

Comprehensive Needs Assessment 2023 - 2024 School Report



Vidalia City
Sally Dailey Meadows Elementary School

1. PLANNING AND PREPARATION

1.1 Identification of Team

The comprehensive needs assessment team consists of people who are responsible for working collaboratively throughout the needs assessment process. Ideal team members possess knowledge of programs, the capacity to plan and implement the needs assessment, and the ability to ensure stakeholder involvement. A required team member's name may be duplicated when multiple roles are performed by the same person. Documentation of team member involvement must be maintained by the LEA. Watch the <u>Planning and Preparation webinar</u> for additional information and guidance.

Leadership Team

| | Position/Role | Name |
|-----------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| Team Member # 1 | Principal | Brandon Boston |
| Team Member # 2 | Assistant Principal | Michael Johnson |
| Team Member # 3 | Assistant Principal | Ben Helms |
| Team Member # 4 | Teacher | Jana Palmer |
| Team Member # 5 | Teacher | Ruby Bryant |
| Team Member # 6 | Teacher | Ashley Driggers |
| Team Member # 7 | Teacher | Julie Spivey |

Additional Leadership Team

| | Position/Role | Name |
|------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|
| Team Member # 1 | Elective Teacher | Mia Farrow |
| Team Member # 2 | Counselor | Joannie Scott |
| Team Member # 3 | Instructional Coach/ELA | Heather Oliver |
| Team Member # 4 | Instructional Coach/Math | Kristen Palmer |
| Team Member # 5 | Media Specialist | Carrie Beth Davis |
| Team Member # 6 | Paraprofessional | Cary Nesmith |
| Team Member # 7 | | |
| Team Member # 8 | | |
| Team Member # 9 | | |
| Team Member # 10 | | |

1. PLANNING AND PREPARATION

1.2 Identification of Stakeholders

Stakeholders are those individuals with valuable experiences and perspective who will provide the team with important input, feedback, and guidance. Required stakeholders must be engaged in the process to meet the requirements of participating federal programs. Documentation of stakeholder involvement must be maintained by the LEA. Watch the Planning and Preparation webinar for additional information and guidance.

Stakeholders

| | Position/Role | Name |
|-----------------|--------------------------|------------------|
| Stakeholder # 1 | Parent/ELL | Perla Barreda |
| Stakeholder # 2 | Parent/Student Services | Kendrick Johnson |
| Stakeholder # 3 | Community Representative | Scott Strickland |
| Stakeholder # 4 | Parent | Demondre Wallace |
| Stakeholder # 5 | Parent/Community Member | Mary Moon |
| Stakeholder # 6 | | |
| Stakeholder # 7 | | |
| Stakeholder # 8 | | |

How will the team ensure that stakeholders, and in particular parents and/or guardians, were able to provide meaningful input into the needs assessment process?

The team will meet at least weekly to complete the needs assessment process. The team will serve as representatives that provide assistance in completing the needs assessment process and providing specific feedback in regards to the school's overall needs. The team will develop an evaluation process for monitoring progress toward meeting school improvement goals.

2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

2.1 Coherent Instructional System

Analyze the LEA's data (including sections 2.6) and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of instructional needs. Complete a data-informed self-rating for each Georgia School Performance Standard (GSPS). See the Coherent Instructional System webinar for additional information and guidance.

| Curriculum Standard 1 -Uses systematic, collaborative planning processes so that teachers share an understanding of expectations for standards, curriculum, assessment, and instruction | | |
|--|---|---|
| | - | |
| 1. Exemplary | A systematic, collaborative process is used proactively for curriculum planning. | |
| | | |
| | Nearly all teachers or groups of teachers, support staff, and leaders within the school | |
| | have common expectations for standards, curriculum, assessment, and instruction. | |
| 2. Operational | A systematic, collaborative process is used regularly for curriculum planning. | ✓ |
| | | |
| | Most teachers or groups of teachers within the school have common expectations for | |
| | standards, curriculum, assessment, and instruction. | |
| 3. Emerging | A collaborative process is used occasionally for curriculum planning. | |
| 3. Emerging | Treonaborative process is used occasionally for earricatant planning. | |
| | Some teachers or groups of teachers within the school have common expectations for | |
| | | |
| | standards, curriculum, assessment, and instruction. | |
| 4. Not Evident | A collaborative process is rarely, if ever, used for curriculum planning. | |
| | | |
| | Few, if any, teachers or groups of teachers within the school have common | |
| | expectations for standards, curriculum, assessment, and instruction. | |

| Curriculum Standard 2 -Designs curriculum documents and aligns resources with the intended rigor of the require standards | | |
|--|--|----------|
| 1. Exemplary | Curriculum documents (e.g., lesson plans, unit plans, performance tasks, curriculum maps, scope, and sequence documents, guides) that are aligned with the intended rigor of the required standards are the products of a systematic, collaborative process. These curriculum documents and resources are used and continuously revised by teachers and support staff to ensure an alignment with the intended, taught, and tested standards. | |
| 2. Operational | Curriculum documents (e.g., lesson plans, unit plans, performance tasks, curriculum maps, scope and sequence documents, guides) have been designed, and resources are aligned with the intended rigor of the required standards. These curriculum documents and resources guide the work of teachers and instructional support staff. | √ |
| 3. Emerging | Curriculum documents and resources exist, but they are not complete in all content areas or grade levels or lack the intended rigor of the required standards. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, curriculum documents and resources exist to support the implementation of the intended rigor of the required standards. | |

| Instruction Standard 1 -Provides a supportive and well -managed environment conducive to learning | | |
|---|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary | A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident throughout the school. Students consistently stay on-task and take responsibility for their own actions. | |
| | , , , , , , | |
| 2. Operational | A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in most | ✓ |
| | classrooms. | |
| 3. Emerging | A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in some | |
| | classrooms. | |
| 4. Not Evident | A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in few, | |
| | if any, classrooms. | |

| Instruction Standard 2 - Creates an academically challenging learning environment | | |
|---|--|----------|
| 1. Exemplary | Nearly all teachers create an academically challenging, learning environment (e.g., higher-order thinking skills and processes, active student engagement, relevance, collaboration). Students consistently work independently and in teams to solve real-world problems that require advanced effort, decision-making, and critical and creative thinking. | |
| 2. Operational | Most teachers create an academically challenging, learning environment (e.g., higher-order thinking skills and processes, active student engagement, relevance, collaboration). | √ |
| 3. Emerging | Some teachers create an academically challenging learning environment. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, teachers create an academically challenging learning environment. | |

| Instruction Standard 3 -Establishes and communicates clear learning targets and success criteria aligned to curri standards | | culum |
|--|---|-------|
| 1. Exemplary | Nearly all teachers establish and communicate clear learning targets and success criteria aligned to the required curriculum standards. Learning targets are evident throughout the lesson and in student work. Articulation of the learning targets is consistent and pervasive among like content areas and grade levels. | |
| 2. Operational | Most teachers establish and communicate clear learning targets and success criteria aligned to the required curriculum standards. Learning targets are evident throughout the lesson and in student work. | |
| 3. Emerging | Some teachers establish and communicate clear learning targets and success criteria aligned to the required curriculum standards. | ✓ |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any teachers establish clear learning targets and success criteria aligned to the required curriculum standards. | |

| Instruction Standard 4 | -Uses research based instructional practices that positively impact student learning | |
|------------------------|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary | Nearly all teachers pervasively demonstrate a repertoire of highly effective, research-based instructional practices that positively impact student learning (e.g., providing feedback, cooperative learning, advance organizers, questioning techniques, similarities and differences, reinforcing effort, goal setting, summarizers, graphic representations, reciprocal teaching). | |
| 2. Operational | Most teachers demonstrate a repertoire of effective, research-based instructional practices that positively impact student learning (e.g., providing feedback, cooperative learning, advance organizers, questioning techniques, similarities and differences, reinforcing effort, goal setting, summarizers, graphic representations, reciprocal teaching). | |
| 3. Emerging | Some teachers demonstrate a repertoire of effective, research-based instructional practices that positively impact student learning. | ✓ |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, teachers demonstrate a repertoire of effective, research-based instructional practices that positively impact student learning. | |

| Instruction Standard 5 -Differentiates instruction to meet specific learning needs of students | | |
|--|---|----------|
| 1. Exemplary | Nearly all teachers differentiate instruction (e.g., using flexible grouping, making adjustments, providing choices based upon readiness levels, interests, or needs) to meet the specific learning needs of students. Nearly all teachers plan and implement multiple means of representation, engagement, action, and expression to meet the learning needs of students (UDL). Remediation, enrichment, and acceleration are pervasive practices. | |
| 2. Operational | Most teachers differentiate instruction (e.g., using flexible grouping, making adjustments, providing choices based upon readiness levels, interests, or needs) to meet the specific learning needs of students. Most teachers plan and implement multiple means of representation, engagement, action, and expression to meet the learning needs of students (UDL). | √ |
| 3. Emerging | Some teachers differentiate instruction to meet the specific learning needs of students. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, teachers differentiate instruction to meet the specific learning needs of students. | |

| Instruction Standard 6 -Uses appropriate, current technology to enhance learning | | |
|--|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary | The use by staff members and students of appropriate, current technology to enhance learning is an institutional practice (e.g., facilitate communication, collaboration, research, design, creativity, problem-solving). | |
| 2. Operational | Most staff members and students use appropriate, current technology to enhance learning (e.g., facilitate communication, collaboration, research, design, creativity, problem-solving). | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging | Some staff members, students, or both use appropriate, current technology to enhance learning. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, teachers demonstrate a repertoire of effective, research-based instructional practices that positively impact student learning. | |

| Instruction Standard 7 | Instruction Standard 7 -Provides feedback to students on their performance on the standards or learning targets | |
|-------------------------------|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary | Nearly all teachers use the language of the standards or learning targets to provide | |
| | students with specific, timely, descriptive feedback on their performance. | |
| | Nearly all teachers systematically elicit diagnostic information from individual | |
| | students regarding their understanding of the standards or learning targets. | |
| 2. Operational | Most teachers use the language of the standards or learning targets to provide students | |
| | with specific, timely, descriptive feedback on their performance. | |
| 3. Emerging | Some teachers use the language of the standards or learning targets to provide students with specific, descriptive feedback on their performance. | ✓ |
| 4.37 4.77 1.1 | 1 1 | |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, teachers use the language of the standards or learning targets to provide | |
| | students with feedback on their performance, or the feedback that is provided is not | |
| | specific, timely, or understandable. | |

| Instruction Standard 8 -Establishes a learning environment that empowers students to actively monitor their own progressions. | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary | Nearly all students use tools (e.g., rubrics, checklists, exemplars) to actively monitor their own progress. Nearly all students develop a sense of personal responsibility and accountability by engaging in record keeping, self-monitoring, sharing, exhibiting, and self-reflection. | |
| 2. Operational | Most students use tools (e.g., rubrics, checklists, exemplars) to actively monitor their own progress. | |
| 3. Emerging | Some students use tools to actively monitor their own progress. | ✓ |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, students use tools to actively monitor their own progress. | |

| Instruction Standard 9 -Provides timely, systematic, data -driven interventions | | |
|--|--|----------|
| 1. Exemplary | Nearly all students are provided timely, systematic, data-driven interventions to support their learning needs. Interventions are designed to meet the needs of each student. The effectiveness of those interventions is consistently monitored and adjustments are made. | |
| 2. Operational | Most students are provided timely, systematic, data-driven interventions to support their learning needs. | |
| 3. Emerging | Some students are provided extra assistance or needed support in a timely manner. | √ |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, students are provided extra assistance or effective support in a timely manner. | |

| Assessment Standard 1 -Aligns assessments with the required curriculum standards | | |
|--|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary | Nearly all assessments are aligned with the required curriculum standards. | |
| | Assessments are reviewed during the school year to ensure alignment. | |
| 2. Operational | Most assessments are aligned with the required curriculum standards. | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging | Some assessments are aligned with the required curriculum standards. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, assessments are aligned with the required curriculum standards. | |

| Assessment Standard 3 -Uses common assessments aligned with the required standards to monitor student progress, instruction, and improve teacher practices | | |
|---|---|----------|
| 1. Exemplary | Teachers consistently use common assessments aligned with the required standards in nearly all content areas, grade levels, or both for diagnostic, summative, and formative purposes. | |
| | The data from the common assessments are analyzed down to the item level, and the results are used to inform instruction and improve teacher practices. | |
| 2. Operational | Teachers use common assessments aligned with the required standards in most content areas to monitor student progress, inform instruction, and improve teacher practices. | |
| 3. Emerging | Teachers use some common assessments aligned with the required standards in a few content areas with a limited amount of data analysis to monitor student progress, inform instruction, or improve teacher practices. | V |
| 4. Not Evident | Teachers use few, if any, common assessments to monitor student progress, inform instruction, or improve teacher practices. | |

| Assessment Standard 4 -Implements a process to collaboratively analyze assessment results to adjust instruction | | |
|--|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary | Teachers extensively use a systematic, collaborative process to analyze assessment results. Instruction is consistently adjusted based on the analysis of assessment results across | |
| 2.0 4: 1 | all content areas, grade levels, or both. | |
| 2. Operational | Teachers regularly use a collaborative process to analyze assessment results. Instruction is routinely adjusted based on the analysis of assessment results. | |
| 3. Emerging | Teachers occasionally use a collaborative process to analyze assessment results. Instruction is sometimes adjusted based on the analysis of assessment results. | ✓ |
| 4. Not Evident | A collaborative process to analyze assessment results does not exist. | |
| | Instruction is rarely, if ever, adjusted based on the analysis of assessment results. | |

| Assessment Standard 5 -Implements grading practices that provide an accurate indication of student progress on required standards | | |
|--|---|----------|
| 1. Exemplary | The grading practices used by teachers across nearly all content areas, grade levels, or both, consistently provide an accurate indication of student progress on the required standards. | |
| 2. Operational | The grading practices used by teachers in most content areas, grade levels, or both provide an accurate indication of student progress on the required standards. | |
| 3. Emerging | The grading practices used by teachers in some content areas, grade levels, or both provide an accurate indication of student progress on the required standards. | √ |
| 4. Not Evident | The grading practices used by teachers rarely, if ever, provide an accurate indication of student progress on the required standards. | |

2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

2.2 Effective Leadership

Analyze the LEA's data (including sections 2.6) and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of leadership needs. Complete a data-informed self-rating for each Georgia School Performance Standard (GSPS). See the Effective Leadership webinar for additional information and guidance.

| Leadership Standard 1 -Builds and sustains relationships to foster the success of students and staff | | |
|---|--|----------|
| 1. Exemplary | Administrators consistently build and sustain relationships to foster the success of students and staff. The school staff is fully engaged in relationship building through collaboration, internal and external communication, and building trust with staff, students, families, and communication to the old are | |
| 2. Operational | and community stakeholders. Administrators regularly build and sustain relationships to foster the success of students and staff. | √ |
| 3. Emerging | Administrators sometimes build relationships to foster the success of students and staff. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Administrators seldom, if ever, build relationships to foster the success of students and staff. | |

| Leadership Standard 2 -Initiates and manages change to improve staff performance and student learning | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary | Administrators, the school leadership team, and other teacher leaders initiate and | |
| | sustain change to improve staff performance and student learning. | |
| | | |
| | Administrators, the school leadership team, and other teacher leaders create a sense of | |
| | urgency for change and effectively communicate a common vision. | |
| 2. Operational | Administrators and the school leadership team initiate and sustain change to improve | ✓ |
| | staff performance and student learning. | |
| | | |
| | The principal provides an appropriate balance of pressure and support to manage the | |
| | change process for desired results. | |
| 3. Emerging | Administrators initiate change to improve staff performance and student learning but | |
| | do not sustain the change, remove barriers, or both. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Administrators initiate few, if any, changes that impact staff performance and student | |
| | learning. | |

| Leadership Standard 3 -Uses systems to ensure effective implementation of curriculum, assessment, instruction, and professional learning practices | | |
|---|---|----------|
| 1. Exemplary | The principal and other school leaders continually use systems to ensure effective implementation of curriculum, assessment, instruction, and professional learning practices. The principal and other school leaders have a comprehensive knowledge and understanding of the best practices for curriculum, assessment, instruction, and professional learning. | |
| 2. Operational | The principal and other school leaders often use systems to ensure effective implementation of curriculum, assessment, instruction, and professional learning practices. | √ |
| 3. Emerging | The principal and other school leaders occasionally use systems to ensure effective implementation of curriculum, assessment, instruction, and professional learning practices. | |
| 4. Not Evident | The principal and other school leaders rarely, if ever, use systems to ensure effective implementation of curriculum, assessment, instruction, and professional learning practices. | |

| Leadership Standard 4 -Uses processes to systematically analyze data to improve student achievement | | |
|--|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary | Extensive, comprehensive processes, including root cause analysis, are used consistently to analyze data (e.g., multiple sources of data: classroom, grade level, departmental, and subgroup, perception data) to improve student achievement. | |
| 2. Operational | Numerous processes are used frequently to analyze data (e.g., multiple sources of data: classroom, grade level, departmental, and subgroup, perception data) to improve student achievement. | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging | Some processes are in place and used occasionally to analyze data to improve student achievement. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, processes are in place to analyze data to improve student achievement. | |

| Leadership Standard 5 -Builds leadership capacity through shared decision-making and problem-solving | | |
|---|--|----------|
| 1. Exemplary | Extensive structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making and problem-solving and to build their leadership capacities. | |
| | Administrators collaborate consistently with staff members to gather input. | |
| 2. Operational | Numerous structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making and problem-solving and to build their leadership capacities. | |
| 3. Emerging | Some structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making, problem-solving, or both. | √ |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making or problem-solving. | |

| Leadership Standard 6 -Establishes and supports a data-driven school leadership team that is focused on student leadership | | learning |
|--|--|----------|
| 1. Exemplary | A highly effective, proactive, and data-driven school leadership team is focused on student learning. The leadership team addresses nearly all areas of student and staff learning and school leadership, including the development, implementation, and regular monitoring of the school improvement plan. | |
| 2. Operational | A data-driven school leadership team is established with stakeholder representation (e.g., core and non-core teachers, certified support staff) and is focused on student learning. The school leadership team meets regularly and uses norms and protocols to work effectively and efficiently. | √ |
| 3. Emerging | The school leadership team is established and has some stakeholder representation but is focused chiefly on school operations rather than student learning. | |
| 4. Not Evident | A school leadership team does not exist or does not have adequate stakeholder representation. | |

| Leadership Standard 7 | -Monitors and evaluates the performance of teachers and other staff using multiple data s | sources |
|-----------------------|---|----------|
| 1. Exemplary | Monitoring the performance of teachers and other staff through observations, surveys, data, and documentation is consistent and comprehensive, resulting in highly accurate performance evaluations. A comprehensive system is in place to provide teachers and staff with ongoing, accurate, timely, detailed, descriptive feedback related to their performance. Administrators use the evaluation process to identify role models, teacher leaders, or | |
| | both. | |
| 2. Operational | Monitoring the performance of teachers and other staff regularly occurs using data or documentation, generally resulting in accurate performance evaluations. Teachers and staff receive accurate, timely, descriptive feedback related to their performance. | √ |
| 3. Emerging | Monitoring the performance of teachers and other staff is inconsistent, incomplete, or lacks data or documentation, sometimes resulting in inaccurate performance evaluations. Teachers and staff receive some descriptive feedback related to their performance. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Monitoring the performance of teachers and other staff rarely occurs or often results in inaccurate performance evaluations. Teachers and staff receive little or no descriptive feedback related to their performance. | |

| Leadership Standard 8 -Provides ongoing support to teachers and other staff | | |
|---|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary | A comprehensive support system that is timely and targeted to individual needs is | |
| | provided to teachers and other staff. | |
| 2. Operational | Most support provided to teachers and other staff is targeted to individual needs. | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging | Some support provided to teachers and staff is targeted to individual needs. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Support to teachers and staff does not exist or is not targeted to individual needs. | |

| | Planning and Organization Standard 1 -Shares a common vision and mission that define the school culture and guide the continuous improvement process | | |
|----------------|---|----------|--|
| 1. Exemplary | A common vision and mission have been collaboratively developed and communicated to nearly all stakeholders. The culture of the school has been deeply defined over time by the vision and mission, which are updated as needed. The daily work and practices of staff consistently demonstrate a sustained | | |
| | commitment to continuous improvement. | | |
| 2. Operational | A common vision and mission have been developed through a collaborative process and communicated to most stakeholders. The vision and mission define the culture of the school and guide the continuous improvement process. | V | |
| 3. Emerging | A common vision and mission have been developed by some staff members but have not been effectively communicated so that they guide the continuous improvement process. | | |
| 4. Not Evident | A common vision and mission have not been developed or updated or have been developed by a few staff members. | | |

| Planning and Organization Standard 2 -Uses a data-driven and consensus-oriented process to develop and imples school improvement plan that is focused on student performance | | lement a |
|---|---|----------|
| 1. Exemplary | A school improvement plan has been developed using a data-driven and consensus-oriented process with input from nearly all stakeholders. | |
| | The plan includes appropriate goals and strategies with a strong focus on increasing student performance. | |
| | This process and plan consistently guide the work of the school staff. | |
| 2. Operational | A school improvement plan has been developed using a data-driven and consensus-oriented process with input from most plan stakeholders. The plan includes appropriate goals and strategies with a focus on increasing student performance. | √ |
| 3. Emerging | A school improvement plan has been developed with input from some stakeholders. The school improvement plan is based on incomplete data analysis with limited focus on student performance. | |
| 4. Not Evident | An up-to-date, data-driven school improvement plan focused on student performance is not in place. | |

| Planning and Organization Standard 3 -Monitors implementation of the school improvement plan and makes adjute as needed | | adjustments |
|--|---|-------------|
| 1. Exemplary | The goals and strategies of the school improvement plan are continually monitored by administrators, the school leadership team, and teacher leaders to evaluate the impact on student performance. Ongoing adjustments are made based on various performance, process, and perception data. | |
| 2. Operational | he goals and strategies of the school improvement plan are regularly monitored by administrators and the school leadership team to evaluate the impact on student performance. Adjustments are made to the plan, as needed, based on the analysis of data. | √ |
| 3. Emerging | The goals and strategies of the school improvement plan are occasionally monitored by administrators. | |
| 4. Not Evident | The goals and strategies of the school improvement plan are rarely, if ever, monitored. | |

| Planning and Organization Standard 4 -Monitors the use of available resources to support continuous improvement | | |
|---|---|----------|
| 1. Exemplary | The use of available resources (e.g., personnel, time, facilities, equipment, materials) to support continuous improvement is consistently monitored. | |
| | School schedules and processes are designed to make effective use of personnel, time, materials, and equipment. | |
| 2. Operational | The use of available resources (e.g., personnel, time, facilities, equipment, materials) to support continuous improvement is frequently monitored. | √ |
| 3. Emerging | The use of available resources to support continuous improvement is inconsistently monitored. | |
| 4. Not Evident | The use of available resources to support continuous improvement is rarely, if ever, monitored. | |

| Planning and Organization Standard 5 -Develops, communicates, and implements rules, policies, schedules, and procedure to maximize student learning and staff effectiveness | | |
|--|--|----------|
| 1. Exemplary | Rules, policies, schedules, and procedures are developed with stakeholder input, effectively communicated, and consistently implemented throughout the school to maximize student learning and staff effectiveness. These rules, policies, schedules, and procedures are consistently reviewed and revised as needed. | |
| 2. Operational | Rules, policies, schedules, and procedures are developed, communicated, and implemented throughout the school to maximize student learning and staff effectiveness. These rules, policies, schedules, and procedures are periodically reviewed and systematically revised as needed. | √ |
| 3. Emerging | Rules, policies, schedules, and procedures are developed but are not effectively communicated or are implemented inconsistently across the school. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Rules, policies, or procedures are not developed, are poorly communicated, or are ineffectively implemented. In some cases, rules, policies, schedules, or procedures are out of date or have become barriers to student learning or staff effectiveness. | |

| Planning and Organization Standard 6 -Uses protocols to maintain the school campus and equipment providing a safe, clean, and inviting learning environment | | g a safe, |
|--|--|-----------|
| 1. Exemplary | Protocols (e.g., safety drills, tornado drills, inclement weather plans, current crisis plan, school-wide safety plan, maintenance protocols, facility-use protocols, functional custodial schedules) are used extensively to maintain the school campus and equipment providing a safe, clean, and inviting learning environment. A proactive maintenance process is in place, and repairs are completed in a satisfactory and timely manner, when needed. | √ |
| 2. Operational | Protocols (e.g., safety drills, tornado drills, inclement weather plans, current crisis plan, school-wide safety plan, maintenance protocols, facility-use protocols, functional custodial schedules) are used to maintain the school campus and equipment providing a safe, clean, and inviting learning environment. The school and campus are clean, well-maintained, inviting, and safe. | |
| 3. Emerging | Protocols are sometimes used to maintain the school campus and equipment. The school and campus are partially clean, maintained, and inviting, but some safety issues exist. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Protocols do not exist or are rarely, if ever, used to maintain the school campus and equipment. The school and campus are not clean, maintained, or inviting, and safety issues exist. | |

2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

2.3 Professional Capacity

Analyze the LEA's data (including sections 2.6) and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of professional capacity needs. Complete a data-informed self-rating for each Georgia School Performance Standard (GSPS). See the Professional Capacity webinar for additional information and guidance.

| Leadership Standard 5 -Builds leadership capacity through shared decision-making and problem-solving | | |
|--|---|----------|
| 1. Exemplary | Extensive structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making and problem-solving and to build their leadership capacities. Administrators collaborate consistently with staff members to gather input. | |
| 2. Operational | Numerous structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making and problem-solving and to build their leadership capacities. | |
| 3. Emerging | Some structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making, problem-solving, or both. | √ |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making or problem-solving. | |

| Professional Learning Standard 1 -Aligns professional learning with needs identified through analysis of a variety of data | | |
|--|---|----------|
| 1. Exemplary | Professional learning needs are identified and differentiated through a collaborative analysis process using a variety of data (e.g., student achievement data, examination of student work, process data, teacher and leader effectiveness data, action research data, perception data from students, staff, and families). Ongoing support is provided through differentiated professional learning. | |
| 2. Operational | Professional learning needs are identified through a collaborative analysis process using a variety of data (e.g., student achievement data, examination of student work, process data, teacher and leader effectiveness data, action research data, perception data from students, staff, and families). | √ |
| 3. Emerging | Professional learning needs are identified using limited sources of data. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Professional learning needs are identified using little or no data. | |

| Professional Learning Standard 2 -Establishes a culture of collaboration among administrators and staff to enhance individual and collective performance | | nce |
|---|--|----------|
| 1. Exemplary | Administrators and staff, as a foundational practice, consistently collaborate to support leadership and personal accountability and to enhance individual and collective performance (e.g., construct knowledge, acquire skills, refine practice, provide feedback). Teachers conduct action research and assume ownership of professional learning processes. | |
| 2. Operational | Administrators and staff routinely collaborate to improve individual and collective performance (e.g., construct knowledge, acquire skills, refine practice, provide feedback). | √ |
| 3. Emerging | Administrators and staff sometimes collaborate to improve individual and collective performance. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Administrators and staff rarely collaborate to improve individual and collective performance. | |

| Professional Learning Standard 3 -Defines expectations for implementing professional learning | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary | Administrators, teacher leaders, or both consistently define expectations for the implementation of professional learning, including details regarding the stages of implementation and how monitoring will occur as implementation progresses. | |
| 2. Operational | Administrators, teacher leaders, or both regularly define expectations for the implementation of professional learning. | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging | Administrators, teacher leaders, or both occasionally define expectations for the implementation of professional learning. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Administrators, teacher leaders, or both rarely, if ever, define expectations for the implementation of professional learning. | |

| Professional Learning Standard 4 -Uses multiple professional learning designs to support the various learning ne staff | | eeds of the |
|---|--|-------------|
| 1. Exemplary | Staff members actively participate in job-embedded professional learning that engages collaborative teams in a variety of appropriate learning designs (e.g., collaborative lesson study, analysis of student work, problem solving sessions, curriculum development, coursework, action research, classroom observations, online networks). Professional learning includes extensive follow-up with descriptive feedback and coaching. | |
| 2. Operational | Staff members actively participate in professional learning, most of which is job-embedded, which includes multiple designs (e.g., collaborative lesson study, analysis of student work, problem-solving sessions, curriculum development, coursework, action research, classroom observations, online networks) to support their various learning needs. Professional learning includes follow-up with feedback and coaching. | |
| 3. Emerging | Some staff members are engaged in professional learning that makes use of more than one learning design to address their identified needs. | ✓ |
| 4. Not Evident | Staff members receive single, stand-alone professional learning events that are informational and mostly large-group presentation designs. | |

| Professional Learning Standard 5 -Allocates resources and establishes systems to support and sustain effective professional Learning | | rofessional |
|---|---|-------------|
| 1. Exemplary | Extensive resources (e.g., substitute teachers, materials, handouts, tools, stipends, facilitators, technology) and systems (e.g., conducive schedules, adequate collaborative time, model classrooms) are allocated to support and sustain effective professional learning. Opportunities to practice skills, receive follow-up, feedback, and coaching are provided to support the effectiveness of professional learning. | |
| 2. Operational | Adequate resources (e.g., substitute teachers, materials, handouts, tools, stipends, facilitators, technology) and systems (e.g., conducive schedules, adequate collaborative time, model classrooms) are in place to support and sustain professional learning. | √ |
| 3. Emerging | Some resources and systems are allocated to support and sustain professional learning. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, resources and systems are provided to support and sustain professional learning. | |

| Professional Learning Standard 6 -Monitors and evaluates the impact of professional learning on staff practices and stude learning | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary | Monitoring and evaluating the impact of professional learning on staff practices and increases in student learning occurs extensively. Evaluation results are used to identify and implement processes to extend student | |
| | learning. | |
| 2. Operational | Monitoring and evaluating the impact of professional learning on staff practices and student learning occurs routinely. | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging | Monitoring and evaluating the impact of professional learning on staff practices occurs sporadically. | _ |
| 4. Not Evident | Monitoring and evaluating the impact of professional learning on staff practices occurs rarely, if ever. | |

2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

2.4 Family and Community Engagement

Analyze the LEA's data (including sections 2.6) and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of needs related to family and community engagement. Complete a data-informed self-rating for each Georgia School Performance Standard (GSPS). See the Family Community Engagement webinar for additional information and guidance. Visit Georgia's Family Connection Partnership's KIDS COUNT for additional data.

Family and Community Engagement Data

| Family and Community Engagement Standard 1 -Creates an environment that welcomes, encourages, and community members to the school | | nects family |
|--|--|--------------|
| 1. Exemplary | The school has a well-established, inviting learning environment that welcomes, encourages, and connects family and community members to the school. Numerous opportunities are given to family members to become actively engaged in school-related events and improvement efforts as participants, event managers, and workers. | |
| 2. Operational | The school has created an environment that welcomes, encourages, and connects family and community members to the school. | |
| 3. Emerging | The school has made some progress toward creating an environment that welcomes, encourages, and connects family and community members to the school. | √ |
| 4. Not Evident | The school has not created an environment that welcomes, encourages, or connects family and community members to the school. | |

| Family and Community Engagement Standard 2 -Establishes structures that promote clear and open communic between the school and stakeholders | | cation |
|--|--|----------|
| 1. Exemplary | Extensive structures that promote clear and open communication between the school and stakeholders have been effectively established and implemented. Structures are continuously monitored for reliable and interactive communication. | |
| 2. Operational | Most structures that promote clear and open communication between the school and stakeholders have been effectively established and implemented. | |
| 3. Emerging | Some structures that promote clear and open communication between the school and stakeholders exist. | √ |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, structures that promote clear and open communication between the school and stakeholders exist. | |

Family and Community Engagement Data

| Family and Community Engagement Standard 3 -Establishes relationships and decision-making processes that build capacity for family and community engagement in the success of students | | |
|---|--|----------|
| 1. Exemplary | A wide variety of relationships and collaborative decision-making processes (e.g., business partnerships, school councils, parent or family organizations, academic and extra-curricular booster clubs, civic organizations, tutoring services, post-secondary partnerships) are pervasive in promoting student success and well being. Expectations for family and community engagement are embedded in the culture and result in stakeholders being actively involved in decision-making. | |
| 2. Operational | Numerous relationships and decision-making processes (e.g., business partnerships, school councils, parent or family organizations, academic and extra-curricular booster clubs, civic organizations, tutoring services) effectively build capacity for family and community engagement in the success of students. | |
| 3. Emerging | Limited relationships and decision-making processes have been initiated by the school to build capacity for family and community engagement. | √ |
| 4. Not Evident | Relationships and decision-making processes for families and the community are non-existent, or those that do exist contribute minimally to student success. | |

| Family and Commu status to families | nity Engagement Standard 4 -Communicates academic expectations and current student ac | chievement |
|-------------------------------------|--|------------|
| 1. Exemplary | The school staff provides families with ongoing, detailed academic expectations and/or graduation status (e.g., four-year graduation plans, syllabi, academic advisement protocols). | |
| | Extensive communication related to the current achievement level of individual students is provided (e.g., progress reports, student-led parent conferences, report cards, reading level reports, state test reports, school-based assessment reports, online reporting system). | |
| 2. Operational | The school staff communicates academic expectations and/or graduation status (e.g., four-year graduation plans, syllabi, academic advisement protocols) throughout the year. Regular communication related to the current achievement level of individual students is provided (e.g., progress reports, parent conferences, report cards, reading level reports, state test reports, school-based assessment reports, online reporting system). | √ |
| 3. Emerging | The school staff communicates some academic expectations at the start of the year. Some communication related to the current achievement level of individual students is provided. | |
| 4. Not Evident | The school staff does little to inform families of academic expectations. Little, if any, communication related to the current achievement level of individual students is provided. | |

Family and Community Engagement Data

| Family and Community Engagement Standard 5 -Develops the capacity of families to use support strategies at he will enhance academic achievement | | nome that |
|--|--|-----------|
| 1. Exemplary | The school continually develops the capacity (e.g., parent training, lunch and learn, make-it and take-it) of families to use support strategies at home that will enhance academic achievement. | |
| 2. Operational | The school frequently develops the capacity (e.g., parent training, lunch and learn, make-it and take-it) of families to use support strategies at home that will enhance academic achievement. | |
| 3. Emerging | The school occasionally develops the capacity of families to use support strategies at home that will enhance academic achievement. | √ |
| 4. Not Evident | The school seldom, if ever, develops the capacity of families to use support strategies at home that will enhance academic achievement. | |

| Family and Community Engagement Standard 6 -Connects families with agencies and resources in the community engagement standard 6 -Connects families with agencies and resources in the community engagement standard 6 -Connects families with agencies and resources in the community engagement standard 6 -Connects families with agencies and resources in the community engagement standard 6 -Connects families with agencies and resources in the community engagement standard 6 -Connects families with agencies and resources in the community engagement standard 6 -Connects families with agencies and resources in the community engagement standard 6 -Connects families with agencies and resources in the community engagement standard 6 -Connects families with agencies and resources in the community engagement standard 6 -Connects families with agencies and resources in the community engagement standard 6 -Connects families with agencies and resources are standard families with a standard famili | | nity to meet |
|---|--|--------------|
| 1. Exemplary | The school has a systematic process in place to connect families with an array of agencies and resources (e.g., Y-Clubs, after-school programs, health and counseling services, community service agencies, civic organizations, tutoring services) to meet the needs of students. | |
| 2. Operational | The school regularly connects families to agencies and resources in the community (e.g., Y-Clubs, after-school programs, health and counseling services, community service agencies, civic organizations, tutoring services) to meet the needs of students. | |
| 3. Emerging | The school sometimes connects families to agencies and resources in the community to meet the needs of students. | √ |
| 4. Not Evident | The school does little to connect families with agencies and resources in the community to meet the needs of students. | |

2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

2.5 Supportive Learning Environment

Analyze the LEA's data (including sections 2.6) and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of needs related to a supportive learning environment. Complete a data-informed self-rating for each Georgia School Performance Standard (GSPS). Student subgroups with a count of less than 15 are denoted by "TFS" (too few students). See the Supportive Learning Environment webinar for additional information and guidance.

| Instruction Standard 1 -Provides a supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning | | |
|---|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary | A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident throughout the school. | |
| | Students consistently stay on-task and take responsibility for their own actions. | |
| 2. Operational | A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in most classrooms. | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging | A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in some classrooms. | |
| 4. Not Evident | A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in few, if any, classrooms. | |

| Instruction Standard 2 - Creates an academically challenging learning environment | | |
|---|--|----------|
| 1. Exemplary | Nearly all teachers create an academically challenging, learning environment (e.g., higher-order thinking skills and processes, active student engagement, relevance, collaboration). Students consistently work independently and in teams to solve real-world problems that require advanced effort, decision-making, and critical and creative thinking. | |
| 2. Operational | Most teachers create an academically challenging, learning environment (e.g., higher-order thinking skills and processes, active student engagement, relevance, collaboration). | √ |
| 3. Emerging | Some teachers create an academically challenging learning environment. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, teachers create an academically challenging learning environment. | |

| Instruction Standard 8 -Establishes a learning environment that empowers students to actively monitor their own p | | |
|--|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary | Nearly all students use tools (e.g., rubrics, checklists, exemplars) to actively monitor | |
| | their own progress. | |
| | | |
| | Nearly all students develop a sense of personal responsibility and accountability by | |
| | engaging in record keeping, self-monitoring, sharing, exhibiting, and self-reflection. | |
| 2. Operational | Most students use tools (e.g., rubrics, checklists, exemplars) to actively monitor their | |
| | own progress. | |
| 3. Emerging | Some students use tools to actively monitor their own progress. | ✓ |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, students use tools to actively monitor their own progress. | |

| School Culture Standard 1 -Develops, communicates, and implements rules, practices, and procedures to maintain a safe, orderly learning environment | | ain a safe, |
|--|---|-------------|
| 1. Exemplary | Rules, practices, and procedures that maintain a safe, orderly learning environment are proactively developed, communicated, and consistently implemented across the school. These rules, practices, and procedures are continually monitored and revised as needed. | |
| 2. Operational | Rules, practices, and procedures that maintain a safe, orderly learning environment are developed, communicated, and implemented. | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging | Rules, practices, and procedures are developed and communicated but are ineffective or inconsistently implemented across the school. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Rules, practices, and procedures that maintain a safe, orderly, learning environment are not developed nor updated or are poorly communicated. | |

| School Culture Standard 2 -Establishes a culture of trust and respect that promotes positive interactions and a sense of community | | ense of |
|---|---|----------|
| 1. Exemplary | Extensive evidence (e.g., positive and respectful interactions, appreciation of diversity, tolerance, understanding) exists that a culture of trust and respect has been established. A pervasive commitment to promoting positive interactions and a sense of community is evident. | |
| 2. Operational | Evidence (e.g., positive and respectful interactions, appreciation of diversity, tolerance, understanding) exists that a culture of trust and respect has been established. A sustained commitment to promoting positive interactions and a sense of community is evident. | √ |
| 3. Emerging | Some evidence exists that a culture of trust and respect has been established. A limited commitment to promoting positive interactions and a sense of community is evident. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Little or no evidence exists that a culture of trust and respect has been established. Unresolved conflicts interfere with a sense of community. | |

| School Culture Standard | School Culture Standard 3 -Establishes a culture that supports the college and career readiness of students | |
|-------------------------|--|----------|
| 1. Exemplary | Extensive evidence (e.g., advisement, career counseling, transition coaching, high expectations) exists that the beliefs and practices of the school support the college and career readiness of students. The school culture supports addressing individual achievement needs and strengths to prepare students for success. | |
| 2. Operational | Evidence (e.g., advisement, career counseling, transition coaching, high expectations) exists that the beliefs and practices of the school support the college and career readiness of students. | √ |
| 3. Emerging | Some evidence exists that the school supports the college and career readiness of students. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Little or no evidence exists that the school supports the college and career readiness of students. | _ |

| School Culture Standard 4 -Supports the personal growth and development of students | | |
|---|--|----------|
| 1. Exemplary | The school staff consistently provides a comprehensive system of support (e.g., counseling, mentoring, advisement, coaching, goal setting, time management, problem solving) to maximize the personal growth and development of nearly all students. | |
| 2. Operational | The school staff regularly provides support (e.g., counseling, mentoring, advisement, coaching, goal setting, time management, problem solving) to enhance the personal growth and development of students. | √ |
| 3. Emerging | The school staff sporadically supports the personal growth and development of students. | |
| 4. Not Evident | The school staff does little to support the personal growth and development of students. | |

| School Culture Standard | School Culture Standard 5 -Recognizes and celebrates achievements and accomplishments of students and staff | |
|-------------------------|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary | The school community consistently recognizes and celebrates the achievements and accomplishments of students and staff. The celebrations are publicized within the school and to the community and support the culture of the school. | |
| | | |
| 2. Operational | The school community regularly recognizes and celebrates the achievements and accomplishments of students and staff. | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging | The school community periodically recognizes or celebrates the achievements or accomplishments of students and/or staff. | |
| 4. Not Evident | The school community rarely, if ever, recognizes or celebrates the achievements or accomplishments of students or staff. | _ |

| Planning and Organization Standard 1 -Shares a common vision and mission that define the school culture and guide the continuous improvement process | | |
|---|--|----------|
| 1. Exemplary | A common vision and mission have been collaboratively developed and communicated to nearly all stakeholders. | |
| | The culture of the school has been deeply defined over time by the vision and mission, which are updated as needed. | |
| | The daily work and practices of staff consistently demonstrate a sustained commitment to continuous improvement. | |
| 2. Operational | A common vision and mission have been developed through a collaborative process and communicated to most stakeholders. The vision and mission define the culture of the school and guide the continuous | √ |
| 3. Emerging | improvement process. A common vision and mission have been developed by some staff members but have not been effectively communicated so that they guide the continuous improvement process. | |
| 4. Not Evident | A common vision and mission have not been developed or updated or have been developed by a few staff members. | |

2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

2.6 Data Analysis Questions

Analyze the LEA's data and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of demographic and financial needs. Student subgroups with a count of less than 15 are denoted by "TFS" (too few students).

What perception data did you use?
[examples: student perceptions about school climate issues (health survey, violence, prejudice, bullying, etc.); student/parent perceptions about the effectiveness of programs or interventions; student understanding of relationship of school to career or has an academic plan]

Our school used the following types of perception data:

- Cognia Staff surveys
- Cognia Student surveys
- Cognia Parent surveys
- Title I parent surveys
- School climate surveys for parents, staff, and students

What does the perception data tell you? (perception data can describe people's knowledge, attitudes, beliefs, perceptions, competencies; perception data can also answer the question "What do people think they know, believe, or can do?")

The results from the surveys indicated the following areas of strength.

- Parents feel their students are receiving a rigorous curriculum.
- Teachers feel prepared based on the professional learning they receive.
- Students feel safe in their school.

The results from perception data indicated:

- Parents would like more information about how to prepare their child at home.
- Teachers need additional PL related to curriculum alignment, use of data, and the development of common assessments.
- Teachers would like more professional learning in the area of classroom management.

What process data did you use? (examples: student participation in school activities, sports, clubs, arts; student participation in special programs such as peer mediation, counseling, skills conferences; parent/student participation in events such as college information meetings and parent workshops)

We used the following process data.

- Discipline data
- Attendance procedures and data
- Evaluation data

| What does the process data tell you? (process data describes the way programs are conducted; provides evidence of participant involvement in programs; answers the question "What did you do for whom?") | Process data area of concern: • The number of discipline incidents that resulted in an office referral decreased from the previous year. |
|--|--|
| What achievement data did you use? | Georgia Milestone EOG Dibels Data (Accadience) BEACON Growth Measures IXL Math |
| What does your achievement data tell you? | The Georgia Milestone data indicates that our students are performing below the State level. Dibels data indicated that over 30% of students are significantly below grade level. Student Lexile Measures indicated that over 30% of our students are reading below grade level. |
| What demographic data did you use? | Ethnicity Gender Economically Disadvantaged Special Education Gifted |
| What does the demographic data tell you? | Our school has a significant number of students who are economically disadvantaged. We have 100% of our students who are receiving free lunch. The number of students with severe to moderate disabilities is increasing. |

3. NEEDS IDENTIFICATION AND ROOT CAUSE ANALYSIS

3.1 Strengths and Challenges Based on Trends and Patterns

Read the trends and patterns summaries from each section of the data analysis process. Use the information in these summaries to complete 3.2 and 3.3. Using the summaries in 3.1 and other local data, describe the strengths and challenges or answer the guiding questions for each program. Include strengths and challenges related to: a) general program implementation, and b) students and adults involved in or affected by the program. Focus on strengths and challenges that will assist in the identification of needs during 3.2. Watch the <u>Identifying Need webinar</u> for additional information and guidance.

Strengths and Challenges Based on Trends and Patterns

Coherent Instructional:Summarize the coherent instructional system trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs?

SDM offers a variety of learning and support services to reach the needs of the diverse student population. Educators plan and implement data driven instructional strategies and when necessary, educators address the learning needs of students by personalizing instructional strategies and through the use of interventions and support services. Curriculum, instruction, and assessment is monitored and adjusted to ensure alignment with the goals set for achievement. Participation in collaborative learning communities by educators on a regular basis continues to drive instruction and learning. Administration supports the instructional system through the use of walk-throughs, observations, participation in professional development, The WORK professional learning sessions, and professional learning community (PLC) meetings.

Effective Leadership:Summarize the effective leadership trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs?

Leaders consistently build relationships to promote the success of students and staff through out leadership teams, professional learning communities, mentors, etc. There is an open door policy in our building to help meet the needs of teachers and teacher leaders. Leaders work diligently to improve staff performance and student learning by using all of the groups names above and the WORK, TKES, conferences, staff meetings. The principal and other school leaders work together to use systems to ensure effective implementation of curriculum, assessment, instruction, and professional learning practices. Systematic processes are used to analyze data and make decisions about improving instruction.

Professional Capacity:Summarize the professional capacity trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs?

Staff data indicates a high turnover rate overall. The majority of staff is younger which indicated the need to continue to provide professional learning to support the staff through the continuation of the Work, PLCs, and other educational processes.

Strengths and Challenges Based on Trends and Patterns

Family and Community

Engagement:Summarize the family and community engagement trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs?

By completing this section of the report, the trends and patterns observed are that we communicate effectively through our Parent Teacher Organization, parent conferences, social media, and partnering with business and civic organizations (this is true of previous years but was lacking due to the pandemic and lockdown conditions). We also have representatives of the community serving on the School Governance Council who impact decision making at our school. By holding events during the day, we have been able to increase the parent engagement this year as compared to years past having the events after school hours.

Supportive Learning

Environment:Summarize the supportive learning environment trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs?

Through the CNA process, PBIS continues to be an integral part of our supportive learning environment. We also depend on the data produced by DIBELS scores, iLearn scores, tiers of intervention, and student grades. These things also support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs. Need: Standard 8: Establishes a learning environment that empowers students to actively monitor their own progress.

Strength: Standard 5: Recognizes and celebrates achievements and accomplishments of students and staff.

Demographic and Financial:Summarize the demographic and financial trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs?

Demographic trends include an increase in the Hispanic population, slight decrease in white population and the other populations remaining steady. Our economically disadvantaged subgroup continues to grow larger. With more labor intensive subgroups on the rise, the need for increased staff and resources will be likely. We were able to hire more support staff for the lower grades for this upcoming year.

Student Achievement:Summarize the student achievement trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs?

Students are performing at consistent rates among all subject areas. However, science is the lowest area in which students are performing at a distinguished rating. Mathematics was slightly higher at the Proficient level than all other content areas. With science performing the lowest, we need to complete the Work professional learning sessions to deconstruct standards, building units, develop common assessments.

IDEA - Special Education, Economically Disadvantaged Children, and English Learners.

Using the summaries in 3.1 and other local data, describe the strengths and challenges or answer the guiding questions for each program. Include strengths and challenges related to: a) general program implementation, and b) students and adults involved in or affected by the program. Focus on strengths and challenges that will assist in the identification of needs during 3.3. Watch the <u>Identifying Need webinar</u> for additional information and guidance.

| Strengths | Strengths: Students are exposed to a curriculum that aligns to state standards. In addition, instructional interventions are used for identified students based on area of need. Teachers participate in professional learning that supports them in the implementation of the Georgia Standards of Excellence. Students are provided accommodations and modifications based on their identified area of special education. Incentives and celebrations are provided to promote student achievement and positive behavior. |
|-----------|--|
| | and positive behavior. |

| Challenges | Challenges: |
|------------|---|
| | Providing professional learning to support teachers in promoting positive |
| | classroom environments that lead to a decrease in the numbers of disciplinary |
| | referrals. |
| | • The percentage of students who are not proficient in the area of reading. |
| | Develop of GSE aligned curriculum in all areas and especially in the areas of |
| | science and social studies. |
| | |

3. NEEDS IDENTIFICATION AND ROOT CAUSE ANALYSIS

3.2 Identification and Prioritization of Overarching Needs

Use the results of 3.1 to identify the overarching needs of the LEA. Determine the priority order of the identified needs based on data, team member and stakeholder knowledge, and answers to questions in the table below. Be sure to address the major program challenges identified in 3.1. Watch the <u>Identifying Need webinar</u> for additional information and guidance.

Overarching Need # 1

| Overarching Need | By July of 2024 all content area/grade level teams will submit grade level units with pacing guides aligned with identified learning targets with the appropriate DOK levels as determined by common formative and summative assessments. |
|--------------------------------|---|
| How severe is the need? | High |
| Is the need trending better or | Better |
| worse over time? | |
| Can Root Causes be Identified? | Yes |
| Priority Order | 1 |

| Additional Considerations | |
|---------------------------|--|

Overarching Need # 2

| Overarching Need | Implementation of effective professional learning communities that are driven by data, |
|--------------------------------|--|
| | follow established protocol, and impact instruction. |
| How severe is the need? | High |
| Is the need trending better or | Better |
| worse over time? | |
| Can Root Causes be Identified? | Yes |
| Priority Order | 3 |

| Additional Considerations | |
|---------------------------|--|
|---------------------------|--|

Overarching Need # 3

| Overarching Need | Increase by 5% the number of students performing at the next highest performance |
|--------------------------------|--|
| | area/band according to Formative Benchmark data. |
| How severe is the need? | High |
| Is the need trending better or | No Change |
| worse over time? | |
| Can Root Causes be Identified? | Yes |
| Priority Order | 2 |

| Additional Considerations | |
|---------------------------|--|
|---------------------------|--|

Overarching Need # 4

| Overarching Need | Increase the social/emotional/behavioral skills to alleviate the negative impact on academic |
|--------------------------------|--|
| | learning. |
| How severe is the need? | High |
| Is the need trending better or | No Change |
| worse over time? | |
| Can Root Causes be Identified? | Yes |
| Priority Order | 4 |

| | Additional Considerations | |
|--|---------------------------|--|
|--|---------------------------|--|

3. NEEDS IDENTIFICATION AND ROOT CAUSE ANALYSIS

3.3 Root Cause Analysis

Select the top 2-4 overarching needs from 3.2. Conduct a separate root cause analysis (RCA) for each need. Any RCA tools and resources can be used, but suggestions are available as part of the <u>Identifying Need webinar</u>. After describing the RCA process, complete a table for each selected overarching need.

Overarching Need - By July of 2024 all content area/grade level teams will submit grade level units with pacing guides aligned with identified learning targets with the appropriate DOK levels as determined by common formative and summative assessments.

Root Cause # 1

| Root Causes to be Addressed | Lack of knowledge/skill related to deconstructing standards to develop corresponding |
|---------------------------------|--|
| | learning targets and success criteria. |
| This is a root cause and not a | Yes |
| contributing cause or symptom | |
| This is something we can affect | Yes |
| Impacted Programs | IDEA - Special Education |
| | School and District Effectiveness |
| | Title I - Part A - Improving Academic Achievement of Disadvantaged |
| | Title I, Part A - Foster Care Program |
| | Title I, Part A - Parent and Family Engagement Program |
| | Title I, Part C - Education of Migratory Children |
| | Title I, Part D - Programs for Neglected or Delinquent Children |
| | Title III - Language Instruction for English Learners and Immigrant Students |
| | Title IX, Part A - McKinney-Vento Education for Homeless Children and Youth Program |

| Additional Responses | |
|----------------------|--|

| Root Causes to be Addressed | Lack of alignment of assessments to learning targets and success criteria. |
|---------------------------------|--|
| This is a root cause and not a | Yes |
| contributing cause or symptom | |
| This is something we can affect | Yes |
| Impacted Programs | IDEA - Special Education |
| | School and District Effectiveness |
| | Title I - Part A - Improving Academic Achievement of Disadvantaged |
| | Title I, Part A - Foster Care Program |

| Impacted Programs | Title I, Part A - Parent and Family Engagement Program |
|-------------------|---|
| | Title I, Part C - Education of Migratory Children |
| | Title I, Part D - Programs for Neglected or Delinquent Children |
| | Title III - Language Instruction for English Learners and Immigrant Students |
| | Title IX, Part A - McKinney-Vento Education for Homeless Children and Youth Program |

| Additional Responses | |
|----------------------|--|

Root Cause # 3

| Root Causes to be Addressed | Lack of time to develop necessary curriculum documents. |
|---------------------------------|---|
| This is a root cause and not a | Yes |
| contributing cause or symptom | |
| This is something we can affect | Yes |
| Impacted Programs | IDEA - Special Education |
| | School and District Effectiveness |
| | Title I - Part A - Improving Academic Achievement of Disadvantaged |
| | Title I, Part A - Foster Care Program |
| | Title I, Part A - Parent and Family Engagement Program |
| | Title I, Part C - Education of Migratory Children |
| | Title I, Part D - Programs for Neglected or Delinquent Children |
| | Title III - Language Instruction for English Learners and Immigrant Students |
| | Title IX, Part A - McKinney-Vento Education for Homeless Children and Youth Program |

| Additional Responses |
|----------------------|
|----------------------|

Overarching Need - Implementation of effective professional learning communities that are driven by data, follow established protocol, and impact instruction.

| Root Causes to be Addressed | Lack of common understanding of PLC fundamental principles and processes. |
|---------------------------------|---|
| This is a root cause and not a | Yes |
| contributing cause or symptom | |
| This is something we can affect | Yes |
| Impacted Programs | IDEA - Special Education |
| | School and District Effectiveness |
| | Title I - Part A - Improving Academic Achievement of Disadvantaged |
| | Title I, Part A - Foster Care Program |
| | Title I, Part A - Parent and Family Engagement Program |
| | Title I, Part C - Education of Migratory Children |
| | Title I, Part D - Programs for Neglected or Delinquent Children |
| | Title III - Language Instruction for English Learners and Immigrant Students |
| | Title IX, Part A - McKinney-Vento Education for Homeless Children and Youth Program |

| Additional Responses | |
|----------------------|--|

| Root Causes to be Addressed | Lack of identified common formative assessments for data collection. |
|---------------------------------|---|
| This is a root cause and not a | Yes |
| contributing cause or symptom | |
| This is something we can affect | Yes |
| Impacted Programs | IDEA - Special Education |
| | School and District Effectiveness |
| | Title I - Part A - Improving Academic Achievement of Disadvantaged |
| | Title I, Part A - Foster Care Program |
| | Title I, Part A - Parent and Family Engagement Program |
| | Title I, Part C - Education of Migratory Children |
| | Title I, Part D - Programs for Neglected or Delinquent Children |
| | Title III - Language Instruction for English Learners and Immigrant Students |
| | Title IX, Part A - McKinney-Vento Education for Homeless Children and Youth Program |

| Additional Responses | |
|----------------------|--|

| Root Causes to be Addressed | Lack of dedicated time for PLCs on a regular basis. |
|---------------------------------|---|
| This is a root cause and not a | Yes |
| contributing cause or symptom | |
| This is something we can affect | Yes |
| Impacted Programs | IDEA - Special Education |
| | School and District Effectiveness |
| | Title I - Part A - Improving Academic Achievement of Disadvantaged |
| | Title I, Part A - Foster Care Program |
| | Title I, Part A - Parent and Family Engagement Program |
| | Title I, Part C - Education of Migratory Children |
| | Title I, Part D - Programs for Neglected or Delinquent Children |
| | Title III - Language Instruction for English Learners and Immigrant Students |
| | Title IX, Part A - McKinney-Vento Education for Homeless Children and Youth Program |

| Additional Responses | |
|----------------------|--|

| Root Causes to be Addressed | Lack of PLC team SMART goals. | |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| This is a root cause and not a | Yes | |
| contributing cause or symptom | | |
| This is something we can affect | Yes | |
| Impacted Programs | IDEA - Special Education | |
| | School and District Effectiveness | |
| | Title I - Part A - Improving Academic Achievement of Disadvantaged | |
| | Title I, Part A - Foster Care Program | |
| | Title I, Part A - Parent and Family Engagement Program | |
| | Title I, Part C - Education of Migratory Children | |
| | Title I, Part D - Programs for Neglected or Delinquent Children | |
| | Title III - Language Instruction for English Learners and Immigrant Students | |
| | Title IX, Part A - McKinney-Vento Education for Homeless Children and Youth Program | |

| Additional Responses | |
|----------------------|--|

Overarching Need - Increase by 5% the number of students performing at the next highest performance area/band according to Formative Benchmark data.

Root Cause # 1

| Root Causes to be Addressed | Lack of understanding of the RTI purpose and processes. | |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| This is a root cause and not a | Yes | |
| contributing cause or symptom | | |
| This is something we can affect | Yes | |
| Impacted Programs | IDEA - Special Education | |
| | School and District Effectiveness | |
| | Title I - Part A - Improving Academic Achievement of Disadvantaged | |
| | Title I, Part A - Foster Care Program | |
| | Title I, Part A - Parent and Family Engagement Program | |
| | Title I, Part C - Education of Migratory Children | |
| | Title I, Part D - Programs for Neglected or Delinquent Children | |
| | Title III - Language Instruction for English Learners and Immigrant Students | |
| | Title IX, Part A - McKinney-Vento Education for Homeless Children and Youth Program | |

| Additional Responses | |
|----------------------|--|

| Root Causes to be Addressed | Lack of effective data analysis related to interventions. | |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| This is a root cause and not a | Yes | |
| contributing cause or symptom | | |
| This is something we can affect | Yes | |
| Impacted Programs | IDEA - Special Education | |
| | School and District Effectiveness | |
| | Title I - Part A - Improving Academic Achievement of Disadvantaged | |
| | Title I, Part A - Foster Care Program | |
| | Title I, Part A - Parent and Family Engagement Program | |
| | Title I, Part C - Education of Migratory Children | |
| | Title I, Part D - Programs for Neglected or Delinquent Children | |
| | Title III - Language Instruction for English Learners and Immigrant Students | |
| | Title IX, Part A - McKinney-Vento Education for Homeless Children and Youth Program | |

| Additional Responses | |
|----------------------|--|

| Root Causes to be Addressed | Lack of effective interventions. | |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| This is a root cause and not a | Yes | |
| contributing cause or symptom | | |
| This is something we can affect | Yes | |
| Impacted Programs | IDEA - Special Education | |
| | School and District Effectiveness | |
| | Title I - Part A - Improving Academic Achievement of Disadvantaged | |
| | Title I, Part A - Foster Care Program | |
| | Title I, Part A - Parent and Family Engagement Program | |
| | Title I, Part C - Education of Migratory Children | |
| | Title I, Part D - Programs for Neglected or Delinquent Children | |
| | Title III - Language Instruction for English Learners and Immigrant Students | |
| | Title IX, Part A - McKinney-Vento Education for Homeless Children and Youth Program | |

| | - | | |
|--------------------|------|--|--|
| 4 1 1 to 1 To | | | |
| Additional Respon | 1888 | | |
| riaditional respon | 1000 | | |

| Root Causes to be Addressed | Lack of effective professional learning for implementing interventions with fidelity. | |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| This is a root cause and not a | Yes | |
| contributing cause or symptom | | |
| This is something we can affect | Yes | |
| Impacted Programs | IDEA - Special Education | |
| | School and District Effectiveness | |
| | Title I - Part A - Improving Academic Achievement of Disadvantaged | |
| | Title I, Part A - Foster Care Program | |
| | Title I, Part A - Parent and Family Engagement Program | |
| | Title I, Part C - Education of Migratory Children | |
| | Title I, Part D - Programs for Neglected or Delinquent Children | |
| | Title III - Language Instruction for English Learners and Immigrant Students | |
| | Title IX, Part A - McKinney-Vento Education for Homeless Children and Youth Program | |

| Additional Responses | |
|----------------------|--|

Overarching Need - Increase the social/emotional/behavioral skills to alleviate the negative impact on academic learning.

Root Cause # 1

| Root Causes to be Addressed | Lack of knowledge in implementing classroom strategies to address social/emotional | |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| | needs. | |
| This is a root cause and not a | Yes | |
| contributing cause or symptom | | |
| This is something we can affect | Yes | |
| Impacted Programs | IDEA - Special Education | |
| | School and District Effectiveness | |
| | Title I - Part A - Improving Academic Achievement of Disadvantaged | |
| | Title I, Part A - Foster Care Program | |
| | Title I, Part A - Parent and Family Engagement Program | |
| | Title III - Language Instruction for English Learners and Immigrant Students | |
| | Title IX, Part A - McKinney-Vento Education for Homeless Children and Youth Program | |

| Additional Responses | |
|----------------------|--|
| Additional responses | |

| Root Causes to be Addressed | Lack of professional learning/training for teachers and staff on restorative practices to address SEL needs |
|---------------------------------|---|
| This is a root cause and not a | Yes |
| contributing cause or symptom | |
| This is something we can affect | Yes |
| Impacted Programs | IDEA - Special Education |
| | School and District Effectiveness |
| | Title I - Part A - Improving Academic Achievement of Disadvantaged |
| | Title I, Part A - Foster Care Program |
| | Title I, Part A - Parent and Family Engagement Program |
| | Title III - Language Instruction for English Learners and Immigrant Students |
| | Title IX, Part A - McKinney-Vento Education for Homeless Children and Youth Program |

| Additional Responses | |
|----------------------|--|



School Improvement Plan 2023 - 2024



Vidalia City
Sally Dailey Meadows Elementary School

SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

1 General Improvement Plan Information

General Improvement Plan Information

| District | Vidalia City |
|--------------------------------|---|
| School Name | Sally Dailey Meadows Elementary School |
| Team Lead | Brandon Boston |
| Federal Funding Options to Be | Traditional funding (Federal funds budgeted separately) |
| Employed (SWP Schools) in this | |
| Plan (Select all that apply) | |

| Fact | Factors(s) Used by District to Identify Students in Poverty (Select all that apply) | |
|------|---|--|
| | Free/Reduced meal application | |
| ✓ | Community Eligibility Program (CEP) - Direct Certification ONLY | |
| | Other (if selected, please describe below) | |

2. SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT GOALS

2.1 Overarching Need # 1

Overarching Need

| Overarching Need as identified in | By July of 2024 all content area/grade level teams will submit grade level units with pacing |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| CNA Section 3.2 | guides aligned with identified learning targets with the appropriate DOK levels as |
| | determined by common formative and summative assessments. |
| Root Cause # 1 | Lack of alignment of assessments to learning targets and success criteria. |
| Root Cause # 2 | Lack of knowledge/skill related to deconstructing standards to develop corresponding |
| | learning targets and success criteria. |
| Root Cause # 3 | Lack of time to develop necessary curriculum documents. |
| Goal | By July 1, 2024, 100% of all content area//grade level teams will submit units via a common |
| | format with identified learning targets and success criteria, summative assessments aligned |
| | with learning targets at an appropriate DOK/ALD, and common formative assessments |
| | aligned with the success criteria. |

| Action Step | Develop learning targets and success criteria based on deconstruction of the standards. |
|---------------------------|---|
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A |
| | Title I, Part A SIG |
| | Title I, Part C |
| | Title I, Part D |
| | Title III, Part A |
| | Title V, Part B |
| | IDEA |
| | McKinney-Vento |
| | Perkins |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |
| | Migrant |
| | Race / Ethnicity / Minority |
| | Student with Disabilities |
| | N/A |
| Systems | Coherent Instruction |
| Method for Monitoring | Feedback on learning targets |
| Implementation | |
| Method for Monitoring | Stakeholder feedback. |
| Effectiveness | |
| Position/Role Responsible | Teachers |

| Timeline for Implementation | Quarterly |
|-----------------------------|-----------|
|-----------------------------|-----------|

| What partnerships, if any, with | First District RESA |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, | |
| Community based organizations, | |
| or any private entity with a | |
| demonstrated record of success is | |
| the LEA implementing in carrying | |
| out this action step(s)? | |

| Action Step | Develop formative and summative assessments aligned to learning targets and success |
|-----------------------------|---|
| | criteria. |
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A |
| | Title I, Part A SIG |
| | Title I, Part C |
| | Title I, Part D |
| | Title III, Part A |
| | Title V, Part B |
| | IDEA |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |
| | Migrant |
| | Race / Ethnicity / Minority |
| | Student with Disabilities |
| Systems | Coherent Instruction |
| Method for Monitoring | PLC forms, Sign In sheets from PL |
| Implementation | |
| Method for Monitoring | Documentation of feedback. |
| Effectiveness | |
| Position/Role Responsible | Teachers |
| Timeline for Implementation | Quarterly |

| What partnerships, if any, with | First District RESA |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, | |
| Community based organizations, | |
| or any private entity with a | |
| demonstrated record of success is | |
| the LEA implementing in carrying | |
| out this action step(s)? | |

| Action Step | Use school-wide instructional framework to develop instructional units aligned with |
|-----------------------------|---|
| | learning targets, success criteria, summative assessments, and common formative |
| | assessments. |
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A |
| | Title I, Part A SIG |
| | Title I, Part C |
| | Title I, Part D |
| | Title III, Part A |
| | Title V, Part B |
| | IDEA |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |
| | Migrant |
| | Race / Ethnicity / Minority |
| | Student with Disabilities |
| Systems | Coherent Instruction |
| Method for Monitoring | Monitor and Review units uploaded to electronic format. |
| Implementation | |
| Method for Monitoring | Documentation of engagement. |
| Effectiveness | |
| Position/Role Responsible | Teachers |
| Timeline for Implementation | Weekly |

| What partnerships, if any, with | First District RESA |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, | |
| Community based organizations, | |
| or any private entity with a | |
| demonstrated record of success is | |
| the LEA implementing in carrying | |
| out this action step(s)? | |

| Action Step | Train staff on developing and aligning summative assessments to learning targets. |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A |
| | Title I, Part A SIG |
| | Title I, Part C |
| | Title I, Part D |
| | Title III, Part A |
| | Title V, Part B |
| | IDEA |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |
| | Migrant |
| | Race / Ethnicity / Minority |
| | Student with Disabilities |
| Systems | Effective Leadership |
| Method for Monitoring | Sign In Sheets |
| Implementation | |
| Method for Monitoring | Documentation of delivery. |
| Effectiveness | |
| Position/Role Responsible | Leadership Team |
| Timeline for Implementation | Quarterly |

| What partnerships, if any, with | First District RESA |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, | |
| Community based organizations, | |
| or any private entity with a | |
| demonstrated record of success is | |
| the LEA implementing in carrying | |
| out this action step(s)? | |

| What partnerships, if any, with | First District RESA |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, | |
| Community based organizations, | |
| or any private entity with a | |
| demonstrated record of success is | |
| the LEA implementing in carrying | |
| out this action step(s)? | |

| Action Step | Review components of unit frameworks to ensure understanding and consistency among |
|-----------------------------|--|
| | all teachers. |
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A |
| | Title I, Part A SIG |
| | Title I, Part C |
| | Title I, Part D |
| | Title III, Part A |
| | Title V, Part B |
| | IDEA |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |
| | Migrant |
| | Race / Ethnicity / Minority |
| | Student with Disabilities |
| Systems | Effective Leadership |
| Method for Monitoring | Unit feedback |
| Implementation | |
| Method for Monitoring | Stakeholder feedback. |
| Effectiveness | |
| Position/Role Responsible | Leadership Team |
| Timeline for Implementation | Quarterly |

| What partnerships, if any, with | First District RESA |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, | |
| Community based organizations, | |
| or any private entity with a | |
| demonstrated record of success is | |
| the LEA implementing in carrying | |
| out this action step(s)? | |

| Action Step | Utilize PLC meetings to provide individualized support and training for developing described curriculum documents through analysis of data related to quarterly curriculum review. |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Funding Sources | N/A |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |
| | Migrant |
| | Race / Ethnicity / Minority |
| | Student with Disabilities |
| Systems | Professional Capacity |
| Method for Monitoring | PLC documents- agenda, minutes, item analysis |
| Implementation | |
| Method for Monitoring | Documentation of feedback. |
| Effectiveness | |
| Position/Role Responsible | Administrators and Teachers |
| Timeline for Implementation | Weekly |

| What partnerships, if any, with | First District RESA |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, | |
| Community based organizations, | |
| or any private entity with a | |
| demonstrated record of success is | |
| the LEA implementing in carrying | |
| out this action step(s)? | |

| Action Step | Participate in professional learning with consultant experts based on levels of need and |
|-----------------------------|--|
| | previous experience. |
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A |
| | Title I, Part A SIG |
| | Title I, Part C |
| | Title I, Part D |
| | Title III, Part A |
| | Title V, Part B |
| | Perkins |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |
| | Migrant |
| | Race / Ethnicity / Minority |
| | Student with Disabilities |
| Systems | Professional Capacity |
| Method for Monitoring | Sign in sheets |
| Implementation | |
| Method for Monitoring | Stakeholder feedback. |
| Effectiveness | |
| Position/Role Responsible | Teachers/Administration |
| Timeline for Implementation | Quarterly |

| What partnerships, if any, with | First District RESA/DOE |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, | |
| Community based organizations, | |
| or any private entity with a | |
| demonstrated record of success is | |
| the LEA implementing in carrying | |
| out this action step(s)? | |

| Action Step | Share academic calendar/outline/syllabus with parents quarterly so parents are aware of content being covered in class. |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A |
| | Title I, Part A SIG |
| | Title I, Part C |
| | Title I, Part D |
| | Title III, Part A |
| | Title V, Part B |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |
| | Migrant |
| | Race / Ethnicity / Minority |
| | Student with Disabilities |
| Systems | Family and Community Engagement |
| Method for Monitoring | Documents for parents |
| Implementation | |
| Method for Monitoring | Stakeholder feedback. |
| Effectiveness | |
| Position/Role Responsible | Teachers |
| Timeline for Implementation | Quarterly |

| What partnerships, if any, with | First District RESA |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, | |
| Community based organizations, | |
| or any private entity with a | |
| demonstrated record of success is | |
| the LEA implementing in carrying | |
| out this action step(s)? | |

| Action Step | Develop at least one instructional activity annually that is conducive to parent participation within the classroom or school. |
|-----------------|--|
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A Title I, Part A SIG |
| | Title I, Part C |
| | Title I, Part D |

| Funding Sources | Title III, Part A |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | Title V, Part B |
| | IDEA |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |
| | Migrant |
| | Race / Ethnicity / Minority |
| | Student with Disabilities |
| Systems | Family and Community Engagement |
| Method for Monitoring | Copies of the activities |
| Implementation | |
| Method for Monitoring | 90% engagement in activities. |
| Effectiveness | |
| Position/Role Responsible | Administrators/Teachers |
| Timeline for Implementation | Yearly |

| What partnerships, if any, with | First District RESA |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, | |
| Community based organizations, | |
| or any private entity with a | |
| demonstrated record of success is | |
| the LEA implementing in carrying | |
| out this action step(s)? | |

| Action Step | Conduct vertical alignment of curriculum for advanced curriculum to ensure advanced Lexile texts in all content areas, adjusted learning targets, and advanced level of assessment items. |
|-----------------|---|
| Funding Sources | N/A |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |
| | Migrant |
| | Race / Ethnicity / Minority |
| | Student with Disabilities |

| Systems | Supportive Learning Environment |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Method for Monitoring | Unit feedback |
| Implementation | |
| Method for Monitoring | Stakeholder feedback. |
| Effectiveness | |
| Position/Role Responsible | Teachers |
| Timeline for Implementation | Quarterly |

| What partnerships, if any, with | First District RESA |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, | |
| Community based organizations, | |
| or any private entity with a | |
| demonstrated record of success is | |
| the LEA implementing in carrying | |
| out this action step(s)? | |

| Action Step | Provide supplemental remediation, enrichment, and intervention programs and activities for students who are not proficient on the Georgia Milestones and local benchmark assessment in all content areas. |
|---|---|
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A Title I, Part A SIG Title V, Part B |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged Foster Homeless English Learners Migrant Race / Ethnicity / Minority |
| Systems | Student with Disabilities Coherent Instruction Professional Capacity Supportive Learning Environment |
| Method for Monitoring Implementation | Observations, lesson plans/units, data analysis, usage reports, PLC documentation |
| Method for Monitoring Effectiveness | 90% of classes will show improved engagement. |

| Position/Role Responsible | Administration, Teachers |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------|
| Timeline for Implementation | Monthly |

| What partnerships, if any, with | RESA |
|-----------------------------------|------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, | |
| Community based organizations, | |
| or any private entity with a | |
| demonstrated record of success is | |
| the LEA implementing in carrying | |
| out this action step(s)? | |

| Action Step | Increase the use of manipulatives math classrooms 2-5. |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A |
| | Title I, Part A SIG |
| | Title V, Part B |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |
| | Migrant |
| | Race / Ethnicity / Minority |
| | Student with Disabilities |
| Systems | Coherent Instruction |
| | Professional Capacity |
| | Supportive Learning Environment |
| Method for Monitoring | Observations, PLC documentation, Lesson/unit plans |
| Implementation | |
| Method for Monitoring | 90% of classes will show engagement. |
| Effectiveness | |
| Position/Role Responsible | Administrators, Teachers |
| Timeline for Implementation | Quarterly |

| What partnerships, if any, with | RESA |
|-----------------------------------|------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, | |
| Community based organizations, | |
| or any private entity with a | |
| demonstrated record of success is | |
| the LEA implementing in carrying | |
| out this action step(s)? | |

| Action Step | Engage parents by hosting in-person and virtual events that provide information on their |
|-----------------------------|--|
| - | child's academic performance and on how parent's can support their child's learning from |
| | home. |
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A |
| | Title V, Part B |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |
| | Migrant |
| | Race / Ethnicity / Minority |
| | Student with Disabilities |
| Systems | Coherent Instruction |
| | Effective Leadership |
| | Professional Capacity |
| | Family and Community Engagement |
| | Supportive Learning Environment |
| Method for Monitoring | Invitations, presentations, sign-in sheets, feedback |
| Implementation | |
| Method for Monitoring | Documentation of parent participation in the described events. |
| Effectiveness | |
| Position/Role Responsible | Administration, Teachers |
| Timeline for Implementation | Quarterly |

| What partnerships, if any, with | RESA, Family Connections |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, | |
| Community based organizations, | |
| or any private entity with a | |
| demonstrated record of success is | |
| the LEA implementing in carrying | |
| out this action step(s)? | |

| Action Step | Conduct focus (ELEOT) walks for monitoring effective instructional practices and fidelity |
|-----------------------------|---|
| | of implementation of professional learning. |
| Funding Sources | N/A |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |
| | Migrant |
| | Race / Ethnicity / Minority |
| | Student with Disabilities |
| Systems | Coherent Instruction |
| | Effective Leadership |
| | Professional Capacity |
| | Supportive Learning Environment |
| Method for Monitoring | schedule of focus walks, feedback |
| Implementation | |
| Method for Monitoring | 90% of classes will show improvement in student engagement according to the ELEOT. |
| Effectiveness | |
| Position/Role Responsible | Administration, teachers |
| Timeline for Implementation | Monthly |

| What partnerships, if any, with | RESA, GaDOE |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, | |
| Community based organizations, | |
| or any private entity with a | |
| demonstrated record of success is | |
| the LEA implementing in carrying | |
| out this action step(s)? | |

| Action Step | Increase opportunities and access for all students to engage in activities and programs using computers and technological devices for large and small group instruction, remediation, enrichment, and interventions. |
|--|--|
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A Title V, Part B |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged Foster Homeless English Learners Migrant Race / Ethnicity / Minority Student with Disabilities |
| Systems | Coherent Instruction Effective Leadership Supportive Learning Environment |
| Method for Monitoring Implementation | inventories, technology sign out sheet, lesson plans, program implementation |
| Method for Monitoring Effectiveness | 100% participation in all classes. |
| Position/Role Responsible | Administrators, Teachers, Media Specialist |
| Timeline for Implementation | Weekly |

| What partnerships, if any, with |
|-----------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, |
| Community based organizations, |
| or any private entity with a |
| demonstrated record of success is |
| the LEA implementing in carrying |
| out this action step(s)? |

| Action Step | Increase capacity of classroom libraries in all content areas to expand opportunities for |
|-----------------|---|
| | students to engage in reading. |
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A |
| | Title V, Part B |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |

| Subgroups | English Learners |
|-----------------------------|---|
| | Migrant |
| | Race / Ethnicity / Minority |
| | Student with Disabilities |
| Systems | Coherent Instruction |
| | Effective Leadership |
| | Professional Capacity |
| | Family and Community Engagement |
| | Supportive Learning Environment |
| Method for Monitoring | Lesson plans, focus walks, PLC documentation |
| Implementation | |
| Method for Monitoring | 90% of classrooms will be demonstrating quality engagement according to ELEOT |
| Effectiveness | observations |
| Position/Role Responsible | Administration, Teachers, Media Specialist |
| Timeline for Implementation | Monthly |

| What partnerships, if any, with | Family Connection, RESA |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, | |
| Community based organizations, | |
| or any private entity with a | |
| demonstrated record of success is | |
| the LEA implementing in carrying | |
| out this action step(s)? | |

2. SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT GOALS

2.2 Overarching Need # 2

Overarching Need

| Overarching Need as identified in | Implementation of effective professional learning communities that are driven by data, |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| CNA Section 3.2 | follow established protocol, and impact instruction. |
| Root Cause # 1 | Lack of common understanding of PLC fundamental principles and processes. |
| Root Cause # 2 | Lack of dedicated time for PLCs on a regular basis. |
| Root Cause # 3 | Lack of identified common formative assessments for data collection. |
| Root Cause # 4 | Lack of PLC team SMART goals. |
| Goal | Increase to 100%, the number of teachers participating in effective Professional Learning |
| | Communities to impact instruction as measured by qualitative and quantitative data from |
| | PLC protocols and observations. |

| Action Step | Instructional Coaches will help content area teachers revise curriculum maps and pacing |
|---------------------------|---|
| | guides. |
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A |
| Ŭ | Title I, Part A SIG |
| | Title I, Part C |
| | Title I, Part D |
| | Title III, Part A |
| | Title V, Part B |
| | IDEA |
| | McKinney-Vento |
| | Perkins |
| | N/A |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |
| | Migrant |
| | Race / Ethnicity / Minority |
| | Student with Disabilities |
| | N/A |
| Systems | Coherent Instruction |
| Method for Monitoring | Review and feedback on documents |
| Implementation | |
| Method for Monitoring | Completed curriculum maps and pacing guides. |
| Effectiveness | |
| Position/Role Responsible | Teachers |

| Timeline for Implementation | Quarterly |
|-----------------------------|-----------|
|-----------------------------|-----------|

| What partnerships, if any, with |
|-----------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, |
| Community based organizations, |
| or any private entity with a |
| demonstrated record of success is |
| the LEA implementing in carrying |
| out this action step(s)? |

| Action Step | Content area groups develop common formative assessment for each learning target to |
|-----------------------------|---|
| | analyze during PLCs. |
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A |
| | Title I, Part A SIG |
| | Title I, Part C |
| | Title I, Part D |
| | Title III, Part A |
| | Title V, Part B |
| | IDEA |
| | N/A |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |
| | Migrant |
| | Race / Ethnicity / Minority |
| | Student with Disabilities |
| Systems | Coherent Instruction |
| Method for Monitoring | Curriculum documents; PLC agenda and minutes |
| Implementation | |
| Method for Monitoring | Completed assessments. |
| Effectiveness | |
| Position/Role Responsible | Teachers |
| Timeline for Implementation | Quarterly |



| Action Step | Content teams establish SMART goals tied to school goals. |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A |
| | Title I, Part A SIG |
| | Title I, Part C |
| | Title I, Part D |
| | Title III, Part A |
| | Title V, Part B |
| | IDEA |
| | N/A |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |
| | Migrant |
| | Race / Ethnicity / Minority |
| | Student with Disabilities |
| Systems | Coherent Instruction |
| Method for Monitoring | PLC agenda and minutes |
| Implementation | |
| Method for Monitoring | Completed SMART goals. |
| Effectiveness | |
| Position/Role Responsible | Teachers |
| Timeline for Implementation | Weekly |

| What partnerships, if any, with |
|-----------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, |
| Community based organizations, |
| or any private entity with a |
| demonstrated record of success is |
| the LEA implementing in carrying |
| out this action step(s)? |

| Action Step | Develop protected job-embedded time for PLC meetings. |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A |
| | Title I, Part A SIG |
| | Title I, Part C |
| | Title I, Part D |
| | Title III, Part A |
| | Title V, Part B |
| | IDEA |
| | N/A |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |
| | Migrant |
| | Race / Ethnicity / Minority |
| | Student with Disabilities |
| Systems | Effective Leadership |
| Method for Monitoring | PLC agenda and minutes |
| Implementation | |
| Method for Monitoring | Documentation of PLC's. |
| Effectiveness | |
| Position/Role Responsible | Principal |
| Timeline for Implementation | Quarterly |

| What partnerships, if any, with |
|-----------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, |
| Community based organizations, |
| or any private entity with a |
| demonstrated record of success is |
| the LEA implementing in carrying |
| out this action step(s)? |

| Action Step | Provide feedback from observations and participation in PLC meetings. |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A |
| | Title I, Part A SIG |
| | Title I, Part C |
| | Title I, Part D |
| | Title III, Part A |
| | Title V, Part B |
| | IDEA |
| | N/A |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |
| | Migrant |
| | Race / Ethnicity / Minority |
| | Student with Disabilities |
| Systems | Effective Leadership |
| Method for Monitoring | Feedback forms from Administrators |
| Implementation | |
| Method for Monitoring | Documentation of feedback. |
| Effectiveness | |
| Position/Role Responsible | Administration |
| Timeline for Implementation | Quarterly |

| What partnerships, if any, with |
|-----------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, |
| Community based organizations, |
| or any private entity with a |
| demonstrated record of success is |
| the LEA implementing in carrying |
| out this action step(s)? |

| Action Step | Develop plans for celebrations for PLC accomplishments. |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Funding Sources | N/A |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |
| | Migrant |
| | Race / Ethnicity / Minority |
| | Student with Disabilities |
| | N/A |
| Systems | Effective Leadership |
| | Professional Capacity |
| Method for Monitoring | PLC agendas and minutes |
| Implementation | |
| Method for Monitoring | Stakeholder feedback on activities. |
| Effectiveness | |
| Position/Role Responsible | Administration and Leadership Team |
| Timeline for Implementation | Weekly |

| What partnerships, if any, with |
|-----------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, |
| Community based organizations, |
| or any private entity with a |
| demonstrated record of success is |
| the LEA implementing in carrying |
| out this action step(s)? |

| Action Step | Continue to develop a common understanding of Professional Learning Communities and |
|-----------------------------|---|
| | collaborative planning. |
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A |
| | Title I, Part A SIG |
| | Title I, Part C |
| | Title I, Part D |
| | Title III, Part A |
| | Title V, Part B |
| | Perkins |
| | N/A |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |
| | Migrant |
| | Race / Ethnicity / Minority |
| | Student with Disabilities |
| | N/A |
| Systems | Professional Capacity |
| Method for Monitoring | PLC agenda and minutes |
| Implementation | |
| Method for Monitoring | Documentation of PLC's. |
| Effectiveness | |
| Position/Role Responsible | Leadership Team |
| Timeline for Implementation | Quarterly |

| What partnerships, if any, with |
|-----------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, |
| Community based organizations, |
| or any private entity with a |
| demonstrated record of success is |
| the LEA implementing in carrying |
| out this action step(s)? |

| Action Step | Provide professional learning on data collection and analysis related to common formative |
|-----------------------------|---|
| | assessments. |
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A |
| _ | Title I, Part A SIG |
| | Title I, Part C |
| | Title I, Part D |
| | Title III, Part A |
| | Title V, Part B |
| | N/A |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |
| | Migrant |
| | Race / Ethnicity / Minority |
| | Student with Disabilities |
| | N/A |
| Systems | Professional Capacity |
| | Family and Community Engagement |
| Method for Monitoring | PLC agenda and minutes |
| Implementation | |
| Method for Monitoring | Data analysis documentation. |
| Effectiveness | |
| Position/Role Responsible | Leadership Team |
| Timeline for Implementation | Quarterly |

| What partnerships, if any, with |
|-----------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, |
| Community based organizations, |
| or any private entity with a |
| demonstrated record of success is |
| the LEA implementing in carrying |
| out this action step(s)? |

| Action Step | Develop, revise, or modify PLC norms and implement meeting protocols. |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A |
| | Title I, Part A SIG |
| | Title I, Part C |
| | Title I, Part D |
| | Title III, Part A |
| | Title V, Part B |
| | IDEA |
| | N/A |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |
| | Migrant |
| | Race / Ethnicity / Minority |
| | Student with Disabilities |
| | N/A |
| Systems | Professional Capacity |
| | Family and Community Engagement |
| Method for Monitoring | PLC agenda and minutes; posting of established norms |
| Implementation | |
| Method for Monitoring | PLC's minutes. |
| Effectiveness | |
| Position/Role Responsible | PLC Teams |
| Timeline for Implementation | Yearly |

| What partnerships, if any, with |
|-----------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, |
| Community based organizations, |
| or any private entity with a |
| demonstrated record of success is |
| the LEA implementing in carrying |
| out this action step(s)? |

| Action Step | Develop and implement a plan for sharing student progress based on formative and |
|-----------------------------|--|
| | summative assessment data. |
| Funding Sources | N/A |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |
| | Migrant |
| | Race / Ethnicity / Minority |
| | Student with Disabilities |
| Systems | Family and Community Engagement |
| | Supportive Learning Environment |
| Method for Monitoring | Documentation of parent contacts and conferences |
| Implementation | |
| Method for Monitoring | Parent feedback. |
| Effectiveness | |
| Position/Role Responsible | Teachers |
| Timeline for Implementation | Quarterly |

| What partnerships, if any, with |
|-----------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, |
| Community based organizations, |
| or any private entity with a |
| demonstrated record of success is |
| the LEA implementing in carrying |
| out this action step(s)? |

| Action Step | Plan for opportunities for parents to participate in celebrations of student success based on |
|-----------------|---|
| | data analysis in PLC meetings. |
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A |
| | Title I, Part A SIG |
| | Title V, Part B |
| | N/A |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |

| Subgroups | Migrant |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | Race / Ethnicity / Minority |
| | Student with Disabilities |
| Systems | Coherent Instruction |
| | Professional Capacity |
| | Family and Community Engagement |
| | Supportive Learning Environment |
| Method for Monitoring | Sign in sheets for celebrations |
| Implementation | |
| Method for Monitoring | Parent feedback. |
| Effectiveness | |
| Position/Role Responsible | Leadership Team |
| Timeline for Implementation | Monthly |

| What partnerships, if any, with |
|-----------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, |
| Community based organizations, |
| or any private entity with a |
| demonstrated record of success is |
| the LEA implementing in carrying |
| out this action step(s)? |

| Action Step | Establish vertical and horizontal professional learning community teams. |
|-----------------|--|
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A |
| | Title I, Part A SIG |
| | Title V, Part B |
| | N/A |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |
| | Migrant |
| | Race / Ethnicity / Minority |
| | Student with Disabilities |
| | N/A |
| Systems | Coherent Instruction |
| | Professional Capacity |

| Systems | Supportive Learning Environment |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Method for Monitoring | PLC documentation and written established benchmarks |
| Implementation | |
| Method for Monitoring | PLC documentation. |
| Effectiveness | |
| Position/Role Responsible | Administrators/Teachers |
| Timeline for Implementation | Quarterly |

| What partnerships, if any, with |
|-----------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, |
| Community based organizations, |
| or any private entity with a |
| demonstrated record of success is |
| the LEA implementing in carrying |
| out this action step(s)? |

| Action Step | Offer new teachers summer academies to provide teachers with professional learning on the implementation of instructional programs and student support measures and processes. |
|--|--|
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A Title V, Part B |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged Foster Homeless English Learners Migrant Race / Ethnicity / Minority Student with Disabilities |
| Systems | Coherent Instruction Effective Leadership Professional Capacity Family and Community Engagement Supportive Learning Environment |
| Method for Monitoring Implementation | Sign in sheets, Agenda, Presentations, Lesson plans |
| Method for Monitoring Effectiveness | Mentoring documentation. |

| Position/Role Responsible | Administration |
|-----------------------------|----------------|
| Timeline for Implementation | Quarterly |

| What partnerships, if any, with | RESA |
|-----------------------------------|------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, | |
| Community based organizations, | |
| or any private entity with a | |
| demonstrated record of success is | |
| the LEA implementing in carrying | |
| out this action step(s)? | |

2. SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT GOALS

2.3 Overarching Need # 3

Overarching Need

| Overarching Need as identified in | Increase by 5% the number of students performing at the next highest performance |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| CNA Section 3.2 | area/band according to Formative Benchmark data. |
| Root Cause # 1 | Lack of effective data analysis related to interventions. |
| Root Cause # 2 | Lack of effective interventions. |
| Root Cause # 3 | Lack of effective professional learning for implementing interventions with fidelity. |
| Root Cause # 4 | Lack of understanding of the RTI purpose and processes. |
| Goal | Increase by 5%, the number of students performing at a high performance band (Reading) |
| | and a high grade level (IXL) in the areas of reading and math as measured by Growth |
| | Measure, Acadience and IXL grade level assessments. |

| Action Step | Use IXL program for math progress monitoring and math intervention during Extended |
|-----------------------------|--|
| | Learning Time. |
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |
| | Migrant |
| | Race / Ethnicity / Minority |
| | Student with Disabilities |
| Systems | Coherent Instruction |
| Method for Monitoring | IXL Data |
| Implementation | |
| Method for Monitoring | IXL data. |
| Effectiveness | |
| Position/Role Responsible | Teachers |
| Timeline for Implementation | Weekly |

| What partnerships, if any, with |
|-----------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, |
| Community based organizations, |
| or any private entity with a |
| demonstrated record of success is |
| the LEA implementing in carrying |
| out this action step(s)? |

| Action Step | Review/Revise alignment of accelerated math curriculum. |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Funding Sources | N/A |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| English Learners Migrant | English Learners |
| | Migrant |
| | Race / Ethnicity / Minority |
| | Student with Disabilities |
| Systems | Coherent Instruction |
| Method for Monitoring | Revised documents |
| Implementation | |
| Method for Monitoring | Completed curriculum maps. |
| Effectiveness | |
| Position/Role Responsible | Teachers/Math Consultants |
| Timeline for Implementation | Yearly |

| What partnerships, if any, with |
|-----------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, |
| Community based organizations, |
| or any private entity with a |
| demonstrated record of success is |
| the LEA implementing in carrying |
| out this action step(s)? |

| Action Step | Develop or revise curriculum documents (pacing guides, curriculum maps, units) during professional learning. |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Funding Sources | N/A |
| Subgroups | N/A |
| Systems | Coherent Instruction |
| Method for Monitoring | Review of documents |
| Implementation | |
| Method for Monitoring | Completed maps and guides. |
| Effectiveness | |
| Position/Role Responsible | Teachers |
| Timeline for Implementation | Yearly |

| What partnerships, if any, with |
|-----------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, |
| Community based organizations, |
| or any private entity with a |
| demonstrated record of success is |
| the LEA implementing in carrying |
| out this action step(s)? |

| Action Step | Implement leveled progress monitoring specific to student reading profile and |
|---------------------------|---|
| | intervention. |
| Funding Sources | N/A |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |
| | Migrant |
| | Race / Ethnicity / Minority |
| | Student with Disabilities |
| Systems | Coherent Instruction |
| Method for Monitoring | Tier documentation |
| Implementation | |
| Method for Monitoring | RTI documentation. |
| Effectiveness | |
| Position/Role Responsible | Teachers |

| Timeline for Implementation | Weekly |
|-----------------------------|--------|
| | |

| What partnerships, if any, with |
|-----------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, |
| Community based organizations, |
| or any private entity with a |
| demonstrated record of success is |
| the LEA implementing in carrying |
| out this action step(s)? |

| Action Step | Implement expectations for consistent data analysis related to progress monitoring. |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Funding Sources | N/A |
| Subgroups | N/A |
| Systems | Coherent Instruction |
| Method for Monitoring | Tier documentation |
| Implementation | |
| Method for Monitoring | Progress monitoring data analysis. |
| Effectiveness | |
| Position/Role Responsible | Administration/RTI coordinator |
| Timeline for Implementation | Yearly |

| What partnerships, if any, with |
|-----------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, |
| Community based organizations, |
| or any private entity with a |
| demonstrated record of success is |
| the LEA implementing in carrying |
| out this action step(s)? |

| Action Step | Align accelerated curriculum to increase student exposure to complex texts and standards. |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A |
| Subgroups | N/A |
| Systems | Coherent Instruction |
| Method for Monitoring | Accelerated Curriculum documents |
| Implementation | |
| Method for Monitoring | Completed curriculum maps. |
| Effectiveness | |
| Position/Role Responsible | Teachers/ELA Consultants |
| Timeline for Implementation | Yearly |

| What partnerships, if any, with |
|-----------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, |
| Community based organizations, |
| or any private entity with a |
| demonstrated record of success is |
| the LEA implementing in carrying |
| out this action step(s)? |

| Action Step | Analyze data at least quarterly to evaluate each intervention to determine effectiveness. |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Funding Sources | N/A |
| Subgroups | N/A |
| Systems | Effective Leadership |
| Method for Monitoring | Leadership Team Minutes |
| Implementation | |
| Method for Monitoring | Leadership documentation. |
| Effectiveness | |
| Position/Role Responsible | Leadership Team |
| Timeline for Implementation | Quarterly |

| What partnerships, if any, with |
|-----------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, |
| Community based organizations, |
| or any private entity with a |
| demonstrated record of success is |
| the LEA implementing in carrying |
| out this action step(s)? |

Action Step # 8

| Action Step | Provide professional learning for implementation of leveled reading intervention during extended learning time. |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A |
| Subgroups | N/A |
| Systems | Professional Capacity |
| Method for Monitoring | Sign in sheets; Observations |
| Implementation | |
| Method for Monitoring | Sign in sheets; Observations |
| Effectiveness | |
| Position/Role Responsible | Administration/Consultants |
| Timeline for Implementation | Monthly |

| What partnerships, if any, with |
|-----------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, |
| Community based organizations, |
| or any private entity with a |
| demonstrated record of success is |
| the LEA implementing in carrying |
| out this action step(s)? |

| Action Step | Develop staff understanding of RTI process and purpose. |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Funding Sources | N/A |
| Subgroups | N/A |
| Systems | Professional Capacity |
| Method for Monitoring | Sign in sheets and documents |
| Implementation | |
| Method for Monitoring | Sign in sheets and documents |
| Effectiveness | |
| Position/Role Responsible | Prinicipal/RTI coordinator |
| Timeline for Implementation | Yearly |

| What partnerships, if any, with |
|-----------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, |
| Community based organizations, |
| or any private entity with a |
| demonstrated record of success is |
| the LEA implementing in carrying |
| out this action step(s)? |

| Action Step | Provide resources to parents related to supporting the teaching of reading and math at |
|---------------------------|--|
| | home. |
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A |
| | N/A |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |
| | Migrant |
| | Race / Ethnicity / Minority |
| | Student with Disabilities |
| Systems | Family and Community Engagement |
| Method for Monitoring | Letters to parents, handouts, parent sign-in sheets |
| Implementation | |
| Method for Monitoring | Stakeholder feedback. |
| Effectiveness | |
| Position/Role Responsible | Teachers/Administrators |

| Timeline for Implementation | Quarterly |
|-----------------------------|-----------|
|-----------------------------|-----------|

| What partnerships, if any, with |
|-----------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, |
| Community based organizations, |
| or any private entity with a |
| demonstrated record of success is |
| the LEA implementing in carrying |
| out this action step(s)? |

| Action Step | Inform and engage parents in the importance and functionality of IXL so students can |
|-----------------------------|--|
| | utilize IXL at home. |
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |
| | Migrant |
| | Race / Ethnicity / Minority |
| | Student with Disabilities |
| Systems | Family and Community Engagement |
| Method for Monitoring | Parent orientation letters and parent sign in sheets |
| Implementation | |
| Method for Monitoring | Parent feedback. |
| Effectiveness | |
| Position/Role Responsible | Teachers/Administratos |
| Timeline for Implementation | Yearly |

| What partnerships, if any, with |
|-----------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, |
| Community based organizations, |
| or any private entity with a |
| demonstrated record of success is |
| the LEA implementing in carrying |
| out this action step(s)? |

| Action Step | Target self-directed learning by working with students to develop reasonable performance |
|-----------------------------|--|
| | targets in reading and math skills. |
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A |
| | Title I, Part A SIG |
| | Title I, Part C |
| | Title I, Part D |
| | Title III, Part A |
| | Title V, Part B |
| | IDEA |
| | Perkins |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |
| | Migrant |
| | Race / Ethnicity / Minority |
| | Student with Disabilities |
| Systems | Supportive Learning Environment |
| Method for Monitoring | Student goal sheets |
| Implementation | |
| Method for Monitoring | Student goal sheets |
| Effectiveness | |
| Position/Role Responsible | Teachers/Students |
| Timeline for Implementation | Quarterly |

| What partnerships, if any, with |
|-----------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, |
| Community based organizations, |
| or any private entity with a |
| demonstrated record of success is |
| the LEA implementing in carrying |
| out this action step(s)? |

| Action Step | Implement celebrations for reaching established targets for reading and math skills. |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Funding Sources | N/A |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |
| | Migrant |
| | Race / Ethnicity / Minority |
| | Student with Disabilities |
| Systems | Supportive Learning Environment |
| Method for Monitoring | Celebration schedule and list of students meeting goals |
| Implementation | |
| Method for Monitoring | Celebration schedule and list of students meeting goals |
| Effectiveness | |
| Position/Role Responsible | Leadership Team |
| Timeline for Implementation | Quarterly |

| What partnerships, if any, with |
|-----------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, |
| Community based organizations, |
| or any private entity with a |
| demonstrated record of success is |
| the LEA implementing in carrying |
| out this action step(s)? |

| Action Step | Implement extended learning programs (after school and summer school) to engage |
|-----------------------------|---|
| | students in remediation and enrichment learning opportunities. |
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |
| | Migrant |
| | Race / Ethnicity / Minority |
| | Student with Disabilities |
| Systems | Coherent Instruction |
| | Supportive Learning Environment |
| Method for Monitoring | schedule, timesheets, formative and summative assessment results |
| Implementation | |
| Method for Monitoring | schedule, timesheets, formative and summative assessment results |
| Effectiveness | |
| Position/Role Responsible | Administration, Teachers |
| Timeline for Implementation | Yearly |

| What partnerships, if any, with | Family Connection |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, | |
| Community based organizations, | |
| or any private entity with a | |
| demonstrated record of success is | |
| the LEA implementing in carrying | |
| out this action step(s)? | |

| Action Step | Provide supplemental programs to improve student achievement and improve reading fluency and comprehension to close achievement gaps in grades 2-5 for all students and subgroups of students. |
|-----------------|--|
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A |
| | Title V, Part B |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |
| | Migrant |

| Subgroups | Race / Ethnicity / Minority Student with Disabilities |
|--|---|
| Systems | Coherent Instruction Effective Leadership Supportive Learning Environment |
| Method for Monitoring Implementation | lesson plans, focus walks, formative and summative assessment data |
| Method for Monitoring Effectiveness | lesson plans, focus walks, formative and summative assessment data |
| Position/Role Responsible | Administration, Teachers |
| Timeline for Implementation | Weekly |

| What partnerships, if any, with | RESA |
|-----------------------------------|------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, | |
| Community based organizations, | |
| or any private entity with a | |
| demonstrated record of success is | |
| the LEA implementing in carrying | |
| out this action step(s)? | |

| Action Step | Class size reduction will be implemented in K-8 in conjunction with concentrated professional development efforts on the use of practices that are best suited for small classes including but not limited to balancing the breadth and depth of content coverage by building a sense of community among the teacher and students in the small class setting. |
|-----------------|---|
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |
| | Migrant |
| | Race / Ethnicity / Minority |
| | Student with Disabilities |
| | N/A |
| | Immigrant |
| Systems | Coherent Instruction |
| | Professional Capacity |

| Systems | Supportive Learning Environment |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Method for Monitoring | Teacher satisfaction and retention rates, student achievement and attendance patters, |
| Implementation | parental involvement and feedback, milestone assessment, benchmarks and student |
| | growth percentile will measure effectiveness |
| Method for Monitoring | Analyze and disaggregate data in plc and leadership teams meetings to include GMAS, |
| Effectiveness | screeners, progress monitoring, classroom assessments |
| Position/Role Responsible | Teachers, Building Level Administration, Curriculum Director |
| Timeline for Implementation | Monthly |

| What partnerships, if any, with | RESA, Parents, Family Connections |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, | |
| Community based organizations, | |
| or any private entity with a | |
| demonstrated record of success is | |
| the LEA implementing in carrying | |
| out this action step(s)? | |

2. SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT GOALS

2.4 Overarching Need # 4

Overarching Need

| Overarching Need as identified in | Increase the social/emotional/behavioral skills to alleviate the negative impact on academic |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| CNA Section 3.2 | learning. |
| Root Cause # 1 | Lack of knowledge in implementing classroom strategies to address social/emotional |
| | needs. |
| Root Cause # 2 | Lack of professional learning/training for teachers and staff on restorative practices to |
| | address SEL needs |
| Goal | By July 1, 2024, SDM will decrease the total number of days of missed instruction due to |
| | social/emotional/behavioral needs by 5%. |

| Action Step | Provide opportunities for the PBIS team and other staff to attend conferences and |
|-----------------------------|--|
| | workshops to learn strategies and activities to address student behavioral needs. |
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A |
| | Title V, Part B |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |
| | Migrant |
| | Race / Ethnicity / Minority |
| | Student with Disabilities |
| Systems | Coherent Instruction |
| | Effective Leadership |
| | Professional Capacity |
| | Supportive Learning Environment |
| Method for Monitoring | Agenda, sign-in sheets, discipline data, lesson plans, PBIS team meeting documentation |
| Implementation | |
| Method for Monitoring | Agenda, sign-in sheets, discipline data, lesson plans, PBIS team meeting documentation |
| Effectiveness | |
| Position/Role Responsible | Administrators, Teachers |
| Timeline for Implementation | Yearly |

| 7 | What partnerships, if any, with | RESA |
|---|-----------------------------------|------|
| 1 | HEs, business, Non-Profits, | |
| | Community based organizations, | |
| | or any private entity with a | |
| | lemonstrated record of success is | |
| t | he LEA implementing in carrying | |
| (| out this action step(s)? | |

| Action Step | Increase social/emotional/behavioral skills to alleviate the negative impact on academic |
|-----------------------------|--|
| <u>-</u> | learning. |
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A |
| - | Title V, Part B |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |
| | Migrant |
| | Race / Ethnicity / Minority |
| | Student with Disabilities |
| Systems | Coherent Instruction |
| | Effective Leadership |
| | Professional Capacity |
| | Supportive Learning Environment |
| Method for Monitoring | Lesson plans, PBIS meeting documentation, PLC documentation, discipline and |
| Implementation | attendance records |
| Method for Monitoring | Lesson plans, PBIS meeting documentation, PLC documentation, discipline and |
| Effectiveness | attendance records |
| Position/Role Responsible | Administrators, Teachers |
| Timeline for Implementation | Yearly |

| What partnerships, if any, with | RESA, GLRS |
|-----------------------------------|------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, | |
| Community based organizations, | |
| or any private entity with a | |
| demonstrated record of success is | |
| the LEA implementing in carrying | |
| out this action step(s)? | |

| Action Step | Provide students with equitable access to 2-5 art classes and resources for engaging students in enriching activities. |
|--|---|
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A Title V, Part B |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged Foster Homeless English Learners Migrant Race / Ethnicity / Minority Student with Disabilities |
| Systems | Coherent Instruction Effective Leadership Professional Capacity Family and Community Engagement Supportive Learning Environment |
| Method for Monitoring Implementation | Lesson plans, schedules, observations |
| Method for Monitoring Effectiveness | Lesson plans, schedules, observations |
| Position/Role Responsible | Administration, Art teacher |
| Timeline for Implementation | Monthly |

| What partnerships, if any, with |
|-----------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, |
| Community based organizations, |
| or any private entity with a |
| demonstrated record of success is |
| the LEA implementing in carrying |
| out this action step(s)? |

| Action Step | Implement programs and activities that support and promote a safe and healthy school curriculum to include behavior and classroom management programs, DARE and drug awareness programs, implementing procedures outlined in the school emergency preparedness plan. |
|-----------------|--|
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A Title V, Part B |

| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
|-----------------------------|--|
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |
| | Migrant |
| | Race / Ethnicity / Minority |
| | Student with Disabilities |
| Systems | Coherent Instruction |
| | Effective Leadership |
| | Supportive Learning Environment |
| Method for Monitoring | Observations, lesson plans, discipline and attendance data |
| Implementation | |
| Method for Monitoring | Observations, lesson plans, discipline and attendance data |
| Effectiveness | |
| Position/Role Responsible | Administrators, teachers |
| Timeline for Implementation | Yearly |

| What partnerships, if any, with | RESA |
|-----------------------------------|------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, | |
| Community based organizations, | |
| or any private entity with a | |
| demonstrated record of success is | |
| the LEA implementing in carrying | |
| out this action step(s)? | |

| Action Step | Utilize supplemental program to track student discipline and referral data then analyze discipline data results in PLC meetings and research and develop strategies to decrease the number of discipline incidents occurring. |
|-----------------|---|
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |
| | Migrant |
| | Race / Ethnicity / Minority |
| | Student with Disabilities |

| Systems | Coherent Instruction |
|-----------------------------|--|
| | Effective Leadership |
| | Professional Capacity |
| | Family and Community Engagement |
| | Supportive Learning Environment |
| Method for Monitoring | Discipline data analysis, PBIS meetings documentation, observations, leadership team |
| Implementation | meeting documentation |
| Method for Monitoring | Discipline data analysis, PBIS meetings documentation, observations, leadership team |
| Effectiveness | meeting documentation |
| Position/Role Responsible | Administrators, teachers |
| Timeline for Implementation | Monthly |

| What partnerships, if any, with | RESA, GLRS |
|-----------------------------------|------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, | |
| Community based organizations, | |
| or any private entity with a | |
| demonstrated record of success is | |
| the LEA implementing in carrying | |
| out this action step(s)? | |

3. REQUIRED QUESTIONS

3.1 Stakeholders, Coordination of Activities, Serving Children, and PQ

Required Questions

1. In developing this plan, briefly describe how the school sought advice from individuals (teachers, staff, other school leaders, paraprofessionals, specialized instructional support personnel, parents, community partners, and other stakeholders).

The leadership team is comprised of teachers, paraprofessionals, support staff that represent each grade, content area, and other staff on our campus. They disseminated information and received feedback from the staff about the development of this plan. Our school governance team is comprised of parents, staff, and community members who participated in the development of the school improvement plan. In developing this plan, we sent out needs assessment surveys to parents, staff, and community/business leaders, reviewed state surveys, and held meetings at our school to allow stakeholder input. Once we collected data needed to complete this plan, school level teams made up of representative stakeholders to all data and survey results. As a result of this meeting, we completed the comprehensive needs and assessment and developed overarching goals based on root causes analysis results. The team of stakeholders use the information from the comprehensive needs assessment to develop action steps to address each goal.

2. Describe how the school will ensure that low-income and minority children enrolled in the Title I school are not served at disproportionate rates by ineffective, out-of-field, or inexperienced teachers.

The staff at our school are 100% highly qualified and are required to participate in professional learning to strengthen pedagogy skills and provide a quality education that addresses the needs of all students. Teachers are provided feedback on the instruction that they provide students using the TKES evaluation system. Students are heterogeneously grouped in all subject areas to expose all students to a quality education regardless of ethnicity or socioeconomic status. We review scheduled to ensure that low-income and minority students are not served at a disproportionate rate in comparison to their peers.

3. Provide a general description of the Title I instructional program being implemented at this Title I school. Specifically define the subject areas to be addressed and the instructional strategies/methodologies to be employed to address the identified needs of the most academically at-risk students in the school. Please include services to be provided for students living in local institutions for neglected or delinquent children (if applicable).

Our school focuses on building strong reading and math skills for students who are considered most academically at-risk. Extended learning time occurs daily in our school schedule so that students work directly with teachers who hone in on specific skills that students have been identified as being below grade level. The RTI process is part of monitoring student progress so that teachers can make the best decisions about how well a student is responding to a particular intervention they are using. In addition, students received Tier I instruction that is differentiated to address the needs of individual students.

4. If applicable, provide a description of how teachers, in consultation with parents, administrators, and pupil services personnel, will identify eligible children most in need of services in Title I targeted assistance schools/programs. Please include a description of how the school will develop and implement multiple (a minimum of 2) objective, academic-based performance criteria to rank students for service. Also include a description of the measurable scale (point system) that uses the objective criteria to rank all students.

Our school uses multiple sources of data to identify students who require or are best suited to receive services. Teachers and Administrators review, analyze, and make decisions about the services that individual students need.

3. REQUIRED QUESTIONS

3.2 PQ, Federally Identified Schools, CTAE, Discipline

| Required Questions | Rec | uired | Oue | stion |
|--------------------|-----|-------|-----|-------|
|--------------------|-----|-------|-----|-------|

| 5. If applicable, describe how the school will |
|--|
| support, coordinate, and integrate services |
| with early childhood programs at the school |
| level, including strategies for assisting |
| preschool children in the transition from |
| early childhood education programs to local |
| elementary school programs. |

SDM uses similar programs and assessment data to ensure that we are equipped to help students transition from grade to grade. We work with the early education grade level teachers to coordinate similar programs, vertical alignment of curriculum, and data analysis.

6. If applicable, describe how the school will implement strategies to facilitate effective transitions for students from middle grades to high school and from high school to postsecondary education including:Coordination with institutions of higher education, employers, and local partners; andIncreased student access to early college, high school, or dual or concurrent enrollment opportunities or career counseling to identify student interest and skills.

NΑ

7. Describe how the school will support efforts to reduce the overuse of discipline practices that remove students from the classroom, specifically addressing the effects on all subgroups of students.

Sally D. Meadows Elementary School implements the PBIS program and is actively using PBIS to promote positive behavior with the use of specific strategies in our classrooms. Our PBIS team meets at least monthly to disaggregate discipline data, overall and by subgoup, to address concern in student behavior.

ADDITIONAL RESPONSES

| 8. Use the space below to provide additional | |
|--|--|
| narrative regarding the school's | |
| improvement plan. | |
| | |