Edward W. Bok Academy North Assessment Policy



Philosophy

At Edward W Bok Academy North, we believe assessment should facilitate learning by promoting higher-order thinking skills and providing timely feedback to students which allows them to reflect on their learning. The assessment determines the learner's levels of understanding, using both formative and summative assessments.

We believe the purpose of assessments should:

- Identify students' strengths and weaknesses in the content subject area through their demonstration of knowledge by showing what they know, understand, and can do.
- Meet the needs of students at particular ages and stages of development.
- Support and encourages effective teaching and learning.
- Guide instructional practice

Principles of assessment:

- · Assessment is the key to planning, teaching, and learning.
- Assessment practices are clear to all members of the community (teachers, parents, and students).
- There is a balance between formative and summative assessment.
- There are opportunities for both peer and self-assessment.
- There are opportunities for students to reflect on their own learning.
- Before starting new learning, teachers assess students' current knowledge and experience.
- Teachers provide students with feedback for future learning.
- · Reporting to parents is meaningful.

Common Practices in Using MYP Assessment Criteria and Determining Achievement Levels Students are assessed using formative and summative assessments. The formative assessments are used to gain information to guide teaching and improve student performance. Formative assessments are ongoing throughout the unit of study that provides feedback to students to make self-adjustments prior to the end of the unit of study. Examples of formative assessments may include pre-tests, quizzes, writing samples, entrance and exit tickets, etc. The summative assessments occur towards the end of a unit of study. The summative assessments are used to determine each student's level of achievement in the Middle Years Program (MYP) subject-area objectives, Benchmark Assessments devised by the staff, STAR, MAPS, Reading Plus, and The new Florida Standard Assessment. Examples of summative assessment may include essays, examinations, presentations and performances, projects, etc. To determine a student's achievement level, teachers use a criterion-related approach. This means that

student performance is measured against prespecified assessment criteria based on the aims and objectives of each subject area. With criterion-related assessment, all learners have the same target of exceeding standards. The criterion-related assessment focuses on students as individuals and tells learners what they are supposed to know, understand, and do. Since not every student is able to master all aspects of a topic at the same pace, success is defined at given levels and measured against set objectives. Teachers structure assessment tasks that allow learners to demonstrate achievement according to the required MYP objectives within each subject group. Each subject criterion has been assessed a minimum of three times each year. Students are made aware of the MYP objectives at the beginning of a unit and will understand how they are being assessed using MYP criteria prior to completing an assessment.

The Subject Criteria are:

Subject Criteria	Language & Literature	Individuals & Societies	Mathematics	Sciences
Criterion A	Analyzing	Knowledge & Understanding	Knowledge & Understanding	Knowledge & Understanding
Criterion B	Organizing	Investigating	Investigating Patterns	Inquiring & Designing
Criterion C	Producing Text	Communicating	Communicating	Processing & Evaluating
Criterion D	Using Language	Thinking Critically	Applying Mathematics in Real-Life Contexts	Reflecting on the Impacts of Science

Subject Criteria	Language Acquisition	Physical Education & Health	Design	Arts
Criterion A	Comprehen ding Spoken & Visual Text	Knowing & Understanding	Inquiring & Analyzing	Knowledge & Understanding
Criterion B	Comprehen ding Written & Visual Text	Planning for Performance	Developing Ideas	Developing Skills

Criterion C	Communic ating in Response to Spoken, Written & Visual Text	Applying & Performing	Creating the Solution	Thinking Creatively
Criterion D	Using Language in Spoken & Written Form	Reflecting and Improving	Evaluating	Responding

Achievement Level

Achievement Level Percentage Traditional Grade

4 Criteria 3 Criteria 2 Criteria 1 Criterion

High Degree 95-100 28-25 21-19 14-13 7 90-94			
Substantial Substantial 24-21 18-16 12-11 6 85-89 20-17 15-13 10-9 5 80-84			
Adequate 77-79 12-9 9-7 6-5 3 74-76			
8-5 6-4 4-3 2 Minimal 70-73			
Minimal 51-69 _{0 0 0} Student does not			
reach a standard ⁰⁻⁵⁰			

Common Practices in Recording and Reporting Student Achievement

- Students are assessed using the Middle Years Programme Subject Criteria.
- Criteria from all eight required subjects are assessed multiple times during the school year. Reported MYP scores are based on more than one assessment task.
- Teachers employing standards-based grading use MYP Criteria for assessments during the year. Teachers use the Year I and III rubrics appropriate to students' years in the program. Seventh-grade teachers use the Year I rubric for the first semester and the Year III rubric during the second

semester.

- MYP scores are awarded according to how well the student has demonstrated mastery of the
 published criteria, using the subject area teacher's professional judgment along with student
 evidence.
- Rubrics are designed by IB and made tasks specified by the teacher as the evaluation tool for
 formative and summative assessments and are created before the unit is taught. They provide
 students with the criteria before the assessment task is assigned and contain specific
 descriptors. Rubrics are intended to provide the learner with feedback when annotated by
 the instructor.

Implementation of Formative and Summative Assessment Consistent with IB Expectations

Teachers assess all content areas (State of Florida Best standards) through formative and summative assessments. In addition to quizzes and tests, other forms of assessment are utilized to provide choices and address a variety of learning styles. Students are exposed to a wide variety of assessment types (written assignments, essays, labs, oral presentations, quizzes, and tests).

Pre-Assessment

- Assists the teacher in planning learning activities for the unit
- Activates prior knowledge
- Accesses what students want to learn about

Formative Assessment

- Interwoven within daily learning and instruction
- Allows the teacher to make necessary adjustments to teaching plans and methods; however, it is not used to determine a final MYP score
- Involves students as they reflect on their own Approaches to Learning

Summative Assessment

- Designed before the unit is taught
- Occurs at the end of the teaching and learning process
- Provides students with opportunities to demonstrate what they have learned
- Addresses a variety of learning styles
- Students are given prior notification of summative assessment tasks to prepare for the assessment²

District Common Assessments

- Subject Area Assessments (Benchmarks)
- Reading Plus (four of the seven schools, MAPS, STAR, Khan Academy, Language Usage, Reading, Science and Math tests

State Common Assessments

- Florida Standards Assessment: Administered to all students statewide three times a year (newly implemented 2022-23)
 - o Grade 6 (MYP 1): English Language Arts and Mathematics
 - o Grade 7 (MYP 2): English Language Arts and Mathematics
 - o Grade 8 (MYP: Administered to eighth grade students in Spring
 - o Grade 8 (MYP3): Coordinate Algebra, Biology, Civics (any CTE exams)
- · Florida Alternate Assessment (FAA): Administered to students with significant cognitive disabilities

the opportunity to demonstrate achievement of the knowledge, concepts and skills from the state academic content standards.

- Grades 6-8 (MYP 1 3): English Language Arts and Mathematics
- Grade 8: Science and Social Studies
- WIDA ACCESS: Assessing Comprehension and Communication in English State to State for English Language Learners) Annually assesses proficiency in reading, writing, listening and speaking of all English Learners K-12.

Assessment Principles and Practices with required systems for Grading and Reporting.

Reporting to Parents

We believe that parents, teachers, and students are partners in education. Open and consistent communication strengthens this partnership. Students and parents are kept informed in the following ways:

- Curriculum Night
- Communication of class-specific information: Remind, Teacher's web pages, Campus online grade book (viewed through the Parent Portal, student's log in to grade book)
- Teacher and/or Administrative emails, phone calls, and meetings
- Progress Reports
- Parent/Teacher Conferences
- Report Cards
- MYP Reports of Progress (two at middle school)
- School displays (Social Media platforms and weekly newsletter)
- IEP: Individual Educational Plan for Special Education students

Grading Policy

Each subject area teacher's grading policy and curriculum materials will be communicated to parents at the beginning of the school year in course subject syllabus. Every department will be consistent with the 80/20 rule of 80% product, 20% practice.

End-of-Semester MYP Reporting

A summative semester end score, given for each criterion within each subject area, reflects a student's level of demonstrated competence. Scores for each criterion are reported as whole numbers, using the 1-8 scale. MYP criteria scores may be communicated apart from the MYP report of progress. Students who complete the requirements of the Middle Years Programme, including completion of the Community Service Project, receive a certificate of completion at the end of MYP 3.

Communication Plan

The Assessment Policy will be made available on our schools' websites and will be shared with parents and students at the beginning of each school year.

References:

Bloomfield.org. (2019). [online] Available at:

https://www.bloomfield.org/uploaded/Parents_Students/IB/MYP_AssessmentPolicy.pdf [Accessed 8 Jun. 2019]. Footnotes 1-3.

Brody Middle School. (2019). IB - Assessment Policy - Brody Middle School. [online] Available at: https://brody.dmschools.org/resources/ib-assessment-policy/ [Accessed 8 Jun. 2019].

Ogden International School of Chicago. (2019). MYP Assessment Policy. [online] Available at: http://ogden.cps.edu/myp-assessment-policy.html [Accessed 8 Jun. 2019].