

# School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) Template

The School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) is meant to consolidate all school-level planning efforts into one plan for programs funded through the consolidated application (ConApp), pursuant to the California Education Code (EC) Section 64001 and the Elementary and Secondary Education Act as amended by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

The purpose of the SPSA is to increase the overall effectiveness of the school program by crafting a strategic plan that maximizes the resources available to the school while minimizing duplication of effort with the ultimate goal of increasing student achievement.

The School Site Council (SSC) is required to develop and annually review the SPSA, establish an annual budget, and make modifications in the plan to reflect changing needs and priorities, as applicable, pursuant to EC 52853(b) and 52855.

California's ESSA State Plan significantly shifts the state's approach to the utilization of federal resources in support of underserved student groups. The SPSA provides schools with the opportunity to document their approach to maximizing the impact of federal investments in support of underserved students.

The implementation of ESSA in California presents an opportunity for schools to innovate with their federally-funded programs and align them with the priority goals of the school and the LEA that are being realized under the state's Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF).

LCFF provides schools and LEAs flexibility to design programs and provide services that meet the needs of students in order to achieve readiness for college, career, and lifelong learning. The SPSA planning process supports continuous cycles of action, reflection, and improvement.

School Name	Vallecitos School
Address	5211 Fifth St. Rainbow, CA 92028
County-District-School (CDS) Code	37 68437 6040562
Principal	Dr. Maritza Koeppen
District Name	Vallecitos School District
SPSA Revision Date	
Schoolsite Council (SSC) Approval Date	1/6/2021
Local Board Approval Date	1/12/2021

In the pages that follow, please describe the school's plan for making the best use of federal ESEA resources in alignment with other federal, state, and local programs.

# **Table of Contents**

SPS	SA Title Page	1
Tab	ole of Contents	2
Sch	nool Vision and Mission	3
Sch	nool Profile	3
Stal	keholder Involvement	
Sch	nool and Student Performance Data	4
	Student Enrollment	4
	CAASPP Results	6
	ELPAC Results	10
	Student Population	14
	Overall Performance	15
	Academic Performance	16
	Academic Engagement	21
	Conditions & Climate	23
Goa	als, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures	25
	Goal 1	25
	Goal 2	28
	Goal 3	31
Ann	ual Review and Update	35
	Goal 1	35
	Goal 2	37
	Goal 3	39
Bud	get Summary and Consolidation	41
	Budget Summary	41
	Allocations by Funding Source	41
	Expenditures by Funding Source	42
	Expenditures by Budget Reference	
	Expenditures by Budget Reference and Funding Source	
Sch	ool Site Council Membership	45
	ommendations and Assurances	16

#### School Vision and Mission

Vallecitos School District is Where Achievement and Attendance Matters. The Mission of the Vallecitos School District is to:

- Develop clear and focused learning goals based on high expectations of every student and staff member.
- Monitor student learning frequently to guide instruction and improve student learning.
- Provide a safe and orderly environment and a collaborative school-wide culture.
- Build positive and productive parental relationships.
- Encourage all students to become productive citizens.

#### **School Profile**

Vallecitos School District has one school in Rainbow, California, a non- incorporated area of Fallbrook, located in San Diego County. Vallecitos serves preschool - 8th grade students and is comprised of 219 students. Rainbow covers 14 square miles and has a large agricultural community, with many nurseries growing plants, berries, tropical citrus, avocados, and nuts. Vallecitos School has a very diverse population. Vallecitos School serves the following demographic groups:

87% Hispanic
12% White
1% Two or More Races
Socioeconomic Disadvantaged: 99%
English language learners: 50%
Students with disabilities: 12.8%

#### Stakeholder Involvement

How, when, and with whom did the school consult as part of the planning process for this SPSA/Annual Review and Update?

#### Involvement Process for the SPSA and Annual Review and Update

Vallecitos School continuously seeks stakeholder engagement to refine it's program. The SPSA is reviewed annually by School Site Council. Data is gathered and presented on a frequent basis. In addition, ELAC/DELAC members provide input and parents are given the opportunity to provide suggestions for improving our school on a yearly basis. The governing board at Vallecitos also has opportunities throughout the year to provide suggestions and input.

# Student Enrollment Enrollment By Student Group

	Stu	dent Enrollme	ent by Subgroup	)			
0.1.10	Per	cent of Enrollr	Number of Students				
Student Group	17-18	18-19	19-20	17-18	18-19	19-20	
American Indian	%	%	0%			0	
African American	%	%	0%			0	
Asian	%	%	0%			0	
Filipino	%	%	0%			0	
Hispanic/Latino	86.11%	86.63%	88.21%	186	175	172	
Pacific Islander	%	%	0%			0	
White	12.96%	11.88%	10.77%	28	24	21	
Multiple/No Response	%	%	1.03%			0	
		To	tal Enrollment	216	202	195	

#### Student Enrollment English Learner (EL) Enrollment

Englisi	h Learner (	EL) Enrolln	nent	-50						
Number of Students Percent of Studen										
Student Group	17-18	18-19	19-20	17-18	18-19	19-20				
English Learners	111	97	97	51.4%	48.0%	49.7%				
Fluent English Proficient (FEP)	45	43	36	20.8%	21.3%	18.5%				
Reclassified Fluent English Proficient (RFEP)	13	14	6	11.5%	12.6%	6.2%				

# CAASPP Results English Language Arts/Literacy (All Students)

F 1 7 7 9.00		milita .		Overall	Participa	ation for	All Stud	ents					
Grade	# of St	# of Students Enrolled			# of Students Tested			# of Students with			% of Enrolled Students		
Level	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	
Grade 3	22	23	18	19	23	17	19	23	17	86.4	100	94.4	
Grade 4	17	22	24	15	21	24	15	21	24	88.2	95.5	100	
Grade 5	25	17	21	23	16	21	23	16	21	92	94.1	100	
Grade 6	24	26	20	23	26	19	23	26	19	95.8	100	95	
Grade 7	28	26	26	28	25	25	28	25	25	100	96.2	96.2	
Grade 8	18	29	23	16	29	23	16	29	23	88.9	100	100	
All	134	143	132	124	140	129	124	140	129	92.5	97.9	97.7	

<sup>\*</sup> The "% of Enrolled Students Tested" showing in this table is not the same as "Participation Rate" for federal

	Overall Achievement for All Students															
Grade	Mean Scale Score			% Standard			% St	% Standard Met			% Standard Nearly			% Standard Not		
Level	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	
Grade 3	2467.	2434.	2458.	42.11	30.43	23.53	26.32	26.09	41.18	21.05	17.39	29.41	10.53	26.09	5.88	
Grade 4	2431.	2472.	2439.	13.33	28.57	20.83	13.33	23.81	16.67	33.33	23.81	20.83	40.00	23.81	41.67	
Grade 5	2494.	2463.	2493.	4.35	6.25	23.81	47.83	37.50	19.05	34.78	12.50	28.57	13.04	43.75	28.57	
Grade 6	2545.	2522.	2488.	13.04	3.85	5.26	47.83	50.00	31.58	26.09	30.77	26.32	13.04	15.38	36.84	
Grade 7	2559.	2591.	2569.	7.14	28.00	4.00	53.57	48.00	60.00	28.57	16.00	32.00	10.71	8.00	4.00	
Grade 8	2633.	2587.	2627.	31.25	13.79	30.43	56.25	48.28	47.83	12.50	24.14	21.74	0.00	13.79	0.00	
All Grades	N/A	N/A	N/A	16.94	18.57	17.83	42.74	40.00	36.43	26.61	21.43	26.36	13.71	20.00	19.38	

Der	monstrating u	ınderstar	Readin		d non-fic	tional tex	cts		
Out do Louis	% Al	% Above Standard				andard	% Be	elow Stan	dard
Grade Level	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19
Grade 3	26.32	30.43	17.65	52.63	30.43	58.82	21.05	39.13	23.53
Grade 4	6.67	28.57	8.33	46.67	47.62	50.00	46.67	23.81	41.67
Grade 5	8.70	12.50	23.81	69.57	31.25	47.62	21.74	56.25	28.57
Grade 6	13.04	11.54	5.26	56.52	57.69	52.63	30.43	30.77	42.11
Grade 7	32.14	32.00	24.00	46.43	52.00	56.00	21.43	16.00	20.00
Grade 8	56.25	17.24	47.83	37.50	58.62	47.83	6.25	24.14	4.35
All Grades	23.39	22.14	21.71	52.42	47.86	51.94	24.19	30.00	26.36

	Writi Producing clear and	9	
Grade Level	% Above Standard	% At or Near Standard	% Below Standard

	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19
Grade 3	36.84	17.39	23.53	52.63	47.83	52.94	10.53	34.78	23.53
Grade 4	13.33	23.81	12.50	46.67	61.90	54.17	40.00	14.29	33.33
Grade 5	21.74	18.75	9.52	69.57	50.00	57.14	8.70	31.25	33.33
Grade 6	39.13	3.85	10.53	39.13	76.92	47.37	21.74	19.23	42.11
Grade 7	25.00	36.00	24.00	64.29	52.00	64.00	10.71	12.00	12.00
Grade 8	43.75	20.69	30.43	50.00	62.07	69.57	6.25	17.24	0.00
All Grades	29.84	20.00	18.60	54.84	59.29	58.14	15.32	20.71	23.26

	Demons	strating e	Listenii ffective c	ng communic	cation ski	ills	. Liv		
	% Ak	ove Star	ndard	% At o	r Near St	andard	% Be	low Stan	dard
Grade Level	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19
Grade 3	31.58	34.78	41.18	63.16	60.87	58.82	5.26	4.35	0.00
Grade 4	6.67	19.05	12.50	60.00	52.38	58.33	33.33	28.57	29.17
Grade 5	0.00	6.25	19.05	78.26	68.75	57.14	21.74	25.00	23.81
Grade 6	26.09	0.00	5.26	60.87	84.62	57.89	13.04	15.38	36.84
Grade 7	14.29	20.00	8.00	75.00	80.00	92.00	10.71	0.00	0.00
Grade 8	12.50	17.24	21.74	87.50	72.41	78.26	0.00	10.34	0.00
All Grades	15.32	16.43	17.05	70.97	70.71	68.22	13.71	12.86	14.73

Research/Inquiry Investigating, analyzing, and presenting information													
One de Level	% At	% Above Standard			r Near St	andard	% Be	elow Stan	dard				
Grade Level	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19				
Grade 3	52.63	26.09	29.41	36.84	56.52	64.71	10.53	17.39	5.88				
Grade 4	6.67	23.81	16.67	80.00	57.14	50.00	13.33	19.05	33.33				
Grade 5	26.09	12.50	28.57	65.22	43.75	47.62	8.70	43.75	23.81				
Grade 6	43.48	26.92	10.53	34.78	65.38	57.89	21.74	7.69	31.58				
Grade 7	17.86	40.00	40.00	64.29	56.00	52.00	17.86	4.00	8.00				
Grade 8	43.75	34.48	60.87	50.00	55.17	30.43	6.25	10.34	8.70				
All Grades	31.45	28.57	31.78	54.84	56.43	49.61	13.71	15.00	18.60				

#### Conclusions based on this data:

- 1. The total percentage of students testing on ELA CAASPP has increased by 5.2% over the last three years.
- 2. In Overall Achievement, the total percentage of students that are at Standard Exceeded has increased by 0.89% over three years. The total percentage of students that are at Standard Met has decreased 6.31% over three years.
- 3. In Overall Achievement, the total percentage of students that are at Nearly Met has decreased .25% over three years. The total percentage of students in Overall Achievement that are at Standard Not Met has increased 5.67% over the last three years.

# CAASPP Results Mathematics (All Students)

Ur si				Overall	Participa	ation for	All Stud	ents				
Grade	# of St	udents E	nrolled	# of Students Tested			# of Students with			% of Enrolled Students		
Level	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19
Grade 3	22	23	18	19	23	18	19	23	18	86.4	100	100
Grade 4	17	22	24	15	22	24	15	22	24	88.2	100	100
Grade 5	25	17	21	23	17	21	23	17	21	92	100	100
Grade 6	24	26	20	23	26	20	23	26	20	95.8	100	100
Grade 7	28	26	26	28	25	26	28	25	24	100	96.2	100
Grade 8	18	29	23	16	29	23	16	29	23	88.9	100	100
All	134	143	132	124	142	132	124	142	130	92.5	99.3	100

<sup>\*</sup> The "% of Enrolled Students Tested" showing in this table is not the same as "Participation Rate" for federal accountability purposes.

				C	Overall	Achiev	ement	for All	Studer	nts					
Grade	Mean	Scale	Score	% Standard			% Standard Met			% Standard Nearly			% Standard Not		
Level	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19
Grade 3	2457.	2415.	2460.	15.79	0.00	38.89	57.89	43.48	11.11	21.05	30.43	44.44	5.26	26.09	5.56
Grade 4	2430.	2466.	2439.	0.00	4.55	4.17	20.00	31.82	16.67	33.33	40.91	58.33	46.67	22.73	20.83
Grade 5	2474.	2463.	2500.	8.70	5.88	14.29	13.04	17.65	23.81	43.48	17.65	33.33	34.78	58.82	28.57
Grade 6	2526.	2524.	2469.	17.39	7.69	0.00	21.74	34.62	20.00	39.13	46.15	40.00	21.74	11.54	40.00
Grade 7	2544.	2569.	2566.	7.14	20.00	12.50	35.71	16.00	41.67	32.14	52.00	41.67	25.00	12.00	4.17
Grade 8	2599.	2564.	2594.	18.75	17.24	21.74	50.00	24.14	30.43	12.50	31.03	34.78	18.75	27.59	13.04
All Grades	N/A	N/A	N/A	11.29	9.86	14.62	32.26	28.17	24.62	31.45	37.32	42.31	25.00	24.65	18.46

	Applying			ocedures cepts an		ures	563 I		
One de Level	% At	% At o	r Near St	andard	% Below Standard				
Grade Level	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19
Grade 3	31.58	13.04	44.44	63.16	43.48	44.44	5.26	43.48	11.11
Grade 4	20.00	13.64	12.50	20.00	54.55	41.67	60.00	31.82	45.83
Grade 5	17.39	17.65	19.05	34.78	17.65	42.86	47.83	64.71	38.10
Grade 6	18.18	15.38	5.00	54.55	61.54	35.00	27.27	23.08	60.00
Grade 7	21.43	36.00	33.33	42.86	36.00	45.83	35.71	28.00	20.83
Grade 8	31.25	24.14	26.09	50.00	41.38	65.22	18.75	34.48	8.70
All Grades	22.76	20.42	23.08	44.72	43.66	46.15	32.52	35.92	30.77

Using appropria	Problem Solving & Mod te tools and strategies to sol	deling/Data Analysis ve real world and mathemati	cal problems
Grade Level	% Above Standard	% At or Near Standard	% Below Standard

	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19
Grade 3	42.11	4,35	33.33	47.37	60.87	50.00	10.53	34.78	16.67
Grade 4	0.00	9.09	8.33	66.67	54.55	45.83	33.33	36.36	45.83
Grade 5	4.35	0.00	14.29	47.83	58.82	38.10	47.83	41.18	47.62
Grade 6	17.39	3.85	0.00	52.17	76.92	50.00	30.43	19.23	50.00
Grade 7	7.14	20.00	8.33	60.71	52.00	70.83	32.14	28.00	20.83
Grade 8	18.75	13.79	13.04	68.75	58.62	78.26	12.50	27.59	8.70
All Grades	14.52	9.15	12.31	56.45	60.56	56.15	29.03	30.28	31.54

D	emonstrating			Reasoni mathem		nclusions			
	% Al	oove Star	ndard	% At o	r Near St	andard	% Be	elow Stan	dard
Grade Level	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19
Grade 3	26.32	17.39	33.33	73.68	65.22	50.00	0.00	17.39	16.67
Grade 4	6.67	27.27	4.17	53.33	45.45	33.33	40.00	27.27	62.50
Grade 5	4.35	5.88	14.29	52.17	41.18	66.67	43.48	52.94	19.05
Grade 6	17.39	11.54	5.00	47.83	50.00	45.00	34.78	38.46	50.00
Grade 7	10.71	24.00	20.83	75.00	68.00	70.83	14.29	8.00	8.33
Grade 8	6.25	10.34	17.39	81.25	72.41	73.91	12.50	17.24	8.70
All Grades	12.10	16.20	15.38	63.71	58.45	56.92	24.19	25.35	27.69

#### Conclusions based on this data:

- 1. The total percentage of students testing on the Mathematics CAASPP has increased by 6%.
- 2. In Overall Achievement, the total percentage of students that are at Standard Exceeded in Mathematics has increased 3.33% over three years. The total percentage of students that are at Standard Met in Mathematics has decreased 7.64% over three years.
- 3. In Overall Achievement, the total percentage of students that are at Nearly Met in Mathematics has decreased 10.86% over three years. The total percentage of students that are at Standard Not Met in Mathematics has decreased 6.54% over the last three years.

#### **ELPAC Results**

				native Asses Mean Scale :		II Students		
Grade	Ove	erall	Oral La	nguage	Written L	.anguage	Number of Students Teste	
Level	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19
Grade K	1422.4	1437.5	1431.7	1442.4	1400.5	1426.3	22	14
Grade 1	1458.1	1482.5	1477.6	1487.5	1437.9	1477.2	14	11
Grade 2	1491.8	1468.9	1497.1	1478.6	1486.2	1458.5	18	14
Grade 3	1507.2	1498.6	1501.8	1487.6	1511.7	1509.3	13	16
Grade 4	*	1507.2	*	1504.8	*	1508.9	*	13
Grade 5	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	9
Grade 6	*	1492.1	*	1480.0	*	1503.6	*	11
Grade 7	*	*	**	*	*	*	*	6
Grade 8	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	4
All Grades							105	98

	Р	ercentage	of Studer	Overal	ll Languag Performa	je ance Level	for All St	udents		
Grade	Lev	el 4	Lev	rel 3	Level 2		Level 1		Total Number of Students	
Level	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19
K	*	14.29	*	57.14	*	14.29	*	14.29	22	14
1	*	18.18	*	45.45	*	36.36		0.00	14	11
2	*	7.14	*	28.57	*	64.29	*	0.00	18	14
3	*	18.75	*	43.75	*	25.00		12.50	13	16
4		7.69	*	53.85	*	38.46	*	0.00	*	13
5	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
6	*	0.00	*	54.55	*	18.18	*	27.27	*	11
7	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
8	*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*
All Grades	26.67	12.24	41.90	46.94	20.00	30.61	11.43	10.20	105	98

	Р	ercentage	of Studer	Oral its at Each	Language Performa	ince Level	for All St	udents		
Grade	Lev	rel 4	Lev	vel 3	Level 2		Level 1		Total Number of Students	
Level	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19
K	*	7.14	*	64.29	*	21.43	*	7.14	22	14
1	*	36.36	*	45.45		18.18		0.00	14	11
2	77.78	21.43	*	35.71		42.86	*	0.00	18	14
3	*	37.50	*	25.00	*	25.00		12.50	13	16
4	*	15.38	*	84.62	*	0.00	*	0.00	*	13
5	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
6	*	27.27	*	27.27		18.18	*	27.27	*	11
7	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	*	*
8	*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*
All Grades	47.62	24.49	34.29	45.92	*	20.41	11.43	9.18	105	98

	Р	ercentage	of Studer		n Languag n Performa		for All St	udents	"I.L.	
Grade	Lev	rel 4	Lev	rel 3	Lev	rel 2	Level 1		Total Numb of Students	
Level	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19
K	*	14.29	*	50.00	*	28.57	*	7.14	22	14
1	*	18.18	*	27.27	*	54.55	*	0.00	14	11
2	*	0.00	*	21.43	*	57.14	*	21.43	18	14
3	*	18.75	*	37.50	*	25.00	*	18.75	13	16
4		7.69	*	15.38	*	69.23	*	7.69	*	13
5		*		*	*	*	*	*	*	*
6		0.00	*	27.27	*	18.18	*	54.55	*	11
7	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
8	*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*
II Grades	16.19	10.20	25.71	32.65	35.24	38.78	22.86	18.37	105	98

	Perce	ntage of St		ening Domair main Perform		for All Stude	nts	
Grade	Well De	veloped	Somewhat	/Moderately	Begi	nning	Total Numbe of Students	
Level	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19
K	50.00	7.14	*	85.71	*	7.14	22	14
1	*	54.55	*	45.45		0.00	14	11
2	83.33	14.29	*	85.71		0.00	18	14
3	*	31.25	*	62.50	*	6.25	13	16
4	*	15.38	*	84.62	*	0.00	*	13
5	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
6	*	9.09	*	72.73	*	18.18	*	11
7	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
II Grades	46.67	20.41	41.90	70.41	11.43	9.18	105	98

	Perce	ntage of Stu	Spe udents by Do	aking Domair main Perform	n ance Level	for All Stude	nts	11
Grade	Well De	veloped	Somewhat	/Moderately	Begin	nning	Total Numbe of Students	
Level	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19
K	*	21.43	*	64.29	*	14.29	22	14
1	*	18.18	*	81.82		0.00	14	11
2	83.33	21.43	*	71.43	*	7.14	18	14
3	*	50.00	*	37.50		12.50	13	16
4	*	30.77	*	69.23	*	0.00	*	13
5	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
6	*	27.27	*	36.36	*	36.36	*	11
7	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
II Grades	60.95	31.63	26.67	56.12	12.38	12.24	105	98

	Perce	ntage of St		ading Domain main Perform		for All Stude	nts		
Grade	Well De	veloped	Somewhat	/Moderately	Begi	nning	Total Number of Students		
Level	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19	
K	*	7.14	63.64	85.71	*	7.14	22	14	
1	*	18.18	*	72.73	*	9.09	14	11	
2	*	7.14	*	64.29	*	28.57	18	14	
3	*	18.75	*	43.75	*	37.50	13	16	
4		7.69	*	61.54	*	30.77	*	13	
6	*	0.00	*	27.27	*	72.73	*	11	
7	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
8	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
All Grades	20.00	12.24	51.43	57.14	28.57	30.61	105	98	

	Writing Domain Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students							
Grade	Well Developed		Somewhat/Moderately		Beginning		Total Number of Students	
Level	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19
K	*	64.29	*	21.43	*	14.29	22	14
1	*	18.18	*	81.82	*	0.00	14	11
2	*	0.00	*	78.57	*	21.43	18	14
3	*	25.00	*	68.75	*	6.25	13	16
4	*	15.38	*	76.92	*	7.69	*	13
6	*	18.18	*	54.55	*	27.27	*	11
7	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
All Grades	23.81	22.45	56.19	62.24	20.00	15.31	105	98

#### Conclusions based on this data:

- 1. In 2017-2018 students took the CELDT assessment. In 2018-2019 students took the ELPAC. Therefore, results cannot be compared at this time.
- 2. The total number of students tested on the Annual Summative Assessment has decreased by 7 students from the previous year.

#### **Student Population**

This section provides information about the school's student population.

	2018-19 Studen	t Population	
Total Enrollment	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	English Learners	Foster Youth
202	99.5	48.0	This is the percent of students whose well-being is the responsibility of a court.

This is the total number of students enrolled.

This is the percent of students who are eligible for free or reduced priced meals; or have parents/guardians who did not receive a high school diploma.

This is the percent of students who are learning to communicate effectively in English, typically requiring instruction in both the English Language and in their academic courses.

2018-19 Enrollme	nt for All Students/Student Grou	ib
Student Group	Total	Percentage
English Learners	97	48.0
Homeless	1	0.5
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	201	99.5
Students with Disabilities	28	13.9

Enroll	lment by Race/Ethnicity	
Student Group	Total	Percentage
Hispanic	175	86.6
Two or More Races	3	1.5
White	24	11.9

#### Conclusions based on this data:

- This information reflects the 2019-2020 School Dashboard.
- 2. This data is based on 2018-2019 information.

#### **Overall Performance**

# Academic Performance Academic Engagement Conditions & Climate English Language Arts Yellow Mathematics Yellow

#### Conclusions based on this data:

1. The 2019 Fall Dashboard reflects 2018-2019 student information.

#### Academic Performance English Language Arts

The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:

Lowest Performance



Orange

Yellow

Green

Blue

Highest Performance

This section provides number of student groups in each color.

	2019 Fall Dashbo	ard English Language	Arts Equity Report	
Red	Orange	Yellow	Green	Blue
0	0	3	0	0

This section provides a view of Student Assessment Results and other aspects of this school's performance, specifically how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the English Language Arts assessment. This measure is based on student performance on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3–8 and grade 11.

#### 2019 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Performance for All Students/Student Group

 All Students
Yellow
8.1 points above standard
Maintained -1.8 points
118

English Learners	
Yellow	
2.8 points below standard	
Declined -3.8 points	
92	

Foster Youth
No Performance Color
0 Students

nomeress
No Performance Color
Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy
3

Homologe

Socioeconomically Disadvantaged
Yellow
8.1 points above standard
Maintained -0.6 points
118

Studen	its with Disabilities
No F	Performance Color
54.5 p	oints below standard
	Increased Significantly ++22 6 points 19

#### 2019 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Performance by Race/Ethnicity

#### African American American Indian Asian Filipino No Performance Color No Performance Color No Performance Color No Performance Color 0 Students 0 Students 0 Students 0 Students Hispanic Two or More Races Pacific Islander White

Hispanic

Two or More Races

Pacific Islander

No Performance Color

1 points above standard
Declined -3.2 points

No Performance Color
Less than 11 Students - Data
Not Displayed for Privacy

2

No Performance Color
Uses than 11 Students - Data
Not Displayed for Privacy

7

This section provides a view of Student Assessment Results and other aspects of this school's performance, specifically how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the English Language Arts assessment. This measure is based on student performance on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3–8 and grade 11.

#### 

#### Conclusions based on this data:

1. This data reflects CAASPP results from the 2018-2019 school year.

#### **Academic Performance Mathematics**

The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:

Lowest Performance



Highest Performance

This section provides number of student groups in each color.

2019 Fall Dashboard Mathematics Equity Report					
Red	Orange	Yellow	Green	Blue	
0	2	1	0	0	

This section provides a view of Student Assessment Results and other aspects of this school's performance, specifically how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the Mathematics assessment. This measure is based on student performance on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3-8 and grade 11.

#### 2019 Fall Dashboard Mathematics Performance for All Students/Student Group **All Students Foster Youth English Learners** Yellow Orange 19 points below standard 27 points below standard Maintained -0.9 points Maintained -0.6 points 119 93 Studente with Dischilities Socioeconomically Disadvantaged Homeless No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data Not 19 points below standard Displayed for Privacy Maintained -1.1 points



0.00.00	Students with Disabilities	
	No Performance Color	
	66.5 points below standard	
	Increased ++9.1 points	
	19	

African American	American Indian	Asian	Filipino
Hispanic	Two or More Races	Pacific Islander	White
$\langle C \rangle$			
Orange	No Performance Color		No Performance Color
5.5 points below standard	Less than 11 Students - Data		Less than 11 Students - Da
Maintained -1.1 points	Not Displayed for Privacy		Not Displayed for Privacy

This section provides a view of Student Assessment Results and other aspects of this school's performance, specifically how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the Mathematics assessment. This measure is based on student performance on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3–8 and grade 11.

Current English Learner	Reclassified English Learners	English Only
44.6 points below standard	5.5 points below standard	15.6 points above standard
Increased Significantly	Declined -6 points	Increased ++5.7 points
++10 1 nainte 51	42	22

#### Conclusions based on this data:

This data reflects CAASPP results from the 2018-2019 school year.

#### Academic Performance English Learner Progress

This section provides a view of the percentage of current EL students making progress towards English language proficiency or maintaining the highest level.

#### 2019 Fall Dashboard English Learner Progress Indicator

No Performance Color

33.8 making progress towards English language proficiency
Number of EL Students: 77

Performance Level: VeryLow

This section provides a view of the percentage of current EL students who progressed at least one ELPI level, maintained ELPI level 4, maintained lower ELPI levels (i.e, levels 1, 2L, 2H, 3L, or 3H), or decreased at least one ELPI Level.

# Decreased One ELPI Level 24.6 Decreased One ELPI Level 41.5 Decreased Student English Language Acquisition Results Maintained ELPI Level 1, ELPI Level 4 Progressed At Least One ELPI Level 31.1

#### Conclusions based on this data:

- The 2019 EL Fall Dashboard for English Learner Progress rates Vallecitos at Very Low.
- The EL Fall Dashboard reports that 31.1% of English learners progressed at least one English Language Proficiency Level in English.
- The EL Fall Dashboard reports that 24.6% of English learners decreased one English Language Proficiency Level in English.

#### Academic Engagement Chronic Absenteeism

The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:

Lowest Performance



Orange

Yellow

Green

Rlue

Highest Performance

This section provides number of student groups in each color.

The state of	2019 Fall Dashbo	ard Chronic Absenteei	sm Equity Report	
Red	Orange	Yellow	Green	Blue
0	0	2	1	0

This section provides information about the percentage of students in kindergarten through grade 8 who are absent 10 percent or more of the instructional days they were enrolled.

2019 Fall Dashboa	ard Chronic Absenteeism for All Student	ts/Student Group
All Students	English Learners	Foster Youth
Yellow	Green	No Performance Color
7.3	4.7	Less than 11 Students - Data Not
Maintained -0.4	Declined -1.1	Displayed for Privacy 0
218	106	
Homeless	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	Students with Disabilities
	(T)	
No Performance Color	Yellow	No Performance Color
Less than 11 Students - Data Not	7.3	10.3
Displayed for Privacy 5	Maintained -0.3	Declined -2.2
	218	29

#### 2019 Fall Dashboard Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity

African American	American Indian	Asian	Filipino
No Performance Color	No Performance Color	No Performance Color	No Performance Color
Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy	Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy	Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy	Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy
0	0	0	0

Hispanic	Two or More Races	Pacific Islander	White
Yellow	No Performance Color	No Performance Color	No Performance Color
5.3	Less than 11 Students - Data	Less than 11 Students - Data	16
Maintained -0.2	Not Displayed for Privacy 4	Not Displayed for Privacy 0	Declined -8.1
189			25

#### Conclusions based on this data:

- 1. The Fall 2019 Chronic Absenteeism report indicates that Vallecitos maintained yellow status, but decreased by 0.4, not enough to improve the color band of 0.5.
- 2. Chronic Absenteeism for English learners declined by 1.1% and is in the green performance band.

Lowest

Performance

#### Conditions & Climate Suspension Rate

The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:

This section provides number of student groups in each color. 2019 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate Equity Report Red Blue Orange Green 0 0 2 1 This section provides information about the percentage of students in kindergarten through grade 12 who have been suspended at least once in a given school year. Students who are suspended multiple times are only counted once. 2019 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate for All Students/Student Group **All Students English Learners Foster Youth** Blue Green 0.9 0.9 Declined Significantly -2.9 Declined -4.7 224 110 Homeless Socioeconomically Disadvantaged Students with Disabilities No Performance Color No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data Not 0.9 6.9 5 Declined Significantly -3.1 Declined -4.9

222

29

Highest

Performance

African American	American Indian	Asian	Filipino
Hispanic	Two or More Races	Pacific Islander	White
Blue	No Performance Color		No Performance Colo
0.5	Less than 11 Students - Data		3.8
Declined Significantly -3.8 194			Increased +3.8 26

This section provides a view of the percentage of students who were suspended.

2019 Fa	II Dashboard Suspension Rate by	Year
2017	2018	2019
	3.8	0.9

#### Conclusions based on this data:

- 1. The Fall 2019 Suspension Rate decreased by 2.9% for all students.
- 2. Socioeconomically Disadvantaged and Hispanic students declined significantly in suspensions.

# Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

#### Goal 1

#### Subject

English Language Arts

#### **Goal Statement**

#### LCAP Goal

As a collaborative team, we will improve student learning in reading/language arts as measured by district summative and the CAASPP state exam.

#### **Basis for this Goal**

English Language Arts is an indicator of student achievement in the Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP), California School Dashboard, and School Accountability Report Card (SARC).

#### **Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes**

Metric/Indicator	Baseline	<b>Expected Outcome</b>
CAASPP ELA Performance	54.26% of students are achieving at the level of standards met on the CAASPP for ELA.	65% of students achieving at the level of standards met on the CAASPP for ELA.
District MAPS ELA Summative Assessment	47.14% of students were proficient on district MAPS ELA Summative Assessment.	40% of students will be proficient on the ELA MAPS assessments.
English learner reclassification rate	6.2% of students were reclassified as Fluent English Proficient.	10% of students will be reclassified to Fluent English proficient.
English Learner progress toward English proficiency	33.8% making progress towards English language proficiency.	Increase 10% of English Learner students demonstrating progress toward English proficiency on the ELPAC over the previous baseline.

#### Planned Strategies/Activities

#### Strategy/Activity 1

Purchase of Language and Literacy Software

#### Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

Kindergarten - 2nd grade students

#### **Timeline**

September 2020

#### Person(s) Responsible

Maritza Koeppen

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

Amount 10,837.00

Source Title I

**Budget Reference** 5000-5999: Services And Other Operating Expenditures

**Description** Imagine Learning Language and Literacy licenses for TK - 2nd grade students.

#### Strategy/Activity 2

Additional Hour for Language Support

#### Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

English learner students

#### **Timeline**

June 2021

#### Person(s) Responsible

Maritza Koeppen

#### Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

Amount 6375.00

Source Title III

Budget Reference 1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries

**Description** Additional Hour for EL Coordinator

Amount 2125.00

Source Title III

Budget Reference 3000-3999: Employee Benefits

Description Benefits for EL Coordinator

Amount 2597.00

Source Title III

Budget Reference 4000-4999: Books And Supplies

Description Instructional materials and supplies

# Strategy/Activity 3

Professional Development and Instructional Planning

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

ΑII

Timeline

June 2021

# Person(s) Responsible

Maritza Koeppen

#### Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

Toposca Experianta	res for this Gualegy/Activity
Amount	5000.00
Source	Title II Part A: Improving Teacher Quality
Budget Reference	5000-5999: Services And Other Operating Expenditures
Description	Induction for two teachers.
Amount	1813.00
Source	Title II Part A: Improving Teacher Quality
Budget Reference	1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries
Description	Substitutes for Professional Development and Planning for Teachers.
Amount	1473.00
Source	Title I
Budget Reference	1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries
Description	Substitutes for Professional Development and Planning for Teachers.

# Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

#### Goal 2

#### Subject

Mathematics

#### **Goal Statement**

#### **LCAP Goal**

As a collaborative team, we will improve student learning in mathematics as measured by district summative and the CAASPP state exam.

#### Basis for this Goal

Mathematics is an indicator of student achievement in the Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP), California School Dashboard, and School Accountability Report Card (SARC).

#### **Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes**

Metric/Indicator	Baseline	Expected Outcome
CAASPP Math Performance	39.24% of students met or exceeded	45% of students achieving at the level of standards met or exceeded on the Math CAASPP assessment.
District MAPS Assement	44.76% of students are proficient on the MAPS Mathematics Summative Assessment.	35% of students will be proficient on the MAPS Mathematics Summative Assessment.

#### **Planned Strategies/Activities**

#### Strategy/Activity 1

Math Software Licenses

#### Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

All Students

#### **Timeline**

September 2020

#### Person(s) Responsible

Maritza Koeppen

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

Amount 7704.00

Source Title IV

Budget Reference 5000-5999: Services And Other Operating Expenditures

Description Software Licenses for Imagine Math (TK-2nd), Imagine Math Facts (1st - 8th), and Next

Gen Math (K-8)

#### Strategy/Activity 2

Professional Development and Instructional Planning

#### Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

All

#### **Timeline**

June 2021

#### Person(s) Responsible

Maritza Koeppen

#### Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

Amount 714.00

Source Title IV

Budget Reference 1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries

**Description**Substitutes for teacher professional development and instructional planning.

#### Strategy/Activity 3

Instructional Supplies

#### Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

ΑII

#### **Timeline**

June 2021

#### Person(s) Responsible

Maritza Koeppen

#### **Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity**

Amount 1582.00

Source Title IV

Budget Reference 4000-4999: Books And Supplies

**Description** Instructional supplies for STEAM and technology support.

# Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

#### Goal 3

#### **Subject**

School Climate

#### **Goal Statement**

#### **LCAP Goal**

Improve school climate and parental involvement related to the mission, core values, and goals of the district.

#### **Basis for this Goal**

Parent Engagement and School Climate is an indicator in the Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP), California School Dashboard, and School Accountability Report Card (SARC).

#### **Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes**

Metric/Indicator	Baseline	<b>Expected Outcome</b>
Schoolwide Parent Involvement	65% of parents participated in PTO events, school functions, and as volunteers.	Maintain 65% of parents that volunteer at a PTO event, school event, or in the classroom.
Chronic Absenteeism	7.3% in 18-19	Decrease the percent of chronically absent students by 0.5% over the baseline.
Suspension Rate	0.9% in 18-19	Maintain suspension rate below 3%.
School Culture Survey	Baseline from California Healthy Kids Survey "I feel safe at school" 5th = 75% 6th = 73% 7th = 57% 8th = 77%	Maintain or increase by 5% students answering "strongly agree" or "agree" on the California Healthy Kids Survey to "I feel safe at school"

#### **Planned Strategies/Activities**

#### Strategy/Activity 1

Social Worker Position

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

All students

Timeline

June 2021

Person(s) Responsible

Maritza Koeppen

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

Amount 29,509

Source Title I

Budget Reference 1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries

Description Full Time Social Worker

Amount 6009.00

Source Title I

Budget Reference 3000-3999: Employee Benefits

Description Benefits for social worker.

Amount 29,509

Source Other

Budget Reference 1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries

Description Full Time Social Worker

Amount 6009.00

Source Other

Budget Reference 3000-3999: Employee Benefits

**Description** Benefits for social worker.

Strategy/Activity 2

Substitutes for MTSS Training

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

MTSS Leadership Team

**Timeline** 

June 2021

Person(s) Responsible

Maritza Koeppen

**Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity** 

Amount 5000.00

Source Other

Budget Reference 1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries

**Description** Substitutes for MTSS leadership team meetings and training.

Amount 400.00

Source Other

Budget Reference 5000-5999: Services And Other Operating Expenditures

**Description** Mileage and workshop reimbursement expenses.

#### Strategy/Activity 3

Professional Development

#### Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

All

#### **Timeline**

January 2021

#### Person(s) Responsible

Maritza Koeppen

#### Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

Amount 1043.00

Source Other

Budget Reference 5000-5999: Services And Other Operating Expenditures

**Description** Equity Conference for MTSS Leadership Team

#### Strategy/Activity 4

Instructional materials and supplies.

#### Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

All

#### **Timeline**

June 2021

#### Person(s) Responsible

Maritza Koeppen/Social Worker

#### Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

Amount 5039.00

Source Other

Budget Reference 4000-4999: Books And Supplies

Description MTSS and PBIS Instructional Materials and Supplies

#### Strategy/Activity 5

Parent Engagement Activities

#### Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

All

#### **Timeline**

June 2021

#### Person(s) Responsible

Maritza Koeppen/Social Worker

#### Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

Amount 600.00

Source Title I

Budget Reference 5800: Professional/Consulting Services And Operating Expenditures

**Description** Parent workshops and celebrations throughout the year.

#### Strategy/Activity 6

**Outside Intervention Support** 

#### Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

Support for homeless, foster youth, and at risk students

#### **Timeline**

June 2021

#### Person(s) Responsible

Maritza Koeppen/Social Worker

#### Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

Amount 600.00

Source Title I

Budget Reference 4000-4999: Books And Supplies

Description Supplies and resources for homeless, foster, or at risk youth.

# **Annual Review and Update**

SPSA Year Reviewed: 2019-20

#### Goal 1

As a collaborative team, we will improve student learning in reading/language arts as measured by district summative and the CAASPP state exam.

#### **Annual Measurable Outcomes**

Metric/Indicator	<b>Expected Outcomes</b>	<b>Actual Outcomes</b>
CAASPP ELA Performance	65% of students achieving at the level of standards met on the CAASPP for ELA.	No reports due to suspension of California's English language arts assessments.
District MAPS ELA Summative Assessment	40% of students will be proficient on the ELA MAPS assessments.	30% of students were proficient in language and 30% of students were proficient in reading during the winter testing window.
English learner reclassification rate	10% of students will be reclassified to Fluent English proficient.	6.2% of students were reclassified.
English Learner progress toward English proficiency	Increase 10% of English Learner students demonstrating progress toward English proficiency on the ELPAC over the 2018-2019 baseline.	No reports due to the suspension of the English Language Proficiency Assessments for California (ELPAC) assessments.

# Strategies/Activities for Goal 1

Planned Actions/Services	Actual Actions/Services	Proposed Expenditures	Estimated Actual Expenditures
Purchase of Language and Literacy Software	Imagine Literacy and Language Software	Imagine Learning licenses for kindergarten and first grade students. 5000-5999: Services And Other Operating Expenditures Title I 2434.00	Imagine Learning licenses for K/1 5000- 5999: Services And Other Operating Expenditures Title I 8434.00
Additional Intervention Time	Additional intervention time with instructional aide.	Additional 30 minutes for instructional aide intervention Monday thru Thursday. 2000-2999: Classified Personnel Salaries Title III 1142.00	Additional 30 minutes for instructional aide intervention Monday thru Thursday. 2000-2999: Classified Personnel Salaries Title III 1142.00
Additional Hour for Language Support	Additional hour for EL Coordinator.	Additional Hour for EL Coordinator 1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries Title III 6375.00	Additional Hour for EL Coordinator 1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries Title III 6400.00
Professional Development	PLC at Work	PLC training for special education teacher, 1st	PLC training for special education teacher, 1st

Planned Actions/Services	Actual Actions/Services	Proposed Expenditures	Estimated Actual Expenditures
		grade teacher, 2nd grade teacher, and superintendent/principal 5000-5999: Services And Other Operating Expenditures Title II Part A: Improving Teacher Quality 2756.00	grade teacher, 2nd grade teacher, and superintendent/principal 5000-5999: Services And Other Operating Expenditures Title II Part A: Improving Teacher Quality 2764.00
Travel and Conference Expenses	Travel and Conference Expenses	Mileage and parking reimbursement for professional development training. 5000-5999: Services And Other Operating Expenditures Title II Part A: Improving Teacher Quality 1000.00	Mileage and parking reimbursement for professional development training. 5000-5999: Services And Other Operating Expenditures Title II Part A: Improving Teacher Quality 1300.00

#### **Analysis**

Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal. Implementation of the strategies and activities were completed.

Describe the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal as measured by the school. Goals were not achieved due to COVID 19 school closures in the spring.

Explain any material differences between the Proposed Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures.

There were no material differences between the proposed expenditures and estimated actual expenditures.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

The annual outcomes will be the same as the 2019-2020 school year for the 2020-2021 school year as we were unable to fully complete the school year to measure the results.

# **Annual Review and Update**

SPSA Year Reviewed: 2019-20

#### Goal 2

As a collaborative team, we will improve student learning in mathematics as measured by district summative and the CAASPP state exam.

#### **Annual Measurable Outcomes**

Metric/Indicator	<b>Expected Outcomes</b>	Actual Outcomes
CAASPP Math Performance	45% of students achieving at the level of standards met or exceeded on the Math CAASPP assessment.	No reports due to suspension of California's mathematics assessments.
District MAPS Assement	35% of students will be proficient on the MAPS Mathematics Summative Assessment.	21% of students were proficient on the MAPS Mathematics Summative Assessment during the Winter administration.

#### Strategies/Activities for Goal 2

Planned	Actual	Proposed	Estimated Actual
Actions/Services	Actions/Services	Expenditures	Expenditures
Math Software Licenses	Blue Print, Imagine Math Facts, and Next Gen Math licences purchased.	Software Licenses for Blue Print, Imagine Math Facts, and Next Gen Math 5000-5999: Services And Other Operating Expenditures Title I 8200.00	Software Licenses for Blue Print, Imagine Math Facts, and Next Gen Math 5000-5999: Services And Other Operating Expenditures Title I 6411.00

#### **Analysis**

Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

The overall implementation of the programs were average. Some classrooms used the programs more than others, especially Imagine Math Facts and Next Gen.

Describe the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal as measured by the school. Goals were not achieved due to COVID 19 school closures in the spring.

Explain any material differences between the Proposed Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures.

There was a small material difference because Imagine Math purchased Blueprint and significant discount was applied.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

The annual outcomes will be the same as the 2019-2020 school year for the 2020-2021 school year as we were unable to fully complete the school year to measure the results.

# **Annual Review and Update**

SPSA Year Reviewed: 2019-20

#### Goal 3

Improve school climate and parental involvement related to the mission, core values, and goals of the district.

#### **Annual Measurable Outcomes**

Metric/Indicator	<b>Expected Outcomes</b>	<b>Actual Outcomes</b>
Schoolwide Parent Involvement	Maintain 65% of parents that volunteer at a PTO event, school event, or in the classroom.	65% of parents attended Back to School Night and 85% of parents attended the Fall Festival. We did not have Open House due to the COVID 19 school closures in the spring.
Chronic Absenteeism	Decrease the percent of chronically absent students by 0.5% over the baseline.	Chronic absenteeism was reduced by 0.4%.
Suspension Rate	Maintain suspension rate below 3%.	The suspension rate declined by 2.9%.
School Culture Survey	Maintain or increase by 5% students answering "strongly agree" or "agree" on the California Healthy Kids Survey to "I feel safe at school"	The California Healthy Kids Survey was not administered due to the COVID 19 school closures in the spring.

#### Strategies/Activities for Goal 3

Planned Actions/Services	Actual Actions/Services	Proposed Expenditures	Estimated Actual Expenditures
Social Worker Position	Social Worker Position	Part Time Social Worker 1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries Title I 26144.25	Full Time Social Worker 1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries Title I 27000.00
Substitutes for MTSS Training	Substitutes for MRSS training and meetings.	Substitutes for MTSS leadership team meetings. 1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries Title IV 1320.00	Substitutes for MTSS leadership team meetings. 1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries Title IV 1320.00
Professional Development	RTI at Work Institute for Tier III specialists.	RTI at Work Institute for reading specialist and intervention teacher, including registration, mileage, meals, and parking. 5000-5999: Services And Other	RTI at Work Institute for reading specialist and intervention teacher, including registration, mileage, meals, and parking. 5000-5999: Services And Other

Planned Actions/Services	Actual Actions/Services	Proposed Expenditures	Estimated Actual Expenditures
		Operating Expenditures Title IV 1678.00	Operating Expenditures Title IV 1650.00
SEL Curriculum		Second Step Curriculum K-8 4000-4999: Books And Supplies Title IV 3112.00	Second Step Curriculum K-8 4000-4999: Books And Supplies Title IV 3000
Parent Engagement Activities		Parent workshops and celebrations throughout the year. 5800: Professional/Consulting Services And Operating Expenditures Title I 1000.00	Parent workshops and celebrations throughout the year. 5800: Professional/Consulting Services And Operating Expenditures Title I 0

#### **Analysis**

Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

The overall implementation was good.

Describe the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal as measured by the school. The strategies were effective for students, however, we would have implemented a Literacy Fair in April to demonstrate literacy strategies for parents, along with a book fair that was cancelled due to the coronavirus pandemic. More can be done with parent involvement.

Explain any material differences between the Proposed Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures.

There were no material differences between the Proposed Expenditures and the Estimated Actual Expenditures.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

We will have less in person meetings and more online, which has increased engagement for parents. Parents have used Zoom to connect with our school, along with Class Dojo to learn more about events and activities during this pandemic.

# **Budget Summary and Consolidation**

The Budget Summary is required for schools funded through the ConApp. The Consolidation of Funds is required for a school receiving funds allocated through the ConApp and consolidating those funds as part of a schoolwide program.

#### **Budget Summary**

#### **Description**

Amount

Total Funds Provided to the School Through the Consolidated Application

Total Funds Budgeted for Strategies to Meet the Goals in the SPSA

80,477.00	
123,938.00	

#### **Allocations by Funding Source**

**Funding Source** 

**Amount** 

Balance

# **Expenditures by Funding Source**

#### **Funding Source**

Other		
Title I		
Title II Part A: Improving Teacher Quality		
Title III		
Title IV		

#### **Amount**

47,000.00	
49,028.00	
6,813.00	
11,097.00	
10,000.00	

# **Expenditures by Budget Reference**

#### **Budget Reference**

1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries
3000-3999: Employee Benefits
4000-4999: Books And Supplies
5000-5999: Services And Other Operating Expenditures
5800: Professional/Consulting Services And Operating Expenditures

#### Amount

74,393.00	
14,143.00	
9,818.00	
24,984.00	
600.00	

# **Expenditures by Budget Reference and Funding Source**

Budget Reference	Funding Source	Amount
1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries	Other	34,509.00
3000-3999: Employee Benefits	Other	6,009.00
4000-4999: Books And Supplies	Other	5,039.00
5000-5999: Services And Other Operating Expenditures	Other	1,443.00
1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries	Title I	30,982.00
3000-3999: Employee Benefits	Title I	6,009.00
4000-4999: Books And Supplies	Title I	600.00
5000-5999: Services And Other Operating Expenditures	Title I	10,837.00
5800: Professional/Consulting Services And Operating Expenditures	Title I	600.00
1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries	Title II Part A: Improving Teacher Quality	1,813.00
5000-5999: Services And Other Operating Expenditures	Title II Part A: Improving Teacher Quality	5,000.00
1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries	Title III	6,375.00
3000-3999: Employee Benefits	Title III	2,125.00
4000-4999: Books And Supplies	Title III	2,597.00
1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries	Title IV	714.00
4000-4999: Books And Supplies	Title IV	1,582.00
5000-5999: Services And Other Operating Expenditures	Title IV	7,704.00

# **School Site Council Membership**

California Education Code describes the required composition of the School Site Council (SSC). The SSC shall be composed of the principal and representatives of: teachers selected by teachers at the school; other school personnel selected by other school personnel at the school; parents of pupils attending the school selected by such parents; and, in secondary schools, pupils selected by pupils attending the school. The current make-up of the SSC is as follows:

- 1 School Principal
- 2 Classroom Teachers
- 1 Other School Staff
- 0 Parent or Community Members
- 0 Secondary Students

#### Name of Members

Role

Dr. Maritza Koeppen	Principal	
Carol Watson	Classroom Teacher	Ţ.
Erin Eulberg	Classroom Teacher	
Kim Gonzalez	Other School Staff	TE

At elementary schools, the school site council must be constituted to ensure parity between (a) the principal, classroom teachers, and other school personnel, and (b) parents of students attending the school or other community members. Classroom teachers must comprise a majority of persons represented under section (a). At secondary schools there must be, in addition, equal numbers of parents or other community members selected by parents, and students. Members must be selected by their peer group.

#### **Recommendations and Assurances**

The School Site Council (SSC) recommends this school plan and proposed expenditures to the district governing board for approval and assures the board of the following:

The SSC is correctly constituted and was formed in accordance with district governing board policy and state law.

The SSC reviewed its responsibilities under state law and district governing board policies, including those board policies relating to material changes in the School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) requiring board approval.

The SSC sought and considered all recommendations from the following groups or committees before adopting this plan:

Signature

Committee or Advisory Group Name

**English Learner Advisory Committee** 

The SSC reviewed the content requirements for school plans of programs included in this SPSA and believes all such content requirements have been met, including those found in district governing board policies and in the local educational agency plan.

This SPSA is based on a thorough analysis of student academic performance. The actions proposed herein form a sound, comprehensive, coordinated plan to reach stated school goals to improve student academic performance.

This SPSA was adopted by the SSC at a public meeting on 1/6/2021.

Attested

Principal, Dr. Maritza Koeppen on 1/6/2021

SSC Chairperson, Carol Watson on 1/6/2021