

School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA)

School Name	County-District-School	Schoolsite Council	Local Board Approval
	(CDS) Code	(SSC) Approval Date	Date
Delta High School	42-69310-4231452		

The School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) is a strategic plan that maximizes the resources available to the school while minimizing duplication of effort with the ultimate goal of increasing student achievement. SPSA development should be aligned with and inform the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) process.

This SPSA template consolidates all school-level planning efforts into one plan for programs funded through the Consolidated Application (ConApp), and for federal Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI), pursuant to California Education Code (EC) Section 64001 and the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) as amended by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA). This template is designed to meet schoolwide program planning requirements for both the SPSA and federal ATSI planning requirements.

California's ESSA State Plan supports the state's approach to improving student group performance through the utilization of federal resources. Schools use the SPSA to document their approach to maximizing the impact of federal investments in support of underserved students. The implementation of ESSA in California presents an opportunity for schools to innovate

with their federally-funded programs and align them with the priority goals of the school and the local educational agency (LEA) that are being realized under the state's Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF).

The LCFF provides schools and LEAs flexibility to design programs and provide services that meet the needs of students in order to achieve readiness for college, career, and lifelong learning. The SPSA planning process supports continuous cycles of action, reflection, and improvement. Consistent with EC 64001(g)(1), the Schoolsite Council (SSC) is required to develop and annually review the SPSA, establish an annual budget, and make modifications to the plan that reflect changing needs and priorities, as applicable.

This plan is being used by Delta High School for meeting the following ESSA planning requirements in alignment with the LCAP and other federal, state, and local programs:

Schoolwide Program

This template is based on the December, 2023 CDE revision of the School Plan for Student Achievement. Some modifications have been made to inform the SPSA development process.

Table of Contents

SPSA Title Page	1
Table of Contents	3
Plan Description	4
Educational Partner Involvement	4
Resource Inequities	4
Comprehensive Needs Assessment Components	4
California School Dashboard (Dashboard) Indicators	4
Other Needs	4
School and Student Performance Data	6
Student Enrollment	6
CAASPP Results	8
ELPAC Results	12
California School Dashboard	15
Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures	32
Goal 1	32
Goal 2	35
Goal 3	38
Goal 4	40
Goal 5	44
Budget Summary	47
Budget Summary	47
Other Federal, State, and Local Funds	47
Budgeted Funds and Expenditures in this Plan	48
Funds Budgeted to the School by Funding Source	48
Expenditures by Funding Source	48
Expenditures by Budget Reference	48
Expenditures by Budget Reference and Funding Source	48
Expenditures by Goal	48
School Site Council Membership	49
Recommendations and Assurances	50
Instructions	51
Appendix A: Plan Requirements	58
Appendix B: Plan Requirements for School to CSI/ATSI Planning Requirements	61
Appendix C: Select State and Federal Programs	64

Plan Description

Briefly describe your school's plan for effectively meeting ESSA's planning requirements in alignment with the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) and other federal, state, and local programs.

This plan is being used by Delta High School for meeting the following ESSA planning requirements in alignment with the LCAP and other federal, state, and local programs:

Schoolwide Program

Delta High School staff brought together students, parents, staff, and community members to support the LCAP goals and support Delta's mission and vision statements.

Educational Partner Involvement

How, when, and with whom did Delta High School consult as part of the planning process for this SPSA/Annual Review and Update?

Involvement Process for the SPSA and Annual Review and Update

Delta staff provided input on the SPSA during various Staff Meetings and student input was garnered through student advisory committees. Following the input of these bodies, Delta's School Site Council met several times to garner input, review, update, and finally approve the SPSA.

Resource Inequities

Briefly identify and describe any resource inequities identified as a result of the required needs assessment, as applicable.

This section is required for all schools eligible for ATSI and CSI. None identified.

Comprehensive Needs Assessment Components

Identify and describe any areas that need significant improvement based on a review of Dashboard and local data, including any areas of low performance and significant performance gaps among student groups on Dashboard indicators, and any steps taken to address those areas.

California School Dashboard (Dashboard) Indicators

Referring to the California School Dashboard (Dashboard), any state indicator for which overall performance was in the "Red" or "Orange" performance category.

Referring to the California School Dashboard (Dashboard), any state indicator for which performance for any student group was two or more performance levels below the "all student" performance.

Other Needs

In addition to Dashboard data, other needs may be identified using locally collected data developed by the LEA to measure pupil outcomes.

Student Enrollment

This report displays the annual K-12 public school enrollment by student ethnicity and grade level for Delta High School. Annual enrollment consists of the number of students enrolled on Census Day (the first Wednesday in October). This information was submitted to the CDE as part of the annual Fall 1 data submission in the California Longitudinal Pupil Achievement Data System (CALPADS).

	Stu	udent Enrollme	ent by Subgroup)				
	Per	cent of Enrolli	ment	Number of Students				
Student Group	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23		
American Indian	1.1%	1.05%	1.17%	4	4	4		
African American	1.1%	0.26%	1.47%	4	1	5		
Asian	0.3%	%	0%	1		0		
Filipino	0.3%	0.26%	0.29%	1	1	1		
Hispanic/Latino	87.9%	89.47%	84.16%	327	340	287		
Pacific Islander	%	%	0%			0		
White	7.5%	8.68%	11.73%	28	33	40		
Multiple/No Response	0.5%	%	0.88%	2		3		
		То	tal Enrollment	372	380	341		

Enrollment By Student Group

Enrollment By Grade Level

	Student Enrollme	nt by Grade Level								
Questa	Number of Students									
Grade	20-21	21-22	22-23							
Grade 10			2							
Grade 11	80	109	70							
Grade 12	292	271	269							
Total Enrollment	372	380	341							

- **1.** Enrollment is high for continuation high school
- 2. Students are overwhelmingly Latino
- **3.** The majority of our enrollment are seniors

English Learner (EL) Enrollment

This report displays the annual K-12 public school enrollment by English Language Acquisition Status (ELAS). This information was submitted to the CDE as part of the annual Fall 1 data submission in the California Longitudinal Pupil Achievement Data System (CALPADS).

English Learner (EL) Enrollment										
Of a loss of Opener	Num	ber of Stud	lents	Percent of Students						
Student Group	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23				
English Learners (EL)	112	86	83	30.10%	22.6%	24.3%				
Fluent English Proficient (FEP)	147	168	145	39.50%	44.2%	42.5%				
Reclassified Fluent English Proficient (RFEP)	2			1.8%						

- 1. EL student percentages are higher than district average
- 2. FEP students are relatively steady
- 3. Our RFEP percentage is decreasing

CAASPP Results English Language Arts/Literacy (All Students)

The Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for ELA and mathematics are an annual measure of what students know and can do using the Common Core State Standards for English language arts/literacy and mathematics.

The purpose of the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments is to assess student knowledge and skills for English language arts/literacy (ELA) and mathematics, as well as how much students have improved since the previous year. These measures help identify and address gaps in knowledge or skills early so students get the support they need for success in higher grades and for college and career readiness.

All students in grades three through eight and grade eleven take the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments unless a student's active individualized education program (IEP) designates the California Alternate Assessments.

Visit the California Department of Education's <u>Smarter Balanced Assessment System</u> web page for more information.

				Overall	Participa	ation for	All Stude	ents				
Grade Level	# of St	udents E	nrolled	# of Students Tested			# of Students with Scores			% of Enrolled Students Tested		
Level	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23
Grade 11	158	211	154	0	168	148	0	168	148	0.0	79.6	96.1
All Grades	158	211	154	0	168	148	0	168	148	0.0	79.6	96.1

The "% of Enrolled Students Tested" showing in this table is not the same as "Participation Rate" for federal accountability purposes.

	Overall Achievement for All Students														
Grade Level	Mean Scale Score				% Standard Exceeded		% Standard Met			% Standard Nearly Met			% Standard Not Met		
	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23
Grade 11		2458.	2453.		4.17	0.68		5.95	9.46		19.64	18.24		70.24	71.62
All Grades	N/A	N/A	N/A		4.17	0.68		5.95	9.46		19.64	18.24		70.24	71.62

In order to protect student privacy, an asterisk (*) will be displayed for enrolled and tested counts for fewer than 4 students and for assessment results for fewer than 11 students. "N/A" will be displayed instead of a number on test results where no data is found for the specific report.

Reading Demonstrating understanding of literary and non-fictional texts											
	% At	ove Stan	dard	% At o	r Near St	andard	% Below Standard				
Grade Level	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23		
Grade 11		5.95	5.41		45.24	48.65		48.81	45.95		
All Grades		5.95	5.41		45.24	48.65		48.81	45.95		

In order to protect student privacy, an asterisk (*) will be displayed for enrolled and tested counts for fewer than 4 students and for assessment results for fewer than 11 students. "N/A" will be displayed instead of a number on test results where no data is found for the specific report.

Writing Producing clear and purposeful writing										
	% At	ove Stan	ndard	% At o	r Near St	andard	% Below Standard			
Grade Level	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	
Grade 11		2.99	2.03		24.55	21.62		72.46	76.35	
All Grades		2.99	2.03		24.55	21.62		72.46	76.35	

In order to protect student privacy, an asterisk (*) will be displayed for enrolled and tested counts for fewer than 4 students and for assessment results for fewer than 11 students. "N/A" will be displayed instead of a number on test results where no data is found for the specific report.

Listening Demonstrating effective communication skills											
	% At	ove Stan	dard	% At o	r Near St	andard	% Below Standard				
Grade Level	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23		
Grade 11		1.79	2.03		66.67	70.95		31.55	27.03		
All Grades		1.79	2.03		66.67	70.95		31.55	27.03		

In order to protect student privacy, an asterisk (*) will be displayed for enrolled and tested counts for fewer than 4 students and for assessment results for fewer than 11 students. "N/A" will be displayed instead of a number on test results where no data is found for the specific report.

Research/Inquiry Investigating, analyzing, and presenting information											
Que de Levrel	% At	ove Stan	dard	% At o	r Near St	andard	% Below Standard				
Grade Level	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23		
Grade 11		2.38	2.70		63.10	65.54		34.52	31.76		
All Grades		2.38	2.70		63.10	65.54		34.52	31.76		

In order to protect student privacy, an asterisk (*) will be displayed for enrolled and tested counts for fewer than 4 students and for assessment results for fewer than 11 students. "N/A" will be displayed instead of a number on test results where no data is found for the specific report.

- 1. Students come to Delta as Juniors and Seniors struggling with reading literacy and competency.
- 2. Producing clear and purposeful writing is the weakest areas for almost all students.

CAASPP Results Mathematics (All Students)

The Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for ELA and mathematics are an annual measure of what students know and can do using the Common Core State Standards for English language arts/literacy and mathematics.

The purpose of the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments is to assess student knowledge and skills for English language arts/literacy (ELA) and mathematics, as well as how much students have improved since the previous year. These measures help identify and address gaps in knowledge or skills early so students get the support they need for success in higher grades and for college and career readiness.

All students in grades three through eight and grade eleven take the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments unless a student's active individualized education program (IEP) designates the California Alternate Assessments.

Visit the California Department of Education's <u>Smarter Balanced Assessment System</u> web page for more information.

				Overall	Participa	ation for	All Stud	ents				
Grade	# of St	udents E	nrolled	# of Students Tested			# of Students with Scores			% of Enrolled Students Tested		
Level	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23
Grade 11	158	211	155	0	165	148	0	165	148	0.0	78.2	95.5
All Grades	158	211	155	0	165	148	0	165	148	0.0	78.2	95.5

* The "% of Enrolled Students Tested" showing in this table is not the same as "Participation Rate" for federal accountability purposes.

	Overall Achievement for All Students														
Grade	Mean	Scale	Score		Standa xceede		% St	andard	l Met	% Sta	ndard I Met	Nearly	% St	andarc Met	l Not
Level	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23
Grade 11		2418.	2416.		0.00	0.00		0.61	0.00		5.45	5.41		93.94	94.59
All Grades	N/A	N/A	N/A		0.00	0.00		0.61	0.00		5.45	5.41		93.94	94.59

In order to protect student privacy, an asterisk (*) will be displayed for enrolled and tested counts for fewer than 4 students and for assessment results for fewer than 11 students. "N/A" will be displayed instead of a number on test results where no data is found for the specific report.

	Applying		epts & Pr atical con			ures			
	% At	ove Stan	dard	% At o	r Near St	andard	% Ве	elow Stan	dard
Grade Level	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23
Grade 11		0.00	0.00		11.52	6.76		88.48	93.24
All Grades		0.00	0.00		11.52	6.76		88.48	93.24

In order to protect student privacy, an asterisk (*) will be displayed for enrolled and tested counts for fewer than 4 students and for assessment results for fewer than 11 students. "N/A" will be displayed instead of a number on test results where no data is found for the specific report.

Using appropriate			g & Mode es to solv				ical probl	ems	
	% At	ove Stan	dard	% At o	r Near St	andard	% Ве	low Stan	dard
Grade Level	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23
Grade 11		0.61	0.00		44.85	45.27		54.55	54.73
All Grades		0.61	0.00		44.85	45.27		54.55	54.73

In order to protect student privacy, an asterisk (*) will be displayed for enrolled and tested counts for fewer than 4 students and for assessment results for fewer than 11 students. "N/A" will be displayed instead of a number on test results where no data is found for the specific report.

Demo	onstrating			Reasonir mathem		clusions			
Orresta Laural	% At	ove Stan	dard	% At o	r Near St	andard	% Ве	elow Stan	dard
Grade Level	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	3.94 52.03 46.06	22-23		
Grade 11		0.00	0.00		53.94	52.03		46.06	47.97
All Grades		0.00	0.00		53.94	52.03		46.06	47.97

In order to protect student privacy, an asterisk (*) will be displayed for enrolled and tested counts for fewer than 4 students and for assessment results for fewer than 11 students. "N/A" will be displayed instead of a number on test results where no data is found for the specific report.

- 1. Delta students struggle with even the most basic math concepts when entering our program.
- 2. Approximately 83% of students are below standard with mathematical problem solving and communicating reasoning.
- **3.** 94% of students are below standard in overall achievement.

The English Language Proficiency Assessments for California (ELPAC) system is used to determine and monitor the progress of the English language proficiency for students whose primary language is not English. The ELPAC is aligned with the 2012 California English Language Development Standards and assesses four domains: listening, speaking, reading, and writing.

Visit the California Department of Education's <u>English Language Proficiency Assessments for California (ELPAC)</u> web page or the <u>ELPAC.org</u> website for more information about the ELPAC.

ELPAC I	Results
---------	---------

	ELPAC Summative Assessment Data Number of Students and Mean Scale Scores for All Students													
Grade		Overall		Ora	I Langua	age	Writt	en Lang	uage		lumber o dents Te			
Level	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23		
11	1551.3	1565.7	1557.4	1545.6	1565.5	1560.3	1556.6	1565.5	1553.9	21	38	17		
12	1560.4	1578.6	1562.9	1561.7	1579.8	1556.6	1558.6	1576.9	1568.4	67	33	61		
All Grades										88	72	78		

In order to protect student privacy, an asterisk (*) will be displayed for enrolled and tested counts for fewer than 4 students and for assessment results for fewer than 11 students. "N/A" will be displayed instead of a number on test results where no data is found for the specific report.

	Overall Language Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students														
Grade Level		Level 4	ļ		Level 3	;		Level 2	2		Level 1			al Num Studer	
	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23
11	5.26	13.16	23.53	31.58	47.37	17.65	63.16	34.21	41.18	0.00	5.26	17.65	19	38	17
12	16.67	12.50	23.33	38.33	46.88	23.33	36.67	37.50	45.00	8.33	3.13	8.33	60	32	60
All Grades	13.92	12.68	23.38	36.71	46.48	22.08	43.04	36.62	44.16	6.33	4.23	10.39	79	71	77

In order to protect student privacy, an asterisk (*) will be displayed for enrolled and tested counts for fewer than 4 students and for assessment results for fewer than 11 students. "N/A" will be displayed instead of a number on test results where no data is found for the specific report.

	Oral Language Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students														
Grade		Level 4	ļ		Level 3	;		Level 2	2		Level 1			al Num Studer	
Level	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23
11	21.05	31.58	29.41	57.89	47.37	35.29	21.05	18.42	23.53	0.00	2.63	11.76	19	38	17
12	35.00	28.13	33.33	48.33	53.13	33.33	15.00	15.63	23.33	1.67	3.13	10.00	60	32	60
All Grades	31.65	29.58	32.47	50.63	49.30	33.77	16.46	18.31	23.38	1.27	2.82	10.39	79	71	77

In order to protect student privacy, an asterisk (*) will be displayed for enrolled and tested counts for fewer than 4 students and for assessment results for fewer than 11 students. "N/A" will be displayed instead of a number on test results where no data is found for the specific report.

	Written Language Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students														
Grade		Level 4	Ļ		Level 3	;		Level 2	2		Level 1			al Num Studer	
Level	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23
11	5.26	2.63	0.00	5.26	26.32	17.65	47.37	57.89	52.94	42.11	13.16	29.41	19	38	17
12	1.67	9.38	6.67	16.67	21.88	18.33	50.00	59.38	58.33	31.67	9.38	16.67	60	32	60
All Grades	2.53	5.63	5.19	13.92	23.94	18.18	49.37	59.15	57.14	34.18	11.27	19.48	79	71	77

In order to protect student privacy, an asterisk (*) will be displayed for enrolled and tested counts for fewer than 4 students and for assessment results for fewer than 11 students. "N/A" will be displayed instead of a number on test results where no data is found for the specific report.

	Listening Domain Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students													
Grade	Wel	ll Develo	ped	Somew	/hat/Mod	erately	E	Beginning	g		tal Numl f Studen			
Level	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23		
11	0.00	15.79	5.88	84.21	76.32	70.59	15.79	7.89	23.53	19	38	17		
12	1.69	6.25	5.00	71.19	87.50	81.67	27.12	6.25	13.33	59	32	60		
All Grades	1.28	11.27	5.19	74.36	81.69	79.22	24.36	7.04	15.58	78	71	77		

In order to protect student privacy, an asterisk (*) will be displayed for enrolled and tested counts for fewer than 4 students and for assessment results for fewer than 11 students. "N/A" will be displayed instead of a number on test results where no data is found for the specific report.

	Speaking Domain Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students													
Grade	Wel	l Develo	ped	Somew	/hat/Mod	erately	E	Beginnin	g		tal Numl f Studen			
Level	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23		
11	78.95	65.79	52.94	15.79	26.32	35.29	5.26	7.89	11.76	19	38	17		
12	88.14	50.00	58.33	10.17	39.29	30.00	1.69	10.71	11.67	59	28	60		
All Grades	85.90	58.21	57.14	11.54	32.84	31.17	2.56	8.96	11.69	78	67	77		

In order to protect student privacy, an asterisk (*) will be displayed for enrolled and tested counts for fewer than 4 students and for assessment results for fewer than 11 students. "N/A" will be displayed instead of a number on test results where no data is found for the specific report.

	Reading Domain Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students													
Grade	Wel	l Develo	ped	Somew	/hat/Mod	erately	E	Beginnin	g		tal Numl f Studen			
Level	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23		
11	10.53	7.89	0.00	36.84	60.53	58.82	52.63	31.58	41.18	19	38	17		
12	11.67	15.63	8.33	48.33	62.50	50.00	40.00	21.88	41.67	60	32	60		
All Grades	11.39	11.27	6.49	45.57	60.56	51.95	43.04	28.17	41.56	79	71	77		

In order to protect student privacy, an asterisk (*) will be displayed for enrolled and tested counts for fewer than 4 students and for assessment results for fewer than 11 students. "N/A" will be displayed instead of a number on test results where no data is found for the specific report.

	Writing Domain Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students											
Grade												
Level	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23
11	10.53	18.42	11.76	78.95	73.68	82.35	10.53	7.89	5.88	19	38	17
12	11.67	9.38	11.67	73.33	81.25	81.67	15.00	9.38	6.67	60	32	60
All Grades	11.39	14.08	11.69	74.68	77.46	81.82	13.92	8.45	6.49	79	71	77

In order to protect student privacy, an asterisk (*) will be displayed for enrolled and tested counts for fewer than 4 students and for assessment results for fewer than 11 students. "N/A" will be displayed instead of a number on test results where no data is found for the specific report.

- 1. Speaking domain is the strongest domain tested
- 2. About half of the students test at oral language level 3
- 3. Oral language results are higher than written language

California School Dashboard Student Population

The 2023 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

This section provides information about the school's student population.

2022-23 Student Population				
Total Enrollment	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	English Learners	Foster Youth	
341	86.2	24.3	1.2	
Total Number of Students enrolled in Delta High School.	Students who are eligible for free or reduced priced meals; or have parents/guardians who did not receive a high school diploma.	Students who are learning to communicate effectively in English, typically requiring instruction in both the English Language and in their academic courses.	Students whose well being is the responsibility of a court.	

2022-23 Enrollment for All Students/Student Group					
Student Group	Total	Percentage			
English Learners	83	24.3			
Foster Youth	4	1.2			
Homeless	94	27.6			
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	294	86.2			
Students with Disabilities	22	6.5			

Enrollment by Race/Ethnicity					
Student Group Total Percentage					
African American	5	1.5			
American Indian	4	1.2			
Filipino	1	0.3			
Hispanic	287	84.2			
Two or More Races	3	0.9			
White	40	11.7			

- **1.** Over 85% of the students are from socioeconomically disadvantaged families
- 2. Almost 90% of the students identify as Hispanic

3. Over 1/4 of the students are categorized as homeless


Overall Performance

The 2023 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words "No Performance Color."





- **1.** Graduation Rate and English Learner progress has improved to the green tier.
- 2. Suspension rate is so low it cannot show improvement.
- 3. English and mathematic indicators are lower than the EL Progress indicator

Academic Performance English Language Arts

The 2023 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words "No Performance Color."







Greer



This section provides number of student groups in each level.

2023 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Equity Report						
Red	Red Orange Yellow Green Blue					
0	2	0	0	0		

This section provides a view of how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the English Language Arts assessment. This measure is based on student performance on either the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment or the California Alternate Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3–8 and grade 11.

2023 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Performance for All Students/Student Group					
All Students	English Learners	Foster Youth			
()	187 points below standard	Less than 11 Students			
Orange	Increased +13.1 points				
111 points below standard		1 Student			
Increased Significantly +63.4 points	14 Students				
63 Students					
Homeless	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	Students with Disabilities			
72.1 points below standard	(Less than 11 Students			
Increased Significantly +124.7 points	Orange				
	113.3 points below standard	3 Students			
16 Students	Increased Significantly +62 points				
	59 Students				

2023 Fall	2023 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Performance by Race/Ethnicity					
African American	American Indian	Asian	Filipino			
Less than 11 Students 3 Students	Less than 11 Students 1 Student	No Performance Color 0 Students	No Performance Color 0 Students			
Hispanic	Two or More Races	Pacific Islander	White			
Orange 116.3 points below standard Increased Significantly +53.5 points 48 Students	Less than 11 Students 1 Student	No Performance Color 0 Students	137.3 points below standard Increased Significantly +93.7 points 11 Students			

This section provides additional information on distance from standard for current English learners, prior or Reclassified English learners, and English Only students in English Language Arts.

2023 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Data Comparisons for English Learners						
Current English Learner	Reclassified English Learners	English Only				
Less than 11 Students	Less than 11 Students	90.1 points below standard				
8 Students	6 Students	Increased Significantly +62.8 points				
		30 Students				

- 1. All indicators had an indicator of "Increased" or "Inreased Significantly"
- 2. All ELA indicators are still very low
- 3. English Learners are shwoing the farthest below standard despite the increase.

Academic Performance Mathematics

The 2023 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words "No Performance Color."







Greer

Blue Highest Performance

This section provides number of student groups in each level.

2023 Fall Dashboard Mathematics Equity Report					
Red	Orange	Yellow	Green	Blue	
0	2	0	0	0	

This section provides a view of how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the Mathematics assessment. This measure is based on student performance either on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment or the California Alternate Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3–8 and grade 11.

2023 Fall Dashboard Mathematics Performance for All Students/Student Group					
All Students	English Learners	Foster Youth			
()	254.5 points below standard	Less than 11 Students			
Orange	Increased +11.3 points				
203.2 points below standard		1 Student			
Increased Significantly +48.2 points	14 Students				
63 Students					
Homeless	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	Students with Disabilities			
181.8 points below standard	(Less than 11 Students			
Increased Significantly +72.3 points	Orange				
	207 points below standard	3 Students			
16 Students	Increased Significantly +43.5 points				
	59 Students				

2023	2023 Fall Dashboard Mathematics Performance by Race/Ethnicity					
African American	American Indian	Asian	Filipino			
Less than 11 Students 3 Students	Less than 11 Students 1 Student	No Performance Color 0 Students	No Performance Color 0 Students			
Hispanic	Two or More Races	Pacific Islander	White			
Orange 212.1 points below standard Increased Significantly +37.6 points 48 Students	Less than 11 Students 1 Student	No Performance Color 0 Students	186.5 points below standard Increased Significantly +91.8 points 11 Students			

This section provides additional information on distance from standard for current English learners, prior or Reclassified English learners, and English Only students in mathematics

2023 Fall Dashboard Mathematics Data Comparisons for English Learners					
Current English Learner	Reclassified English Learners	English Only			
Less than 11 Students	Less than 11 Students	203 points below standard			
8 Students	6 Students	Increased Significantly +45.2 points			
		30 Students			

- 1. All mathematic domains showed "Increased" or "Significantly Increased"
- 2. Reclassified ELwere almost equal in performance to English Only students
- **3.** English Learner Students is the largest group below standard in mathematics

Academic Performance English Learner Progress

The 2023 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words "No Performance Color."

This section provides a view of the percentage of current EL students making progress towards English language proficiency or maintaining the highest level.

2023 Fall Dashboard English Learner Progress		
English Learner Progress		
Green		
47.1% making progress towards Englis language proficiency		
Number of EL Students: 70 Students Performance Level: 3		

This section provides a view of the percentage of current EL students who progressed at least one ELPI level, maintained ELPI level 4, maintained lower ELPI levels (i.e, levels 1, 2L, 2H, 3L, or 3H), or decreased at least one ELPI Level.

2023	2023 Fall Dashboard Student English Language Acquisition Results			
Decreased One ELPI LevelMaintained ELPI Level 1, 2L, 2H, 3L, or 3HMaintained ELPI Level 4Progressed At Least One ELPI Level				
12	23	1	32	

- 1. Only 12 students Decreased One ELPI Level
- 2. Almost half the students Progressed at LEast One ELPI Level
- 3. About a third maintained their ELPI level

Academic Performance College/Career Report

The 2023 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words "No Performance Color."


This section provided information on the percentage of high school graduates who are placed in the "Prepared" level on the College/Career Indicator.



This section provides number of student groups in each level.

2023 Fall Dashboard College/Career Equity Report				
Very High High Medium Low Very Low				
6	0	0	0	0

This section provides information about the percentage of students in kindergarten through grade 8 who are absent 10 percent or more of the instructional days they were enrolled.



2023 Fall Dashboard College/Career Reportby Race/Ethnicity					
African American	American Indian	Asian	Filipino		
Less than 11 Students 5 Students	Less than 11 Students 2 Students	0 Students	Less than 11 Students 1 Student		
Hispanic	Two or More Races	Pacific Islander	White		
0.6 Prepared	Less than 11 Students	0 Students	3.3 Prepared		
311 Students	5 Students		30 Students		

Conclusions based on this data:

1. As Delta does not offer A-G courses, no Student Groups have Indicators above "Very Low"

Academic Engagement

Chronic Absenteeism

The 2023 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words "No Performance Color."










Blue Highest Performance


Lowest Performance

This section provides number of student groups in each level.

2023 Fall Dashboard Chronic Absenteeism Equity Report				
Red	Orange	Yellow	Green	Blue

This section provides information about the percentage of students in kindergarten through grade 8 who are absent 10 percent or more of the instructional days they were enrolled.





Conclusions based on this data:

1. No indicators available for chronic absenteeism

Academic Engagement Graduation Rate

The 2023 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words "No Performance Color."



This section provides number of student groups in each level.

2023 Fall Dashboard Graduation Rate Equity Report				
Red Orange Yellow Green Blue				
0	0	0	4	0

This section provides information about students completing high school, which includes students who receive a standard high school diploma.

2023 Fall Dashboard Graduation Rate for All Students/Student Group			
All Students	English Learners	Foster Youth	
\bigcirc	\bigcirc	93.3% graduated	
Green	Green	Increased Significantly 10	
93.4% graduated	94.3% graduated		
Increased 4.4	Increased Significantly 8.9	15 Students	
365 Students	123 Students		
Homeless	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	Students with Disabilities	
\bigcirc	\bigcirc	84.8% graduated	
Green	Green	Decreased -1.5	
91.4% graduated	93.3% graduated		
Increased 4.2	Increased 4.4	33 Students	
128 Students	358 Students		

2023 Fall Dashboard Graduation Rate by Race/Ethnicity				
African American	American Indian	Asian	Filipino	
Less than 11 Students 5 Students	Less than 11 Students 2 Students	No Performance Color 0 Students	Less than 11 Students 1 Student	
Hispanic	Two or More Races	Pacific Islander	White	
Green	Less than 11 Students	No Performance Color	96.8% graduated Increased Significantly 11.6	
92.8% graduated Increased 3	5 Students	0 Students	31 Students	
321 Students				

- 1. School maintains a high graduation rate
- 2. Students With Disabilited decreased very slightly by 1.5 to 84.8%
- **3.** All student groups expcept for "Students with Disabilities" Increased to over 90% Graduation Rate.

Conditions & Climate Suspension Rate

The 2023 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words "No Performance Color."

Greer

Blue

Highest Performance




Lowest Performance

This section provides number of student groups in each level.

2023 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate Equity Report				
Red	Orange	Yellow	Green	Blue
0	2	1	1	2

This section provides information about the percentage of students in kindergarten through grade 12 who have been suspended at least once in a given school year. Students who are suspended multiple times are only counted once.

2023 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate for All Students/Student Group			
All Students	English Learners	Foster Youth	
Yellow	Green	0% suspended at least one day	
1.9% suspended at least one day	0.8% suspended at least one day	Maintained 0 12 Students	
Maintained 0.1 524 Students	Declined -0.8 123 Students		
Homeless	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	Students with Disabilities	
Blue	Orange	Blue	
1.3% suspended at least one day	2.1% suspended at least one day	0% suspended at least one day	
Declined Significantly -2.3 152 Students	Increased 0.3 486 Students	Declined -3.2 31 Students	



- **1.** Suspension rate is very low
- 2. Suspension rate remains steady with very slight Declines in Homeless and English Learners
- 3. No foster youth or Students with Disabilities were suspended

Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

Goal 1

Title and Description of School Goal

Broad statement that describes the desired result to which all strategies/activities are directed.

Student Achievement

DHS will increase student academic achievement and provide all students with a well-rounded educational experience that provides for credit recovery and learning loss while focusing on the literacy and analytical thinking skills necessary for college and career readiness.

LCAP Goal to which this School Goal is Aligned

LCAP goal to which this school goal is aligned.

LCAP 1 (Achievement), LCAP 3 (CTE), LCAP 4 (C&C), LCAP 7 (EL)

Identified Need

A description of any areas that need significant improvement based on a review of Dashboard and local data, including any areas of low performance and significant performance gaps among student groups on Dashboard indicators, and any steps taken to address those areas.

90% of students arrive at Delta 2 or more grade levels below Reading and Math grade levels

65% arrive 4 or more grade levels below

30% arrive reading below 5th grade level

99% arrive at Delta not meeting A-G required classes

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Identify the metric(s) and/or state indicator(s) that your school will use as a means of evaluating progress toward accomplishing the goal.

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome
Teachers appropriate assigned and fully credentialed	100% of Teachers are fully credentialed	Maintain full credentialing of teachers
Access to Instructional Materials	Currently all students have access to Instructional Material.	Maintain 100% access to Instructional Material.
Facilities are maintained	FIT Report- No Deficiency	FIT Report- No Deficiency
College/Career Readiness	Currently 21.5% of 11th grade students are Prepared for College/Careers.	Increase College/Career Readiness by 5% from 30% to 35%.

Strategies/Activities

Complete the Strategy/Activity Table with each of your school's strategies/activities. Add additional rows as necessary.

Strategy/ Activity #	Description	Students to be Served	Proposed Expenditures
1.1	. Staff will develop a plan for enhancing student engagement through instruction and curriculum.	ALL	1,000.00 Title I

1.2	Professional development opportunities will be provided to teachers and support staff to enhance student achievement. Teachers and staff will collect and share information and data from training and conferences. Administration and TOSAs will conduct walk-throughs to observe implementation of new instructional strategies and provide data.		District Funded Registration and fees 1000.00 Title I Substitutes/Materials/Supplie s/Travel/ Professional development
1.3	Training will be provided that supports Professional Learning Communities (PLC). Training may include conferences, extra work agreements to work on CFAs, lesson planning, content unit development, performance task, and professional dialogue. Teachers and staff will meet during PLC and/or pull out days to review and analyze data that will be used to modify instructional strategies, update curriculum, modify instruction, and develop assessments.		District Funded Professional development/Software/Progre ss monitoring system 2,000.00 Title I Supplies/Teacher resources/Substitutes/ Supplemental materials/Professional development
1.5	The Special Education teacher and Special Education Instructional Assistant will be given additional time, materials, and support to monitor students in Special Education.		District Funded Additional hours for Special Education and 504 support.
1.6	Teachers will participate in district and other curriculum and instruction and assessment meetings/trainings that focus on curricular maps. benchmarks, and common formative assessments (CFAs) aimed at student improvement and critical thinking.		District Funded Substitutes/Materials 250.00 Title I Substitutes/Extra work agreements/Materials/Supplie s
1.7	The District will provide access to CTE opportunities at the Mark Richardson Career Technical Education Center and Agricultural Farm		District Funded CTE Center and AG Farm
1.8	Staff will develop an academic MTSS pathway for enhancing student engagement through instruction and curriculum.		500.00 Title I Substitutes/Materials/Supplie s/Professional development
1.9	Teachers will be allowed time to develop and improve lessons, assessments, and effective classroom engagement strategies.	ALL	2,221.99 Title I

Annual Review

SPSA Year Reviewed: 2023-24

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required, and this section may be left blank and completed at the end of the year after the plan has been executed.

Analysis

Describe the overall implementation and effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

Describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

Goal 2

Title and Description of School Goal

Broad statement that describes the desired result to which all strategies/activities are directed.

Meaningful Partnerships

DHS will continue to offer a culture of equity and inclusion that builds on meaningful community partnerships and promotes parent/guardian engagement.

LCAP Goal to which this School Goal is Aligned

LCAP goal to which this school goal is aligned.

LCAP 2 (School Culture), LCAP 6 (Safe School)

Identified Need

A description of any areas that need significant improvement based on a review of Dashboard and local data, including any areas of low performance and significant performance gaps among student groups on Dashboard indicators, and any steps taken to address those areas.

Low percentage of parent participation when offered opportunities to give stakeholder input Low percentage of parent participation in Back-to-School Nights or school related activities

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Identify the metric(s) and/or state indicator(s) that your school will use as a means of evaluating progress toward accomplishing the goal.

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome	
Increased college career indicator completers	2022-23 Dashboard Alternative School Status Indicators	Increase in all areas by 1 - 3%	
Increased graduation rate	2022-23 EOY attendance rate	Increase overall school attendance rate to min of 80%	
Increased math scores			

Strategies/Activities

Complete the Strategy/Activity Table with each of your school's strategies/activities. Add additional rows as necessary.

Strategy/ Activity #	Description	Students to be Served	Proposed Expenditures
2.1	Translation services are to be utilized to provide equitable language access for students, families, and other stakeholders.	ALL	District Funded Translators/Interpreters/Lang uage Line Solutions
2.2	School will offer programs to families, Positive Outreach and Redirection, Violence Interdiction/Interventions for Drugs and Alcohol (Por Vida) program, site developed parent trainings and adult learning partnerships to engage parents in their student's education and school leadership.	ALL	District Funded Personnel/Materials/Supplies

	The district will continue to expand Mixteco parent support.		
2.3	School will administer a variety of surveys to students, staff, parents, and other stakeholders to collect feedback and determine needs.	ALL	District Funded Materials/Supplies/Personnel/ Subscriptions/Services 250.00 Title I Subscriptions/Services/Extra work agreements
2.5	Enlist the support of Fighting Back Santa Maria Valley and Positive Outreach and Redirection, Violence Interdiction/Interventions for Drugs and Alcohol (Por Vida) programs for families and students requiring additional support. Both programs provide culturally competent approaches towards identifying families and youth that are in need of, and are requesting, help to address at-risk behaviors that could result in suspension and expulsion from school, failing academically and/or dropping out of school, or other behavioral actions that may lead to or have led to the juvenile justice system. These programs will provide case management of selected families and youth who are in desperate need of wraparound services and support.	All	District Funded Por Vida Program District Funded Fighting Back Santa Maria Valley
2.6	An Outreach Consultant will provide information on services available within the district and the community to help parents/guardians	ALL	District Funded 500.00 Title I Transportation/Mileage/Extra work agreements/Supplies/Material s/Professional development
2.7	Provide on campus activities and events to allow stakeholders to connect and collaborate with school.	ALL	District Funded Materials/Supplies
2.8	The school will provide an SSC where stakeholders meet and be a part of the shared decision making process. Stakeholder input will be used to guide school goals and objectives.	All	200.00 Title I Materials/Supplies

Annual Review

SPSA Year Reviewed: 2023-24

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required, and this section may be left blank and completed at the end of the year after the plan has been executed.

Analysis

Describe the overall implementation and effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

Describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

Goal 3

Title and Description of School Goal

Broad statement that describes the desired result to which all strategies/activities are directed.

Student Engagement

DHS will modify and strengthen the Tier 1 and Tier 2 processes, supports, and monitoring criteria of the Multi-Tiered System of Support (MTSS) to decrease absenteeism and increase student participation by providing all students the most effective instruction, supports, and interventions.

LCAP Goal to which this School Goal is Aligned

LCAP goal to which this school goal is aligned.

LCAP 5 (Tech) LCAP 6 (Safe School)

Identified Need

A description of any areas that need significant improvement based on a review of Dashboard and local data, including any areas of low performance and significant performance gaps among student groups on Dashboard indicators, and any steps taken to address those areas.

Less than 1% of students met Dashboard Career and College Indicator.

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Identify the metric(s) and/or state indicator(s) that your school will use as a means of evaluating progress toward accomplishing the goal.

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome

Strategies/Activities

Complete the Strategy/Activity Table with each of your school's strategies/activities. Add additional rows as necessary.

Strategy/ Activity #	Description	Students to be Served	Proposed Expenditures
3.1	School will provide E3 classes and In School Intervention support services.	MTSS Tier 3 students	500.00 Title I
3.2	The school will develop a plan for enhancing student engagement through instruction, curriculum, and assessment that can be implemented, measured, and modified as student learning needs change. This will include trainings on best practices, and implementation of support and collaboration school wide.	ALL	500.00 Title I Extra work agreements/Professional development/Substitutes/Sup plemental materials
3.3	Each student will be given a Student Plan that includes a Student Academic Needs Assessment, documented supports or interventions needed, and updated progress monitoring data.	All	200.00 Title I Extra work agreements/Professional development/Substitutes/Sup plemental materials

3.4	The school will expand students' exposure to college and career options through a variety of shared lessons, guest speakers, field trips, fairs, and workshops.		500.00 Title I Operation expenditures/Travel/Fees/Gu est speakers/Extra work agreements
3.5	The school will create and offer support programs to students in each tier MTSS, these programs include E3 class, R4 program, and other specialized cohorts.	All	District Funded Incentives/Extra work agreements/ Field trip costs 500.00 Title I Operation expenditures/Supplies/ Materials/Software/Equipment
3.6	The school will provide multiple pathways for students to meet College and Career Readiness Indicators including access to CTE courses, College Now!, concurrent enrollment courses, internships, and certifications.	All	District Funded Personnel/Equipment/Facility/ Training/Materials/Supplies/Tr ansportation/AHC Liaison
3.8			

Annual Review

SPSA Year Reviewed: 2023-24

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required, and this section may be left blank and completed at the end of the year after the plan has been executed.

Analysis

Describe the overall implementation and effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

Describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

Goal 4

Title and Description of School Goal

Broad statement that describes the desired result to which all strategies/activities are directed.

Safe and Healthy Environment

By the end of the year, strengthen school wide support systems, processes and practices that support student learning and a safe, secure, and healthy environment.

LCAP Goal to which this School Goal is Aligned

LCAP goal to which this school goal is aligned.

LCAP 2 (School Culture), LCAP 6 (Safe School)

Identified Need

A description of any areas that need significant improvement based on a review of Dashboard and local data, including any areas of low performance and significant performance gaps among student groups on Dashboard indicators, and any steps taken to address those areas.

All students at Delta have high ACE scores and require social/emotional support systems in place to be successful on campus. 99% of Students are credit deficient. 90% have discipline, counseling, or truancy issues, 33% qualify as homeless, and 2% are in Foster Care Services.

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Identify the metric(s) and/or state indicator(s) that your school will use as a means of evaluating progress toward accomplishing the goal.

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome

Strategies/Activities

Complete the Strategy/Activity Table with each of your school's strategies/activities. Add additional rows as necessary.

Strategy/ Activity #	Description	Students to be Served	Proposed Expenditures
4.1	The school will continue to support counseling and therapy services.	ALL	District Funded Supplies/Materials/Personnel (including MHT)
4.2	The district will be implementing Restorative Approaches through conferences or training provided by other institutions. Restorative Approaches (RA) is a philosophical approach, and can be implemented when dealing with a wide range of conflicts, from classroom disruption, to teacher/student conflict and student/student conflict. RA is supplementing traditional discipline structures and practices.	All	District Funded
4.3	The District will fund security cameras, other safety equipment, material and supplies as deemed necessary at all sites	ALL	District Funded

4.4	The school will continue to support crisis group services to focus on drug and alcohol issues. Crisis Intervention Consultants provide consultation services to students, parents, staff and others including crisis, violence, sexual harassment, substance abuse and other prevention and intervention programs; promote sobriety and provide drug-free activities; make presentations to classrooms and provide various in-service trainings.	ALL	District Funded Personnel
4.5	The school will provide additional security for events and extra curricular activities.	All	500.00 Title I Extra work agreements
4.6	The school will provide extracurricular activities to support student engagement, including Leadership, Community Service, Robotics tournaments, inter- district sports, senior celebration, etc.	ALL	District Funded Supplies/Supplemental materials/Equipment/Fees/Ev ents/ Transportation/Personnel/Spe akers/Incentives 1,000.00 Title I Supplies/Materials/Equipment /Substitutes/ Transportation/Registration/F ees
4.7	Utilize a daily student Checksheet system to monitor attendance, classwork, and behavior progress.	All	250.00 Title I Supplies/Materials
4.8	Expand the incentives and recognition that will be offered to students meeting/exceeding behavior, attendance, and academic goals in order to improve students' proficiency levels.	All	500.00 Title I Materials/Supplies/Incentives
4.9	School will provide training and support in cultural proficiency, Restorative Approaches, and Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACEs) to promote awareness and ensure access and equity for all stakeholders.	All	District Funded Materials/Supplies/Substitute s/Training
4.10	Modify and implement school wide engagement plan.	All	500.00 Title I
4.11	Services for expelled students contracted through SBCEO. Services to students will include group counseling, academic support with an instructional aide, opportunity to make up missed credits and a transitional plan and conditions to return to the district.	ALL	
4.12	Advisement activities will provide essential time necessary for students to connect with their Advisor and develop a strong and healthy relationship with an adult on campus. Research has proven that students who develop this	ALL	200.00 Title I

	relationship are significantly more apt to succeed academically and overcome past academic failures more quickly.		
4.13	A Program Specialist at all 4 sites will be contracted through Fighting Back Santa Maria Valley (FBSMV) to provide liaison services for Foster Youth students. FBSMV will design and implement the Foundations for Success program to improve educational outcomes for foster students. FBSMV will coordinate with CWS to quickly identify all students in the Foundations For Success service area. The Program Specialist will monitor progress and make adjustments to the Academic Service Plan as needed. The district will use the software program Foster Focus provided by the County to track Foster youth students. Activity Foster Youth Liaison Services.	ALL	District Funded
4.14	The District will provide Foster Youth training and support programs for staff. Counselors and support staff will work with Fighting Back Santa Maria Valley, Allan Hancock College and the Santa Barbara County Education Office to collaborate services and attend trainings to better serve our Foster Youth students. Resources identified to facilitate involvement in the Foster Youth Summit and California Youth Connection. Administrators, counselors, teachers, and support staff may attend the California State Foster Youth Summit.	ALL	District Funded
4.15	The District will continue the use and implementation of Scholastic's Read 180 and System 44 research based curriculum for its ELA/ELD Pathway with English learner students. Additionally, the district will continue its support of the Student Academic Achievement Seminar (SAAS) 9, 10, and 11-12which is designed as a behavioral and academic support system for Long Term English Learners (LTELs).	LTELS	District Funded
4.16	The District will provide intervention and advancement opportunities for ELD students. This includes classroom setting summer school for English Learners and an opportunity for online credit recovery.	LTELs and RFEP	District Funded
4.17	The District will provide resources for staffing, training, and materials to continue to support ongoing cost of licensing and consumable instructional materials associated with the ELA/ELD Pathway Curriculum.	LTELs and RFEP	District Funded

Annual Review

SPSA Year Reviewed: 2023-24

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required, and this section may be left blank and completed at the end of the year after the plan has been executed.

Analysis

Describe the overall implementation and effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

Describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

Goal 5

Title and Description of School Goal

Broad statement that describes the desired result to which all strategies/activities are directed.

Technology

Expand the ways in which technology may be used to support student engagement and learning as measured by administrative observation and tech support requests.

LCAP Goal to which this School Goal is Aligned

LCAP goal to which this school goal is aligned.

LCAP Goal 5

Identified Need

A description of any areas that need significant improvement based on a review of Dashboard and local data, including any areas of low performance and significant performance gaps among student groups on Dashboard indicators, and any steps taken to address those areas.

Most Delta students are deficient in 21st Century technology and skills, and strategies need to be implemented in order to reduce the tech-divide and promote being competitive in both college and the workforce.

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Identify the metric(s) and/or state indicator(s) that your school will use as a means of evaluating progress toward accomplishing the goal.

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome

Strategies/Activities

Complete the Strategy/Activity Table with each of your school's strategies/activities. Add additional rows as necessary.

Strategy/ Activity #	Description	Students to be Served	Proposed Expenditures
5.1	Students will be given many opportunities to use technology to enrich their academic experience. Teachers will use targeted technologies as a vehicle for student learning.	All	District Funded Technology equipment/Software/Services 200.00 Title I Supplies/Materials/Substitute s
5.2	Teachers will be provided software, programs and professional development, and on-going training to support student engagement in academics.	ALL	District Funded Conference/Fees/Travel/Train ing/Substitutes/ Technology support

			1,000.00 Title I Conference/Fees/Travel/Train ing/Substitutes/ Technology support
5.3	Delta Technology TOSA will provide direct support for teachers in the use of tablets and new instructional technologies. The TOSA is responsible for working directly with site instructional staff. He/she will be required to plan, communicate and implement staff trainings centered on assisting teachers in the use of instructional technology to enhance classroom instruction by maximizing the effective use of instructional software applications, tablets, and other applicable technological equipment.	ALL	District Funded Technology TOSA/ Substitutes/Training/Collabor ation time/ Professional development
5.4	The district will provide internet connectivity or devices for any needy students who could not otherwise access school supported technology. District will also provide staff with needed technology and equipment to promote student engagement and learning, especially during distance learning.	ALL	District Funded Software/Support/Manageme nt
5.5	The district will select a learning management system for all teachers and students to use a Learning Management System (LMS) is a software application for the administration, documentation, tracking, reporting and delivery of educational courses or training programs.	ALL	District Funded Software/Support/Manageme nt
5.6	Students will be provided academic enrichment through extracurricular activities such as: Wired Wednesdays, Robotics, and Game On events.	ALL	200.00 Title I Extra work agreements/Supplies/ Materials/Services/Fees/Trav el/Training/ Equipment/Technology/Softw are/Substitutes
5.7	Additional materials, software applications and services, equipment, and supplies will be purchased to assist all students in core standards and in being college/career ready. Support, software and training will be provided to assist in progress monitoring of students for appropriate placement in English and math.	ALL	500.00 Title I

Annual Review

SPSA Year Reviewed: 2023-24

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required, and this section may be left blank and completed at the end of the year after the plan has been executed.

Analysis

Describe the overall implementation and effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

Describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

Budget Summary

Complete the Budget Summary Table below. Schools may include additional information, and adjust the table as needed. The Budget Summary is required for schools funded through the Consolidated Application (ConApp).

Budget Summary

DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
Total Funds Provided to the School Through the Consolidated Application	\$
Total Funds Budgeted for Strategies to Meet the Goals in the SPSA	\$14,971.99
Total Federal Funds Provided to the School from the LEA for CSI	\$44,403.92

Other Federal, State, and Local Funds

List the additional Federal programs that the school includes in the schoolwide program. Adjust the table as needed.

Note: If the school is not operating a Title I schoolwide program, this section is not applicable and may be deleted.

	Federal Programs	Allocation (\$)
Title I		\$14,971.99

Subtotal of additional federal funds included for this school: \$14,971.99

List the State and local programs that the school is including in the schoolwide program. Duplicate the table as needed.

State or Local Programs	Allocation (\$)


Subtotal of state or local funds included for this school: \$

Total of federal, state, and/or local funds for this school: \$14,971.99

Budgeted Funds and Expenditures in this Plan

The tables below are provided to help the school track expenditures as they relate to funds budgeted to the school.

Funds Budgeted to the School by Funding Source



School Site Council Membership

California Education Code describes the required composition of the School Site Council (SSC). The SSC shall be composed of the principal and representatives of: teachers selected by teachers at the school; other school personnel selected by other school personnel at the school; parents of pupils attending the school selected by such parents; and, in secondary schools, pupils selected by pupils attending the school. The current make-up of the SSC is as follows:

- 1 School Principal
- **4** Classroom Teachers
- 1 Other School Staff
- 6 Parent or Community Members
- 2 Secondary Students

Name of Members	Role	
Nate Maas	Principal	
John Emery	Parent or Community Member	
Holly Real	Classroom Teacher	
Catherine Carpenter	Classroom Teacher	
Jeff Cooper	Classroom Teacher	
Nicole Zarate	Other School Staff	
Amie Vickers	Parent or Community Member	
Carlos Ruiz	Secondary Student	
Isaura Moran	Parent or Community Member	
Julio Molina	Classroom Teacher	
Adrian Lopez	Secondary Student	
Kevin Kaub	Parent or Community Member	
Yolanda Fuentes	Parent or Community Member	
Nicole Esquivel	Parent or Community Member	

At elementary schools, the school site council must be constituted to ensure parity between (a) the principal, classroom teachers, and other school personnel, and (b) parents of students attending the school or other community members. Classroom teachers must comprise a majority of persons represented under section (a). At secondary schools there must be, in addition, equal numbers of parents or other community members selected by parents, and students. Members must be selected by their peer group.

Recommendations and Assurances

The School Site Council (SSC) recommends this school plan and proposed expenditures to the district governing board for approval and assures the board of the following:

The SSC is correctly constituted and was formed in accordance with district governing board policy and state law.

The SSC reviewed its responsibilities under state law and district governing board policies, including those board policies relating to material changes in the School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) requiring board approval.

The SSC sought and considered all recommendations from the following groups or committees before adopting this plan:

Signature

Committee or Advisory Group Name

English Learner Advisory Committee

The SSC reviewed the content requirements for school plans of programs included in this SPSA and believes all such content requirements have been met, including those found in district governing board policies and in the local educational agency plan.

This SPSA is based on a thorough analysis of student academic performance. The actions proposed herein form a sound, comprehensive, coordinated plan to reach stated school goals to improve student academic performance.

This SPSA was adopted by the SSC at a public meeting on March 8, 2023.

Attested:

Principal, Nate Maas on 2/28/2024

SSC Chairperson, Isaura Moran on 2/28/2024

Instructions

The School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) is a strategic plan that maximizes the resources available to the school while minimizing duplication of effort with the ultimate goal of increasing student achievement. SPSA development should be aligned with and inform the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) process.

This SPSA template consolidates all school-level planning efforts into one plan for programs funded through the Consolidated Application (ConApp) pursuant to California *Education Code* (*EC*) Section 64001 and the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) as amended by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA). This template is designed to meet schoolwide program planning requirements.

California's ESSA State Plan supports the state's approach to improving student group performance through the utilization of federal resources. Schools use the SPSA to document their approach to maximizing the impact of federal investments in support of underserved students. The implementation of ESSA in California presents an opportunity for schools to innovate with their federally-funded programs and align them with the priority goals of the school and the local educational agency (LEA) that are being realized under the state's Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF).

The LCFF provides schools and LEAs flexibility to design programs and provide services that meet the needs of students in order to achieve readiness for college, career, and lifelong learning. The SPSA planning process supports continuous cycles of action, reflection, and improvement. Consistent with *EC* 64001(g)(1), the Schoolsite Council (SSC) is required to develop and annually review the SPSA, establish an annual budget, and make modifications to the plan that reflect changing needs and priorities, as applicable.

For questions related to specific sections of the template, please see instructions below.

Instructions: Table of Contents

- Plan Description
- Educational Partner Involvement
- Comprehensive Needs Assessment
- Goals, Strategies/Activities, and Expenditures
- Annual Review
- Budget Summary
- Appendix A: Plan Requirements for Title I Schoolwide Programs
- Appendix B: Select State and Federal Programs

For additional questions or technical assistance related to LEA and school planning, please contact the CDE's Local Agency Systems Support Office, at <u>LCFF@cde.ca.gov</u>.

For programmatic or policy questions regarding Title I schoolwide planning, please contact the LEA, or the CDE's Title I Policy and Program Guidance Office at <u>TITLEI@cde.ca.gov</u>.

Plan Description

Briefly describe the school's plan to effectively meet the ESSA requirements in alignment with the LCAP and other federal, state, and local programs.

Additional CSI Planning Requirements:

Schools eligible for CSI must briefly describe the purpose of this plan by stating that this plan will be used to meet federal CSI planning requirements.

Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:

Schools eligible for ATSI must briefly describe the purpose of this plan by stating that this plan will be used to meet federal ATSI planning requirements.

Educational Partner Involvement

Meaningful involvement of parents, students, and other stakeholders is critical to the development of the SPSA and the budget process. Within California, these stakeholders are referred to as educational partners. Schools must share the SPSA with school site-level advisory groups, as applicable (e.g., English Learner Advisory committee, student advisory groups, tribes and tribal organizations present in the community, as appropriate, etc.) and seek input from these advisory groups in the development of the SPSA.

The Educational Partner Engagement process is an ongoing, annual process. Describe the process used to involve advisory committees, parents, students, school faculty and staff, and the community in the development of the SPSA and the annual review and update.

Additional CSI Planning Requirements:

When completing this section for CSI, the LEA must partner with the school and its educational partners in the development and implementation of this plan.

Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:

This section meets the requirements for ATSI.

Resource Inequities

This section is required for all schools eligible for ATSI and CSI.

Additional CSI Planning Requirements:

- Schools eligible for CSI must identify resource inequities, which may include a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting as a part of the required school-level needs assessment.
- Identified resource inequities must be addressed through implementation of the CSI plan.
- Briefly identify and describe any resource inequities identified as a result of the required school-level needs assessment and summarize how the identified resource inequities are addressed in the SPSA.

Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:

- Schools eligible for ATSI must identify resource inequities, which may include a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting as a part of the required school-level needs assessment.
- Identified resource inequities must be addressed through implementation of the ATSI plan.
- Briefly identify and describe any resource inequities identified as a result of the required school-level needs assessment and summarize how the identified resource inequities are addressed in the SPSA.

Comprehensive Needs Assessment

Referring to the California School Dashboard (Dashboard), identify: (a) any state indicator for which overall performance was in the "Red" or "Orange" performance category AND (b) any state indicator for which performance for any student group was two or more performance levels below the "all student" performance. In addition to Dashboard data, other needs may be identified using locally collected data developed by the LEA to measure pupil outcomes.

SWP Planning Requirements:

When completing this section for SWP, the school shall describe the steps it is planning to take to address these areas of low performance and performance gaps to improve student outcomes.

Completing this section fully addresses all SWP relevant federal planning requirements.

CSI Planning Requirements:

When completing this section for CSI, the LEA shall describe the steps the LEA will take to address the areas of low performance, low graduation rate, and/or performance gaps for the school to improve student outcomes.

Completing this section fully addresses all relevant federal planning requirements for CSI.

ATSI Planning Requirements:

Completing this section fully addresses all relevant federal planning requirements for ATSI.

Goals, Strategies/Activities, and Expenditures

In this section, a school provides a description of the annual goals to be achieved by the school. This section also includes descriptions of the specific planned strategies/activities a school will take to meet the identified goals, and a description of the expenditures required to implement the specific strategies and activities.

Additional CSI Planning Requirements:

When completing this section to meet federal planning requirements for CSI, improvement goals must also align with the goals, actions, and services in the LEA's LCAP.

Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:

When completing this section to meet federal planning requirements for ATSI, improvement goals must also align with the goals, actions, and services in the LEA's LCAP.

Goal

Well-developed goals will clearly communicate to educational partners what the school plans to accomplish, what the school plans to do in order to accomplish the goal, and how the school will know when it has accomplished the goal. A goal should be specific enough to be measurable in either quantitative or qualitative terms. Schools should assess the performance of their student groups when developing goals and the related strategies/activities to achieve such goals. SPSA goals should align to the goals and actions in the LEA's LCAP.

A goal is a broad statement that describes the desired result to which all strategies/activities are directed. A goal answers the question: What is the school seeking to achieve?

It can be helpful to use a framework for writing goals such the S.M.A.R.T. approach. A S.M.A.R.T. goal is:

- **S**pecific,
- Measurable,
- Achievable,
- Realistic, and
- **T**ime-bound.

A level of specificity is needed in order to measure performance relative to the goal as well as to assess whether it is reasonably achievable. Including time constraints, such as milestone dates, ensures a realistic approach that supports student success.

A school may number the goals using the "Goal #" for ease of reference.

Additional CSI Planning Requirements:

Completing this section as described above fully addresses all relevant federal CSI planning requirements.

Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:

Completing this section as described above fully addresses all relevant federal ATSI planning requirements.

Identified Need

Describe the basis for establishing the goal. The goal should be based upon an analysis of verifiable state data, including local and state indicator data from the Dashboard and data from the School Accountability Report Card, including local data voluntarily collected by districts to measure pupil achievement.

Additional CSI Planning Requirements:

Completing this section as described above fully addresses all relevant federal CSI planning requirements.

Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:

Completing this section as described above fully addresses all relevant federal ATSI planning requirements.

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Identify the metric(s) and/or state indicator(s) that the school will use as a means of evaluating progress toward accomplishing the goal. A school may identify metrics for specific student groups. Include in the

baseline column the most recent data associated with the metric or indicator available at the time of adoption of the SPSA. The most recent data associated with a metric or indicator includes data reported in the annual update of the SPSA. In the subsequent Expected Outcome column, identify the progress the school intends to make in the coming year.

Additional CSI Planning Requirements:

When completing this section for CSI, the school must include school-level metrics related to the metrics that led to the school's eligibility for CSI.

Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:

Completing this section as described above fully addresses all relevant federal ATSI planning requirements.

Strategies/Activities Table

Describe the strategies and activities being provided to meet the goal. Complete the table as follows:

- Strategy/Activity #: Number the strategy/activity using the "Strategy/Activity #" for ease of reference.
- Description: Describe the strategy/activity.
- Students to be Served: Identify in the Strategy/Activity Table either All Students or one
 or more specific student groups that will benefit from the strategies and activities. ESSA
 Section 1111(c)(2) requires the schoolwide plan to identify either "All Students" or one or
 more specific student groups, including socioeconomically disadvantaged students,
 students from major racial and ethnic groups, students with disabilities, and English
 learners.
- Proposed Expenditures: List the amount(s) for the proposed expenditures. Proposed expenditures that are included more than once in a SPSA should be indicated as a duplicated expenditure and include a reference to the goal and strategy/activity where the expenditure first appears in the SPSA. Pursuant to *EC* Section 64001(g)(3)(C), proposed expenditures, based on the projected resource allocation from the governing board or governing body of the LEA, to address the findings of the needs assessment consistent with the state priorities including identifying resource inequities which may include a review of the LEA's budgeting, its LCAP, and school-level budgeting, if applicable.
- Funding Sources: List the funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal, identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Planned strategies/activities address the findings of the comprehensive needs assessment consistent with state priorities and resource inequities, which may have been identified through a review of the LEA's budgeting, its LCAP, and school-level budgeting, if applicable.

Additional CSI Planning Requirements:

- When completing this section for CSI, this plan must include evidence-based interventions and align to the goals, actions, and services in the LEA's LCAP.
- When completing this section for CSI, this plan must address through implementation, identified resource inequities, which may have been identified through a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting.

Note: Federal school improvement funds for CSI shall not be used in schools identified for TSI or ATSI. In addition, funds for CSI shall not be used to hire additional permanent staff.

Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:

• When completing this section for ATSI, this plan must include evidence-based interventions and align with the goals, actions, and services in the LEA's LCAP.

- When completing this section for ATSI, this plan must address through implementation, identified resource inequities, which may have been identified through a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting.
- When completing this section for ATSI, at a minimum, the student groups to be served shall
 include the student groups that are consistently underperforming, for which the school received
 the ATSI designation.

Note: Federal school improvement funds for CSI shall not be used in schools identified for ATSI. Schools eligible for ATSI do not receive funding but are required to include evidence-based interventions and align with the goals, actions, and services in the LEA's LCAP.

Annual Review

In the following Goal Analysis prompts, identify any material differences between what was planned and what actually occurred as well as significant changes in strategies/activities and/or expenditures from the prior year. This annual review and analysis should be the basis for decision-making and updates to the plan.

Goal Analysis

Using actual outcome data, including state indicator data from the Dashboard, analyze whether the planned strategies/activities were effective in achieving the goal. Respond to the prompts as instructed. Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal.

- Describe the overall implementation and effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.
- Briefly describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or material difference between the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.
- Describe any changes that will be made to the goal, expected annual measurable outcomes, metrics/indicators, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis and analysis of the data provided in the Dashboard, as applicable. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

Note: If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, the Annual Review section is not required and this section may be left blank and completed at the end of the year after the plan has been executed.

Additional CSI Planning Requirements:

- When completing this section for CSI, any changes made to the goals, annual measurable outcomes, metrics/indicators, or strategies/activities, shall meet the federal CSI planning requirements.
- CSI planning requirements are listed under each section of the Instructions. For example, as a result of the Annual Review and Update, if changes are made to a goal(s), see the Goal section for CSI planning requirements.

Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:

- When completing this section for ATSI, any changes made to the goals, annual measurable outcomes, metrics/indicators, or strategies/activities, shall meet the federal ATSI planning requirements.
- ATSI planning requirements are listed under each section of the Instructions. For example, as a result of the Annual Review and Update, if changes are made to a goal(s), see the Goal section for ATSI planning requirements.

Budget Summary

In this section, a school provides a brief summary of the funding allocated to the school through the ConApp and/or other funding sources as well as the total amount of funds for proposed expenditures described in the SPSA. The Budget Summary is required for schools funded through the ConApp.

Note: If the school is not operating a Title I schoolwide program, this section is not applicable and may be deleted.

Additional CSI Planning Requirements:

 From its total allocation for CSI, the LEA may distribute funds across its schools that are eligible for CSI to support implementation of this plan. In addition, the LEA may retain a portion of its total allocation to support LEA-level expenditures that are directly related to serving schools eligible for CSI.

Note: CSI funds may not be expended at or on behalf of schools not eligible for CSI.

Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:

Note: Federal funds for CSI shall not be used in schools eligible for ATSI.

Budget Summary Table

A school receiving funds allocated through the ConApp should complete the Budget Summary Table as follows:

- **Total Funds Provided to the School Through the ConApp:** This amount is the total amount of funding provided to the school through the ConApp for the school year. The school year means the fiscal year for which a SPSA is adopted or updated.
- Total Funds Budgeted for Strategies to Meet the Goals in the SPSA: This amount is the total of the proposed expenditures from all sources of funds associated with the strategies/activities reflected in the SPSA. To the extent strategies/activities and/or proposed expenditures are listed in the SPSA under more than one goal, the expenditures should be counted only once.

A school receiving funds from its LEA for CSI should complete the Budget Summary Table as follows:

• Total Federal Funds Provided to the School from the LEA for CSI: This amount is the total amount of funding provided to the school from the LEA for the purpose of developing and implementing the CSI plan for the school year set forth in the CSI LEA Application for which funds were received.

Appendix A: Plan Requirements

Schoolwide Program Requirements

This School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) template meets the requirements of a schoolwide program plan. The requirements below are for planning reference.

A school that operates a schoolwide program and receives funds allocated through the ConApp is required to develop a SPSA. The SPSA, including proposed expenditures of funds allocated to the school through the ConApp, must be reviewed annually and updated by the Schoolsite Council (SSC). The content of a SPSA must be aligned with school goals for improving student achievement.

Requirements for Development of the Plan

- I. The development of the SPSA shall include both of the following actions:
 - A. Administration of a comprehensive needs assessment that forms the basis of the school's goals contained in the SPSA.
 - 1. The comprehensive needs assessment of the entire school shall:
 - a. Include an analysis of verifiable state data, consistent with all state priorities as noted in Sections 52060 and 52066, and informed by all indicators described in Section 1111(c)(4)(B) of the federal Every Student Succeeds Act, including pupil performance against state-determined long-term goals. The school may include data voluntarily developed by districts to measure pupil outcomes (described in the Identified Need).
 - b. Be based on academic achievement information about all students in the school, including all groups under §200.13(b)(7) and migratory children as defined in section 1309(2) of the ESEA, relative to the State's academic standards under §200.1 to:
 - i. Help the school understand the subjects and skills for which teaching and learning need to be improved.
 - ii. Identify the specific academic needs of students and groups of students who are not yet achieving the State's academic standards.
 - iii. Assess the needs of the school relative to each of the components of the schoolwide program under §200.28.
 - iv. Develop the comprehensive needs assessment with the participation of individuals who will carry out the schoolwide program plan.
 - v. Document how it conducted the needs assessment, the results it obtained, and the conclusions it drew from those results.
 - B. Identification of the process for evaluating and monitoring the implementation of the SPSA and progress towards accomplishing the goals set forth in the SPSA (described in the Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes and Annual Review and Update).

Requirements for the Plan

- II. The SPSA shall include the following:
 - A. Goals set to improve pupil outcomes, including addressing the needs of student groups as identified through the needs assessment.
 - B. Evidence-based strategies, actions, or services (described in Strategies and Activities)

- 1. A description of the strategies that the school will be implementing to address school needs, including a description of how such strategies will:
 - a. Provide opportunities for all children including each of the subgroups of students to meet the challenging state academic standards
 - b. Use methods and instructional strategies that:
 - i. Strengthen the academic program in the school,
 - ii. Increase the amount and quality of learning time, and
 - iii. Provide an enriched and accelerated curriculum, which may include programs, activities, and courses necessary to provide a well-rounded education.
 - c. Address the needs of all children in the school, but particularly the needs of those at risk of not meeting the challenging State academic standards, so that all students demonstrate at least proficiency on the State's academic standards through activities which may include:
 - i. Strategies to improve students' skills outside the academic subject areas;
 - ii. Preparation for and awareness of opportunities for postsecondary education and the workforce;
 - iii. Implementation of a schoolwide tiered model to prevent and address problem behavior;
 - iv. Professional development and other activities for teachers, paraprofessionals, and other school personnel to improve instruction and use of data; and
 - v. Strategies for assisting preschool children in the transition from early childhood education programs to local elementary school programs.
- C. Proposed expenditures, based on the projected resource allocation from the governing board or body of the LEA (may include funds allocated via the ConApp, federal funds, and any other state or local funds allocated to the school), to address the findings of the needs assessment consistent with the state priorities, including identifying resource inequities, which may include a review of the LEAs budgeting, it's LCAP, and school-level budgeting, if applicable (described in Proposed Expenditures and Budget Summary). Employees of the schoolwide program may be deemed funded by a single cost objective.
- D. A description of how the school will determine if school needs have been met (described in the Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes and the Annual Review and Update).
 - 1. Annually evaluate the implementation of, and results achieved by, the schoolwide program, using data from the State's annual assessments and other indicators of academic achievement;
 - 2. Determine whether the schoolwide program has been effective in increasing the achievement of students in meeting the State's academic standards, particularly for those students who had been furthest from achieving the standards; and
 - 3. Revise the plan, as necessary, based on the results of the evaluation, to ensure continuous improvement of students in the schoolwide program.

- E. A description of how the school will ensure parental involvement in the planning, review, and improvement of the schoolwide program plan (described in Educational Partner Involvement and/or Strategies/Activities).
- F. A description of the activities the school will include to ensure that students who experience difficulty attaining proficient or advanced levels of academic achievement standards will be provided with effective, timely additional support, including measures to:
 - 1. Ensure that those students' difficulties are identified on a timely basis; and
 - 2. Provide sufficient information on which to base effective assistance to those students.
- G. For an elementary school, a description of how the school will assist preschool students in the successful transition from early childhood programs to the school.
- H. A description of how the school will use resources to carry out these components (described in the Proposed Expenditures for Strategies/Activities).
- I. A description of any other activities and objectives as established by the SSC (described in the Strategies/Activities).

Authority Cited: Title 34 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (34 *CFR*), sections 200.25-26, and 200.29, and sections-1114(b)(7)(A)(i)-(iii) and 1118(b) of the ESEA. *EC* sections 64001 et. seq.

Appendix B: Plan Requirements for School to CSI/ATSI Planning Requirements

For questions or technical assistance related to meeting federal school improvement planning requirements, please contact the CDE's School Improvement and Support Office at <u>SISO@cde.ca.gov</u>.

Comprehensive Support and Improvement

The LEA shall partner with educational partners (including principals and other school leaders, teachers, and parents) to locally develop and implement the CSI plan for the school to improve student outcomes, and specifically address the metrics that led to eligibility for CSI (Educational Partner Involvement).

The CSI plan shall:

- 1. Be informed by all state indicators, including student performance against statedetermined long-term goals (Sections: Goal, Identified Need, Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes, Annual Review and Update, as applicable);
- Include evidence-based interventions (Sections: Strategies/Activities, Annual Review and Update, as applicable) (For resources related to evidence-based interventions, see the U.S. Department of Education's "Using Evidence to Strengthen Education Investments" at <u>https://www2.ed.gov/fund/grant/about/discretionary/2023-non-regulatoryguidance-evidence.pdf</u>);

Non-Regulatory Guidance: Using Evidence to Strengthen Education Investments

- 3. Be based on a school-level needs assessment (Sections: Goal, Identified Need, Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes, Annual Review and Update, as applicable); and
- 4. Identify resource inequities, which may include a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting, to be addressed through implementation of the CSI plan (Sections: Goal, Identified Need, Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes, Planned Strategies/Activities; and Annual Review and Update, as applicable).

Authority Cited: Sections 1003(e)(1)(A), 1003(i), 1111(c)(4)(B), and 1111(d)(1) of the ESSA.

Single School Districts and Charter Schools Eligible for ESSA School Improvement

Single school districts (SSDs) or charter schools that are eligible for CSI, TSI, or ATSI, shall develop a SPSA that addresses the applicable requirements above as a condition of receiving funds (*EC* Section 64001[a] as amended by Assembly Bill 716, effective January 1, 2019).

However, a SSD or a charter school may streamline the process by combining state and federal requirements into one document which may include the LCAP and all federal planning requirements, provided that the combined plan is able to demonstrate that the legal requirements for each of the plans is met (*EC* Section 52062[a] as amended by AB 716, effective January 1, 2019).

Planning requirements for single school districts and charter schools choosing to exercise this option are available in the LCAP Instructions.

Authority Cited: *EC* sections 52062(a) and 64001(a), both as amended by AB 716, effective January 1, 2019.

CSI Resources

For additional CSI resources, please see the following links:

- **CSI Planning Requirements** (see Planning Requirements tab): <u>https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/sw/t1/csi.asp</u>
- CSI Webinars: <u>https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/sw/t1/csiwebinars.asp</u>
- CSI Planning Summary for Charters and Single-school Districts: <u>https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/sw/t1/csiplansummary.asp</u>

Additional Targeted Support and Improvement

A school eligible for ATSI shall:

1. Identify resource inequities, which may include a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting, which will be addressed through implementation of its TSI plan (*Sections: Goal, Identified Need, Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes, Planned Strategies/Activities, and Annual Review and Update, as applicable*).

Authority Cited: Sections 1003(e)(1)(B), 1003(i), 1111(c)(4)(B), and 1111(d)(2)(c) of the ESSA.

Single School Districts and Charter Schools Eligible for ESSA School Improvement

Single school districts (SSDs) or charter schools that are eligible for CSI, TSI, or ATSI, shall develop a SPSA that addresses the applicable requirements above as a condition of receiving funds (*EC* Section 64001[a] as amended by Assembly Bill [AB] 716, effective January 1, 2019).

However, a SSD or a charter school may streamline the process by combining state and federal requirements into one document which may include the local control and accountability plan (LCAP) and all federal planning requirements, provided that the combined plan is able to demonstrate that the legal requirements for each of the plans is met (*EC* Section 52062[a] as amended by AB 716, effective January 1, 2019).

Planning requirements for single school districts and charter schools choosing to exercise this option are available in the LCAP Instructions.

Authority Cited: *EC* sections 52062(a) and 64001(a), both as amended by AB 716, effective January 1, 2019.

ATSI Resources:

For additional ATSI resources, please see the following CDE links:

- ATSI Planning Requirements (see Planning Requirements tab): <u>https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/sw/t1/tsi.asp</u>
- ATSI Planning and Support Webinar: <u>https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/sw/t1/documents/atsiplanningwebinar22.pdf</u>
- ATSI Planning Summary for Charters and Single-school Districts: <u>https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/sw/t1/atsiplansummary.asp</u>

Appendix C: Select State and Federal Programs

For a list of active programs, please see the following links:

- Programs included on the ConApp: <u>https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/co/</u>
- ESSA Title I, Part A: School Improvement: <u>https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/sw/t1/schoolsupport.asp</u>
- Available Funding: <u>https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/fo/af/</u>

Updated by the California Department of Education, October 2023