# CHADWICK-MILLEDGEVILLE C.U.S.D #399 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN



# CHADWICK-MILLEDGEVILLE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL 2024-25 SCHOOL YEAR

School Improvement Committee Members:
Kathryn Skoog, Kindergarten
Melissa Daehler, 3<sup>rd</sup> Grade
Mackenzie Ferguson, 2<sup>nd</sup> Grade
Amy Workman, 5<sup>th</sup> Grade
Jess Wroble, K-5 Interventionist
Brian Maloy, Principal
Tim Schurman, Superintendent

#### 1.0 Demographics

Chadwick-Milledgeville Elementary School is part of Chadwick-Milledgeville Community Unit School District #399 located in Carroll County. C-M Elementary is K-5 school and had an enrollment of 182 students for the 2023-24 school year.

### 1.1 <u>Demographic Data</u>

School Year	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22	2022-23
Low Income	44%	33%	26%	38%	38%	38.8%	43%
Rate							
Students' w/	19.6%*	20.3%*	22%*	21%*	20%*	21%*	19%*
Disabilities							
Homeless	0%	1%	0.6%	0%	0%	0%	
Mobility	6%	3%	10%	4%	10%	6%	
Attendance	96%	96.4%	96.2%	96%	97%	95.6%	95%
Chronically Truant	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

<sup>\*</sup>Includes Speech IEP's

#### 1.2 Enrollment

School Year	2020-21	2021-22	2022-23	2023-24
Kindergarten	40	29	36	27
Grade 1	28	39	27	34
Grade 2	33	25	39	26
Grade 3	27	29	28	36
Grade 4	29	27	32	26
Grade 5	27	26	24	33
Grade 6 (JH in 2022-23)	21	28	N/A	N/A
Total	205	203	186	182

#### 1.3 School and Community Characteristics

Our community is a typical rural community in Illinois. The low-income rate for the district has stayed in the 30-40% range over the past several years. The tax base is high with farmland included. The communities have some industry and business that employs community members, although most travel to Sterling, Dixon, or Freeport.

#### 2.0 Data Collection and Analysis

Assessment data is gathered from NWEA MAP tests and classroom level assessments. MAP testing is administered three times per year in the fall, winter, and spring. The tests assess student ability in reading, math, and language. The test is adaptive, meaning that is adjusts it difficulty as the student answers questions.

#### 2.1 Assessment Data

Map results

MAP Distribution of Student Achievement 2019-20 School Year						
	5 <sup>th</sup> Reading	5 <sup>th</sup> Math	5 <sup>th</sup> Language			

	Fall	Winter	Spring	Fall	Winter	Spring	Fall	Winter	Spring	
Lo	3 (10%)	3 (10%)	6 (19%)	1 (3%)	1 (3%)	2 (6%)	4 (13%)	3 (10%)	1 (3%)	
Lo Avg	8 (27%)	8 (27%)	4 (13%)	9 (30%)	11 (37%)	5 (16%)	1 (3%)	4 (13%)	4 (13%)	
Avg	5 (17%)	6 (20%)	5 (16%)	11 (37%)	8 (27%)	10 (32%)	10 (33%)	8 (27%)	6 (19%)	
Hi Avg	9 (30%)	8 (27%)	9 (29%)	5 (17%)	4 (13%)	6 (19%)	9 (30%)	7 (23%)	13 (42%)	
Hi	5 (17%)	5 (17%)	7 (23%)	4 (13%)	6 (20%)	8 (26%)	6 (20%)	8 (27%)	7 (23%)	
Tested	30	30	31	30	30	31	30	30	31	
1 csca	30	4 <sup>th</sup> Reading	31		4 <sup>th</sup> Math	31		4 <sup>th</sup> Language		
	Fall	Winter	Spring	Fall	Winter	Spring	Fall	Winter	Spring	
Lo	7 (26%)	5 (18%)	6 (23%)	6 (22%)	6 (21%)	6 (23%)	4 (15%)	4 (14%)	5 (19%)	
Lo Avg	6 (22%)	7 (25%)	8 (31%)	7 (26%)	5 (18%)	3 (12%)	10 (37%)	10 (36%)	7 (27%)	
Avg	7 (26%)	7 (25%)	5 (19%)	6 (22%)	11 (39%)	3 (12%)	6 (22%)	5 (18%)	5 (19%)	
Hi Avg	4 (15%)	6 (21%)	6 (23%)	4 (15%)	5 (18%)	5 (19%)	5 (19%)	8 (29%)	7 (27%)	
Hi	3 (11%)	3 (11%)	1 (4%)	4 (15%)	1 (4%)	9 (35%)	2 (7%)	1 (4%)	2 (8%)	
Tested	27	28	26	27	28	26	27	28	26	
		3rd Reading			3rd Math			3rd Languag	e	
	Fall	Winter	Spring	Fall	Winter	Spring	Fall	Winter	Spring	
Lo	3 (9%)	3 (9%)	3 (8%)	5 (14%)	1 (3%)	0 (0%)	3 (9%)	2 (6%)	1 (3%)	
Lo Avg	10 (29%)	8 (23%)	8 (22%)	13 (37%)	2 (6%)	1 (3%)	7 (20%)	7 (20%)	3 (8%)	
Avg	11 (31%)	10 (29%)	13 (36%)	7 (20%)	13 (37%)	4 (11%)	9 (26%)	11 (31%)	18 (50%)	
Hi Avg	7 (20%)	10 (29%)	6 (17%)	7 (20%)	15 (43%)	17 (47%)	12 (34%)	9 (26%)	7 (19%)	
Hi	4 (11%)	4 (11%)	6 (17%)	3 (9%)	4 (11%)	14 (39%)	4 (11%)	6 (17%)	7 (19%)	
Tested	35	35	36	35	35	36	35	35	36	
	,	2nd Reading	5	2nd Math				2	2nd Languag	e
	Fall	Winter	Spring	Fall	Winter	Spring	Fall	Winter	Spring	
Lo	4 (15%)	2 (8%)	2 (8%)	5 (19%)	2 (8%)	0 (0%)	7 (27%)	5 (13%)	1 (4%)	
Lo Avg	5 (19%)	6 (23%)	2 (8%)	6 (23%)	4 (15%)	2 (8%)	4 (15%)	6 (15%)	5 (19%)	
Avg	7 (27%)	2 (8%)	3 (12%)	6 (23%)	11 (42%)	13 (50%)	7 (27%)	12 (31%)	4 (15%)	
Hi Avg	5 (19%)	9 (35%)	6 (23%)	9 (35%)	8 (31%)	8 (31%)	5 (19%)	11 (28%)	9 (35%)	
Hi	5 (19%)	7 (27%)	13 (50%)	0 (0%)	1 (4%)	3 (12%)	3 (12%)	5 (13%)	7 (27%)	
Tested	26	26	26	26	26	26	26	26	26	
		1st Reading			1st Math					
	Fall	Winter	Spring	Fall	Winter	Spring				
Lo	4 (12%)	3 (9%)	3 (9%)	4 (12%)	4 (12%)	0 (0%)				
Lo Avg	5 (15%)	6 (18%)	1 (3%)	7 (21%)	8 (24%)	4 (12%)				
Avg	11 (33%)	10 (30%)	9 (27%)	11 (33%)	7 (21%)	13 (39%)				
Hi Avg	4 (12%)	9 (27%)	15 (45%)	6 (18%)	9 (27%)	11 (33%)				
Hi	9 (27%)	5 (15%)	5 (15%)	5 (15%)	5 (15%)	5 (15%)				
Tested	33	33	33	33	33	33				
		K Reading			K Math					
	Fall	Winter	Spring	Fall	Winter	Spring				

Lo	2 (7%)	3 (13%)	3 (12%)	4 (14%)	1 (4%)	3 (12%)
Lo Avg	4 (14%)	4 (17%)	0 (0%)	7 (24%)	3 (13%)	0 (0%)
Avg	13 (46%)	4 (17%)	7 (27%)	4 (14%)	3 (13%)	1 (4%)
Hi Avg	5 (18%)	4 (17%)	3 (12%)	8 (28%)	9 (38%)	11 (42%)
Hi	4 (14%)	9 (38%)	13 (50%)	6 (11%)	8 (33%)	11 (42%)
Tested	28	24	26	29	24	26

		MAP Mean	RIT (local/nation	nal)		
5th Reading		5 <sup>th</sup> N	Math	5 <sup>th</sup> Language		
Fall	206.7/204.5	Fall	210.7/209.1	Fall	208.1/204.2	
Winter	211/209.1	Winter	217.5/214.7	Winter	212.5/208.4	
Spring	212.4/211	Spring	224.8/218.7	Spring	216.6/210.2	
4 <sup>th</sup> :	Reading	4 <sup>th</sup> N	Math	41	<sup>th</sup> Language	
Fall	192.8/196.7	Fall	196.3/199.5	Fall	193.9/197.3	
Winter	200.6/202.5	Winter	201.7/206.1	Winter	199.9/202.9	
Spring	201/204.8	Spring	212.4/210.5	Spring	203/205	
3rd	Reading	3rd ]	Math	3r	d Language	
Fall	187.6/186.6	Fall	186.8/188.5	Fall	190.3/187.7	
Winter	190.6/193.9	Winter	194.3/196.2	Winter	191.6/195.1	
Spring	199/197.1	Spring	212.1/201.1	Spring	202.4/198.3	
2nd	Reading	2nd Math		2nd Language		
Fall	173.6/172.3	Fall	172.2/175	Fall	171.2/174	
Winter	182.5/181.2	Winter	185.7/184.1	Winter	184.6/183.8	
Spring	194.9/185.6	Spring	193.9/189.4	Spring	195.1/188.4	
1st	Reading	1st I	Math			
Fall	157.7/155.9	Fall	160.5/160	-		
Winter	166.5/165.8	Winter	172.9/170.2			
Spring	175.9/171.4	Spring	180.5/176.4			
K Reading		KN	<b>Tath</b>			
Fall	137.5/136.6	Fall	141.9/139.6			
Winter	151.1/146.3	Winter	156.9/150.1			
Spring	155.4/153.1	Spring	165/157.1			

<sup>\*</sup>District Mean RIT listed first/Norm Grade Level Mean RIT

2.3 <u>Data Driven Decision Making</u>\*School Improvement team begins work looking at areas of concern after studying sample IAR questions.

- \*Team looks at performance and determines which skills need to be improved upon.
- \*Team works with the rest of the staff to identify reading and math strategies that are successful.
- \*Team works to gather these strategies in the action plan portion of the SIP.

#### 3.0 Data Analysis

Teachers are asked to continually use the MAP and classroom data to help plan appropriate leveled materials to the students in their classes. They are also asked to develop and implement their own assessments that tracks students' progress toward mastery. Although these are not used in the SIP process, they are used in student development and teacher professional development.

Teachers are also asked to utilize the Advanced Reader (AR) program for diagnostics on students reading achievement level. This should be done continuously. Teachers are asked to communicate with parents/guardians about the progress of their student in AR and how they can grow as readers. It is important to understand and remember that AR is not an effective reading instruction strategy, it is best used as data gathering.

Teachers utilize Type III assessments throughout the school year to gage student growth and instructional needs. These assessments are teacher created and must be linked to core standards and instruction in the classroom. They will also utilize the SLO process as part of their evaluation.

#### 3.1 Data Quality

NWEA MAP testing has is high in validity and reliability. The test is adaptive, which means that as students take it the questions become harder or easier depending on their success rate. The goal is to level to a point where the student is successful. The data shows the level that students are achieving in targeted areas of Reading, Language, and Math.

Accelerated Reader (AR) can be used to track student progress in reading level and comprehension. AR data is reliable, however should be used in addition to classroom and MAP data.

Illinois Assessment of Readiness (IAR) is given beginning in third grade and results are available after this plan is created. The test is given once a year making the data more applicable to higher grades for growth. MES can use it to see how aligned the curriculum is to the expectations of the state test. We will compare our students results with those of classroom assessment and NWEA MAP tests.

#### 3.2 Analysis of Data

The data shows that rapid reading growth began to slow at  $2^{nd}$  grade and was small in  $3^{rd}$  grade. The teachers will need to look at this data and determine what specific changes need to be made to strengthen growth in reading.

#### 3.3 Inferences and Conclusions

Our Elementary consistently has strong performance in academics. We need to continue to find new strategies to impact student learning and achievement. We will continue to work to make 21<sup>st</sup> century learning applicable in the Elementary classroom. We have solid results in Math

consistently on both MAP and state testing. Our Reading growth is traditionally strong, however achievement on MAP and state testing are lower than Math.

Reading goals and strategies need to be put in place to improve our achievement in reading and support continued reading growth in upper grade levels. This will help align general education and Tier II interventions in the classroom with Tier III intervention and special education.

Writing is an area in which the elementary needs to plan improvement to instruction. After viewing results of IAR, it is clear that our students struggled on the writing portion of the test. This writing is linked directly to reading selections, asking student to formulate ideas using textual evidence.

4.0 Action Plans

**SIP Team Goals and Action Plan** 

Goal 1: All K-5 staff will partake in researching and investigating the Science of Reading.

Implementation plan	Time frame	Team members	Resources to be secured
1. All K-5 staff will meet quarterly to discuss their findings and reflections.	September 2024-April 2025	All K-5 staff	Speakers, Podcasts, Book Study, Research, My View- Savvas, Storyworks, ReadWorks, Newsela, Novel Studies, Phonics Series—From Phonics to Reading (1-3), Super Kids, Secret Stories, Michael Haggerty, Online Resources

Assessment tools of Goal #1	Documentation
Quarterly Reports & Discussions	Quarterly Report Document that is shared with K-5 staff

# **Goal 2: The Missile Mission**

Implementation plan	Time frame	Resources to be secured

1.	K-5 staff will receive training on the Missile Mission Framework and how it should be used.	August 12, 2024: Staff Training Q1: Staff will introduce students to the Missile Mission	Missile Mission Framework Document. Materials as needed
2.	K-5 staff will introduce and reinforce the competencies and skills in the Missile Mission Framework through their classroom expectations and curriculum.	Framework and incorporate it into their classroom expectations and curriculum.  Q2-4: Staff will incorporate the Missile Mission Framework into the	
	K-5 staff will document how they have incorporated the Missile Mission Framework and collaborate with colleagues at quarterly meetings.  K-5 staff will identify and use "teachable moments" to show has the Framework will help students be successful in their	curriculum through activities, expectations, and assessments. (Note: use bold key words in Framework to immerse the competencies into the curriculum)	
	post-secondary lives		

Assessment tools of Goal #2	Documentation

# Goal 3: Students will focus on analyzing math story problems to successfully solve them.

Implementation plan	Time frame	Team members	Resources to be secured

K-5 <sup>th</sup> grade students will	September 2024-	K-5 <sup>th</sup> grade	Shared folder with
disassemble story	May 2025	teachers	Premade Posters,
problems using common			Bookmarks, and
language established by			documents
teachers.			Go Math Resources
			Engage New York Resources
			Math Journal /Interactive Notebook
			Math iXL
			District Curriculum
			Charts displayed in classrooms

Assessment tools of Goal #3	Documentation
Go Math Resources	Introducing charts to students at the beginning of
Engage New York Resources	the school year. Posting Uniform Language Chart
Uniform Language Chart	Posting Math Key Word Charts

# $\label{lem:condition} \textbf{Goal 4: All K-5 students will introduce and practice the skills of an effective presentation.}$

Implementation plan	Time frame	Team members	Resources to be secured

All K-5 <sup>th</sup> grade students	September 2024-	K-5 <sup>th</sup> grade	Speech Rubrics,
will make a presentation	May 2025	teachers	MyView Literacy,
4 times a year.			Super Kids Reading
			Program, Novels,
			Storyworks,
All K-5 <sup>th</sup> grade staff will			ReadWorks, Scholastic
use grade appropriate			Magazines, additional
techniques to teach and			Reading Resources,
grow students' oral			classroom projects,
presentation skills.			online resources

Assessment tools of Goal #4	Documentation	
Speaking/Listening Rubric	Speaking/Listening Rubric	

### 5.0-Professional Development

Professional Development will focus on the following:

- Assessment creation
- Instructional Strategies for teaching reading and math beyond current resources
- Specific needs identified for individual staff