to nearly all stakeholders. The culture of the school has been deeply defined over time by the vision and mission, which are updated as needed. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | The daily work and practices of staff consistently demonstrate a sustained commitment to continuous improvement. | | | | | | | |
| 2. Operational | A common vision and mission have been developed through a collaborative process and communicated to most stakeholders. | √ | | | | | | |
| | The vision and mission define the culture of the school and guide the continuous improvement process. | | | | | | | |
| 3. Emerging | A common vision and mission have been developed by some staff members but have not been effectively communicated so that they guide the continuous improvement process. | _ | | | | | | |
| 4. Not Evident | A common vision and mission have not been developed or updated or have been developed by a few staff members. | | | | | | | |

2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

2.6 Data Analysis Questions

Analyze the LEA's data and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of demographic and financial needs. Student subgroups with a count of less than 15 are denoted by "TFS" (too few students).

What perception data did you use? [examples: student perceptions about school climate issues (health survey, violence, prejudice, bullying, etc.); student/parent perceptions about the effectiveness of programs or interventions; student understanding of relationship of school to career or has an academic plan]

Parent Surveys
Student Surveys
Teacher Surveys
Social Media
Parent Input Form (on school website)
Suggestion box (in the front area of the school)
GA Student Health Surveys - pilot survey participation

What does the perception data tell you? (perception data can describe people's knowledge, attitudes, beliefs, perceptions, competencies; perception data can also answer the question "What do people think they know, believe, or can do?")

Our school has a positive climate in which our students feel safe and parents are welcome. We have a drug and weapon free campus. The school needs to continue improve communication with parents in their primary language and continue to enhance safety protocols. Students did express a concern with issues of bullying especially cyberbullying which leads to issues in school.

What process data did you use? (examples: student participation in school activities, sports, clubs, arts; student participation in special programs such as peer mediation, counseling, skills conferences; parent/student participation in events such as college information meetings and parent workshops)

Surveys, student grades, student attendance, Universal Screener-(Beacon), STAR Reading, STAR Math, Benchmark Data, previous GMAS Data and data components from SLDS, counseling and social work data, faculty/staff and student attendance data, ticket sales at extracurricular events and attendance at parent meetings scheduled throughout the year.

What does the process data tell you? (process data describes the way programs are conducted; provides evidence of participant involvement in programs; answers the question "What did you do for whom?")

Beacon Screener shows student performance at all levels from Beginning through Distinguished. The STAR Reading and Math shows more information about student performance in these subject areas based on grade equivalent, scaled score and percentile ranking thus lending itself to alignment with the GMAS performance components. I-Ready shows weaknesses specifically to content domains in the areas of Reading and Math for our MTSS and SPED students. Overall, writing is a weakness in the area of extended response, but scores did improve. Lexile levels are improving across grade levels. Benchmark data guides teachers in reteaching, monitoring and accelerating students. This data also is used as an indicator for performance on GMAS.

| What achievement data did you use? | GMAS, Report Cards, Content Specific Benchmarks, Universal Screeners, STAR Reading, STAR Math, Beacon, I-Ready Data and SWAG writing performance data. |
|---|--|
| What does your achievement data tell you? | Student achievement growth is needed in ELA, mathematics, Social Studies and Science. Data shows that Lexile Scores were on an upward trend, however we now see that there is also an increase in academic achievement as based on the information from STAR Reading and Beacon. Writing scores have improved, but continuous efforts in area of writing are needed to successfully move students forward. |
| | |
| What demographic data did you use? | Student information through infinite campus, SLDS, primary language surveys, migrant surveys, Georgia School Nutrition Free\Reduced Lunch. |
| | |
| What does the demographic data tell you? | Low Socioeconomic Single Parent Families; many students being raised by grandparents; High Hispanic population; African American students are the minority. |

3. NEEDS IDENTIFICATION AND ROOT CAUSE ANALYSIS

3.1 Strengths and Challenges Based on Trends and Patterns

Read the trends and patterns summaries from each section of the data analysis process. Use the information in these summaries to complete 3.2 and 3.3. Using the summaries in 3.1 and other local data, describe the strengths and challenges or answer the guiding questions for each program. Include strengths and challenges related to: a) general program implementation, and b) students and adults involved in or affected by the program. Focus on strengths and challenges that will assist in the identification of needs during 3.2. Watch the Identifying Needwebinar for additional information and guidance.

Strengths and Challenges Based on Trends and Patterns

Coherent Instructional:Summarize the coherent instructional system trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs?

The trend in the Coherent Instructional data shows our school is functioning at the operational level.

Strengths - Nearly all teachers within the school have common expectations for standards, curriculum, assessment, and instruction and teachers are implementing research based instructional strategies and the gradual release of responsibility instructional map. Differentiation of instruction to meet the specific learning needs of students is presently operational; most teachers have a clearer understanding of differentiation of instruction and is able to effective demonstrate in instructional practices.

Effective Leadership:Summarize the effective leadership trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs?

The trend in the Effective Leadershipdata shows our school is consistently functioning at the operational and exemplary levels.

Professional Capacity:Summarize the professional capacity trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs?

The trend in the Professional Capacity shows that our school is consistently functioning at the operational levels.

Strengths - Professional learning needs are identified by analyzing

data and a collaborative environment is sustained between administration and faculty and staff to improve teaching and learning performances.

Strengths and Challenges Based on Trends and Patterns

Family and Community

Engagement:Summarize the family and community engagement trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs?

The trend in Family and Community Engagementshows that our school is operational with several strengths.

Strengths - We have an inviting learning environment with an open door policy for family and community members. Our staff consistently provides families with detailed academic expectations.

We strive to connect families with community agencies to assist them in meeting the needs of their student(s) with the assistance of our Social Worker, DFACS and Family Connections.

Supportive Learning

Environment:Summarize the supportive learning environment trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs?

The trend in Supportive Learning Environmentshows that our school is operational with several strengths and one weakness.

Strengths - Our school culture - Rules, procedures, and practices are consistently implemented and monitored. Our school also recognizes achievements of students and staff with academic recognition programs, sports banquets, academic nights and arts nights and, FCA & FFA activities.

Weakness - Our school needs more mental health personnel to assist with social-emotional needs prior to focusing on academics. Our school needs to work towards fostering a culture and climate where students continuously monitor their own progress.

Demographic and Financial:Summarize the demographic and financial trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs?

The trend inDemographic and Financialshows that our school is a low socioeconomic school with a high percentage of single parent households and a high Hispanic population.

Student

Achievement:Summarize the student achievement trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs?

Data shows the following: See data chart below

6th grade-8th Grades

|ELA:

In analyzing data, the teachers have found that we need to improve our instructional practices in the following areas:

Reading Comprehension

Vocabulary Development (in all content areas)

Argumentative Writing

Grammar and Mechanics

Math:

In analyzing the data, the teachers have found that we need to focus our instructional practices and strategies in the following area/domain:

Patterning and Reasoning (6th Grade)

Strengths and Challenges Based on Trends and Patterns

Geometry (7th Grade)

Functional and Graphical Reasoning (8th Grade)

Science and Social Studies (8th grade only):

Our data shows that improved instructional strategies are needed in the area of vocabulary development in these content areas.

ATKINSON COUNTY MIDDLE SCHOOL

| ELA | 2017-20 | 2018-20 | 2020-20 | 2021-20 | 2022-20 | | 2024-2 |
|---------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-----|--------|
| | 18 | 19 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 024 | 025 |
| 6th Grade Beginning Learner | 29% | 25% | 23% | 25% | 31% | 21% | 25% |
| 6th Grade Developing Learner | 33% | 26% | 37% | 29% | 29% | 31% | 27% |
| 6th Grade Proficient Learner | 35% | 37% | 34% | 40% | 30% | 34% | 35% |
| 6th Grade Distinguished Learner | 4% | 12% | 6% | 6% | 11% | 14% | 13% |
| 6th Grade Prof. Learner & Above | 39% | 49% | 40% | 46% | 41% | 48% | 48% |
| 7th Grade Beginning Learner | 23% | 27% | 33% | 25% | 26% | 31% | 19% |
| 7th Grade Developing Learner | 39% | 39% | 39% | 43% | 33% | 23% | 33% |
| 7th Grade Proficient Learner | 34% | 31% | 24% | 26% | 32% | 32% | 40% |
| 7th Grade Distinguished Learner | 3% | 3% | 3% | 6% | 9% | 13% | 8% |
| 7th Grade Prof. Learner & Above | 37% | 34% | 27% | 32% | 41% | 45% | 48% |
| | | | 22% | | | | |
| 8th Grade Beginning Learner | 16% | 17% | 22% | 15% | 19% | 25% | 25% |
| 8th Grade | 40% | 32% | 29% | 47% | 38% | 29% | 32% |

Strengths and Challenges Based on Trends and Patterns

| | eveloping | | | | | | | |
|----------|------------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|----------|----------------|---------------|
| <u> </u> | earner | 050/ | 000/ | 400/ | 000/ | 000/ | 050/ | 000/ |
| Pr | th Grade roficient earner | 35% | 38% | 42% | 33% | 32% | 35% | 32% |
| Di | th Grade istinguished earner | 8% | 13% | 7% | 5% | 12% | 11% | 11% |
| Le | th Grade Prof. earner & bove | 43% | 51% | 49% | 38% | 44% | 46% | 43% |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | TKINSON COL | | | | | 10000 00 | 10000 0 | 2224.2 |
| M | IATH | 2017-20 18 | 2018-20 19 | 2020-20 21 | 2021-20 22 | 2022-20 | 2023-2 024 | 2024-2 025 |
| Be | th Grade eginning earner | 30% | 33% | 30% | 29% | 26% | 14% | 22% |
| De | th Grade eveloping earner | 55% | 49% | 42% | 48% | 54% | 54% | 39% |
| Pr | th Grade roficient earner | 14% | 17% | 16% | 21% | 18% | 26% | 25% |
| Di | th Grade istinguished earner | 1% | 2% | 3% | 2% | 3% | 7% | 14% |
| Le | th Grade Prof. earner & bove | 15% | 19% | 19% | 23% | 21% | 33% | 39% |
| Be | th Grade eginning earner | 27% | 21% | 32% | 23% | 23% | 27% | 14% |
| De | th Grade eveloping earner | 40% | 45% | 47% | 50% | 52% | 38% | 38% |
| 7t Pt | th Grade roficient earner | 31% | 29% | 17% | 22% | 22% | 29% | 39% |
| 7t Di | th Grade istinguished earner | 2% | 5% | 4% | 5% | 3% | 6% | 9% |
| Le | th Grade Prof. earner & bove | 35% | 34% | 21% | 27% | 25% | 35% | 48% |

Strengths and Challenges Based on Trends and Patterns

| | I | Γ | 1 | Г | I | | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------|---------------|---------|---------------|----------------|
| 8th Grade Beginning Learner | 18% | 16% | 32% | 16% | 21% | 14% | 12% |
| 8th Grade Developing Learner | 44% | 42% | 48% | 45% | 41% | 29% | 29% |
| 8th Grade Proficient Learner | 35% | 35% | 18% | 34% | 24% | 36% | 41% |
| 8th Grade Distinguished Learner | 4% | 7% | 3% | 1% | 13% | 21% | 18% |
| 8th Grade Prof. Learner & | 39% | 42% | 21% | 35% | 37% | 57% | 59% |
| SCIENCE | 2017 20 | 2018-20 | 2020-20 | 2021-20 | 2022-20 | 2022.2 | 2024-2 |
| SCIENCE | 2017-20 18 | 19 | 2020-20 | 2021-20 | 2022-20 | 024 | 2024-2 025 |
| 8th Grade Beginning Learner | 42% | 32% | 40% | 35% | 38% | 35% | 52% |
| 8th Grade Developing Learner | 26% | 28% | 25% | 30% | 29% | 26% | 31% |
| 8th Grade Proficient Learner | 25% | 30% | 24% | 27% | 15% | 33% | 15% |
| 8th Grade Distinguished Learner | 7% | 10% | 10% | 8% | 18% | 6% | 2% |
| 8th Grade Prof. Learner & Above | 32% | 40% | 34% | 35% | 33% | 39% | 17% |
| | | | | | | | - |
| SOCIAL STUDIES | 2017-20 18 | 2018-20 19 | 2020-20 | 2021-20 22 | 2022-20 | 2023-2 024 | 2024-2 025 |
| 8th Grade Beginning Learner | 22% | 22% | 24% | 19% | 23% | 26% | 24% |
| 8th Grade Developing Learner | 41% | 45% | 47% | 33% | 39% | 37% | 40% |
| 8th Grade Proficient Learner | 29% | 27% | 22% | 37% | 29% | 27% | 29% |

Strengths and Challenges Based on Trends and Patterns

| 8th Grade Distinguished | 8% | 6% | 7% | 12% | 9% | 10% | 7% |
|---|------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Learner | | | | | | | |
| 8th Grade Prof. | 37% | 33% | 29% | 49% | 38% | 37% | 36% |
| Learner & | | | | | | | |
| Above | | | | | | | |
| The trend inStud been made; stud Science with all | dent growt | | | | | | |

IDEA – Special Education, Economically Disadvantaged Children, and English Learners.

Using the summaries in 3.1 and other local data, describe the strengths and challenges or answer the guiding questions for each program. Include strengths and challenges related to: a) general program implementation, and b) students and adults involved in or affected by the program. Focus on strengths and challenges that will assist in the identification of needs during 3.3. Watch the Identifying Need webinar for additional information and guidance.

| Strengths | SPED co-teaching classroom small class size achievement gap (closing) After School Program Summer School Check In/Check Out with counselor 30 minute extended learning segment each day with SPED teacher Economically Disadvantaged Children After School Program Summer School Program small class size free breakfast and lunch |
|-----------|--|
| | English Learners curriculum resources After School Program co-teaching/intervention groups small class size Migrant and ESL program Migrant and ESL summer school |

IDEA – Special Education, Economically Disadvantaged Children, and English Learners.

Using the summaries in 3.1 and other local data, describe the strengths and challenges or answer the guiding questions for each program. Include strengths and challenges related to: a) general program implementation, and b) students and adults involved in or affected by the program. Focus on strengths and challenges that will assist in the identification of needs during 3.3. Watch the <u>Identifying Need webinar</u> for additional information and guidance.

| Challenges | SPED Iow participation in parent meetings after school hours closing the achievement gap for SPED students and ESL students Economically Disadvantaged Children single parent homes Students being raised by grandparents low parent participation at meetings and with Parent Portal migrant student enrollment not enough mental health services within the school English Learners teacher/student language barriers migrant student enrollment low parent participation |
|------------|--|
| | Attendance, Transportation and social-emotional well being is a challenge for all three categories. |

3. NEEDS IDENTIFICATION AND ROOT CAUSE ANALYSIS

3.2 Identification and Prioritization of Overarching Needs

Use the results of 3.1 to identify the overarching needs of the LEA. Determine the priority order of the identified needs based on data, team member and stakeholder knowledge, and answers to questions in the table below. Be sure to address the major program challenges identified in 3.1. Watch the <u>Identifying Need webinar</u> for additional information and guidance.

Overarching Need # 1

| Overarching Need | Improve student achievement in all content areas for all students by 2%. |
|--------------------------------|--|
| How severe is the need? | High |
| Is the need trending better or | Better |
| worse over time? | |
| Can Root Causes be | Yes |
| Identified? | |
| Priority Order | 3 |

| Additional Considerations |
|---------------------------|
|---------------------------|

Overarching Need # 2

| Overarching Need | Improve student understanding of effective writing skills. |
|--------------------------------|--|
| How severe is the need? | High |
| Is the need trending better or | Better |
| worse over time? | |
| Can Root Causes be | Yes |
| Identified? | |
| Priority Order | 4 |

| Additional Considerations |
|---------------------------|
|---------------------------|

Overarching Need #3

Overarching Need #3

| Overarching Need | Increase number of students who will attend school at a minimum of 80%. |
|--------------------------------|---|
| How severe is the need? | High |
| Is the need trending better or | No Change |
| worse over time? | |
| Can Root Causes be | Yes |
| Identified? | |
| Priority Order | 2 |

| Additional Considerations | |
|---------------------------|--|
| | |
| | |

Overarching Need # 4

| Overarching Need | Provide mental health services to improve students social-emotional well being. |
|--------------------------------|---|
| How severe is the need? | High |
| Is the need trending better or | Worse |
| worse over time? | |
| Can Root Causes be | Yes |
| Identified? | |
| Priority Order | 1 |

| Additional Considerations | Additional mental health personnel to serve students in school |
|---------------------------|--|
|---------------------------|--|

3. NEEDS IDENTIFICATION AND ROOT CAUSE ANALYSIS

3.3 Root Cause Analysis

Select the top 2-4 overarching needs from 3.2. Conduct a separate root cause analysis (RCA) for each need. Any RCA tools and resources can be used, but suggestions are available as part of the <u>Identifying Need webinar</u>. After describing the RCA process, complete a table for each selected overarching need.

Overarching Need - Improve student achievement in all content areas for all students by 2%.

Root Cause # 1

| Root Causes to be Addressed | Development of less rigorous instructional practices (DOK levels, HOTS, vocabulary development). | |
|--------------------------------|--|--|
| This is a root cause and not a | Yes | |
| contributing cause or symptom | | |
| This is something we can | Yes | |
| affect | | |
| Impacted Programs | IDEA - Special Education | |
| | School and District Effectiveness | |
| | Title I - Part A - Improving Academic Achievement of Disadvantaged | |
| | Title I, Part A - Foster Care Program | |
| | Title I, Part A - Parent and Family Engagement Program | |
| | Title I, Part C - Education of Migratory Children | |
| | Title I, Part D - Programs for Neglected or Delinquent Children | |
| | Title III - Language Instruction for English Learners and Immigrant Students | |

|--|

| Root Causes to be Addressed | Consistent implementation of differentiation of instruction for all teachers. |
|--------------------------------|---|
| This is a root cause and not a | Yes |
| contributing cause or symptom | |
| This is something we can | Yes |
| affect | |
| Impacted Programs | IDEA - Special Education |
| | School and District Effectiveness |
| | Title I - Part A - Improving Academic Achievement of Disadvantaged |
| | Title I, Part A - Foster Care Program |
| | Title I, Part A - Parent and Family Engagement Program |
| | Title I, Part C - Education of Migratory Children |
| | Title I, Part D - Programs for Neglected or Delinquent Children |

Root Cause # 2

| Impacted Programs | Title III - Language Instruction for English Learners and Immigrant Students |
|----------------------|--|
| | |
| | |
| Additional Responses | |

Root Cause #3

| Root Causes to be Addressed | Improving instruction at Tier I and Tier II. |
|--------------------------------|--|
| This is a root cause and not a | Yes |
| contributing cause or symptom | |
| This is something we can | Yes |
| affect | |
| Impacted Programs | IDEA - Special Education |
| | School and District Effectiveness |
| | Title I - Part A - Improving Academic Achievement of Disadvantaged |
| | Title I, Part A - Foster Care Program |
| | Title I, Part A - Parent and Family Engagement Program |
| | Title I, Part C - Education of Migratory Children |
| | Title I, Part D - Programs for Neglected or Delinquent Children |
| | Title III - Language Instruction for English Learners and Immigrant Students |

| Additional Responses | |
|----------------------|--|
|----------------------|--|

Overarching Need - Improve student understanding of effective writing skills.

| Root Causes to be Addressed | Higher focus on conventions and mechanics, idea development, organization, and coherence. |
|--|--|
| This is a root cause and not a contributing cause or symptom | Yes |
| This is something we can affect | Yes |
| Impacted Programs | IDEA - Special Education School and District Effectiveness Title I - Part A - Improving Academic Achievement of Disadvantaged Title I, Part A - Foster Care Program Title I, Part A - Parent and Family Engagement Program Title I, Part C - Education of Migratory Children |

Root Cause # 1

| Impacted Programs | Title I, Part D - Programs for Neglected or Delinquent Children |
|-------------------|--|
| | Title III - Language Instruction for English Learners and Immigrant Students |

| A 1 1101 | | |
|-------------------|---------|--|
| Additional Resp | nonses | |
| / taaitional reco | 3011000 | |

Root Cause # 2

| Root Causes to be Addressed | Decrease in teacher modeling and conferencing of writing lessons and unpacking writing prompts. |
|--|---|
| This is a root cause and not a contributing cause or symptom | Yes |
| This is something we can affect | Yes |
| Impacted Programs | IDEA - Special Education School and District Effectiveness Title I - Part A - Improving Academic Achievement of Disadvantaged Title I, Part A - Foster Care Program Title I, Part A - Parent and Family Engagement Program Title I, Part C - Education of Migratory Children Title I, Part D - Programs for Neglected or Delinquent Children Title III - Language Instruction for English Learners and Immigrant Students |

| Additional Responses | |
|----------------------|--|
|----------------------|--|

Overarching Need - Increase number of students who will attend school at a minimum of 80%.

| Root Causes to be Addressed | Implementation and follow through of a consistent attendance policy |
|--------------------------------|---|
| This is a root cause and not a | Yes |
| contributing cause or symptom | |
| This is something we can | Yes |
| affect | |
| Impacted Programs | School and District Effectiveness |
| - | Title I - Part A - Improving Academic Achievement of Disadvantaged |

NEEDS IDENTIFICATION AND ROOT CAUSE ANALYSIS

Root Cause # 1

| Additional Responses |
|----------------------|
|----------------------|

Overarching Need - Provide mental health services to improve students social-emotional well being.

| Root Causes to be Addressed | Lack of mental health services in school for students to focus on the whole child. |
|--------------------------------|--|
| This is a root cause and not a | Yes |
| contributing cause or symptom | |
| This is something we can | Yes |
| affect | |
| Impacted Programs | Title I - Part A - Improving Academic Achievement of Disadvantaged |
| | Title I, Part D - Programs for Neglected or Delinquent Children |
| | Title II, Part A, Preparing, Training and Recruiting High-Quality Teachers, |
| | Principals and other School Leaders |
| | Title IV, Part A - Student Support and Academic Enrichment |

| Additional Responses | |
|----------------------|--|



School Improvement Plan 2025 - 2026



Atkinson County
Atkinson County Middle School

SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

1 General Improvement Plan Information

General Improvement Plan Information

| District | Atkinson County |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| School Name | Atkinson County Middle School |
| Team Lead | Calandra Holmes |
| Federal Funding Options to Be | Consolidation of Funds – Fund 150: Consolidation of State/Local and Federal |
| Employed (SWP Schools) in | Funds |
| this Plan (Select all that apply) | |
| Select the Funds that the LEA | Title I, Part A |
| anticipates will be consolidated | Title I, Part A Parent and Family Engagement set-aside |
| | Title I, Part C |
| | Title II, Part A |
| | Title III, Part A, EL |
| | Title IV, Part A |
| | Title V, Part B |

| Fac | Factors(s) Used by District to Identify Students in Poverty (Select all that apply) | |
|----------|---|--|
| | Free/Reduced meal application | |
| √ | ✓ Community Eligibility Program (CEP) - Direct Certification ONLY | |
| | Other (if selected, please describe below) | |

2. SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT GOALS

2.1 Overarching Need # 1

Overarching Need

| Overarching Need as identified in CNA Section 3.2 | Improve student achievement in all content areas for all students by 2%. |
|---|--|
| Root Cause # 1 | Consistent implementation of differentiation of instruction for all teachers. |
| Root Cause # 2 | Development of less rigorous instructional practices (DOK levels, HOTS, vocabulary development). |
| Root Cause # 3 | Improving instruction at Tier I and Tier II. |
| Goal | To improve instructional practices and student support to meet state performance targets for all student subgroups in ELA, mathematics, science, and social studies, while increasing the percentage of students that meet developing level or higher by 2% by the end of the 2025-2026 school year. |

| Action Step | Implement collaborative planning that uses structured protocols and produces: Research based strategies, effective lesson plans, benchmark assessments, HOTS, rubrics, graphic organizers, differentiation of instruction, new math instructional standards, new ELA standards, more rigorous instruction and assessments. |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| Funding Sources | Consolidated Funding |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged Foster Homeless English Learners Migrant Race / Ethnicity / Minority Student with Disabilities |
| Systems | Coherent Instruction |
| Method for Monitoring Implementation | Lesson plans, benchmark assessments, pacing guides, unit development. |
| Method for Monitoring Effectiveness | Lesson plans will be an instructional framework which will include the learning target/essential question and the map for instructing the students effectively. |
| Position/Role Responsible | Principal, AP, Teachers, LT Team |
| Timeline for Implementation | Monthly |

| What partnerships, if any, with | OK RESA and Coastal Plains RESA |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, | |
| Community based | |
| organizations, or any private | |
| entity with a demonstrated | |
| record of success is the LEA | |
| implementing in carrying out | |
| this action step(s)? | |

| Action Step | Use universal screener data, formative assessments and tiered intervention structure along with an intervention period that provides differentiated instruction for all students to identify comprehension deficits to guide monthly PLCs. |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| Funding Sources | Consolidated Funding |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged Foster Homeless English Learners Migrant Race / Ethnicity / Minority Student with Disabilities |
| Systems | Coherent Instruction Effective Leadership Supportive Learning Environment |
| Method for Monitoring Implementation | Admin., leadership team, department leaders; Universal screener data, progress monitoring |
| Method for Monitoring Effectiveness | Improve test scores by 2% |
| Position/Role Responsible | Admin. Team, Teachers, Curriculum Director |
| Timeline for Implementation | Yearly |

| What partnerships, if any, with |
|---------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, |
| Community based |
| organizations, or any private |
| entity with a demonstrated |
| record of success is the LEA |
| implementing in carrying out |
| this action step(s)? |

| Action Step | Teachers will engage in professional learning which will focus on the implementation of innovative teaching strategies which will support more rigorous Tier 1 and Tier 2 instrucion that emphasize relevant educational experiences, and educate students in a stimulating and engaging environment. |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| Funding Sources | Consolidated Funding |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged Foster Homeless English Learners Migrant Race / Ethnicity / Minority Student with Disabilities |
| Systems | Coherent Instruction Professional Capacity Supportive Learning Environment |
| Method for Monitoring Implementation | Observations, lesson plans, reviews of TKES walk-throughs |
| Method for Monitoring Effectiveness | Improvement in instructional strategies improves student achievement. |
| Position/Role Responsible | Admin. Team, Leadership Team, Teachers |
| Timeline for Implementation | Monthly |

| What partnerships, if any, with | |
|---------------------------------|--|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, | |
| Community based | |
| organizations, or any private | |
| entity with a demonstrated | |
| record of success is the LEA | |
| implementing in carrying out | |
| this action step(s)? | |

| Action Step | Implement the 3-part lesson instructional framework (Opening, Work, and Closing) and the gradual release of responsibility instructional framework in every classroom. |
|-----------------|--|
| Funding Sources | Consolidated Funding |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |

| Subgroups | Migrant |
|-----------------------------|---|
| | Race / Ethnicity / Minority |
| | Student with Disabilities |
| Systems | Coherent Instruction |
| Method for Monitoring | Lesson plans; Walkthroughs |
| Implementation | |
| Method for Monitoring | Increased student performance and more consistent differentiation of instruction; |
| Effectiveness | Walkthroughs |
| Position/Role Responsible | Admin. Team, Teachers |
| Timeline for Implementation | Weekly |

| What partnerships, if any, with |
|---------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, |
| Community based |
| organizations, or any private |
| entity with a demonstrated |
| record of success is the LEA |
| implementing in carrying out |
| this action step(s)? |

| A - 4: Ot | Establish and communicate along beauting towards |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Action Step | Establish and communicate clear learning targets |
| Funding Sources | Consolidated Funding |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |
| | Migrant |
| | Race / Ethnicity / Minority |
| | Student with Disabilities |
| Systems | Coherent Instruction |
| Method for Monitoring | Lesson plans, observations |
| Implementation | |
| Method for Monitoring | Increase in student performance and understanding from formative assessments. |
| Effectiveness | |
| Position/Role Responsible | Admin. Team, Teachers |
| Timeline for Implementation | Weekly |

| What partnerships, if any, with |
|---------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, |
| Community based |
| organizations, or any private |
| entity with a demonstrated |
| record of success is the LEA |
| implementing in carrying out |
| this action step(s)? |

| Action Step | Monitor for effective instructional practices. |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Funding Sources | Consolidated Funding |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |
| | Migrant |
| | Race / Ethnicity / Minority |
| | Student with Disabilities |
| Systems | Effective Leadership |
| Method for Monitoring | Lesson plans; Walkthroughs |
| Implementation | |
| Method for Monitoring | Increase in student performance and understanding from formative assessments. |
| Effectiveness | |
| Position/Role Responsible | Administration Team, mentor teachers (content) |
| Timeline for Implementation | Weekly |

| What partnerships, if any, with |
|---------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, |
| Community based |
| organizations, or any private |
| entity with a demonstrated |
| record of success is the LEA |
| implementing in carrying out |
| this action step(s)? |

| Action Step | Maintain and ensure a positive school climate conducive to learning. |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Funding Sources | Consolidated Funding |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |
| | Migrant |
| | Race / Ethnicity / Minority |
| | Student with Disabilities |
| Systems | Effective Leadership |
| Method for Monitoring | Parental involvement, parent, teacher, student surveys |
| Implementation | |
| Method for Monitoring | TKES Standard 7 - positive learning environment rating |
| Effectiveness | · |
| Position/Role Responsible | Administration Team , LT Team, Teachers |
| Timeline for Implementation | Monthly |

| What partnerships, if any, with |
|---------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, |
| Community based |
| organizations, or any private |
| entity with a demonstrated |
| record of success is the LEA |
| implementing in carrying out |
| this action step(s)? |

| Action Step | Schedule time for faculty and staff collaboration. |
|-----------------------|--|
| Funding Sources | Consolidated Funding |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |
| | Migrant |
| | Race / Ethnicity / Minority |
| | Student with Disabilities |
| Systems | Professional Capacity |
| Method for Monitoring | LEA, Admin. Team |
| Implementation | |

| Method for Monitoring | Teachers will be able to discuss and collaborate on student performance and |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Effectiveness | develop strategies to enhance learning. |
| Position/Role Responsible | LEA, Admin. Team |
| Timeline for Implementation | Monthly |

| What partnerships, if any, with |
|---------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, |
| Community based |
| organizations, or any private |
| entity with a demonstrated |
| record of success is the LEA |
| implementing in carrying out |
| this action step(s)? |

| Action Step | Communicate through various methods with families and community by providing information about parent meetings and events. |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Funding Sources | Consolidated Funding |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |
| | Migrant |
| | Race / Ethnicity / Minority |
| | Student with Disabilities |
| Systems | Family and Community Engagement |
| Method for Monitoring | Copies of all methods of announcements of parent meetings |
| Implementation | |
| Method for Monitoring | Parent Survey |
| Effectiveness | |
| Position/Role Responsible | Administration Team |
| Timeline for Implementation | Monthly |

| What partnerships, if any, with |
|---------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, |
| Community based |
| organizations, or any private |
| entity with a demonstrated |
| record of success is the LEA |
| implementing in carrying out |
| this action step(s)? |

| Action Step | Continuation of parent resource area to assist with helping students achieve (parent portal). |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Funding Sources | Consolidated Funding |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |
| | Migrant |
| | Race / Ethnicity / Minority |
| | Student with Disabilities |
| Systems | Family and Community Engagement |
| Method for Monitoring | Parent Resource Area |
| Implementation | |
| Method for Monitoring | Parent involvement improves student achievement; we will provide resources that |
| Effectiveness | parents can use to assist them with their child's biopsychosocial- academia. |
| Position/Role Responsible | Admin. Team, Teachers |
| Timeline for Implementation | Yearly |

| What partnerships, if any, with |
|---------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, |
| Community based |
| organizations, or any private |
| entity with a demonstrated |
| record of success is the LEA |
| implementing in carrying out |
| this action step(s)? |

| Action Step | Conduct visibility walkthroughs for daily teacher support. |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Funding Sources | Consolidated Funding |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |
| | Migrant |
| | Race / Ethnicity / Minority |
| | Student with Disabilities |
| Systems | Supportive Learning Environment |
| Method for Monitoring | School personnel survey, Office referrals |
| Implementation | |
| Method for Monitoring | We will provide effective feedback in a timely manner to assist teachers in |
| Effectiveness | providing more effective teaching |
| Position/Role Responsible | Admin. Team |
| Timeline for Implementation | Weekly |

| What partnerships, if any, with |
|---------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, |
| Community based |
| organizations, or any private |
| entity with a demonstrated |
| record of success is the LEA |
| implementing in carrying out |
| this action step(s)? |

| Action Step | Provide resources and materials to include software necessary for effective instructional practices. |
|-----------------|--|
| Funding Sources | Consolidated Funding |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |
| | Migrant |
| | Race / Ethnicity / Minority |
| | Student with Disabilities |
| Systems | Supportive Learning Environment |

| Method for Monitoring | Requisitions, lesson plans, data usage reports |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Implementation | |
| Method for Monitoring | Work with teachers and provide the resources and materials upon request to |
| Effectiveness | improve their instructional practices; Walkthrough Data |
| Position/Role Responsible | Central office directors, Admin. Team, and Teachers |
| Timeline for Implementation | Yearly |

| What partnerships, if any, with | |
|---------------------------------|--|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, | |
| Community based | |
| organizations, or any private | |
| entity with a demonstrated | |
| record of success is the LEA | |
| implementing in carrying out | |
| this action step(s)? | |

2. SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT GOALS

2.2 Overarching Need # 2

Overarching Need

| Overarching Need as identified in CNA Section 3.2 | Improve student understanding of effective writing skills. |
|---|--|
| Root Cause # 1 | Decrease in teacher modeling and conferencing of writing lessons and unpacking writing prompts. |
| Root Cause # 2 | Higher focus on conventions and mechanics, idea development, organization, and coherence. |
| Goal | To increase the mean scale score for all students by implementing Atco writing strategies with fidelity by the end of the 2025-2026 school year by 2%. |

| Action Step | All teachers will implement writing across the curriculum. |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Funding Sources | Consolidated Funding |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |
| | Migrant |
| | Race / Ethnicity / Minority |
| | Student with Disabilities |
| Systems | Coherent Instruction |
| | Professional Capacity |
| | Supportive Learning Environment |
| Method for Monitoring | Lesson plans, writing samples |
| Implementation | |
| Method for Monitoring | Writing samples will show student understanding and progression on standards. |
| Effectiveness | |
| Position/Role Responsible | Admin. Team, Teachers |
| Timeline for Implementation | Yearly |

| What partnerships, if any, with | |
|---------------------------------|--|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, | |
| Community based | |
| organizations, or any private | |
| entity with a demonstrated | |
| record of success is the LEA | |
| implementing in carrying out | |
| this action step(s)? | |

| What partnerships, if any, with |
|---------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, |
| Community based |
| organizations, or any private |
| entity with a demonstrated |
| record of success is the LEA |
| implementing in carrying out |
| this action step(s)? |

| Action Step | Monitor lesson plans for writing across the curriculum. |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Funding Sources | Consolidated Funding |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |
| | Migrant |
| | Race / Ethnicity / Minority |
| | Student with Disabilities |
| Systems | Effective Leadership |
| Method for Monitoring | Weekly lesson plans |
| Implementation | |
| Method for Monitoring | Writing samples will show student understanding and progression on standards; |
| Effectiveness | Walkthroughs; examining student work |
| Position/Role Responsible | Admin. Team |
| Timeline for Implementation | Yearly |

| What partnerships, if any, with |
|---------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, |
| Community based |
| organizations, or any private |
| entity with a demonstrated |
| record of success is the LEA |
| implementing in carrying out |
| this action step(s)? |

| Action Step | Provide professional learning on implementing the new ELA instructional |
|-----------------------------|--|
| | standards through effective PLCs and other professional learning opportunitites. |
| Funding Sources | Consolidated Funding |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |
| | Migrant |
| | Race / Ethnicity / Minority |
| | Student with Disabilities |
| Systems | Professional Capacity |
| Method for Monitoring | Sign-in sheets, PowerPoint presentations, agenda, writing samples |
| Implementation | |
| Method for Monitoring | Teacher professional learning if implemented should increase student's |
| Effectiveness | understanding and performance. |
| Position/Role Responsible | Central office directors, OK RESA, Admin. Team, and Teachers, other RESAs |
| Timeline for Implementation | Yearly |

| What partnerships, if any, with |
|---------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, |
| Community based |
| organizations, or any private |
| entity with a demonstrated |
| record of success is the LEA |
| implementing in carrying out |
| this action step(s)? |

| Action Step | Communicate the mission of ACMS through word and action as it relates to reading and writing achievement. |
|-----------------|---|
| Funding Sources | Consolidated Funding |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |
| | Migrant |
| | Race / Ethnicity / Minority |
| | Student with Disabilities |
| Systems | Coherent Instruction |
| | Effective Leadership |

| Systems | Professional Capacity Family and Community Engagement Supportive Learning Environment |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| Method for Monitoring Implementation | Conferences, contact logs, IC messenger, agendas, all-calls. |
| Method for Monitoring Effectiveness | Contact log reports, gradebooks, GMAS data, progress and report cards |
| Position/Role Responsible | Administrators, teachers, MTSS Coordinator |
| Timeline for Implementation | Yearly |

| What partnerships, if any, with |
|---------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, |
| Community based |
| organizations, or any private |
| entity with a demonstrated |
| record of success is the LEA |
| implementing in carrying out |
| this action step(s)? |

| Action Step | Utilize student-led conferences to allow students the opportunity to take ownership of their learning. |
|-----------------------|--|
| Funding Sources | Consolidated Funding |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |
| | Migrant |
| | Race / Ethnicity / Minority |
| | Student with Disabilities |
| Systems | Coherent Instruction |
| | Effective Leadership |
| | Professional Capacity |
| | Family and Community Engagement |
| | Supportive Learning Environment |
| Method for Monitoring | Student led conferences sign-in sheets; contact logs, all-calls, IC messenger, |
| Implementation | agendas |
| Method for Monitoring | Contact log reports, gradebooks, GMAS data |
| Effectiveness | |

| Position/Role Responsible | Administrators, teachers, MTSS Coordinator, Counselor |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Timeline for Implementation | Yearly |

| What partnerships, if any, with |
|---------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, |
| Community based |
| organizations, or any private |
| entity with a demonstrated |
| record of success is the LEA |
| implementing in carrying out |
| this action step(s)? |

| Action Step | Conference with students and families when deficiencies are noted. |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Funding Sources | N/A |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |
| | Migrant |
| | Race / Ethnicity / Minority |
| | Student with Disabilities |
| Systems | Coherent Instruction |
| | Effective Leadership |
| | Professional Capacity |
| | Family and Community Engagement |
| | Supportive Learning Environment |
| Method for Monitoring | Team logs with conference notes. |
| Implementation | |
| Method for Monitoring | Improve test scores by 2% |
| Effectiveness | |
| Position/Role Responsible | Administrators, ELA Department, other content area teachers, and Leadership |
| | Team |
| Timeline for Implementation | Monthly |

| Action | Step | # | 6 |
|--------|------|---|---|
|--------|------|---|---|

| What partnerships, if any, with |
|---------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, |
| Community based |
| organizations, or any private |
| entity with a demonstrated |
| record of success is the LEA |
| implementing in carrying out |
| this action step(s)? |

2. SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT GOALS

2.3 Overarching Need # 3

Overarching Need

| Overarching Need as identified in CNA Section 3.2 | Increase number of students who will attend school at a minimum of 80%. |
|---|---|
| Root Cause # 1 | Implementation and follow through of a consistent attendance policy |
| Goal | To monitor and improve the number of students who will attend school at a |
| | minimum of 80% of the 2025-2026 school year. |

| Action Step | Provide attendance incentives for students and staff. |
|--|---|
| Funding Sources | N/A |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |
| | Migrant |
| | Race / Ethnicity / Minority |
| | Student with Disabilities |
| Systems | Coherent Instruction |
| | Effective Leadership |
| | Professional Capacity |
| | Family and Community Engagement |
| | Supportive Learning Environment |
| Method for Monitoring | Daily Attendance Reports |
| Implementation | |
| Method for Monitoring Staff and student attendance increases student achievement. Effectiveness | |
| | |
| Timeline for Implementation | Weekly |

| What partnerships, if any, with |
|---------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, |
| Community based |
| organizations, or any private |
| entity with a demonstrated |
| record of success is the LEA |
| implementing in carrying out |
| this action step(s)? |

| Action Step | Consistency in following the district attendance policy through communication and collaboration with social worker. |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Funding Sources | N/A |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged Foster |
| | Homeless English Learners |
| | Migrant |
| | Race / Ethnicity / Minority |
| | Student with Disabilities |
| | N/A |
| Systems | Coherent Instruction |
| | Effective Leadership |
| | Professional Capacity |
| | Family and Community Engagement |
| | Supportive Learning Environment |
| Method for Monitoring | Social work notes & documentation |
| Implementation | |
| Method for Monitoring | Staff and student attendance increases student achievement. |
| Effectiveness | |
| Position/Role Responsible | Social Worker, Admin, SRO |
| Timeline for Implementation | Weekly |

| What partnerships, if any, with |
|---------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, |
| Community based |
| organizations, or any private |
| entity with a demonstrated |
| record of success is the LEA |
| implementing in carrying out |
| this action step(s)? |

2. SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT GOALS

2.4 Overarching Need # 4

Overarching Need

| Overarching Need as identified in CNA Section 3.2 | Provide mental health services to improve students social-emotional well being. |
|---|---|
| | |
| Root Cause # 1 | Lack of mental health services in school for students to focus on the whole child. |
| Goal | To ensure that all students have access to the support they need to thrive academically and emotionally by employment of additional mental health |
| | personnel thus increasing the number of students receiving mental health support services by 15% by the end of the 2025-2026 school year. |

| Action Step | Ensure that there are adequate mental health personnel in school to assist with this need. |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Funding Sources | Consolidated Funding |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |
| | Migrant |
| | Race / Ethnicity / Minority |
| | Student with Disabilities |
| | Immigrant |
| Systems | Family and Community Engagement |
| | Supportive Learning Environment |
| Method for Monitoring | Student performance; document logs from mental health personnel |
| Implementation | |
| Method for Monitoring | Student performance and wellness |
| Effectiveness | |
| Position/Role Responsible | Mental Health personnel; school counselor; school social worker |
| Timeline for Implementation | Yearly |

| What partnerships, if any, with | any, with |
|---------------------------------|-----------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, | Profits, |
| Community based | |
| organizations, or any private | private |
| entity with a demonstrated | ated |
| record of success is the LEA | ne LEA |
| implementing in carrying out | ng out |
| this action step(s)? | |

| Action | Step | # | 1 |
|--------|------|---|---|
|--------|------|---|---|

| What partnerships, if any, with | |
|---------------------------------|--|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, | |
| Community based | |
| organizations, or any private | |
| entity with a demonstrated | |
| record of success is the LEA | |
| implementing in carrying out | |
| this action step(s)? | |

3. REQUIRED QUESTIONS

3.1 Stakeholders, Coordination of Activities, Serving Children, and PQ

Required Questions

1. In developing this plan, briefly describe how the school sought advice from individuals (teachers, staff, other school leaders, paraprofessionals, specialized instructional support personnel, parents, community partners, and other stakeholders).

This plan was developed with input from parents through surveys, parent participation from the School Council, faculty and staff perception surveys, Leadership Team, SAT Team, grade level meetings and content specific professional learning communities and OK RESA GSAPS Review Team. These meetings were held throughout the year. The developmental phase begin with the Leadership Team perfoming the data collection analysis. The plan is then shared with faculty and staff, parents, community partners and other stakeholders so they can review and provide input to suggest changes as needed. Everyone has a part in contributing to the creation and implementation of the plan.

2. Describe how the school will ensure that low-income and minority children enrolled in the Title I school are not served at disproportionate rates by ineffective, out-of-field, or inexperienced teachers. Our goal at Atkinson County Middle School is to have staff that is highly qualified with certified, in-field teachers and paraprofessionals. We will continue to work with our LEA to advertise and hire effective teachers when there are available positions. We will continue to ensure through effective professional learning opportunities that our teachers are increasing their knowledge of the best instructional practices and implementation of those practices.

3. Provide a general description of the Title I instructional program being implemented at this Title I school. Specifically define the subject areas to be addressed and the instructional strategies/methodologies to be employed to address the identified needs of the most academically at-risk students in the school. Please include services to be provided for students living in local institutions for neglected or delinquent children (if applicable).

Atkinson County Middle School is on a 7 period schedule to include a connections period of 52 minutes. The Title I Instructional program implemented at Atkinson County Middle School includes inclusion/co-teaching in English/Language Arts, Mathematics and Science. Our daily scheduled extended learning time allow teachers the opportunity to work in small group settings providing interventions for students who are at-risk in these content areas. There is time also allotted for ESL students to work towards enhancing their language acquisition skills; the majority of teachers are now ESL certified.

4. If applicable, provide a description of how teachers, in consultation with parents, administrators, and pupil services personnel, will identify eligible children most in need of services in Title I targeted assistance schools/programs. Please include a description of how the school will develop and implement multiple (a minimum of 2) objective,

N/A.

| academic-based performance criteria to | |
|---|--|
| rank students for service. Also include a | |
| description of the measurable scale (point | |
| system) that uses the objective criteria to | |
| rank all students. | |

3. REQUIRED QUESTIONS

3.2 PQ, Federally Identified Schools, CTAE, Discipline

Required Questions

| 5. If applicable, describe how the school | N/A |
|--|-----|
| will support, coordinate, and integrate | |
| services with early childhood programs at | |
| the school level, including strategies for | |
| assisting preschool children in the | |
| transition from early childhood education | |
| programs to local elementary school | |
| programs. | |

6. If applicable, describe how the school will implement strategies to facilitate effective transitions for students from middle grades to high school and from high school to postsecondary education including:Coordination with institutions of higher education, employers, and local partners; and Increased student access to early college, high school, or dual or concurrent enrollment opportunities or career counseling to identify student interest and skills.

Atkinson County Middle School will facilitate an effective transition for our middle school students to high school by scheduling a field trip to the high school and a question/answer session with the high school administration team for students and a session for parents. Students will also be introduced to dual enrollment information prior to transitioning from middle school and their involvement and completion of career interest activities in the YouScience Program.

7. Describe how the school will support efforts to reduce the overuse of discipline practices that remove students from the classroom, specifically addressing the effects on all subgroups of students.

Atkinson County Middle will implement the Check-In/Check-Out Program with the school counselor for minor infractions, working with parents as a team to work on strategies to work with their child/children and provide students with our Middle School Years handout which offers information on various topics to benefit middle school students and help them be successful during this time. Also, the use of a progressive discipline plan and positive behavioral interventions.

ADDITIONAL RESPONSES

| 8. Use the space below to provide | |
|---|--|
| additional narrative regarding the school's | |
| improvement plan. | |