Comprehensive School Improvement Plan (CSIP)

Rationale

School improvement efforts are a collaborative process involving multiple stakeholders. Through the improvement planning process, leaders focus on priority needs, funding, and closing achievement gaps among identified subgroups of students. When implemented with fidelity, the Comprehensive School Improvement Plan (CSIP) cultivates an environment that promotes student growth and achievement.

While the focus of continuous improvement is student performance, the work must be guided by the aspects of teaching and learning that affect performance. An effective improvement process should address the contributing factors creating the learning environment (inputs) and the performance data (outcomes). Through the Needs Assessment for Schools, priorities were identified and processes, practices, and/or conditions were chosen for focus. This goal building template will assist your improvement team to address those priorities and outline your targets and the activities intended to produce the desired changes. Progress monitoring details will ensure that your plan is being reviewed regularly to determine the success of each strategy.

Please note that the objectives (short-term targets) set by your school under the Achievement Gap section of this planning template will be used by the district's superintendent to determine whether or not your school met its targets to reduce the gap in student achievement for any student group for two consecutive years as required by KRS 158.649. Likewise, operational definitions for each required planning component can be found on page 2 of the planning template.

For those schools operating a Title I Schoolwide Program, this plan meets the requirements of Section 1114 of the Every Student Succeeds Act as well as state requirements under 703 KAR 5:225. No separate Schoolwide Program Plan is required.

Requirements for Building an Improvement Plan

- The required goals for **elementary/middle schools** include the following:
 - o State Assessment Results in reading and mathematics
 - o State Assessment Results in science, social studies and writing
 - o Achievement Gap
 - o English Learner Progress
 - o Quality of School Climate and Safety

- The required goals for **high schools** include the following:
 - State Assessment Results in reading and mathematics
 - o State Assessment Results in science, social studies and writing
 - o Achievement Gap
 - o English Learner Progress
 - o Quality of School Climate and Safety
 - o Postsecondary Readiness
 - o Graduation Rate

Alignment to Needs:

Results of the Phase Two needs assessment process should inform the development of the comprehensive school improvement plan. List the identified priorities below to be addressed in order to build staff capacity and increase student achievement.

Priorities/Concerns from Needs Assessment for Schools

List two or three of the greatest areas of weakness identified in question #5 of the Needs Assessment for Schools that will be thoroughly addressed in the strategies and activities outlined in this template.

Our quality control survey was in the red with 53.1%. This is lower than last year's score of 57.2%. This is an area of concern due to our school being small and having many supports in place.

Our science, social studies, and writing score is still at 54.7%. Though this is a one-point growth, it is not where we want to be a school.

Processes, Practices, or Conditions to be Addressed from Key Elements Template

List two or three of the processes, practices, or conditions identified on the School Key Elements Template that the school will focus its resources and efforts upon and thoroughly address in the strategies and activities outlined in this template.

Our focus will be KCWP 4 and 5. We will continue our focus on data and use it to modify instruction as well as working to improve our delivery of content to best meet the needs of all students.

Indicator Scores

List the overall scores of status and change for each indicator.

| Indicator | Status | Change |
|---|--------|--------|
| State Assessment Results in reading and mathematics | 57.3 | +9.2 |
| State Assessment Results in science, social studies and writing | 53.9 | +.8 |
| English Learner Progress | N/A | N/A |
| Quality of School Climate and Safety | 57.2 | -4.1 |
| Postsecondary Readiness (high schools and districts only) | 94.2 | +10.2 |
| Graduation Rate (high schools and districts only) | 95.5 | 4 |

Explanations/Directions

Goal: Schools should determine long-term goals that are three- to five-year targets for each required school level indicator. Elementary/middle schools must address state assessment results in reading and mathematics, state assessment results in science, social studies and writing, achievement gap, English learner progress, and quality of school climate and safety. High schools must address state assessment results in reading and mathematics, state assessment results in reading and mathematics, state assessment results in science, social studies and writing, achievement gap, English learner progress, quality of school climate and safety, postsecondary readiness, and graduation rate. Long-term goals should be informed by The Needs Assessment for Schools.

| Objective | Strategy | Activities | Measure of Success | Progress Monitoring | Funding |
|--|--|--|--|---|--|
| Schools should determine short-term objectives to be attained by the end of the current academic year. Objectives should address state assessment results and/or aligned formative assessments. There can be multiple objectives for each goal. | Describe your approach to systematically address a process, practice, or condition that was identified as a priority during the Needs Assessment for Schools. There can be multiple strategies for each objective. The strategy can be based upon <u>Kentucky's six (6) Key</u> <u>Core Work Processes</u> or another established improvement approach (i.e. <i>Six Sigma, Shipley, Baldridge,</i> <i>etc.).</i> | Describe the actionable steps the school will take to deploy the chosen strategy. There can be multiple activities for each strategy. | List the criteria that will gauge the impact of your work. The measures may be quantitative or qualitative but are observable in some way. Consider measures of input as well as outcomes for both staff and students. | Describe the process used to assess the implementation of the plan, the rate of improvement, and the effectiveness of the plan. Your description should include the artifacts to be reviewed, specific timelines, and responsible individuals. | List the specific federal, state, or local funding source(s) used to support each improvement initiative. If your school is a recipient of Title I, Part A funds, your CSIP serves as your annual plan and must indicate how Title I funds are utilized to carry out the planned activities. |

1: State Assessment Results in Reading and Mathematics

| Objective | Strategy | Activities | Measure of Success | Progress Monitoring | Funding |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Objective 1 | Design and Deliver | CCR time will be used to focus on | Mastery Prep Data | monthly | *Mastery Connect- |
| By May 2024, the overall | Instruction: Students scoring | skills not mastered through daily | Star Data | | SBDM funds |
| reading performance will | in the bottom 10% of the | instruction using Mastery Prep skills. | Mastery Connect Data | | *Mastery |
| ncrease from 43% | class will receive RTI services | | | | Prep/STAR-District |
| proficient/distinguished to | to ensure content is | | | | funding |
| 57% proficient/distinguished. | mastered. | | | | |
| | Design, Align and Deliver | Weekly conferences with the | Apex Data | weekly | Apex-District funds |
| | Support Processes: Students | counselor to monitor progress on | | | |
| | falling behind in core classes | Apex used for credit recovery by | | | |
| | will receive academic | reteaching content not mastered in | | | |
| | supports. | the daily classroom. | | | |
| | Review, Analyze, and Apply | Instructional staff will attend PLCs | Monthly PLC minutes, | monthly | *Mastery Connect- |
| | Data Results: Monitoring | monthly to review STAR/Mastery | RTI Rosters, Apex Data | | SBDM funds |
| | progress on STAR, Mastery | Connect/Mastery Prep/KSA/ACT | | | *Mastery |
| | Prep, Mastery Connect and | data in order to adjust instruction, | | | Prep/STAR-District |
| | ACT to look for areas of | monitor RTI and credit recovery. | | | funding |
| | needed growth and | | | | |
| | improvement. | | | | |
| | Establish Learning | House Challenges based on ACT | Student observations | monthly | Four Rivers |
| | Environment and Culture: | prep questioning | Student growth on ACT | | Foundation/ |
| | Follow ACT Plan | ACT Bootcamp | score | | District Funding |
| Objective 2 | Design and Deliver | CCR time will be used to focus on | Mastery Prep Data | monthly | *Mastery Connect- |
| By May 2024, the overall | Instruction: Students scoring | skills not mastered through daily | Star Data | | SBDM funds |
| nath performance will | in the bottom 10% of the | instruction using Mastery Prep skills. | Mastery Connect Data | | *Mastery |
| ncrease from 39.4% | class will receive RTI services | | | | Prep/STAR-District |
| proficient/distinguished to | to ensure content is | | | | funding |
| 53% proficient/distinguished. | mastered. | | | | |
| | Design, Align and Deliver | Weekly conferences with the | Apex Data | weekly | Apex-District funds |
| | Support Processes: Students | counselor to monitor progress on | | | |
| | falling behind in core classes | Apex used for credit recovery by | | | |

| Objective | Strategy | Activities | Measure of Success | Progress Monitoring | Funding |
|-----------|----------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| | will receive academic | reteaching content not mastered in | | | |
| | supports. | the daily classroom. | | | |
| | Review, Analyze, and Apply | Instructional staff will attend PLCs | Monthly PLC minutes, | monthly | *Mastery Connec |
| | Data Results: Monitoring | monthly to review STAR/Mastery | RTI Rosters, Apex Data | | SBDM funds |
| | progress on STAR, Mastery | Connect/Mastery Prep/KSA/ACT | | | *Mastery |
| | Prep, Mastery Connect and | data in order to adjust instruction, | | | Prep/STAR-Distric |
| | ACT to look for areas of | monitor RTI and credit recovery. | | | funding |
| | needed growth and | | | | |
| | improvement. | | | | |
| | Establish Learning | House Challenges based on ACT | Student observations | monthly | Four Rivers |
| | Environment and Culture: | prep questioning | Student growth on ACT | | Foundation/ |
| | Follow ACT Plan | ACT Bootcamp | score | | District Funding |
| | Schedule adjusted for low | Strategies taught and retaught to | Data analysis of | monthly | *Mastery Connec |
| | performing students to | students who are struggling. | Mastery Prep, Star and | | SBDM funds |
| | receive an additional math | | Mastery Connect | | *Mastery |
| | time (lab) for additional | | | | Prep/STAR-Distric |
| | instruction. | | | | funding |

2: State Assessment Results in Science, Social Studies and Writing

| Objective | Strategy | Activities | Measure of Success | Progress Monitoring | Funding |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|
| Objective 1 | Design, Align and Deliver | Weekly conferences with the | Apex Data | weekly | Apex-District fund |
| By May 2024, LCHS students | Support Processes: Students | counselor to monitor progress on | | | |
| vill move from 36% | falling behind in core classes | Apex used for credit recovery by | | | |
| proficient/distinguished to | will receive academic | reteaching content not mastered in | | | |
| 2% proficient/distinguished | supports. | the daily classroom. | | | |
| n the area of science. | Design and Deliver | Analysis of KSA format and | Student formative and | weekly | N/A |
| | Assessment Literacy-KSA Test | questioning from 2022 assessment | summative assessment | | |
| | analysis | looking for areas of focus and | results | | |
| | | testing formats to use in the future. | | | |
| | Review, Analyze, and Apply | Instructional staff will attend PLCs | Monthly PLC minutes, | monthly | *Mastery Connect |
| | Data Results: Monitoring | monthly to review STAR/Mastery | RTI Rosters, Apex Data | | SBDM funds |
| | progress on formative and | Connect/Mastery Prep/KSA/ACT | | | *Mastery |
| | summative assessments to | data in order to adjust instruction, | | | Prep/STAR-District |
| | look for areas of needed | monitor RTI and credit recovery. | | | funding |
| | growth and improvement. | | | | |
| | Establish Learning | House Challenges based on ACT | Student observations | monthly | Four Rivers |
| | Environment and Culture: | prep questioning | Student growth on ACT | | Foundation/ |
| | Follow ACT Plan | ACT Bootcamp | score | | District Funding |
| | Design, Align and Deliver | Teachers will attend Co-Op training | Student observations | monthly | SBDM |
| | Support Processes: Tutoring | opportunities throughout the year. | Student growth on ACT | | |
| | sessions for areas of growth | | score | | |
| | based on Mastery Prep | CCR time allocated daily for tutoring | Mastery Prep Data | monthly | *Mastery Prep- |
| | sessions. | sessions. | | | District funding |
| Dbjective 2 | Design, Align and Deliver | Weekly conferences with the | Apex Data | weekly | Apex-District fund |
| By May 2024, LCHS students | Support Processes: Students | counselor to monitor progress on | | | |
| vill move from 33% | falling behind in core classes | Apex used for credit recovery by | | | |
| roficient/distinguished to | will receive academic | reteaching content not mastered in | | | |
| 2% proficient/distinguished | supports. | the daily classroom. | | | |
| n the area of social studies. | Design and Deliver | Analysis of KSA format and | Student formative and | weekly | N/A |
| | Assessment Literacy-KSA test | questioning from 2022 assessment | summative assessment | | |
| | analysis | | results | | |

Updated June 2023

| Objective | Strategy | Activities | Measure of Success | Progress Monitoring | Funding |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|
| | | looking for areas of focus and | | | |
| | | testing formats to use in the future. | | | |
| | Review, Analyze, and Apply | Instructional staff will attend PLCs | Monthly PLC minutes, | monthly | *Mastery Connect |
| | Data Results: Monitoring | monthly to review STAR/Mastery | RTI Rosters, Apex Data | | SBDM funds |
| | progress on formative and | Connect/Mastery Prep/KSA/ACT | | | *Mastery |
| | summative assessments to | data in order to adjust instruction, | | | Prep/STAR-Distric |
| | look for areas of needed | monitor RTI and credit recovery. | | | funding |
| | growth and improvement. | | | | |
| | Establish Learning | | | | |
| | Environment and Culture: | House Challenges based on ACT | Student observations | monthly | Four Rivers |
| | Follow ACT Plan | prep questioning | Student growth on ACT | | Foundation/ |
| | | ACT Bootcamp | score | | District Funding |
| | Design, Align and Deliver | Teachers will attend Co-Op training | Student observations | monthly | SBDM |
| | Support Processes: Tutoring | opportunities throughout the year. | Student growth on ACT | | |
| | sessions for areas of growth | | score | | |
| | based on Mastery Prep | CCR time allocated daily for tutoring | Mastery Prep Data | monthly | *Mastery Prep- |
| | sessions. | sessions. | | | District funding |
| bjective 3 | Design, Align and Deliver | Weekly conferences with the | Apex Data | weekly | Apex-District fund |
| y May 2024, LCHS students | Support Processes: Students | counselor to monitor progress on | | | |
| vill move from 53% | falling behind in core classes | Apex used for credit recovery by | | | |
| roficient/distinguished to | will receive academic | reteaching content not mastered in | | | |
| 4% proficient/distinguished | supports. | the daily classroom. | | | |
| n the area of writing. | | | | | |
| | Design and Deliver | Analysis of KSA format and | Student formative and | weekly | N/A |
| | Assessment Literacy-KSA test | questioning from 2022 assessment | summative assessment | | |
| | analysis | looking for areas of focus and | results | | |
| | | testing formats to use in the future. | | | |
| | Review, Analyze, and Apply | Instructional staff will attend PLCs | Monthly PLC minutes, | monthly | *Mastery Connect |
| | Data Results: Monitoring | monthly to review STAR/Mastery | RTI Rosters, Apex Data | | SBDM funds |
| | progress on formative and | Connect/Mastery Prep/KSA/ACT | | | *Mastery |
| | summative assessments to | data in order to adjust instruction, | | | Prep/STAR-Distric |
| | | monitor RTI and credit recovery. | | | funding |

Updated June 2023

| Goal 2 (State your science, social studies, and writing goal.): By 2025, 70% of all students at LCHS will be preforming proficient in science, social studies and writing. | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------|--|
| Objective | Strategy | Activities | Measure of Success | Progress Monitoring | Funding | |
| | look for areas of needed | | | | | |
| | growth and improvement. | | | | | |
| | Establish Learning | | | | | |

3: Achievement Gap

KRS 158.649 requires the school-based decision making (SBDM) council, or the principal if no council exists, to set the school's yearly targets for eliminating any achievement gap. The targets should be established with input from parents, faculty, and staff and submitted to the superintendent for consideration and the local board of education for adoption. In addition to being a statutory requirement, intentionally focusing on the achievement gaps that exist among a school's underserved student populations is also a vital component of the continuous improvement process. Schools should use a variety of measures and analysis when conducting its review of its achievement gaps, including a review of the school's climate and culture. Schools are not required to establish long term achievement gap goals; however, schools must establish yearly targets (objectives).

| Objective | Strategy | Activities | Measure of Success | Progress Monitoring | Funding |
|--------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Objective 1 | Design and Deliver Instruction: | CCR time will be used to | Mastery Prep Data | monthly | *Mastery Connect- |
| By May 2024, LCHS will | Students scoring in the bottom 10% | focus on skills not mastered | Star Data | | SBDM funds |
| reduce the percentage | of the class will receive RTI services | through daily instruction | Mastery Connect Data | | *Mastery Prep/STAR- |
| difference in all students and | to ensure content is mastered. | using Mastery Prep skills. | | | District funding |
| gap students on the KSA | Design, Align and Deliver Support | Weekly conferences with the | Apex Data | weekly | Apex-District funds |
| assessment in reading and | Processes: Students falling behind | counselor to monitor | | | |
| math and reading by 2%. | in core classes will receive academic | progress on Apex used for | | | |
| | supports. | credit recovery by reteaching | | | |
| | | content not mastered in the | | | |
| | | daily classroom. | | | |
| | Review, Analyze, and Apply Data | Instructional staff will attend | Monthly PLC minutes, | monthly | *Mastery Connect- |
| | Results: Monitoring progress on | PLCs monthly to review | RTI Rosters, Apex Data | | SBDM funds |
| | STAR, Mastery Prep, Mastery | STAR/Mastery | | | *Mastery Prep/STAR- |
| | Connect and ACT to look for areas | Connect/Mastery | | | District funding |
| | of needed growth and | Prep/KSA/ACT data in order | | | |
| | improvement. | to adjust instruction, monitor | | | |
| | | RTI and credit recovery. | | | |
| Objective 2 | Design and Deliver Instruction: | CCR time will be used to | Mastery Prep Data | monthly | *Mastery Connect- |
| By May 2024, LCHS will | Students scoring in the bottom 10% | focus on skills not mastered | Star Data | | SBDM funds |
| reduce the percentage | of the class will receive RTI services | through daily instruction | Mastery Connect Data | | *Mastery Prep/STAR- |
| difference in all students and | to ensure content is mastered. | using Mastery Prep skills. | | | District funding |
| gap students' post-secondary | Design, Align and Deliver Support | Weekly conferences with the | Apex Data | weekly | Apex-District funds |
| readiness by 2%. | Processes: Students falling behind | counselor to monitor | | | |
| | in core classes will receive academic | progress on Apex used for | | | |
| | supports. | credit recovery by reteaching | | | |

| Objective | Strategy | Activities | Measure of Success | Progress Monitoring | Funding |
|--------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| | | content not mastered in the | | | |
| | | daily classroom. | | | |
| | Review, Analyze, and Apply Data | Instructional staff will attend | Monthly PLC minutes, | monthly | *Mastery |
| | Results: Monitoring progress on | PLCs monthly to review | RTI Rosters, Apex Data | | Connect/CTE |
| | STAR, Mastery Prep, Mastery | STAR/Mastery | | | Assessments-SBDM |
| | Connect, ACT, CTE assessments to | Connect/Mastery | | | funds |
| | look for areas of needed growth | Prep/KSA/ACT/CTE | | | *Mastery Prep/STAR- |
| | and improvement. | Assessments data in order to | | | District funding |
| | | adjust instruction, monitor | | | |
| | | RTI and credit recovery. | | | |
| | Review, Analyze, and Apply Data | Student progress | Student completion of | Monthly | N/A |
| | Results: Monitoring graduation | academically/CTE | courses | | |
| | requirements and progress towards | conferences with | | | |
| | graduation. | administration | | | |
| | Design, Align and Deliver Support | Weekly conferences with the | Apex Data | weekly | Apex-District funds |
| | Processes: Students falling behind | counselor to monitor | | | |
| | in core classes will receive academic | progress on Apex used for | | | |
| | supports. | credit recovery by reteaching | | | |
| | | content not mastered in the | | | |
| | | daily classroom. | | | |
| Objective 3 | Review, Analyze, and Apply Data | Student graduation progress | Student completion of | Monthly | N/A |
| By May 2024, LCHS will | Results: Monitoring graduation | conferences with | courses | | |
| reduce the percentage | requirements and progress towards | administration | | | |
| difference in all students and | graduation. | | | | |
| gap students' graduation rate | Design, Align and Deliver Support | Weekly conferences with the | Apex Data | weekly | Apex-District funds |
| by 2%. | Processes: Students falling behind | counselor to monitor | | | |
| | in core classes will receive academic | progress on Apex used for | | | |
| | supports. | credit recovery by reteaching | | | |
| | | content not mastered in the | | | |
| | | daily classroom. | | | |
| | Design and Deliver Instruction: | CCR time will be used to | Mastery Prep Data | monthly | *Mastery Connect- |
| | Students scoring in the bottom 10% | focus on skills not mastered | Star Data | | SBDM funds |
| | of the class will receive RTI services | through daily instruction | Mastery Connect Data | | *Mastery Prep/STAR- |
| | to ensure content is mastered. | using Mastery Prep skills. | | | District funding |
| | | | | | |

| Objective | Strategy | Activities | Measure of Success | Progress Monitoring | Funding |
|-----------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| | Design, Align and Deliver Support | Weekly conferences with the | Apex Data | weekly | Apex-District funds |
| | Processes: Students falling behind | counselor to monitor | | | |
| | in core classes will receive academic | progress on Apex used for | | | |
| | supports. | credit recovery by reteaching | | | |
| | | content not mastered in the | | | |
| | | daily classroom. | | | |
| | Review, Analyze, and Apply Data | Instructional staff will attend | Monthly PLC minutes, | monthly | *Mastery |
| | Results: Monitoring progress on | PLCs monthly to review | RTI Rosters, Apex Data | | Connect/CTE |
| | STAR, Mastery Prep, Mastery | STAR/Mastery | | | Assessments-SBDM |
| | Connect, ACT, CTE assessments to | Connect/Mastery | | | funds |
| | look for areas of needed growth | Prep/KSA/ACT/CTE | | | *Mastery Prep/STAR- |
| | and improvement. | Assessments data in order to | | | District funding |
| | | adjust instruction, monitor | | | |
| | | RTI and credit recovery. | | | |

4: English Learner Progress

| Objective | Strategy | Activities | Measure of Success | Progress Monitoring | Funding |
|--|---|--|--|--|----------------|
| Objective 1 By 2024, each Increase collaboration in data | including identification of students in need of intervention supports. Establishing learning culture | Use collection of assessment artifacts to inform next steps for individual students and group of students and use classroom assessment data to inform next steps for individual students and groups of students. | Classroom formative assessments; District common assessments; KSA; meeting minutes from PLCs. Classroom formative assessments; District common assessments; KSA; meeting minutes from PLCs. | School level PLCs are held weekly directed by administration. District common assessments are held in the fall, winter, and spring. Upon completion of testing data disaggregation will occur at district and school levels by administration and faculty. Formative assessments are conducted daily. Data will be reviewed by faculty to guide instruction. | District Funds |
| | Ensure that classrooms plan for and incorporate culturally responsive practices, embedding such practices in lesson plans, activities, assessments, and within classroom daily operations. | Classroom formative assessments; District common assessments; KSA; meeting minutes from PLCs. Classroom formative assessments; District common assessments; KSA; meeting minutes from PLCs. | School level PLCs are held weekly directed by administration. District common assessments are held in the fall, winter, and spring. Upon completion of testing data disaggregation will occur at district and school levels by administration and faculty. Formative assessments are conducted daily. Data will be reviewed by faculty to guide instruction. | District Funding | |

5: Quality of School Climate and Safety

| Goal 5 (State your climate and safety goal.): LCHS will have an extremely high indicator score on the quality of school climate and safety survey by 2025. | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------|--|--|
| Objective | Strategy | Activities | Measure of Success | Progress Monitoring | Funding | | |
| Objective 1 | Establish Learning | House Activities | Surveys | Quarterly | SBDM | | |
| LCHS will have a high | Environment and Culture | Principal's Corner | Student Responses | Weekly | SBDM | | |
| indicator score on the quality | | CCR content on areas for growth | Student | Weekly | n/a | | |
| of school climate and safety | | | Participation/Work | | | | |
| survey by May 2024. | | | Samples | | | | |
| | | Ensure anti bullying instruction | Student | Weekly | n/a | | |
| | | occurs | Participation/Work | | | | |
| | | | Samples | | | | |

6: Postsecondary Readiness (High School Only)

| Objective | Strategy | Activities | Measure of Success | Progress Monitoring | Funding |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Objective 1 | Design and Deliver | CCR time will be used to focus on | Mastery Prep Data | monthly | *Mastery Connect- |
| LCHS will have 60% of the | Instruction: Students scoring | skills not mastered through daily | Star Data | | SBDM funds |
| seniors, college ready by May | in the bottom 10% of the | instruction using Mastery Prep | Mastery Connect Data | | *Mastery Prep/STAR- |
| 2024. | class will receive RTI services | skills. | | | District funding |
| | to ensure content is | | | | |
| | mastered. | | | | |
| | Design, Align and Deliver | Weekly conferences with the | Apex Data | weekly | Apex-District funds |
| | Support Processes: Students | counselor to monitor progress on | | | |
| | falling behind in core classes | Apex used for credit recovery by | | | |
| | will receive academic | reteaching content not mastered in | | | |
| | supports. | the daily classroom. | | | |
| | Review, Analyze, and Apply | Instructional staff will attend PLCs | Monthly PLC minutes, | monthly | *Mastery |
| | Data Results: Monitoring | monthly to review STAR/Mastery | RTI Rosters, Apex Data | | Connect/CTE |
| | progress on STAR, Mastery | Connect/Mastery | | | Assessments-SBDM |
| | Prep, Mastery Connect, ACT, | Prep/KSA/ACT/CTE Assessments | | | funds |
| | CTE assessments to look for | data in order to adjust instruction, | | | *Mastery Prep/STAR- |
| | areas of needed growth and | monitor RTI and credit recovery. | | | District funding |
| | improvement. | | | | |
| | Review, Analyze, and Apply | Student progress academically/CTE | Student completion of | Monthly | N/A |
| | Data Results: Monitoring | conferences with administration | courses | | |
| | graduation requirements and | | | | |
| | progress towards graduation. | | | | |
| | Design, Align and Deliver | Weekly conferences with the | Apex Data | weekly | Apex-District funds |
| | Support Processes: Students | counselor to monitor progress on | | | |
| | falling behind in core classes | Apex used for credit recovery by | | | |
| | will receive academic | reteaching content not mastered in | | | |
| | supports. | the daily classroom. | | | |
| Dbjective 2 | Design and Deliver | Completion of Interest Inventory on | Percentage of | Quarterly | Tassel-District |
| | Instruction: Development of | ILP | completion of student | | Funding |
| | ILP | | completion of program | | |

Goal 6 (State your postsecondary goal.): LCHS will have a postsecondary readiness score of 100 by year 2025.

| Objective | Strategy | Activities | Measure of Success | Progress Monitoring | Funding |
|------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| LCHS will have at 70% of the | | Review videos of careers of interest | Percentage of student | Quarterly | Tassel-District |
| seniors, career ready by May | | on Tassel during CCR time. | completion of program | | Funding |
| 2024 | Design and deliver | Monthly field trip opportunities to | Percentage of student | Quarterly | SBDM/Perkins/District |
| | instruction: Career | visit careers in the LCHS area. | completion of program | | |
| | exploration visits | Attend conferences that focus on | Percentage of student | Quarterly | SBDM/Perkins/District |
| | | specific career areas. | completion of program | | |
| | | Work with FRYSC to hold a Career | Percentage of student | Quarterly | SBDM/Perkins/District |
| | | Fair for student discussion of | completion of program | | |
| | | possible areas of interest. | | | |
| | | Work with FRYSC to hold Interview | Percentage of student | Quarterly | SBDM/Perkins/District |
| | | Day providing community members | completion of program | | |
| | | in the area opportunity to meet | | | |
| | | students interested in a future in | | | |
| | | their field. | | | |

7: Graduation Rate (High School Only)

| Objective | Strategy | Activities | Measure of Success | Progress Monitoring | Funding |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------|--|------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Objective 1 | Design and Deliver | CCR time will be used to focus on | Mastery Prep Data | monthly | *Mastery Connect- |
| Il LCHS Open Campus will | Instruction: Students scoring | skills not mastered through daily | Star Data | | SBDM funds |
| raduate within a four-year | in the bottom 10% of the | instruction using Mastery Prep skills. | Mastery Connect Data | | *Mastery |
| erm. | class will receive RTI services | | | | Prep/STAR-District |
| | to ensure content is | | | | funding |
| | mastered. | | | | |
| | Design, Align and Deliver | Weekly conferences with the | Apex Data | weekly | Apex-District funds |
| | Support Processes: Students | counselor to monitor progress on | | | |
| | falling behind in core classes | Apex used for credit recovery by | | | |
| | will receive academic | reteaching content not mastered in | | | |
| | supports. | the daily classroom. | | | |
| | Review, Analyze, and Apply | Instructional staff will attend PLCs | Monthly PLC minutes, | monthly | *Mastery |
| | Data Results: Monitoring | monthly to review STAR/Mastery | RTI Rosters, Apex Data | | Connect/CTE |
| | progress on STAR, Mastery | Connect/Mastery | | | Assessments-SBDN |
| | Prep, Mastery Connect, ACT, | Prep/KSA/ACT/CTE Assessments | | | funds |
| | CTE assessments to look for | data in order to adjust instruction, | | | *Mastery |
| | areas of needed growth and | monitor RTI and credit recovery. | | | Prep/STAR-District |
| | improvement. | | | | funding |
| | Review, Analyze, and Apply | Student progress academically/CTE | Student completion of | Monthly | N/A |
| | Data Results: Monitoring | conferences with administration | courses | | |
| | graduation requirements and | | | | |
| | progress towards graduation. | | | | |
| | Design, Align and Deliver | Weekly conferences with the | Apex Data | weekly | Apex-District funds |
| | Support Processes: Students | counselor to monitor progress on | | | |
| | falling behind in core classes | Apex used for credit recovery by | | | |
| | will receive academic | reteaching content not mastered in | | | |
| | supports. | the daily classroom. | | | |
| bjective 1 | Design and Deliver | CCR time will be used to focus on | Mastery Prep Data | monthly | *Mastery Connect |
| | Instruction: Students scoring | skills not mastered through daily | Star Data | | SBDM funds |
| | in the bottom 10% of the | instruction using Mastery Prep skills. | Mastery Connect Data | | |

Updated June 2023

Goal 7 (State your graduation goal.): LCHS will maintain a graduation rate of 96 % by year 2025. Measure of Success Objective Activities **Progress Monitoring** Funding Strategy All LCHS Open Campus will class will receive RTI services *Mastery Prep/STAR-District graduate within a four-year to ensure content is funding mastered. term. Design, Align and Deliver Weekly conferences with the Apex Data weekly Apex-District funds Support Processes: Students counselor to monitor progress on falling behind in core classes Apex used for credit recovery by will receive academic reteaching content not mastered in supports. the daily classroom. Review, Analyze, and Apply Instructional staff will attend PLCs Monthly PLC minutes, monthly *Mastery monthly to review STAR/Mastery Connect/CTE Data Results: Monitoring RTI Rosters, Apex Data progress on STAR, Mastery Connect/Mastery Assessments-SBDM Prep, Mastery Connect, ACT, Prep/KSA/ACT/CTE Assessments funds data in order to adjust instruction, CTE assessments to look for *Mastery areas of needed growth and monitor RTI and credit recovery. Prep/STAR-District funding improvement. Review, Analyze, and Apply Student progress academically/CTE Student completion of Monthly N/A Data Results: Monitoring conferences with administration courses graduation requirements and progress towards graduation. Design, Align and Deliver Weekly conferences with the Apex Data weekly Apex-District funds Support Processes: Students counselor to monitor progress on falling behind in core classes Apex used for credit recovery by will receive academic reteaching content not mastered in the daily classroom. supports.

8: Other (Optional)

| Goal 8 (State your separate goal.): | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|----------|------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------|--|
| Objective | Strategy | Activities | Measure of Success | Progress Monitoring | Funding | |
| Objective 1 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Objective 2 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Addendum for Schools Identified for Targeted or Comprehensive Support

In accordance with 703 KAR 5:280, a school improvement plan means the plan created by schools identified for targeted support and improvement (TSI) or additional targeted support and improvement (ATSI) pursuant to KRS 160.346(4)-(5) and embedded in the comprehensive school improvement plan required pursuant to 703 KAR 5:225. A turnaround plan means the plan created by schools identified for comprehensive support and improvement (CSI) pursuant to KRS 160.346(8)(g) and embedded in the comprehensive school improvement plan required pursuant to 703 KAR 5:225.

All TSI/ATSI improvement plans and CSI turnaround plans are required to address all components of the comprehensive school improvement plan (CSIP), including all diagnostics associated with the development of that plan, as well as additional specific requirements. The following pages outline specific requirements to be addressed by identified schools that must be embedded in the strategies and activities detailed within the indicator goals developed throughout the previous pages of this goal template. Evidence-based practices and activities chosen to address any goal area or additional requirement must be informed by the Needs Assessment for Schools and feedback from any on-site review conducted by the Kentucky Department of Education (KDE).

Special Considerations for Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI) including Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI) Schools

TSI schools (including ATSI schools) must embed their subgroup(s) plan for improvement within their CSIPs. TSI stakeholders, including the principal and other school leaders, teachers, and parents, should carefully consider what must be done to ensure the subgroup(s) perform(s) at high levels in the state accountability system. In addition to identifying strategies and activities within the CSIP that address the specific needs of underperforming groups, provide narrative information regarding the additional requirements for TSI schools in the following chart:

Components of Turnaround Leadership Development and Support:

Consider: How will you ensure that school leadership has or develops the skills and disposition to achieve accelerated, meaningful, and sustainable increases in student achievement for underperforming subgroups?

Response:

Identification of Critical Resources Inequities:

Consider: Describe the process used to review the allocation and use of resources (people, time, and money), any resource inequities that were identified that may contribute to underperformance, and how identified resource inequities will be addressed. **Response:**

Additional Actions That Address the Causes of Consistently Underperforming Subgroups of Students

Consider: Describe the process used to review the learning culture related to your targeted subgroup(s) and any additional actions that were determined to address the causes of underperformance.

Response:

Targeted Subgroups and Evidence-Based Interventions:

Consider: Identify the areas of need revealed by the analysis of academic and non-academic data that will be addressed through CSIP activities for your targeted subgroup(s). What evidence-based practice(s) will the school incorporate that specifically targets the subgroup(s) achievement that contributed to the TSI identification? How will we monitor the evidence-based practice to ensure it is implemented with fidelity?

Response:

Complete the table on the next page to document the evidence that supports the Activities outlined in this plan. Additional rows may be added to accommodate additional pieces of evidence.

TSI/ATSI Evidence-based Practices

The Every Student Succeeds Act (2015) created new expectations for evidence-based decision making at school and district levels. More specific information regarding evidence-based practices (EBP) and requirements can be found on the Kentucky Department of Education's <u>Evidence-based Practices website</u>. While evidence documentation in the CSIP is only required for schools identified for Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI) including Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI), KDE encourages all school leaders to review evidence related to new programs, practices, or interventions being implemented in the school. In addition to documenting the evidence below, TSI, ATSI and CSI schools are expected to upload a description of their evidence review process, the findings of their evidence review, and a discussion of the local implications into the CIP.

Specific directions regarding documentation requirements for each chosen EBP can be found in the "<u>Compliance Requirements</u>" resource available on KDE's <u>Evidence-based Practices website</u>. Marking the "Uploaded in CIP" box indicates that you have uploaded required documentation along with this goal template into the platform.

Complete the table below to document the evidence that supports the Activities outlined in this plan. Additional rows may be added to accommodate additional pieces of evidence.

| Evidence-based Activity | Evidence Citation | Uploaded in CIP |
|---|--|--------------------|
| Train staff to implement inductive teaching strategies. | Hattie, J. (2009). Visible Learning: a synthesis of over 800 meta-analyses relating to achievement. Routledge: New York, NY. | \boxtimes |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

Special Considerations for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI) Schools

Schools identified for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI) must complete the CSIP process and meet all applicable deadlines while identified for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI). Following the completion of the school audit, CSI schools must revise their CSIP to account for the improvement priorities identified by the audit team. The newly revised CSIP, referred to as a Turnaround Plan, must include the following items: (1) evidence-based interventions to be utilized to increase student performance and address the critical needs identified in the school audit, (2) a comprehensive list of persons and entities involved in the turnaround efforts and the specific roles each shall play in the school's turnaround process, and (3) a review of resource inequities, which shall include an analysis of school level budgeting to ensure resources are adequately channeled towards school improvement (703 KAR 5:280). Each of the three aforementioned requirements must be embedded throughout the CSIP document. Once the CSIP has been revised, the turnaround plan must be submitted to the LEA for approval before it is submitted to the Commissioner of Education for final approval.

Provide narrative information regarding the additional requirements for CSI schools in the following chart:

Turnaround Team: Consider: Provide a comprehensive list of persons and entities involved in the turnaround efforts and the specific roles each shall play in the school's turnaround process Response:

Identification of Critical Resources Inequities:

Consider: Describe the process used to review the allocation and use of resources (people, time, and money), any resource inequities that were identified that may contribute to underperformance, and how identified resource inequities will be addressed. **Response:**

CSI Evidence-based Practices

The Every Student Succeeds Act (2015) created new expectations for evidence-based decision making at school and district levels. More specific information regarding evidence-based practices (EBP) and requirements can be found on the Kentucky Department of Education's <u>Evidence-based Practices website</u>. While evidence documentation in the CSIP is only required for schools identified for Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI) including Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI), KDE encourages all school leaders to review evidence related to new programs, practices, or interventions being implemented in the school. In addition to documenting the evidence below, TSI, ATSI and CSI schools are expected to upload a description of their evidence review process, the findings of their evidence review, and a discussion of the local implications into the Continuous Improvement Platform (CIP).

Specific directions regarding documentation requirements for each chosen EBP can be found in the "<u>Compliance Requirements</u>" resource available on KDE's <u>Evidence-based Practices website</u>. Marking the "Uploaded in CIP" box indicates that you have uploaded required documentation along with this goal template into the platform.

Complete the table below to document the evidence that supports the Activities outlined in this plan. Additional rows may be added to accommodate additional pieces of evidence.

| Evidence-based Activity | Evidence Citation | Uploaded in CIP |
|---|--|--------------------|
| Train staff to implement inductive teaching strategies. | Hattie, J. (2009). Visible Learning: a synthesis of over 800 meta-analyses relating to achievement. Routledge: New York, NY. | \boxtimes |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |