

**REGULAR BOARD MEETING OF THE  
SANTA MARIA JOINT UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT  
BOARD OF EDUCATION**

A regular meeting of the Board of Education of the Santa Maria Joint Union High School District was held at the Student Services Center on January 13, 2015 with a closed session at 5:30 p.m. and open session at 6:30 p.m. Members present: Tognazzini, Garvin, Karamitsos, Perez, Palera

**OPEN SESSION**

The meeting was called to order at 5:31 p.m. and the board adjourned to closed session immediately.

**CLOSED SESSION**

The Board adjourned the closed session and reconvened for open session.

**RECONVENE IN OPEN SESSION**

Mr. Tognazzini called the meeting to order at 6:35 p.m. The flag salute was led by Diana Perez.

**ANNOUNCE CLOSED SESSION ACTIONS** – Dr. Richardson

Superintendent Richardson welcomed everyone to the new board/multi-purpose room and announced the closed session items. The board was updated in certificated and classified matters and personnel actions were approved as submitted. The board also approved the recommendations on student matters with the exception of Student #341723. Student #341712 was recommended for expulsion. The board was also updated on labor and Shared Decision Making bylaws and will be monitoring the SDM group through spring.

**PRESENTATIONS**

**Post Bond Sale Cost Information**

Yuri Calderon from Caldwell Flores Winters, Inc. began with the summary of the bond sale (Dec. 30, 2014). Measure "C" 2004 Election, Series 2014 General Obligation Bonds totaling \$28,996,159.05. Bonds sold in historically low-interest rate environment; total weighted average interest rate for SMJUHSB Bonds of 3.73%. Bond structure results:

- 96% current interest bonds – an improvement from the initial estimate of 85%
- 23 year term of repayment – below the parameter of 25 to 30 years
- 1.77 to 1 total repayment ratio – within the preliminary range and well below the acceptable level of 4 to 1
- All bonds are callable for potential future taxpayer savings
- Total costs of issuance of \$209,768.21
- Total cost for underwriting of \$79,739.44 which reflects a competitive bid by RFP of \$2.75 (per \$1,000 of par)

## **CFW Reconfiguration and Facilities Six Month Update - CFW Staff**

This presentation was moved to later in the agenda since it was under Action Items for board approval. Please see Business section of Items Scheduled for Action.

### **Recycling Program – Reese Thompson**

Mr. Thompson gave an overview about the recycling that the district does now and what it would cost if we “go green”.

Ongoing expenses are manpower (26 hours per week – 30 with breaks and everything) Labor cost: 30 hours per week is \$45,000/year per site.

The totals with equipment and manpower are approximately:

Year One Recycling Cost - \$261,700

Ongoing Recycling Cost - \$140,500

Mr. Tognazzini asked where is the other part (the money you get back). Mr. Thompson explained that bottles would be about \$12,000/year (180,000 bottles); there would be additional labor to process the bottles and take it to the place to recycle. This is maximizing the recycling; it would be going “green”.

Dr. Karamitsos asked if Mr. Thompson has approached the city regarding the hard cost of the equipment. Mr. Thompson explained that the city has provided containers at SMHS and recycles for free. The city pickups the trash and recycling at SMHS and PVHS.

Dr. Karamitsos asked if there has there been any talk about reducing the plastic bottles that we have. Mr. Thompson replied that the district has been talking about reducing the bottles but the grand majority is coming from the cafeteria. We would have to dispense drinks in a different way (maybe paper cups, straws and lids). Dr. Karamitsos said that would then slow down the dispensing of the drinks. Yolanda Ortiz explained that the district is continuing to explore hydration stations to purchase and disperse near the cafeteria.

Dr. Karamitsos suggests that the district approach the city to see if they can provide any relief. It does them a favor to recycle. The district is non-profit so it would be worth asking if there is a grant or anything to help defray the cost. We have waste and we can try to control the waste we produce. If we can reduce the units then we may be able to reduce the equipment needs and manpower. There has to be some financial outlay and we are a school for young adults. We can't just say everything has to go into the landfill. We need to come up with legitimate ways to deal with this (like we did with energy/Johnson controls). Doesn't just want it as a classroom fundraising thing.

Dr. Garvin would like to see Mr. Thompson come back in February with other option and what we doing now and what is the cost.

Mr. Thompson is looking at a dedicated force to collect the bottles and recognizes that there is not a beneficial monetary gain. Not a good market for cardboard and paper.

Those days are gone. People want you to pay them to haul it away.

Mr. Palera asked if Mr. Thompson contacted Health and Sanitation Services for Righetti. Mr. Thompson said the district pays \$250/month for recycle services at Righetti. He can contact them and see if they are interested in participating and if there are any grants. Mr. Thompson will contact them and the city.

Dr. Karamitsos shared that at the CSBA conference there were programs that come in and help with recycling.

Mr. Tognazzini remembered that one of the members of the board (Eddie Dozier) always said, "If it's good for kids – then let's do it." We need to set an example. There may be a cost involved but we are doing for the kids (by setting an example). He is looking forward to the presentation next month.

### **Health Services Update at CHC and School Sites – Tracy Marsh**

Mr. Marsh introduced Diana Villarreal and Magda Williams from the Community Health Center. Diana works at the Santa Maria High School location. They offer services for all students. They see students for immunizations, physicals, etc. She passed out brochures and flyers.

Magna Williams is a counselor at CHC and at the SMHS location. Nurse practitioners provide care; there is a Registered Dietician on staff and a Mental Health Specialist. She also runs support groups at Santa Maria High School.

The health center schedules appointments during school and after school. Counselors, students or parents can refer someone to her. She is currently working with 50 to 80 students for health education/post high school education. The staff at the center is bilingual/bi-cultural.

#### **Discussion:**

Dr. Karamitsos: How many patients do you see a day?

Ms. Villarreal: 15 to 22

Dr. Karamitsos: Is there any point that you say we can't see you today?

Ms. Villarreal: If they do not have parent consent/otherwise we try to work them in the same day.

Ms. Perez: Are you seeing any students that need to see a RN?

Ms. Villarreal: We did hire a RN but our Nurse Practitioner does the basic examinations. We do get referrals from PVHS and RHS.

Ms. Williams: We can see students from all the schools (as long as they get to us).

Mr. Palera: Does a PVHS or RHS student have to be referred through the Health office?

Ms. Villarreal: No, they don't need a referral.

Ms. Williams: They are welcome to come to our campus from anywhere.

Mr. Palera: Do you know how many students from outside schools get serviced?

Ms. Villarreal: Approximately 25%.

Dr. Karamitsos spoke about the 2011 board discussion about medically indicated pregnancy testing. Basically, it is when a girl is pregnant and you have to determine if she is pregnant or not pregnant before you treat her. The board voted for that not to happen in 2011. She would like to bring it forward again. She was in favor before because she does not think the board has the right to determine medical practice. She wants to bring that to the board again. Dr. Garvin and Ms. Perez also want to see the item on the February agenda. Ms. Williams stated that they follow the recommendations.

Mr. Marsh explained that the second half of the presentation would be a look at what health services the district provides. The district currently has one nurse, one LVN and three Health Technicians (EMT trained). He showed a slide that compared our district with Paso Robles, Lompoc and San Luis Unified. Compared to them our district has more trained personnel and we have a full time person at each site. He provided a snapshot of a typical day at the sites (what students are seen for in the health offices). He introduced our school nurse, Jade Fernandez.

Ms. Fernandez thanked the board for the opportunity to present. She explained that she is the only credentialed nurse and showed a slide with district health personnel. She described the training that each employee needed for their positions. Ms. Fernandez compared the number of students in our district verses Paso Robles and Lompoc.

Ms. Fernandez attended 178 IEP meetings last year; which requires assessment and/or health care plans and manages 40 cases (under Section 504). There are 56 individual health care plans that were implemented last year (that do not fall under 504 or Special Ed). All health plans have to be signed, implemented and distributed to all the teachers.

Dr. Karamitsos commented that it sounds like she has a full plate. She asked Ms. Fernandez that after looking at these other districts does Ms. Fernandez have any personal things she can share. This update is to see how things are going and the changes with the staffing. Her biggest concern is a lot of obesity in teenagers (that can bring so many other health concerns). Dr. Karamitsos knows that Ms. Fernandez works diligently trying to keep them in the classroom.

Ms. Fernandez commented that she has been the only school nurse for five years. She was fortunate because there were two LVNs that could help (do basic assessment, and collect data and assist with hearing/screening); unfortunately, one LVN left to go to the hospital and the LVN at RHS left. She is with one LVN and herself and therefore feels overstretched with providing healthcare for our students. She travels to all four school sites (260 miles in the month of November). She had three meetings in one hour. She has to sit in the IEP meetings which make her unavailable for other issues.

Dr. Garvin said the district is looking at student services under LCFF/LCAP and hopes this is listed in the plan. Dr. Richardson explained that it is in the plan and that is why we are having the presentation.

Ms. Perez confirmed that there is not a Licensed Vocational Nurse at RHS and asked if the recommendation is to replace two LVN's. Dr. Richardson explained that we don't want Ms.

Fernandez to recommend hiring but as we are working through this process we will look at this and make a recommendation to the board.

## **REPORTS**

### **Superintendent's Report**

#### Board Retreat Discussion Item

- There was a discussion early on with the potential to have a board meeting/retreat to do some board training in the latter part of January/early February. He will have Mrs. Rhine poll the board for a date and time regarding board governance. It will be posted accordingly.

#### Direction on times for school graduation ceremonies.

- The graduation ceremonies will be held on the last day of school, June 11<sup>th</sup> (except Delta). There has been some discussion to hold them at the same time (1:00 p.m.); end the final day at 11:00 a.m., and then graduation at all sites at 1:00 p.m. Dr. Richardson is looking at the board for feedback.
- Queries were done to see if families had students at opposite sites – we did have two. Delta would be done at a different time. Board members would only go to one ceremony and would need to choose which one. This is not an action item but he wants to know your feelings on the issue. He has spoken to the principals and would like to try it for a year. Concerns from the board:
  - Dr. Karamitsos is concerned about conflicting families. It's kind of fun to go see everyone graduate and participate in it. The best thing would be to have a full complement of faculty at the ceremony. It's good for the kids to see that.
  - Mr. Tognazzini is concerned because of extended family members.
  - Mr. Palera, Mr. Garvin and Ms. Perez agree that it would be great to try it for a year and have teachers present.
- Dr. Karamitsos spoke about making sure that kids don't have to do the big Josten's package. Dr. Richardson explained that we were under contract with Josten's this year but we will evaluate it.

### **Student Reports**

There were no student reports due to Winter Break.

### **Board Member Reports**

Mr. Palera: He got a chance to go to the CSBA conference. It was very enlightening; there are a lot of challenges for the school boards across the state. LCFF/LCAP information was also provided and it was very good for him to attend. It was great to get to know the other board members (as a person) and they got to know him. It helps the board to run more efficiently.

Ms. Perez: She agrees with Mr. Palera and thought the workshops were terrific. She

wished everyone a Happy New Year.

Dr. Karamitsos: What came out from the CSBA conference is that the board interfaced more with the feeder school district boards more than they ever have. It led to the idea of having a retreat with the feeder school boards and this board. She proposes that we do that.

Mr. Garvin: The focuses of most of our conversations at the CSBA conference were on EL students. State Board member, Nicky Sandoval spoke at the meeting he attended with a lot of information on LCFF funding. Reminded everyone that the County Board dinner is at Marriott at 6:00 p.m. on March 12<sup>th</sup> and that our County Superintendent, Bill Cirone will be the speaker. Excited about the conversation about SDM; we are moving forward.

Mr. Tognazzini: Wished everyone Happy New Year. He is very pleased with the new boardroom/multi-purpose room.

### **ITEMS SCHEDULED FOR ACTION**

#### **General**

#### **Public Hearing for District's Initial Proposal to the California School Employees Association, Chapter #455, for Reopeners**

The Board recognizes its responsibility to represent the public's interests in the collective bargaining process. In negotiating agreements on employee contracts, the Board shall balance the needs of staff and the priorities of the district in order to provide students with a high quality instructional program based on a sound, realistic budget. At the December 10, 2014 meeting, the district presented their initial proposal for the 2015-16 reopeners to the California School Employees Association, Chapter #455, for acceptance by the Board and public review. A public hearing is required at this time to provide an opportunity for members of the public to directly address the Board on this topic.

Public hearing was opened. There were no comments made.

#### **Public Hearing for California School Employees Association, Chapter #455, Initial Proposal to the District for Reopeners**

At the December 10, 2014 meeting, the California School Employees Association, Chapter #455, presented their initial proposal for the 2015-16 reopeners to the District, for acceptance by the Board and public review. A public hearing is required at this time to provide an opportunity for members of the public to directly address the Board on this topic.

Public hearing was opened. There were no comments made.

## **INSTRUCTION**

### **Approval of the Quarterly Report on Williams Uniform Complaints**

Pursuant to Education Code Section 35186, the governing board of a school district must conduct a public hearing to report the quarterly report that was submitted in January 2015 on the Williams Uniform Complaints for the months of October – December 2014. Each school site has reported that there have been no complaints in the general subject areas of Textbooks and Instructional Materials, Teacher Vacancy or Misassignments, Facilities Conditions or Valenzuela/CAHSEE Intensive Instruction and Services.

A motion was made by Dr. Garvin, seconded by Dr. Karamitsos and carried with a 5-0 vote to approve the Quarterly Report on Williams Uniform Complaints for the months of October – December 2014.

## **BUSINESS**

### **Approval of the Fiscal Year 2013-14 Audit Report and Plan of Corrective Actions**

As required by Education Code §41010, the District retained the services of the auditing firm, Christy White Accountancy Corporation, to audit the books and accounts of the District. In accordance with Education Code §41020, the audit report for the year ended June 30, 2014 is hereby submitted to the Board of Education for review at this public meeting and includes the district's plan of corrective actions for the findings and recommendations identified in the audit report. The full audit report can be found on the District's website.

Brenda Hoff explained the audit report and plan of corrective actions. She is so proud of the team working at the district office. There are twelve people involved in the report with over 130 years of experience. There are still two findings related to ASB this year. The district is in the second year of meetings that Mary Andrade organizes with the sites. Mary is doing a wonderful job. A big finding is fireworks and the booster club at SMHS is going to handle it, so it won't be our auditing issue any longer.

Dr. Garvin congratulated the whole team for a great report. Dr. Karamitsos pointed out that there was an error in the board member's section.

A motion was made by Dr. Garvin, seconded by Dr. Karamitsos and carried with a 5-0 vote to approve the District's plan of corrective actions for the findings and recommendations identified in same and submit the plan to the County Superintendent of Schools as required by Education Code §41020.

### **Approval of Authorization to Contract for Architectural Services for Project 1 of the Reconfiguration and Facilities Program, Phase I: 38-Classroom Facility at Righetti High School**

On September 23, 2014, the Board approved and prequalified five architecture firms to deliver services to the District for the Reconfiguration and Facilities Program. On November 10, 2014, the District released a Request for Proposals to each of the prequalified firms so-

liciting proposals for a 38-classroom facility at Righetti High School (Project 1). All five firms responded and participated in a presentation of their proposals along with an interview and were evaluated by the selection committee on the merits of their presentation and the quality of their proposal. After a comprehensive and competitive evaluation of proposals, the District Selection Committee, composed of Yolanda Ortiz, Assistant Superintendent of Business Services, Gary Wuitschick, Director of Support Services, and Reese Thompson, Director of Facilities, selected Rachlin Partners as the top-ranked proposal for Project 1: 38-Classroom Facility at Righetti High School.

The District seeks the approval of the Board to authorize district administration to enter into a contract with Rachlin Partners for architectural services for Phase I-Project 1: 38-Classroom Facility.

Dr. Karamitsos asked for examples as to why Rachlin Partners were the standout. Ms. Ortiz commented that the design, their experience and the team in general were reasons they were selected. Other firms presented modular and/or separate buildings that add to the cost.

A motion was made by Dr. Garvin, seconded by Dr. Karamitsos and carried with a 5-0 vote to approve district administration to enter into a contract with Rachlin Partners for architectural services for Phase 1-Project 1:38 Classroom Facility at Righetti High School.

**Approval of the January 2015 Semi-Annual Update to the Reconfiguration and Facilities Program approved by the Board in August 2014**

In August 2014, the Board of Education for the Santa Maria Joint Union High School District ("District") adopted a Reconfiguration and Facilities Program ("Program") prepared by Caldwell Flores Winters, Inc. (CFW) that assessed needs at the District's four high school sites and presented an improvement and financing program to accommodate these needs. Pursuant to its agreement, CFW will prepare an update to the Board every six months, containing a review of program implementation activities. It will also review the master program schedule and master program budget and propose adjustments to either, as warranted by developments or decisions made during the preceding six months, or by the availability of new data.

Ernesto Flores from Caldwell Flores and Winters introduced Jeremy Cogan. Mr. Cogan gave a presentation on the six month update. The first status report has been completed and contains the following proposed changes to the master schedule and master budget:

- Phase 1 schedules have been amended to allow additional time to develop the educational component for the Righetti campus and the CTE/Ag Farm projects in order to better guide the design and development of the required facilities improvements in support of the educational program
- The Pioneer Valley PAC schedule has been adjusted to reflect a December DSA approval date
- Phase 2 projects remain the same, except that the proposed Ag Farm Pavilion has been moved into Phase 2 to accommodate the revised CTE/Ag Farm site plan and



- educational program
- The master budget has been amended to accommodate a \$3.2 million increase at Righetti due to increased number of classrooms required to expand pathway, assessment and classroom opportunities at the site and a \$5.5 million increase to the CTE Center/Ag Farm due to refinements to scope of work to expand workshops and provide a more functional barn and animal husbandry facility for the educational program.
- The 1:1 device initiative will be funded as approved in the Local Control Accountability Plan thus allowing for funds to be re-directed to other projects as indicated above.

The District has submitted to the Board the first status report on the Reconfiguration and Facilities Program and seeks the Board's approval of the document as a record of program implementation achievements and as a summary of adjustments to the master program schedule and budget.

CFW foresees the state funding still available eventually. They looked at master schedules at RHS to see if classrooms could be better organized by pathways or departments. Studies and meetings with staff helped developed the reports.

Mr. Cogan showed a video of the demonstration room (Room 104) at RHS. Vendors have gone through the room to see what best fits. They have gone through a process of bringing teachers and staff through the room. They have seen about 150 teachers through the room and the teachers fill out a feedback form and tell them what they like, what they don't like, etc. Generally, there has been excitement and individuals can't wait to get in there again and take their device and use it wirelessly with the screen. Principal Karen Rotondi has been a tremendous help throughout this process.

Today was a key day since 1:1 devices were distributed to the teachers. Gives them a chance to familiarize themselves with the devices.

CTE/Ag Farm Center update: Have spent a lot of time on the educational opportunities/components. They took field trips to other schools that had farms with the Ag teachers that will be utilizing the facility. Mr. Cogan explained that there has been tremendous collaboration with input at every stage.

CFW is very pleased with the wonderful relationship with Superintendent Richardson and his staff. It has been a wonderful collaboration with professionals and with people that are concerned with the students in Santa Maria. The full six month report may be viewed online at [www.smjushd.k12.ca.us](http://www.smjushd.k12.ca.us).

Mr. Tognazzini wants to encourage us to look at how what we do could impact other surroundings (such as the reconfiguration of SMHS). There is a person that is concerned about Buena Vista Park (which is across from SMHS). We need to pass a bond before that happens (Phase II), but I would encourage us to look at those things. Members of the public that are interested and want to discuss those things should be able to bring those concerns to us because we all need to work together.

Dr. Karamitsos asked about the weight room at Righetti. Dr. Richardson and Mr. Cogan explained that it would not be impacted at this time. Mr. Cogan also said they are staging construction so there is no interruption to the school and without disruption to day-to-day activities.

Ms. Perez asked if there was any additional parking being added to the Performing Arts Center at PVHS. Dr. Richardson said there is not any room for additional parking and to remember that the play/event will happen after school hours.

Ms. Perez noticed a lot of white space in the new classroom style and no space for teachers to decorate. Mr. Cogan explained that the whitewalls are magnetic and you can swap items back and forth on the surfaces. The white space doesn't stay white for long.

Dr. Garvin commented that it is very obvious in the report that the educational program is really driving this. It is a very technical master plan. You touched on pathways and classrooms and departments and pulled a lot of information in the district.

Public Comment: Shelly Klein asked if the 29 classrooms at SMHS are going to look like the model room at RHS. Mr. Cogan explained that we are getting ideas on what we do right and wrong in the room. The classrooms will be 1000 square feet and will be built larger. Ms. Klein would like to know when they will show what SMHS will look like. The whole city needs to see it.

A motion was made by Dr. Garvin, seconded by Dr. Karamitsos and carried with a 5-0 vote to approve the January 2015 Semi-Annual Update to the Reconfiguration and Facilities Program.

**Approval of Resolution No. 14–2014-2015 (“Adopting a Bidder Prequalification Process”) modifying the Contractor Prequalification Procedures and Contractor Prequalification Questionnaire documents included in the Prequalification Process for Construction Contractors adopted by the Board in Resolution No. 2–2014-2015 in order to require prequalification renewal on a calendar year basis instead of a project-specific basis. *Appendix C***

On August 6, 2014, the Board unanimously approved, passed, and adopted Resolution No. 2–2014-2015 (“Adopting a Bidder Prequalification Process”). This resolution ensures that the Board and District are in compliance with Public Contract Code section 20111.6, requiring bidders for construction contracts awarded after January 1, 2014, on certain public projects for which the Board uses funds received pursuant to the Leroy F. Greene School Facilities Act of 1998, or any funds from any future state school bond for a public project that involves a projected expenditure of one million dollars (\$1,000,000) or more, submit to a prequalification process.

Resolution No. 2–2014-2015, did not address the expiration of the prequalified status granted to eligible construction services firms. However, the District now seeks to extend and renew the bidder prequalification process by adding the following clause to the District’s “Contractor Prequalification Procedures” document:

*IV. Renewal of Prequalification Status. Each prequalified Contractor shall be required to renew their prequalified status every calendar year by submitting a new prequalification questionnaire and financial statement to the District.*

Resolution No. 2–2014-2015, included in the Contractor Prequalification Questionnaire in Step 5 Supplemental Questions an Essential Yes/No question (No. 2), which references project-specific requirements. Changing the renewal process from project-specific to a calendar year basis requires the elimination of Question No. 2:

2. *General Contractor response required. Has your Firm contracted for and completed construction of a minimum of: One (1) Public or private Performing Arts Facility, either new construction or renovation project; each with a value of at least \$3 million dollars (\$3,000,000) if applying as a General Contractor; and all within the past five (5) years? NOTE: You must list these projects in the “Contractor Project References” Section.*

Gary Wuitschick presented Resolution Number 14-2014-2015 and explained the process.

A motion was made by Dr. Garvin, seconded by Dr. Karamitsos and carried with a 5-0 roll call vote to approve Resolution #14-2014-2015.

**A Roll Call Vote is Required:**

Mr. Tognazzini	Yes
Dr. Garvin	Yes
Dr. Karamitsos	Yes
Ms. Perez	Yes
Mr. Palera	Yes

**Approval of Resolution No. 15-2014-2015: Cell Tower Equipment Building Exempted from Field Act**

The Verizon Cell Tower Equipment Room at Santa Maria High School is nearing completion. Since this building is located on a school site, the use of the building must be approved by the Division of State Architect (DSA). However, since this building is designed to house cell tower equipment only and will be accessed by authorized cell tower personnel only, the building is not built to Field Act standards. Therefore, DSA requires the adoption of Resolution Number 15-2014-2015, wherein the Board acknowledges and the Superintendent instructs that no pupils or students will be permitted in the building at any time.

A motion was made by Dr. Garvin, seconded by Ms. Perez and carried with a 5-0 roll call vote to approve Resolution #15-2014-2015.

**A Roll Call Vote is Required:**

Mr. Tognazzini	Yes
Dr. Garvin	Yes
Dr. Karamitsos	Yes
Ms. Perez	Yes
Mr. Palera	Yes

**CONSENT ITEMS**

A motion was made by Dr. Garvin, seconded by Dr. Karamitsos and carried with a 5-0 vote to approve the consent items as presented in the January 13, 2015 board agenda with the exception of student #341723. Student #341723 was recommended to expulsion.

**REPORTS FROM EMPLOYEE ORGANIZATIONS**

Karen Draper: Spoke about the Faculty Association meetings on Thursdays and invited any new board members to attend. Please see her in you are interested. Faculty Association President could not attend and she wanted to let the board know that there are five outstanding grievances (one that they believe a mediator should be called).

Tami Contreras: Ms. Contreras introduced herself as the new CSEA President. She looks forward to working with the board.

**OPEN SESSION PUBLIC COMMENTS**

Art Foster from Santa Maria Racing: Mr. Foster shared some accomplishments from the Santa Maria Racing Team. Last May they traveled to Fontana Speedway. He took two freshmen and one sophomore because he is building the team. They flat-blitzed them. The professionals were coming up to them and said they are a class act and a great program with great kids. Very respected program. One of the kids from SMHS was picked for crew chief by University of Colorado.

Rafaela Moreno: Happy to see the new building that welcomes more people and happy to continue working with everyone.

Francisco Lozano: Wished everyone a good evening. Likes the big room and hopes to see more parents involved.

Pedro Navarro: He is attending for his grandkids. He is impressed with the new room but front lobby sign says visitors and outsiders not allowed but in translation it reads "intruders" which is not very friendly. Also would like more doctors and training for students to be ready if anything happens.

Mary Jacka: She was interested in agenda items on business report for findings and recommendations. Bonding is crucial (due to SM Bonita). Does the district get money from cell tower? Ms. Ortiz replied yes and that the report will be available on the website after approval

at tonight's meeting. Ms. Jacka wants to thank the board and district again for their continuation to provide equal education to all.

**ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA**

There were no items discussed that were not on the agenda.

**NEXT MEETING DATE**

Unless otherwise announced, the next regular meeting of the Board of Education will be held on February 10, 2015 at the Student Services Center, 2560 Skyway Drive, Santa Maria, CA 93455. Mr. Tognazzini announced that he will be absent at the February meeting and that Dr. Garvin will be filling in for him. Closed session begins at 5:30 p.m. Open session begins at 6:30 p.m. The meeting will be held at the District Support Services Center.

**FUTURE REGULAR BOARD MEETINGS FOR 2015**

- |                         |                         |                            |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|
| Tuesday, March 10, 2015 | Tuesday, June 9, 2015   | Tuesday, September 8, 2015 |
| Tuesday, April 14, 2015 | Tuesday, July 14, 2015  | Tuesday, October 13, 2015  |
| Tuesday, May 12, 2015   | Tuesday, August 4, 2015 | Tuesday, November 10, 2015 |
|                         |                         | Tuesday, December 8, 2015  |

**ADJOURN**

The meeting was adjourned at 9:12 p.m.